Jacksonville, Florida. The Coast Guard is activating these safety zones in order to protect vessels and waterway users from the potential hazards created by reentry vehicle splashdowns and recovery operations. In accordance with the general regulations in 33 CFR part 165, subpart C, no U.S.-flagged vessel may enter the safety zones unless authorized by the COTP Savannah or a designated representative except as provided in § 165.T07–0806(d)(3). All foreign-flagged vessels are encouraged to remain outside the safety zones.

There are four other safety zones listed in § 165.T07–0806(a)(2) through (a)(5), which are located within the COTP St. Petersburg and Jacksonville AORs, that are being simultaneously activated through separate notifications of enforcement of the regulation document issued under Docket Numbers USCG–2023–0719, and USCG–2023–0757.1

Twenty-four hours prior to the Crew-6 recovery operations, the COTP Jacksonville, the COTP Savannah, the COTP St. Petersburg, or designated representative will inform the public that whether any of the five safety zones described in § 165.T07-0806, paragraph (a), will remain activated (subject to enforcement). If one of the safety zones described in § 165.T07-0806, paragraph (a), remains activated it will be enforced for four hours prior to the Crew-6 splashdown and remain activated until announced by Broadcast Notice to Mariners on VHF-FM channel 16, and/or Marine Safety Information Bulletin (as appropriate) that the safety zone is no longer subject to enforcement. After the Crew-6 reentry vehicle splashdown, the COTP or a designated representative will grant general permission to come no closer than 3 nautical miles of any reentry vehicle or space support vessel engaged in the recovery operations, within the activated safety zone described in § 165.T07-0806, paragraph (a). Once the reentry vehicle, and any personnel involved in reentry service, are removed from the water and secured onboard a space support vessel, the COTP or designated representative will issue a Broadcast Notice to Mariners on VHF-FM channel 16 announcing the activated safety zone is no longer subject to enforcement. The recovery operations are expected to last approximately one hour.

The Coast Guard may be assisted by other Federal, State, or local law

enforcement agencies in enforcing this regulation.

Dated: September 1, 2023.

Nathaniel L. Robinson,

Commander, U.S. Coast Guard, Captain of the Port Savannah.

[FR Doc. 2023-19392 Filed 9-7-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 9110-04-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers

33 CFR Part 328

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 120

[EPA-HQ-OW-2023-0346; FRL-11132-01-OW]

RIN 2040-AG32

Revised Definition of "Waters of the United States"; Conforming

AGENCY: Department of the Army, Corps of Engineers, Department of Defense; and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army ("the agencies") are amending the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) to conform the definition of "waters of the United States" to a 2023 Supreme Court decision. This conforming rule amends the provisions of the agencies' definition of "waters of the United States" that are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in the 2023 decision.

DATES: This final rule is effective on September 8, 2023.

ADDRESSES: The agencies have established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2023–0346. All documents in the docket are listed on the https:// www.regulations.gov/ website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, e.g., confidential business information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available electronically through https://www.regulations.gov.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Whitney Beck, Oceans, Wetlands and

Communities Division, Office of Water (4504T), Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20460; telephone number: (202) 564–2281; email address: CWAwotus@epa.gov, and Stacey Jensen, Office of the Assistant Secretary of the Army for Civil Works, Department of the Army, 108 Army Pentagon, Washington, DC 20310–0104; telephone number: (703) 459–6026; email address: usarmy.pentagon.hqda-asa-cw.mbx.asa-cw-reporting@army.mil.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Why are the agencies issuing this final rule?

