

September 1, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105 We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5_EastPalestine@epa.gov

Subject: Data Validation Report

E Palestine Site - ER

EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005

Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201

Document Tracking No. 1996

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for sixty air samples including seven field blanks and five field duplicate samples collected at the E Palestine site. The samples were collected on June 10 and 11, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC. The final laboratory data package was received on June 22, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

No rejection of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as qualified based on the findings of this validation effort.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please feel free to contact me via the project manager.

Sincerely,



Environmental Chemist

Enclosure

cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager
Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager

Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator

TO-TOLIN File

Tetra Tech, Inc.

ATTACHMENT

DATA VALIDATION REPORT EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS. B164-167, B164-168, B164-169, AND B164-170

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	1996a		TO/TOLIN NO.	08HEU32UFUU32/UUU1EB2U1
Laboratory Report No.	B164-167		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate l	ру	laboratory standard ope	rating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples including one field blank and one field duplicate pair			
Collection Date(s)	June 11, 2023			
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-33-061123-2/EPD-ST-8H-W	/A-	-03-061123-2	
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-061123-2			

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Sample EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061123-2 is listed on the chain-of-custody (COC) form but was not submitted for analysis due to pump failure. The COC form was amended to include the total time and volume for sample EPD-ST-8H-WA-33-061123-2, which had been omitted.
	Rohm & Haas IH9805 is cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (μ g/m3), and parts per million (μ g) (volume) in the laboratory report and only μ g electronic data deliverable (EDD).
N	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field of the laboratory EDD. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

	·
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The recoveries of 2-ethylhexyl acrylate in the LCS and LCSD were above the laboratory control limits. The sample results are nondetect and were therefore not qualified.
N	The laboratory report and EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/- 1 ug) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be a significant figure discrepancies. No qualifications were applied.

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Fxceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [none]:

-	•
Within	Evenadores /Netes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

1	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
J 1	biased high.
	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
J-	biased low.
NII	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
NJ	concentration of the analyte in the sample.
	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not
R	be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate
UJ	due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.
	, ,

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B164-167

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	Val_Result Val_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-A-061123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-A-061123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-E-061123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-E-061123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-33-061123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-33-061123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016 U	0.016	ppm	0.016 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011 U	0.011	ppm	0.011 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-061123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-061123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.		68HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Document Tracking No.	1996b		TO/TOLIN NO.	08HEU32UFUU32/UUU1EB2U1		
Laboratory Report No.	B164-168		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA		
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029					
Samples and Matrix	Ten air samples including one field blank and one field duplicate sample					
Collection Date(s)	June 10, 2023					
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-22-061023-2/EPD-ST-8H-W	/A-	-02-061023-2			
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-061023-2					

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on this validation effort.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
N	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field of the laboratory EDD. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	Rohm & Haas IH9805 is cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP sample preparation holding time was exceeded. The samples were collected on June 10 but weren't prepared until June 19, which is two days past the 7-day holding time for preparation. The non-detect sample results were qualified as estimated, possibly biased low (flagged UJ).

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:	
Within	Fuer adams / Nietos
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Laboratory duplicates:	
Within	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Field duplicates:	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	
LCSs/LCSDs:	
Within	Even adams of Nintes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	
Sample dilutions:	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Re-extraction and reanalysis:	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	LACCEUATICE/ NOTES
NA	



MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [none]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
	biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
J-	biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
INJ	concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not
I.	be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate
OJ	due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B164-168

Sample ID	Method	Cas. No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	Val_Result Val_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-22-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-22-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-FB-061023-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 UJ
EPD-ST-FB-061023-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 UJ

Site Name E Palestine Site - ER			TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Document Tracking No.	1996c		10/ TOLIN NO.	00HEU32UFUU32/UUU1EB2U1	
Laboratory Report No.	B164-169		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA	
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate k	by I	laboratory standard ope	rating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029	
Samples and Matrix Nine air samples including one field blank					
Collection Date(s)	June 11, 2023				
Field Duplicate Pairs	None				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-061123-1				

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as qualified based on this validation effort.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
N	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field of the laboratory EDD. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	Rohm & Haas IH9805 is cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP sample preparation holding time was exceeded. The samples were collected on June 11 but weren't prepared until June 19, which is one day past the 7-day holding time for preparation. The non-detect sample results were qualified as estimated, possibly biased low (flagged UJ).

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MS/MSDs:	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Laboratory duplicates:	
Within	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Field duplicates:	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Execedance, Notes
NA	
LCSs/LCSDs:	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	
Υ	
Sample dilutions:	
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	LACEEUalice/Notes
NA	
Re-extraction and reanalysis:	
Within	Even adapted / Notice
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [none]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
٠,	biased high.
١.	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
J-	biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
INJ	concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not
K	be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate
OJ	due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS REPORT NO. B164-169

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	Val_Result Val_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-061123-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-061123-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-061123-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-061123-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061123-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061123-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061123-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061123-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061123-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061123-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061123-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061123-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061123-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061123-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061123-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 UJ
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061123-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 UJ
EPD-ST-FB-061123-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 UJ
EPD-ST-FB-061123-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 UJ

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOUN No	COLLEGE 2050022 /0004 5D204			
Document Tracking No.	1996d	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201			
Laboratory Report No.	B164-170	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA			
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M					
Samples and Matrix	Thirty-two air samples including four field blanks and three field duplicate pairs					
Collection Date(s)	June 11, 2023					
	EPD-PB-CM-111-061123-2/EPD-PB-CM-11-061123-2					
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-OD-022-061123-2/EPD-PB-OD-02-061123-2					
	EPD-PB-WA-055-061123-2/EPD-PB-WA-05-061123-2					
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-02-061123-2, EPD-PB-FB-03-061	.123-2, EPD-PB-MB-02-0	61123-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-061123-2			

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligram per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
N	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 12 AM or 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field of the laboratory EDD. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.



Data completeness (continued):

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes				
	The site-specific QAPP for passive badges specifies one laboratory blank, one LCS, and one LCS duplicate (LCSD) should be prepared per batch of 20 field samples. However, results for only one of each type of QC sample is reported for this batch of 32 field samples. The laboratory was contacted and directed to follow the QC sample frequencies in the site-specific QAPP. No qualifications were applied because the LCS and LCSD results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the LCSs and LCSDs for the previous data sets have met the site-specific control criteria.				
	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.				

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the EDD and attached qualified data table.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [none]:

Within	Evenadores /Netes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

	The could be a considered the constraint of a Subsection State of the could be subsected by the
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B164-170

EPD-PB-BKBA-01-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U EPD-PB-BKBA-02-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U EPD-PB-CM-06-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-06-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-07-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-08-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-09-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-10-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-11-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-111-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-12-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-14-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-DW-A-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-OD-01-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-OD-02-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-OD-022-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-OD-03-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-OD-04-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-OD-05-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-OD-06-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-OD-07-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-UW-E-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-WA-01-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-WA-02-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-WA-03-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-WA-04-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-WA-05-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-WA-055-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-WA-06-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 0.0091 U 0.0091 ppm 0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-FB-02-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 2 U 2 ug 2 U	
EPD-PB-FB-03-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 2 U 2 ug 2 U	
EPD-PB-MB-02-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 2 U 2 ug 2 U	
EPD-PB-MB-03-061123-2 NIOSH Method 1450M 141-32-2 n-Butyl acrylate 2 U 2 ug 2 U	