

October 10, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105 We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5 EastPalestine@epa.gov

#### Subject: Data Validation Report E Palestine Site – ER EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005 Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032 / 0001EB201 Document Tracking No. 2064

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for 83 air samples (including 7 field duplicate samples, 6 field blank samples, and 4 media blank samples) collected at the E Palestine site. The samples were collected on April 25 through 27, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC. The final laboratory data package was received on August 24, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No rejection or qualification of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please contact me via the project manager.

Sincerely,

Kayla Phye Digitally signed by Kayla Phye Date: 2023.10.10 12:57:17

Environmental Chemist

Enclosure

 cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator TO-TOLIN File

#### ATTACHMENTS

#### DATA VALIDATION REPORT EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS. B118-253, B118-255, B121-124, AND B121-125

Site Name	E Palestine Site – ER		
Document Tracking No.	2064a		
Laboratory Report No.	B118-253	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M		
Samples and Matrix	32 air samples, including 3 field duplicate se	amples, 2 field blank samp	ncluding 3 field duplicate samples, 2 field blank samples, and 2 media blank samples
Collection Date(s)	04/25/2023		
	EPD-PB-WA-03-042523-2/EPD-PB-WA-033-042523-2	-042523-2	
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-OD-06-042523-2/EPD-PB-OD-066-042523-2	042523-2	
	EPD-PB-WA-04-042523-2/EPD-PB-WA-044-042523-2	-042523-2	
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-02-042523-2, EPD-PB-FB-03-042523-2, EPD-PB-MB-02-042523-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-042523-2	2523-2, EPD-PB-MB-02-04;	2523-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-042523-2

#### INTRODUCTION

Protection Agency (EPA) Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use (January 2009). Analytical data Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Review (November 2020).

### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



<b>ALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A</b>	<b>EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT</b>
DATA VALID	EPA RE

### Data completeness:

Within	Evreedance/Notes
Criteria	
	The results for the field blank samples and media blank samples were reported in units of micrograms (μg) while the other field sample results were reported in units of μg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
2	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.
2	The sample analysis time is reported as a default value of 00:00 hours for the laboratory control spike duplicate (LCSD) in the analysis date field of the laboratory EDD. Since the sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD, this value was not manually revised.
	Field blank sample EPD-PB-FB-02-042523-2 did not contain the volume units in the laboratory report. The volume was zero and all other volumes were listed in liters, including all field and media blank samples; therefore, no revisions were requested from the laboratory regarding this discrepancy.
Sample pre	Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:
Within	Exceedance/Notes
Method blanks:	anks:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Z	Laboratory method blank (LMB IHG230428C) and laboratory reagent blank (LRB IHG230428C) were reported as nondetect (flagged U) with a result of 0 µg in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and resolved the LMB and LRB results in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.



#### • cid blo

### ΔN

Criteria

#### **MS/MSDs**:

Exceedance/Notes		
------------------	--	--

Γ

## Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria NA
--------------------------

### Field duplicates:

Exceedance/Notes	
Within Criteria	Υ



Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
z	The site-specific QAPP requires an LRB, LMB, laboratory control spike (LCS), and LCSD to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 32 field samples in 1 sample preparation batch that included 1 LRB, LMB, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included 2 LRBs, LMBs, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted about this deviation from the site- specific QAPP and agreed that moving forward they would follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.
Sample dilutions:	ilutions:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Re-extract	Re-extraction and reanalysis:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
MDLs/RLs:	2:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
~	Method detection limits (MDL) were not reported. Nondetect sample results are reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.
entativel	Tentatively identified compounds:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



~	Criteria Exceedance/Notes
	NA
ver	Overall Qualifications:
e r efin	See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:
_	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
<u>+</u>	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
<u>'</u>	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
Z	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
n	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
Г	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



Sample ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab Result Lab Qual	RL	Units V	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-DW-B-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-FB-02-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ßn	2 U
EPD-PB-FB-03-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ßn	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-02-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ßn	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-03-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ßn	2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-066-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-UW-F-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-033-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-044-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-042523-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B118-253