This action amends Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) provisions promulgated in "Revised Definition of 'Waters of the United States,'" 88 FR 3004 (January 18, 2023) ("2023 Rule"), to conform to the 2023 Supreme Court decision in Sackett v. EPA, 598 U.S. 143 S. Ct. 1322 (2023) ("Sackett"). The Administrative Procedure Act (APA) provides that, when an agency for good cause finds that public notice and comment procedures are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest, the agency may issue a rule without providing notice and an opportunity for public comment. 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(B). The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army ("the agencies") have determined that there is good cause under APA section 553(b)(B) to issue this final rule without prior proposal and opportunity for comment because such notice and opportunity for comment is unnecessary. Certain provisions of the 2023 Rule are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in Sackett. The effect of the Sackett decision was to render these provisions immediately inconsistent with the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act. Consistent with the agencies' previously stated intent regarding the severability of the 2023 Rule in the event that provisions of that rule were held invalid, see 88 FR 3135, the agencies are conforming the 2023 Rule's definition of the term "waters of the United States" to the Supreme Court's decision. Specifically, the agencies are revising 40 CFR 120.2(a)(1)(iii), (a)(3) through (5), and (c)(2) and (6), and 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)(iii), (a)(3) through (5), and (c)(2) and (6) to amend aspects of the definition as needed to conform to the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in Sackett. Because the sole purpose of this rule is to amend these specific provisions of the 2023

¹ These notifications of enforcement of the regulation can be found at: https://regulations.gov by searching for docket number USCG—2023—0719, and USCG—2023—0757.

Rule to conform with *Sackett*, and such conforming amendments do not involve the exercise of the agencies' discretion, providing advance public notice and seeking comment is unnecessary. A notice and comment process would neither provide new information to the public nor inform any agency decision-making regarding the aspects of the regulations defining "waters of the United States" that are invalid as inconsistent with the Clean Water Act under *Sackett*.

For similar reasons, there is good cause under the APA to make this rule immediately effective, 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3), because this rule does not impose any burdens on the regulated community; rather, it merely conforms the 2023 Rule to the Supreme Court's decision in Sackett by amending the provisions of the 2023 Rule that are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act. Making the rule immediately effective will also provide more clarity and certainty to the regulated community and the public following the Sackett decision. Many States and industry groups challenging the 2023 Rule have advocated in litigation for quick action by the agencies in light of *Sackett*, citing the need for regulatory certainty and less delay in processing approved jurisdictional determinations and certain Clean Water Act permits. A delayed effective date for amendments to regulations defining "waters of the United States" to conform to Sackett would prolong confusion and potentially result in project delays for prospective permittees that seek approved jurisdictional determinations to evaluate whether their projects will result in discharges to "waters of the United States." Making the rule immediately effective also avoids delaying provision of clarity to aid States and authorized Tribes administering Clean Water Act permitting programs and to members of the general public who seek to understand which waters are subject to the Clean Water Act's requirements. It is thus appropriate for the agencies to revise the affected provisions in 40 CFR 120.2 and 33 CFR 328.3 to conform to Sackett as quickly as possible and to make those revisions immediately

In 1972, Congress enacted the Federal Water Pollution Control Act Amendments of 1972, Public Law 92–500, 86 Stat. 816, as amended, 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq. ("Clean Water Act" or "Act"). Central to the framework and protections provided by the Clean Water Act is the term "navigable waters," defined in the Act as "the waters of the

United States, including the territorial seas." 33 U.S.C. 1362(7). On January 18, 2023, the final "Revised Definition of "Waters of the United States" rule was published in the **Federal Register**, and the rule took effect on March 20, 2023.

In 2006, the Supreme Court addressed the scope of "waters of the United States" in Rapanos v. United States, 547 U.S. 715 (2006) ("Rapanos"). As the Court in Sackett noted, no position in Rapanos commanded a majority of the Court. Sackett, 143 S. Ct. at 1344. In Rapanos, all nine members of the Court agreed that the term "waters of the United States" encompasses some waters that are not navigable in the traditional sense. Rapanos, 547 U.S. at 731 (Scalia, J., plurality opinion) ("We have twice stated that the meaning of 'navigable waters' in the Act is broader than the traditional understanding of that term, SWANCC, 531 U.S. at 167; Riverside Bayview, 474 U.S. at 133."). A four-Justice plurality in Rapanos interpreted the term "waters of the United States" as covering "relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water," id. at 739, that are connected to traditional navigable waters, id. at 742, as well as wetlands with a "continuous surface connection" to such waterbodies, id. (Scalia, J., plurality opinion). The *Rapanos* plurality noted that its reference to "relatively permanent" waters did "not necessarily exclude streams, rivers, or lakes that might dry up in extraordinary circumstances, such as drought," or "seasonal rivers, which contain continuous flow during some months of the year but no flow during dry months." Id. at 732 n.5 (emphasis in original). Justice Kennedy's concurring opinion took a different approach, concluding that "to constitute '''navigable waters''' under the Act, a water or wetland must possess a 'significant nexus' to waters that are or were navigable in fact or that could reasonably be so made." Id. at 759. He concluded that wetlands possess the requisite significant nexus if the wetlands "either alone or in combination with similarly situated [wet]lands in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of other covered waters more readily understood as 'navigable.' " Id. at 780. The four dissenting Justices in Rapanos would have deferred to the agencies and also

