

August 10, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105

We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5 EastPalestine@epa.gov

Subject: Data Validation Reports

E Palestine Site - ER

EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005

Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201

Document Tracking No. 1985

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for sixty air samples including seven field blanks and four duplicate samples were collected at the E Palestine Site. The samples were collected on June 8 and 9, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC at their Ashland, Virginia laboratory. The final laboratory data packages were received on June 22, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on this validation effort.

If you have any questions regarding these data validation reports, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Tom Hahne/ Digitally signed by Tom Hahne Date: 2023.08.10 14.49.59 -05'00'

Quality Reviewer

Enclosure

Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager cc: Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager

Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator

TO-TOLIN File

ATTACHMENT

DATA VALIDATION REPORTS EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS. B163-115, B163-116, B163-117, AND B163-124

| Site Name | ite Name E Palestine Site - ER | | TO/TOLIN No. | CRUEDE 2050022 /0004 5D204 |
|-----------------------|---|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| Document Tracking No. | 1985a | | 10/10LIN No. | 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 |
| Laboratory Report No. | B163-115 | | Laboratory | Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA |
| Analyses | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029 | | | |
| Samples and Matrix | Ten air samples, including one field blank and one field duplicate pair | | | |
| Collection Date(s) | June 8, 2023 | | | |
| Field Duplicate Pairs | EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-060823-2/EPD-ST-8H-WA-44-060823-2 | | | |
| Field QC Blanks | EPD-ST-FB-060823-2 | | | |

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on this validation effort.

Data completeness:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| Y | The report was amended to correct the value for volume for sample EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060823-2 from "23.35" to "23.52". The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (μ g/m3), and parts per million (μ pm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD). |



Data completeness (continued):

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised. |
| | The laboratory report uses method reference "Rohm & Haas IH9805" (referenced to the AIHA certification as IHGC-P029) or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably. |

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| N | Holding time limits were exceeded in the extraction of the samples. All sample results were non-detect, and therefore qualified as non-detect with possible low bias (flagged UJ). |

Method blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Field blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |



| MS | /MSDs: |
|----|--------|
|----|--------|

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Laboratory duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Field duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

LCSs/LCSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| N | The recoveries of 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate in the LCS and LCSD were above the laboratory control limits. The sample results are non-detect and were therefore not qualified. |

Sample dilutions:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |



MDLs/RLs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table. |

Tentatively identified compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Other [none]:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
|-----|---|
| J+ | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be |
| JŦ | biased high. |
| J- | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be |
| J- | biased low. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate |
| 147 | concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| R | The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not |
| IX | be present in the sample. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit). |
| UJ | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate |
| OJ | due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. |



E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NO. B163-115

| Sample ID | Method | Cas. No. | Analyte | Lab_Result Lab_Qual | RL | Units | Val_Result Val_Qual |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.016 U | 0.016 | ppm | 0.016 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.011 U | 0.011 | ppm | 0.011 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-44-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-44-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-FB-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 2.8 U | 2.8 | ug | 2.8 UJ |
| EPD-ST-FB-060823-2 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 1.3 U | 1.3 | ug | 1.3 UJ |

| Site Name | E Palestine Site - ER | TO/TOUN No | COLIFOE 2050022 /0004 EB 204 | | |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|
| Document Tracking No. | 1985b | TO/TOLIN No. | 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 | | |
| Laboratory Report No. | B163-116 | Laboratory | Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA | | |
| Analyses | n-Butyl acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method 2 | L450M | | | |
| Samples and Matrix | Thirty-two air samples, including four field b | lanks and three field du | plicates pairs | | |
| Collection Date(s) | June 8, 2023 | | | | |
| | EPD-PB-CM-088-060823-2/EPD-PB-CM-08-0 | 60823-2 | | | |
| Field Duplicate Pairs | EPD-PB-OD-066-060823-2/EPD-PB-OD-06-0 | 50823-2 | | | |
| | EPD-PB-WA-022-060823-2/EPD-PB-WA-02-060823-2 | | | | |
| Field QC Blanks | EPD-PB-FB-02-060823-2, EPD-PB-FB-03-060 | 823-2, EPD-PB-MB-02-0 | 60823-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-060823-2 | | |

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| | The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD). |
| Y | The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised. |



Data completeness (continued):

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | The site-specific QAPP for passive badges specifies one laboratory blank, one LCS, and one LCS duplicate will be prepared per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory was not specifying a maximum batch sample size. The laboratory was contacted and directed to follow the QC sample frequencies specified in the site-specific QAPP. No qualifications were applied because the LCS/LCSD met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the LCS/LCSD data from previous datasets for this project have met the site-specific QAPP acceptance criteria. |

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Y | |

Method blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Field blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |



MS/MSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Laboratory duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Field duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Y | |

LCSs/LCSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| N | The site specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank (LMB), laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCS duplicate (LCSD) to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 32 field samples in one sample preparation batch consisting of one LRB, LMB, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have contained two LRBs, LMBs, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted about the deviation from the site specific QAPP, and moving forward the laboratory will follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site specific QAPP. No qualifications were applied because the QC samples met the QAPP acceptance criteria and the QC samples from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria. |

Sample dilutions:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

MDLs/RLs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table. |

Tentatively identified compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Other [none]:

| 1 | Within | Exceedance/Notes |
|---|----------|------------------|
| (| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
| | NA | |