Page 1 of 1

Site Name	E Palestine Site – ER		
Document Tracking No.	2064b		<u>αδηευσζυγυυσζ/υυυτεβζυτ</u>
Laboratory Report No.	B118-255	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M		
Samples and Matrix	32 air samples, including 3 field duplicate s	amples, 2 field blank samp	ncluding 3 field duplicate samples, 2 field blank samples, and 2 media blank samples
Collection Date(s)	04/26/2023		
	EPD-PB-CM-06-042623-2/EPD-PB-CM-066-042623-2	042623-2	
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-CM-07-042623-2/EPD-PB-CM-077-042623-2	042623-2	
	EPD-PB-WA-02-042623-2/EPD-PB-WA-022-042623-2	-042623-2	
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-02-042623-2, EPD-PB-FB-03-042623-2, EPD-PB-MB-02-042623-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-042623-2	2623-2, EPD-PB-MB-02-043	2623-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-042623-2

#### INTRODUCTION

Protection Agency (EPA) Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use (January 2009). Analytical data Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Review (November 2020).

### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



	DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT
Data completeness:	leteness:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank samples and media blank samples were reported in units of micrograms (μg) while the other field sample results were reported in units of μg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
z	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.
	The sample analysis time is reported as a default value of 00:00 hours for the laboratory control spike duplicate (LCSD) in the analysis date field of the laboratory EDD. Since the sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD, this value was not manually revised.
Sample pr	Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
>	
Method blanks:	inks:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
z	Laboratory method blank (LMB IHG230428D) and laboratory reagent blank (LRB IHG230428D) were reported as nondetect (flagged U) with a result of 0 µg in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and resolved the LMB and LRB results in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.
Field blanks:	S:
Within	Exceedance/Notes

Field blanks:	S:	
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
٨		

#### deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that moving forward they would follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency However, the laboratory analyzed 32 field samples in 1 sample preparation batch that included 1 LRB, LMB, LCS, and LCSD, when The site-specific QAPP requires an LRB, LMB, laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCSD to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP requirements in the site-specific QAPP. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample the batch should have included 2 LRBs, LMBs, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023 about this **EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT** Exceedance/Notes Exceedance/Notes Exceedance/Notes Exceedance/Notes Exceedance/Notes Surrogates and labeled compounds: acceptance criteria. Laboratory duplicates: Field duplicates: LCSs/LCSDs: **MS/MSDs:** Criteria Criteria Criteria Criteria Criteria Within Within Within Within Within ٩N ΔN AN > z

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A** 



### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
NA		

# Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Exceedance/Notes	
Within Criteria	NA

#### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
٨	Method detection limits (MDL) were not reported. Nondetect sample results are reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.	

# Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### Other [None]:

Within Exceedance/Notes   Criteria NA		
	Within Criteria	xceedance/Not



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

_	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
5	biased high.
_	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
<u>'</u>	biased low.
Z	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
P	concentration of the analyte in the sample.
٥	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not
۷	be present in the sample.
	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
=	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate
5	due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



Sample ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab Result Lab Qual	al RL	Units	VAL_Result_VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-066-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-077-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-DW-D-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-FB-02-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	gn	2 U
EPD-PB-FB-03-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ng	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-02-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ßn	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-03-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	gn	2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-UW-H-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-022-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	mdd	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-042623-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	bpm	0.0091 U

	E Palestine Site – ER		201102
Document Tracking No.	2064c		<u>ΟΘΠΕU3ZUFUU3Z/ UUU LEBZUT</u>
Laboratory Report No.	B121-124	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029	oy laboratory standard oper	ating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029
Samples and Matrix	10 air samples, including 1 field duplicate sample and 1 field blank sample	ample and 1 field blank san	ıple
Collection Date(s)	04/27/2023		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-042723-2/EPD-ST-8H-WA-66-042723-2	IA-66-042723-2	
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-042723-2		