concluded that waters would be jurisdictional under "either the plurality's or Justice Kennedy's test." *Id.* at 810 & n.14 (Stevens, J., dissenting).

The 2023 Rule incorporated the two jurisdictional standards from *Rapanos* into the definition of the term "waters of the United States." First, under that rule, the "relatively permanent standard" refers to the test to identify: relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing tributaries connected to traditional navigable waters, the territorial seas, or interstate waters; relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing additional waters with a continuous surface connection to such relatively permanent waters or to traditional navigable waters, the territorial seas, or interstate waters; and, adjacent wetlands and certain impoundments with a continuous surface connection to such relatively permanent waters or to traditional navigable waters, the territorial seas, or interstate waters. Second, the "significant nexus standard" under the 2023 Rule refers to the test to identify waters that, either alone or in combination with similarly situated waters in the region, significantly affect the chemical, physical, or biological integrity of traditional navigable waters, the territorial seas, or interstate waters. The regulatory text also defined "significantly affect" for purposes of the significant nexus standard. 88 FR 3006. Under the 2023 Rule, waters were jurisdictional if they met either

The 2023 Rule also defined the term "adjacent" with no changes from the agencies' longstanding regulatory definition. "Adjacent" was defined as "bordering, contiguous, or neighboring." 88 FR 3116–17. Wetlands separated from other "waters of the United States" by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like were defined as "adjacent" wetlands. *Id.*

On May 25, 2023, the Supreme Court decided Sackett v. EPA. While the 2023 Rule was not directly before the Court, the Court considered the jurisdictional standards set forth in that rule. The enterprise of the 2023 Rule—to define "waters of the United States"—was the same as the Supreme Court's enterprise in Sackett: "to identify with greater clarity what the Act means by 'the waters of the United States.'" 143 S. Ct. at 1329; see also id. at 1331 ("The meaning of [33 U.S.C. 1362(7)] is the persistent problem that we must address."). The Supreme Court recognized the agencies' definition and utilization of "adjacent" and

¹ As a result of litigation, the 2023 Rule is enjoined in 27 States as of the date this final rule was signed. See Texas v. EPA, Nos. 23–00017 & 23–00020 (S.D. Tex. March 19, 2023); West Virginia v. EPA, No. 23–00032 (D.N.D. April 12, 2023); Commonwealth of Kentucky v. EPA, Nos. 23–5343/5345 (6th Cir. May 10, 2023).

"significant nexus" "as set out in [the agencies'] most recent rule," the 2023 Rule, 143 S. Ct. at 1335, 1341, but concluded that the significant nexus standard was "inconsistent with the text and structure of the [Clean Water Act].' Id. at 1341. Instead, the Court "conclude[d] that the *Rapanos* plurality was correct: the [Clean Water Act]'s use of 'waters' encompasses 'only those relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water "forming geographic[al] features" that are described in ordinary parlance as "streams, oceans, rivers, and lakes."" Id. at 1336 (quoting Rapanos, 547 U.S. at 739). The Court also "agree[d] with [the plurality's] formulation of when wetlands are part of 'the waters of the United States,'" id. at 1340–41: "when wetlands have 'a continuous surface connection to bodies that are "waters of the United States" in their own right, so that there is no clear demarcation between "waters" and wetlands." Id. at 1344 (citing Rapanos, 547 U.S. at 742, 755). Thus, the Supreme Court concluded that "this interpretation" i.e., the interpretation of adjacent wetlands as "waters of the United States" set out in the 2023 Rule-"is inconsistent with the text and structure of the CWA" insofar as it incorporated the "significant nexus" test and defined "adjacent" other than as the Rapanos plurality defined the term. *Id.* at 1341.