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
|----|---|
| J+ | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high. |
| J- | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| R | The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit). |
| UJ | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. |

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NO. B163-116

| Sample ID | Method | Cas. No. | Analyte | Lab_Result Lab_Qual | RL | Units | Val_Result Val_Qual |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|---------------------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| EPD-PB-BKBA-01-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-BKBA-02-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-06-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-07-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-08-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-088-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-09-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-10-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-11-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-12-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-14-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-DW-D-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-01-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-02-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-03-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-04-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-05-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-06-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-066-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-07-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-UW-H-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-01-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-02-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-022-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-03-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-04-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-05-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-06-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-FB-02-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |
| EPD-PB-FB-03-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |
| EPD-PB-MB-02-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |
| EPD-PB-MB-03-060823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |

| Site Name | E Palestine Site - ER | | TO/TOLIN No. | 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 | |
|------------------------------|---|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Document Tracking No. | 1985c | | TO/TOLIN NO. | 00HEU32UFU032/00U1EB2U1 | |
| Laboratory Report No. | B163-117 | | Laboratory | Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA | |
| Analyses | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-Butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029 | | | | |
| Samples and Matrix | Nine air samples, including one field blank | | | | |
| Collection Date(s) | June 8, 2023 | | | | |
| Field Duplicate Pairs | None | | | | |
| Field QC Blanks | EPD-ST-FB-060823-1 | | | | |

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on this validation effort.

Data completeness:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| | The report was amended to correct the value for volume for sample EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060823-2 from "23.35" to "23.52". |
| Y | The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (μ g/m³), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD) except for blanks which provided results in μ g. |



Data completeness (continued):

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised. |
| | The laboratory report uses method reference "Rohm & Haas IH9805" (referenced to the AIHA certification as IHGC-P029) or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably. |

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| | Holding time limits were exceeded in the extraction of the samples. All sample results were non-detect, and therefore qualified as non-detect with possible low bias (flagged UJ). |

Method blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Field blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |



| MS | /MSDs: |
|----|--------|
|----|--------|

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Laboratory duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Field duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

LCSs/LCSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| N | The recoveries of 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate in the LCS and LCSD were above the laboratory control limits. The sample results are non-detect and were therefore not qualified. |

Sample dilutions:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |



MDLs/RLs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table. |

Tentatively identified compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Other [none]:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
|-----|---|
| J+ | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be |
| JŦ | biased high. |
| J- | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be |
| J- | biased low. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate |
| 147 | concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| R | The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not |
| IX | be present in the sample. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit). |
| UJ | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate |
| OJ | due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. |



E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NO. B163-117

| Sample ID | Method | Cas. No. | Analyte | Lab_Result Lab_Qual | RL | Units | Val_Result Val_Qual |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| EPD-ST-8H-DW-E-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-DW-E-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-UW-A-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-UW-A-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.015 U | 0.015 | ppm | 0.015 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 UJ |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 UJ |
| EPD-ST-FB-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 2.8 U | 2.8 | ug | 2.8 UJ |
| EPD-ST-FB-060823-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 1.3 U | 1.3 | ug | 1.3 UJ |

| Site Name | E Palestine Site - ER | | TO/TOLIN No. | COUPOE 2050022 /0004 5 D 204 | |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Document Tracking No. | 1985d | | 10/10LIN NO. | 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 | |
| Laboratory Report No. | B163-124 | | Laboratory | Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA | |
| Analyses | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-Butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029 | | | | |
| Samples and Matrix | Nine air samples, including one field blank | | | | |
| Collection Date(s) June 9, 2023 | | | | | |
| Field Duplicate Pairs | None | | | | |
| Field QC Blanks | EPD-ST-FB-060923-1 | | | | |

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

| Wit Crite | | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------|---|---|
| | | The report was amended to correct sample ID from "EPD-ST-FB-060923" to "EPD-ST-FB-060923-1". |
| Y | (| The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD). |



Data completeness (continued):

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| | The laboratory report and the EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/- 1 ug) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be a significant figures issue. No qualification was applied. |
| Y | The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised. |
| | The laboratory report uses method reference "Rohm & Haas IH9805" (referenced to the AIHA certification as IHGC-P029) or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably. |

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Method blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Field blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

MS/MSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Laboratory duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Field duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

LCSs/LCSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| N | The recoveries of 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate were above the laboratory control limits. The results were non-detect and therefore not qualified. |

Sample dilutions:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

MDLs/RLs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table. |

Tentatively identified compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Other [none]:

| 1 | Within | Exceedance/Notes |
|---|----------|------------------|
| (| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
| | NA | |



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
|----|---|
| J+ | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high. |
| J- | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| R | The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit). |
| UJ | The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. |

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NO. B163-124

| Sample ID | Method | Cas. No. | Analyte | Lab_Result Lab_Qual | RL | Units | Val_Result Val_Qual |
|--------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------|---------------------|-------|-------|---------------------|
| EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.013 U | 0.013 | ppm | 0.013 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.013 U | 0.013 | ppm | 0.013 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.01 U | 0.01 | ppm | 0.01 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 0.014 U | 0.014 | ppm | 0.014 U |
| EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-ST-FB-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 103-11-7 | 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate | 2.8 U | 2.8 | ug | 2.8 U |
| EPD-ST-FB-060923-1 | IHGC-P029 | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 1.3 U | 1.3 | ug | 1.3 U |