

We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5_EastPalestine@epa.gov

August 2, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105

Subject: Data Validation Reports E Palestine Site - ER EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005 Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 Document Tracking No. 1995

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for eighty air samples, including eight field blanks and six duplicate samples were collected at the E Palestine Site. The samples were collected on June 5, 10 and 11, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC at their Ashland, Virginia laboratory. The final laboratory data packages were received on July 8, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding these data validation reports, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Digitally signed by Tom Hahne Date: 2023.08.02 11:03:57 -05'00'

Quality Reviewer

Tom

Hahne

Enclosure

cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator TO-TOLIN File

ATTACHMENT

DATA VALIDATION REPORTS EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS. B158-005, B164-164, B164-165, AND B165-165

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	1995a	TO/TOLIN NO.	08HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Laboratory Report No.	B158-005	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-Butyl acrylate b	y laboratory standard	operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029
Samples and Matrix	Ten air samples, including one field blank a	nd one field duplicate	pair
Collection Date(s)	June 5, 2023		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-24H-WA-033-060523-1/EPD-ST-24H	I-WA-03-060523-1	
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-060523-1		

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The report was amended to correct the average flow rate for EPD-ST-24H-WA-33-060523-1 from "0.051977" to "0.051976": EPD-ST-24H-03-060523-1 from "0.053857" to "0.053854"; and EPD-ST-24H-UW-B-060523-1 from 0.05397" to "0.051715". The report was amended to correct sample IDs from "EPD-ST-24H-FB-060523-1" to "EPD-ST-FB-060523-1", and "EPD-ST-24H-WA-033-060523-1" to "EPD-ST-24H-WA-33-060523-1".



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The report was amended to correct the volume for sample EPD-ST-24H-WA-33-060523-1 from "74.85" to "74.84".
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD) except for blanks which provided results in μ g.
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.
	The laboratory report uses method reference "Rohm & Haas IH9805" (referenced to the AIHA certification as IHGC-P029) or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	



Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The laboratory report and the EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/- 1 ug) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be a significant figures issue. No qualification was applied.

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [none]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
IJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NO. B158-005

Sample ID	Method	Cas. No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	Val_Result Val_Qual
EPD-ST-24H-DW-F-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.005 U	0.005	ppm	0.005 U
EPD-ST-24H-DW-F-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.003 U	0.003	ppm	0.003 U
EPD-ST-24H-UW-B-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.005 U	0.005	ppm	0.005 U
EPD-ST-24H-UW-B-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.003 U	0.003	ppm	0.003 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-01-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.005 U	0.005	ppm	0.005 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-01-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.003 U	0.003	ppm	0.003 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-02-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.005 U	0.005	ppm	0.005 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-02-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.003 U	0.003	ppm	0.003 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-03-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.005 U	0.005	ppm	0.005 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-03-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.003 U	0.003	ppm	0.003 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-04-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.005 U	0.005	ppm	0.005 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-04-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.003 U	0.003	ppm	0.003 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-05-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.005 U	0.005	ppm	0.005 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-05-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.003 U	0.003	ppm	0.003 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-06-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.005 U	0.005	ppm	0.005 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-06-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.003 U	0.003	ppm	0.003 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-33-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.005 U	0.005	ppm	0.005 U
EPD-ST-24H-WA-33-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.003 U	0.003	ppm	0.003 U
EPD-ST-FB-060523-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-060523-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 U

Site Name	te Name E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Document Tracking No.	1995b		TO/TOLIN NO.	08HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Laboratory Report No.	B164-164		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA	
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-Butyl acrylate by		laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029		
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples, including one field blank				
Collection Date(s)	June 10, 2023				
Field Duplicate Pairs	None				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-061023-1				

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data	completeness:
------	---------------

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μg) while the other sample results were reported in units of μg, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The laboratory report uses method reference "Rohm & Haas IH9805" (referenced to the AIHA certification as IHGC-P029) or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The recoveries of 2-ethylhexyl acrylate in the LCS and LCSD were above the laboratory control limits. The sample results are non- detect and therefore not qualified.
Ν	The laboratory report and the EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/- 1 ug) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be a significant figures issue. No qualification was applied.

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [none]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NO. B164-164

Sample ID	Method	Cas. No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	Val_Result Val_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-061023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.013 U	0.013	ppm	0.013 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-061023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-061023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-061023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.013 U	0.013	ppm	0.013 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-061023-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-061023-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Document Tracking No.	1995c		08HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Laboratory Report No.	B164-165	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA		
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method	1450M			
Samples and Matrix	Twenty-nine air samples, including two field blanks and two field duplicate pairs				
Collection Date(s)	June 11, 2023				
Field Durlicote Daire	EPD-PB-CM-122-061123-1/EPD-PB-CM-12-061123-1				
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-WA-011-061123-1/EPD-PB-WA-01-061123-1				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-01-061123-1 and EPD-PB-MB-01-061123-1				

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The report was amended to correct the total time value for sample EPD-PB-OD-07-061123-1 from "720" to "722".
Y	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The site-specific QAPP for passive badges specifies one laboratory blank, one LCS, and one LCS duplicate will be prepared per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory was not specifying a maximum batch sample size. The laboratory was contacted and directed to follow the QC sample frequencies specified in the site-specific QAPP. No qualifications were applied because the LCS/LCSD met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the LCS/LCSD data from previous datasets for this project have met the site-specific QAPP acceptance criteria.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank (LMB), laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCS duplicate (LCSD) to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 29 field samples in one sample preparation batch consisting of one LRB, LMB, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have contained two LRBs, LMBs, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted about the deviation from the site specific QAPP, and moving forward the laboratory will follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site specific QAPP. No qualifications were applied because the QC samples met the QAPP acceptance criteria and the QC samples from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [none]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
IJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NO. B164-165

Sample ID	Method	Cas. No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	Val_Result Val_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-122-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-DW-C-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-UW-G-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-011-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-FB-01-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-01-061123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER				
Document Tracking No.	1995d	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Laboratory Report No.	B164-166	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA		
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method	1450M			
Samples and Matrix	Thirty-two air samples, including four field I	planks and three field o	duplicate pairs		
Collection Date(s)	June 10, 2023				
	EPD-PB-OD-044-061023-2/EPD-PB-OD-04-0	61023-2			
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-OD-077-061023-2/EPD-PB-OD-07-0	61023-2			
	EPD-PB-WA-044-061023-2/EPD-PB-WA-04-061023-2				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-02-061023-2, EPD-PB-FB-03-061	.023-2, EPD-PB-MB-02-	061023-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-061023-2		

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Region 5, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD) except for blanks which provided results in μ g.
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date field in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The site-specific QAPP for passive badges specifies one laboratory blank, one LCS, and one LCS duplicate will be prepared per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory was not specifying a maximum batch sample size. The laboratory was contacted and directed to follow the QC sample frequencies specified in the site-specific QAPP. No qualifications were applied because the LCS/LCSD met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the LCS/LCSD data from previous datasets for this project have met the site-specific QAPP acceptance criteria.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank (LMB), laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCS duplicate (LCSD) to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 32 field samples in one sample preparation batch consisting of one LRB, LMB, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have contained two LRBs, LMBs, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted about the deviation from the site specific QAPP, and moving forward the laboratory will follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site specific QAPP. No qualifications were applied because the QC samples met the QAPP acceptance criteria and the QC samples from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [none]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NO. B164-166

Sample ID	Method	Cas. No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units Va	al_Result Val_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-DW-C-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-044-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-077-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-UW-G-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-044-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-FB-02-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-FB-03-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-02-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-03-061023-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U