The agencies are revising the 2023 Rule to remove the significant nexus standard and to amend its definition of "adjacent" as these provisions are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in Sackett. See section II of this preamble for the specific amendments. Under the decision in Sackett, waters are not jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act based on the significant nexus standard. In addition, under the decision in Sackett, wetlands are not defined as "adjacent" or jurisdictional under the Clean Water Act solely because they are "bordering, contiguous, or neighboring . [or] separated from other 'waters of the United States' by man-made dikes or barriers, natural river berms, beach dunes and the like." Therefore, under this conforming rule, waters cannot be found to be jurisdictional because they meet the significant nexus standard; nor can wetlands be found to be jurisdictional based on the definition of 'adjacent" codified in the 2023 Rule. Furthermore, as a result of the decision in Sackett invalidating the significant nexus standard, the provision for assessment of streams and wetlands under the additional waters provision of paragraph (a)(5) is no longer valid as

any jurisdictional streams and wetlands are covered by paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of the 2023 Rule.²

Finally, the agencies are removing "interstate wetlands" from the 2023 Rule to conform with the decision in Sackett. The Supreme Court in Sackett examined the Clean Water Act and its statutory history and found the predecessor statute to the Clean Water Act covered and defined "interstate waters" as "all rivers, lakes, and other waters that flow across or form a part of State boundaries." Sackett at 1337 (citing 33 U.S.C. 1160(a), 1173(e) (1970 ed.) (emphasis in original)). The Court concluded that the use of the term "waters" refers to such "open waters" and not wetlands. Id. As a result, under Sackett, the provision authorizing wetlands to be jurisdictional simply because they are interstate is invalid.

The agencies will continue to interpret the remainder of the definition of "waters of the United States" in the 2023 Rule consistent with the Sackett decision. And it is both reasonable and appropriate for the agencies to promulgate this rule in response to a significant decision of the Supreme Court and, to provide administrative guidance to address other issues that may arise outside this limited rule. See County of Maui, Hawaii v. Hawaii Wildlife Fund, 140 S. Ct. 1462, 1476 (2020) ("EPA, too, can provide administrative guidance (within statutory boundaries) in numerous ways, including through, for example, grants of individual permits, promulgation of general permits, or the development of general rules."). The agencies have a wide range of available approaches to address such issues, including: approved jurisdictional determinations and Clean Water Act permits (both of which are final agency actions subject to judicial review); guidance; notice and comment rulemaking; and, agency forms and training materials. The agencies intend to hold stakeholder meetings to ensure the public has an opportunity to provide the agencies with input on other issues they would like the agencies to address. The agencies are also committed to taking particular actions that have been requested by stakeholders to improve implementation of the definition of "waters of the United States." For

example, the agencies are working to improve coordination among Federal agencies through coordination memoranda and trainings. The agencies are also developing regionally-specific tools to facilitate implementation of the definition of "waters of the United States." The agencies will continue to provide trainings to Tribes, States, and the public as appropriate to promote clarity and consistency. The agencies will continue to post materials and outreach opportunities to EPA's website at https://www.epa.gov/wotus.

II. Which provisions are amended?

This final rule amends the following provisions in the 2023 Rule: 40 CFR 120.2(a)(1)(iii), (a)(3) through (5), (c)(2) and (6), and 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)(iii), (a)(3) through (5), (c)(2) and (6). A list of these revisions is provided below.

• 40 CFR 120.2(a)(1)(iii) and 33 CFR 328.3(a)(1)(iii): Removed the phrase "including interstate wetlands" from this provision. Made conforming edits to the regulatory text.

• 40 ČFR 12Ŏ.2(a)(3) and 33 CFR 328.3(a)(3): Removed the significant nexus standard from the tributaries provision. Made conforming edits to the regulatory text.

• 40 CFR 120.2(a)(4) and 33 CFR 328.3(a)(4): Removed the significant nexus standard from the adjacent wetlands provision. Made conforming edits to the regulatory text.

