

September 28, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105 We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5_EastPalestine@epa.gov

Subject: Data Validation Report

E Palestine Site - ER

EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005

Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201

Document Tracking No. 2010

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for 78 air samples including 6 field blanks and 6 field duplicate pairs were collected at the E Palestine site. The samples were collected on June 14, 15, 18, and 19, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC. The final laboratory data package was received on June 27, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No rejection or qualification of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please contact me via the project manager.

Sincerely,



Environmental Chemist

Enclosure

cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager

Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager

Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator

TO-TOLIN File

ATTACHMENT

DATA VALIDATION REPORT EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS. B167-157, B170-085, B171-153, AND B172-021

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLINI NIC	COUEDE 2050022 /0004 5B204
Document Tracking No.	2010a	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Laboratory Report No.	B167-157	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate	by laboratory standard	operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029
Samples and Matrix	Ten air samples including one field blank a	nd one field duplicate	pair
Collection Date(s)	06/14/2023		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061423-2/EPD-ST-8H-W	/A-22-061423-2	
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-061423-2		

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (m g/ m ³), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.
	Rohm & Haas IH9805 is cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230616E and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230616E were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs.

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	



Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	The LCS/LCSD results and recovery values did not match between the Level II and Level IV laboratory report. The laboratory was contacted to verify that the values in the Level II report and laboratory EDD were correct.

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

_	•	•
	Within	Evenedones /Netes
	Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B167-157

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	Val_Result Val_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-22-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-22-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016 U	0.016	ppm	0.016 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-061423-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-061423-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 U

Site Name E Palestine Site - ER Document Tracking No. 2010b		TO/TOUN No	COLLEGE 205022 /0004 5 D 204	
		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Laboratory Report No. B170-085		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA	
Analyses 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029				
Samples and Matrix Ten air samples including one field blank and one field duplicate pair			pair	
Collection Date(s) 06/15/2023				
Field Duplicate Pairs	d Duplicate Pairs			
Field QC Blanks	Blanks EPD-ST-FB-061523-2			

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Fxceedance/Notes				
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (m g/ m ³), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).				
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD was not required for the EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.				
	Rohm & Haas IH9805 is cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.				

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	LMB IHG230619A: The method blank had a detection for n-Butyl acrylate between the method detection limit (MDL) and reporting limit (RL). Samples results were nondetect; therefore, no qualifications were applied.
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230619A and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230619A were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank (LMB) and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs.

Fie	ld	b	lan	ks:
-----	----	---	-----	-----

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes					
Y						



Sample	dil 😉	ution	s:
--------	-------	-------	----

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

•	•
Within	Evenedones /Netes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B170-085

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	Val_Result Val_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-B-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-B-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.006 U	0.006	ppm	0.006 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-F-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-F-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-55-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-55-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-061523-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-061523-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	2010c		
Laboratory Report No.	B171-153	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method	1450M	
Samples and Matrix	Twenty-nine air samples including two field blanks and two field duplicate pairs		
Collection Date(s)	Collection Date(s) 06/18/2023		
Field Dunlicate Dairs	EPD-PB-CM-06-061823-1/ EPD-PB-CM-066-061823-1		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-CM-09-061823-1/ EPD-PB-CM-099-061823-1		
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-01-061823-1 and EPD-PB-MB-01-061823-1		

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, EPA Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.
	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230620C and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230620C were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	



LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank, laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCS duplicate (LCSD) to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 32 field samples in one sample preparation batch consisting of one LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included two LRBs, laboratory media blanks, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023, about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgement because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC samples from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
	biased high.
	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
J-	biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
INJ	concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not
n	be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate
	due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B171-153

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qua	l RL	Units	Val_Result	Val_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-06-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-066-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-07-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-08-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009	U
EPD-PB-CM-09-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-099-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-10-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-11-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-12-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-14-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-DW-D-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009	U
EPD-PB-OD-01-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-02-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-03-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-04-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-05-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-06-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-07-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-UW-H-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-01-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-02-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-03-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-04-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-05-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-06-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-FB-01-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2	U
EPD-PB-MB-01-061823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2	U

Site Name E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Document Tracking No.	2010d	TO/ TOLIN NO.	08HEU32UFUU32/UUU1EB2U1		
Laboratory Report No.	B172-021	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA		
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate analysis by NIOSH Method 1450M				
Samples and Matrix Twenty-nine air samples including two field blanks and two field duplicate pairs			duplicate pairs		
Collection Date(s) 06/19/2023					
Field Dunlieste Daire	EPD-PB-CM-08-061923-1/ EPD-PB-CM-088-061923-1				
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-OD-01-061923-1/ EPD-PB-OD-011-061923-1				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-01-061923-1 and EPD-PB-MB-0	1-061923-1			

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only ppm in the electronic data deliverable (EDD).
Y	The sample analysis time was reported as a default value of 00:00 hours for the LCSD in the analysis date field. The analysis date was correct. The sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD; therefore, this value was not manually revised.
	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230621B and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230621B were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	



Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Fxceedance/Notes						
N	The site specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank, laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCS duplicate (LCSD) to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 29 field samples in one sample preparation batch consisting of one LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have contained two LRBs, laboratory media blanks, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023, about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgement because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC samples from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.						



Sample	dil 😉	ution	s:
--------	-------	-------	----

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results are reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

•	•
Within	Evenedones /Netes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B172-021

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab_Result I	Lab_Qual	RL	Units	Val_Result	Val_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-06-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-07-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-08-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-088-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-09-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-10-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-11-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-12-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-14-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-DW-E-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-01-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-011-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-02-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-03-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-04-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-05-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	• •	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-06-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-07-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-UW-A-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-01-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-02-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-03-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-04-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-05-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-06-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-FB-01-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	U	2	ug	2	U
EPD-PB-MB-01-061923-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 !	U	2	ug	2	U