• 40 CFR 120.2(a)(5) and 33 CFR 328.3(a)(5): Removed the significant nexus standard and streams and wetlands from the provision for intrastate lakes and ponds, streams, or wetlands not otherwise identified in the definition. Made conforming edits to the regulatory text.

• 40 CFR 120.2(c)(2) and 33 CFR 328.3(c)(2): Revised the definition of "adjacent". Note that the agencies recognize that revising the definition of adjacent creates redundancy in 40 CFR 120.2(a)(4) and 33 CFR 328.3(a)(4), which already include the requirement for a "continuous surface connection," but deleting existing regulatory text to reduce redundancy is outside the scope of the agencies' determination in this rule that there is good cause under APA section 553(b)(B) to issue this final rule without prior proposal and opportunity for comment.

• 40 CFR 120.2(c)(6) and 33 CFR 328.3(c)(6): Removed the term "significantly affect" and its definition in its entirety.

III. Severability

The purpose of this section is to clarify the agencies' intent with respect to the severability of provisions of this

² Lakes and ponds, however, may still be jurisdictional under paragraph (a)(5) if they do not fall within paragraphs (a)(1) through (3) of the 2023 Rule (for example, if they are not tributaries connected to waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (2)) and they are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water with a continuous surface connection to the waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (3).

rule and the 2023 Rule as amended by this final rule in the event of litigation. In the event of a stay or invalidation of any part of this rule, the agencies' intent is to preserve the remaining portions of the rule to the fullest possible extent. Further, if any part of the 2023 Rule as amended by this rule is stayed or invalidated, the agencies' intent is to preserve its remaining portions to the fullest possible extent. The agencies explained in the 2023 Rule that it was carefully crafted so that each provision or element of the rule is capable of operating independently. 88 FR 3135. None of the amendments made in this rule affects the 2023 Rule's severability or undermines the ability of each part of this rule or the remaining parts of the 2023 Rule to operate independently.

The exclusive purpose of the 2023 Rule was to define "waters of the United States," and this rule simply conforms that definition to Sackett. "Waters of the United States" is defined in paragraphs (a)(1) through (5), subject to the exclusions in paragraph (b), and using terms defined in paragraph (c). The categories in paragraphs (a)(1) through (5) are disjunctive, and while they may overlap, no one category (or subcategory) depends on another. The modifications to the 2023 Rule in this rule do not alter those basic features of the regulatory text. Therefore, if any provision or element of this rule or of the 2023 Rule as amended by this rule is determined by judicial review or operation of law to be invalid, that partial invalidation will not render the remainder of this rule or the 2023 Rule, as amended, invalid. Further, if the application of any portion of this rule or the 2023 Rule, as amended by this rule, to a particular circumstance is determined to be invalid, the agencies intend that this rule and the 2023 Rule, as amended, remain applicable to all other circumstances.

For example, if paragraph (c)(2), which contains the revised definition of "adjacent," were deemed invalid, it would affect implementation of paragraph (a)(4), which addresses 'adjacent wetlands," but it would not affect any other provision of this rule (or the 2023 Rule, as amended), all of which would continue to operate. As another example, if paragraph (a)(1)(iii), which provides that interstate waters (amended by this rule to no longer include interstate wetlands) are "waters of the United States," were deemed invalid, every other provision of this rule (and the 2023 Rule as amended) could continue to operate. References to paragraph (a)(1) in paragraphs (a)(3) through (5), and paragraph (c)(2) would remain in effect, and paragraph (a)(1)

would simply be read to consist of paragraphs (a)(1)(i) and (ii), without paragraph (a)(1)(iii) in whole or in part. As a third example, if one of the exclusions from "waters of the United States" in paragraph (b), or any part of one of the exclusions, were deemed invalid, the remainder of this rule, and thus, the 2023 Rule as amended, would remain in effect. The rationale for each exclusion in paragraph (b) is distinct and invalidating one exclusion would not have any practical impact on any other part of the definition of "waters of the United States."

IV. Statutory and Executive Orders Reviews

Additional information about these statutes and Executive orders can be found at https://www.epa.gov/laws-regulations/laws-and-executive-orders.

A. Executive Order 12866: Regulatory Planning and Review and Executive Order 14094: Modernizing Regulatory Review

This action is a significant regulatory action as defined in Executive Order 12866, as amended by Executive Order 14094. Accordingly, the agencies submitted this action to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Executive Order 12866 review. Documentation of any changes made in response to the Executive Order 12866 review is available in the docket.

This conforming rule amends the provisions of the agencies' definition of "waters of the United States" that are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in Sackett. As such, it is the agencies' view that the rule does not by itself impose cost savings or forgone benefits.

B. Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA)

This action does not impose an information collection burden under the PRA because it does not contain any information collection activities. However, this action may change terms and concepts used by EPA and Army to implement certain programs. The agencies thus may need to revise some of their collections of information to be consistent with this action and will do so consistent with the PRA and implementing regulations.

C. Regulatory Flexibility Act (RFA)

This action is not subject to the RFA. The RFA applies only to rules subject to notice and comment rulemaking requirements under the APA, 5 U.S.C. 553, or any other statute. This rule is not subject to notice and comment requirements because the agencies have

invoked the APA "good cause" exemption under 5 U.S.C. 553(b).

D. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act (UMRA)

This action does not contain any unfunded mandate as described in UMRA, 2 U.S.C. 1531–1538, and does not significantly or uniquely affect small governments. The definition of "waters of the United States" applies broadly to Clean Water Act programs, and this rule amending the definition of "waters of the United States" simply conforms to a decision of the Supreme Court. The action imposes no enforceable duty on any Tribal, State, or local governments, or the private sector.

E. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

This action does not have federalism implications. It will not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the National Government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government.

This conforming rule amends the provisions of the agencies' definition of "waters of the United States" that are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in Sackett. Because the limited amendments in this rule do not involve the exercise of the agencies' discretion, federalism consultation would neither provide new information nor inform any agency decision-making regarding the aspects of the regulations defining "waters of the United States" that are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in Sackett. The agencies recognize, however, that changes to the definition of "waters of the United States" may be of interest to State and local governments. The agencies intend to hold discussions with State and local governments on implementation of the definition of "waters of the United States."

F. Executive Order 13175: Consultation and Coordination With Indian Tribal Governments

This rule amends the provisions of the agencies' definition of "waters of the United States" that are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in Sackett. Because the amendments in this rule do not involve the exercise of the agencies' discretion, in this instance Tribal consultation and coordination could not inform the decision-making in this final rule. The agencies recognize, however, that changes to the definition of "waters of the United States" may be of interest

to Tribal governments. The agencies intend to hold discussions with Tribes on implementation of the definition of "waters of the United States."

G. Executive Order 13045: Protection of Children From Environmental Health and Safety Risks

EPA and the Army interpret Executive Order 13045 as applying only to those regulatory actions that concern environmental health or safety risks that the agencies have reason to believe may disproportionately affect children, per the definition of "covered regulatory action" in section 2–202 of the Executive order.

This conforming rule amends the provisions of the agencies' definition of 'waters of the United States' that are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in Sackett. Because these amendments are necessary to conform to the Supreme Court's decision and do not involve the exercise of the agencies' discretion, the rule does not concern an environmental health risk or safety risk and is not subject to Executive Order 13045. Similarly, this action does not concern human health, and therefore EPA's Policy on Children's Health also does not apply.

H. Executive Order 13211: Actions That Significantly Affect Energy Supply, Distribution or Use

This action is not a "significant energy action" because it is not likely to have a significant adverse effect on the supply, distribution, or use of energy.

I. National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

This rule does not involve technical standards.

J. Executive Order 12898: Federal Actions To Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations and Executive Order 14096: Revitalizing Our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All

Executive Order 12898 (59 FR 7629, February 16, 1994) directs Federal agencies, to the greatest extent practicable and permitted by law, to make environmental justice part of their mission by identifying and addressing, as appropriate, disproportionately high and adverse human health or environmental effects of their programs, policies, and activities on communities with environmental justice concerns. Executive Order 14096 (88 FR 25251, April 21, 2023) supplements the foundational efforts of Executive Order 12898 to address environmental justice.

EPA and the Army believe that it is not necessary to assess whether this action would result in disproportionate and adverse effects on communities with environmental justice concerns, as this is a conforming rule and the targeted amendments made do not reflect an exercise of agency discretion. In prior analyses of potential distributional impacts of the 2023 Rule (see Economic Analysis for Final "Revised Definition of Waters of the United States'" Rule, Docket ID No. EPA-HQ-OW-2021-0602-2489), the agencies examined whether the change in benefits due to that rule may be differentially distributed among communities with environmental justice concerns in the affected areas when compared to two baselines—the primary baseline of the pre-2015 regulatory regime and the secondary baseline of the 2020 Navigable Waters Protection Rule. In that prior analysis, for most of the wetlands and affected waters impacted at a hydrologic unit code (HUC) ³ 12 watershed level, there was no evidence of potential environmental justice impacts from the 2023 Rule warranting further analysis when compared to both baselines.

The agencies recognize that the burdens of environmental pollution and climate change often fall disproportionately on communities with environmental justice concerns. Climate change will exacerbate the existing risks faced by communities with environmental justice concerns. However, this conforming rule merely amends the provisions of the agencies' definition of "waters of the United States" that are invalid under the Supreme Court's interpretation of the Clean Water Act in Sackett. As noted above, these amendments on their own do not result in any cost savings or forgone benefits not directed by the operation of law. Because this rule does not involve the exercise of the agencies' discretion, the agencies did not engage with communities with environmental justice concerns in developing this action.

K. Congressional Review Act (CRA)

This action is subject to the CRA, and the agencies will submit a rule report to each House of the Congress and to the Comptroller General of the United States. This action is not a "major rule" as defined by 5 U.S.C. 804(2). The CRA allows the issuing agency to make a rule effective sooner than otherwise would be provided by the CRA if the agency makes a good cause finding that notice and comment public rulemaking procedures are impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest (5 U.S.C. 808(2)). The agencies have made a good cause finding for this rule as discussed in section I of this preamble, including the basis for that finding.

List of Subjects

33 CFR Part 328

Administrative practice and procedure, Environmental protection, Navigation (water), Water pollution control, Waterways.

40 CFR Part 120

Environmental protection, Water pollution control, Waterways.

Michael L. Connor,

Assistant Secretary of the Army (Civil Works), Department of the Army.

Michael S. Regan,

Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency.

Title 33—Navigation and Navigable Waters

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 33 CFR part 328 is amended as follows:

PART 328—DEFINITION OF WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES

■ 1. The authority citation for part 328 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.

- 2. Section 328.3 is amended by:
- a. Revising paragraphs (a)(1)(iii), (a)(3), and (a)(4)(ii);
- b. Removing paragraph (a)(4)(iii);
- c. Revising paragraphs (a)(5) and (c)(2); and
- d. Removing paragraph (c)(6). The revisions read as follows:

§ 328.3 Definitions.

* * * * * * * * (a) * * * * (1) * * * (iii) Interstate waters;

- (3) Tributaries of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (2) of this section that are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water;
- (4) * * *

 (ii) Relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (a)(3) of this section and with a continuous surface connection to those waters;
- (5) Intrastate lakes and ponds not identified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section that are relatively

³ HUC boundaries are established by the U.S. Geological Survey and Natural Resources Conservation Service. These boundaries are numbered using nested codes to represent the scale of the watershed size. For example, HUC 12 watersheds are smaller than HUC 4 watersheds.

permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water with a continuous surface connection to the waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(3) of this section.

* * * (c) * * *

(2) Adjacent means having a continuous surface connection.

Title 40—Protection of Environment

For reasons set out in the preamble, 40 CFR part 120 is amended as follows:

PART 120—DEFINITION OF WATERS OF THE UNITED STATES

■ 3 The authority citation for part 120 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 33 U.S.C. 1251 et seg.

- 4. Section 120.2 is amended by:
- a. Revising paragraphs (a)(1)(iii), (a)(3), and (a)(4)(ii);
- b. Removing paragraph (a)(4)(iii);
- c. Revising paragraphs (a)(5) and (c)(2); and
- d. Removing paragraph (c)(6). The revisions read as follows:

§ 120.2 Definitions.

(a) * * *

(1) * * *

(iii) Interstate waters;

- (3) Tributaries of waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (2) of this section that are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water;
- (ii) Relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water identified in paragraph (a)(2) or (a)(3) of this section and with a continuous surface connection to those waters:
- (5) Intrastate lakes and ponds not identified in paragraphs (a)(1) through (4) of this section that are relatively permanent, standing or continuously flowing bodies of water with a continuous surface connection to the waters identified in paragraph (a)(1) or (a)(3) of this section.

(c) * * *

(2) Adjacent means having a continuous surface connection.

* [FR Doc. 2023-18929 Filed 9-7-23; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

* *

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[EPA-R05-OAR-2022-0580; FRL-11047-

Air Plan Approval; Ohio; Approval of the Muskingum River SO₂ Attainment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA). **ACTION:** Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is approving, under the Clean Air Act (CAA), a revision to the Ohio State Implementation Plan (SIP) intended to provide for attainment of the 2010 primary, health-based 1-hour sulfur dioxide (SO₂) national ambient air quality standard (NAAQS or standard) for the Muskingum River SO₂ nonattainment area. This SIP revision (hereinafter referred to as Ohio's Muskingum River SO₂ attainment plan or plan), includes Ohio's attainment demonstration and other attainment planning elements required under the CAA. EPA is finding that Ohio has appropriately demonstrated that the plan provides for attainment of the 2010 1-hour primary SO₂ NAAQS in the Muskingum River, Ohio nonattainment area and that the plan meets the other applicable requirements under the CAA. EPA is also incorporating by reference Ohio Director's Final Findings and Orders (DFFOs), issued on May 23, 2023, into the Ohio SIP. The DFFOs set forth additional requirements at Globe Metallurgical (Globe) to verify appropriate source characterization for modeling purposes.

DATES: This final rule is effective on October 10, 2023.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID No. EPA-R05-OAR-2022-0580. All documents in the docket are listed on the www.regulations.gov website. Although listed in the index, some information is not publicly available, i.e., Confidential Business Information (CBI) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute. Certain other material, such as copyrighted material, is not placed on the internet and will be publicly available only in hard copy form. Publicly available docket materials are available either through www.regulations.gov or at the Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604. This facility is open from 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through

Friday, excluding Federal holidays and facility closures due to COVID-19. We recommend that you telephone Gina Harrison, Environmental Scientist, at (312) 353-6956 before visiting the Region 5 office.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gina Harrison, Attainment Planning and Maintenance Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 353-6956, harrison.gina@ epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Throughout this document whenever "we," "us," or "our" is used, we mean EPA.

I. Background

On January 18, 2022 (87 FR 2555), EPA partially approved and partially disapproved Ohio's SO₂ plan for the Muskingum River area submitted on April 3, 2015, and October 13, 2015, and supplemented on June 23, 2020. EPA's January 18, 2022, final rule provided an explanation of the applicable provisions in the CAA and the measures and limitations identified in Ohio's attainment plan to satisfy these provisions.

The partial disapproval started sanctions clocks for this area under CAA section 179(a)-(b), including a requirement for 2-for-1 offsets for any major new sources or major modifications 18 months after the effective date of this action, and highway funding sanctions 6 months thereafter, as well as initiated an obligation for EPA to promulgate a Federal Implementation Plan (FIP) within 24 months, under CAA section 110(c).

Ohio supplemented the attainment demonstration on June 24, 2022, substituting new measures in lieu of a land acquisition and modifying the air quality modeling to include the use of site-specific meteorological data. Ohio submitted additional supplemental information on July 28, 2022, and May 23, 2023, including revised DFFOs for Globe, issued on May 23, 2023, that supersede the June 23, 2020 DFFOs.

II. Proposed Rule

On June 22, 2023 (88 FR 40726), EPA proposed to approve Ohio's SIP attainment plan submission for the Muskingum River SO₂ nonattainment area, which the state submitted to EPA on April 3, 2015, October 13, 2015, and June 23, 2020, and supplemented on June 24, 2022, July 28, 2022, and May 23, 2023. The SO₂ attainment plan included Ohio's attainment