#### INTRODUCTION

Protection Agency (EPA) Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use (January 2009). Analytical data Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:	oleteness:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (µg) while the other sample results were reported in units of µg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
z	Rohm & Haas IH9805 is cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.
	The sample analysis time is reported as a default value of 00:00 hours for the laboratory control spike duplicate (LCSD) in the analysis date field of the laboratory EDD. Since the sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD, this value was not manually revised.
Sample pr	Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
٢	
Method blanks:	anks:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
~	Laboratory method blank (LMB IHG230501E) and laboratory reagent blank (LRB IHG230501E) were reported as nondetect (flagged U) with a result of 0 μg in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and resolved the LMB and LRB results in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.
Field blanks:	ŚŚ:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
>	

#### Field



# Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	NA	
Notes		

#### **MS/MSDs**:

n Exceedance/Notes		
Within	Criteria	NA

## Laboratory duplicates:

### Field duplicates:

Within Criteria Y
-------------------------

Γ

#### LCSs/LCSDs:

Exceedance/Notes	
Within Criteria	٢

### Sample dilutions:



# **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
NA		

#### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
7	Method detection limits (MDL) were not reported. Nondetect sample results are reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

# Tentatively identified compounds:

Vithin Exceedance/Notes	IA	
Wit  Crit€	Ζ	

#### Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

_	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
5	biased high.
_	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
<u>-</u>	biased low.
Z	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
	concentration of the analyte in the sample.
٥	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not
٢	be present in the sample.
⊃	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
=	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate
3	due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



₹Ę	
----	--

Sample ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab Result Lab Qual	lal RL	Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-G-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	mdd	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-G-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-C-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	mdd	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-C-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	mdd	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	mdd	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	mdd	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	mdd	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	mdd	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	mdd	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-66-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	mdd	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-66-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-042723-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ßn	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-042723-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ng	1.3 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site – ER		
Document Tracking No.	2064d		
Laboratory Report No.	B121-125	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate b	oy laboratory standard ope	ylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples, including one field blank sample	sample	
Collection Date(s)	04/27/2023		
Field Duplicate Pairs	None		
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-042723-1		

#### INTRODUCTION

Protection Agency (EPA) Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use (January 2009). Analytical data Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA National Functional Guidelines for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



<b>LIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A</b>	<b>EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT</b>
DATA VALID	EPA RE

### Data completeness:

Data completeness:	oleteness:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (µg) while the other sample results were reported in units of µg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	Rohm & Haas IH9805 is cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.
z	The sample analysis time is reported as a default value of 00:00 hours for the laboratory control spike duplicate (LCSD) in the analysis date field of the laboratory EDD. Since the sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD, this value was not manually revised.
	The total time of sample collection, average flow rate, and the sample volume for EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-042723-1 were input incorrectly on the original chain-of-custody (COC). A revised laboratory report, including a revised COC, was issued to reflect the accurate total time of sample collection (486), average flow rate (0.047855), and the sample volume (23.26) for EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-042723-1.
Sample pr	Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
~	
Method blanks:	anks:
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
~	Laboratory media blank (LMB IHG230501E) and laboratory reagent blank (LRB IHG230501E) were reported as nondetect (flagged U) with a result of 0 µg in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and resolved the LMB and LRB results in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.



#### **Field blanks:**

rieid planks:	KS:	
Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
۲		

# Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Exceedance/Notes	
Within Criteria	NA

#### **MS/MSDs**:

Exceedance/Notes		
Within Criteria	NA	

### Laboratory duplicates:

Nithin Exceedance/Notes Criteria NA	
Within Criteria NA	

Г

### Field duplicates:

Exceedance/Notes	
Within Criteria	٨

#### LCSs/LCSDs:



### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
NA		

# Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Exceedance/Notes	
Within Criteria	NA

#### MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
7	Method detection limits (MDL) were not reported. Nondetect sample results are reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

# Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
+	biased high.
	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
- -	biased low.
2	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
Ż	concentration of the analyte in the sample.
٥	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not
٢	be present in the sample.
	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate
Ś	due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



Sample ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab Result Lab Qual	RL	Units	Units VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-042723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	bpm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-042723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	bpm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-042723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.018 U	0.018	bpm	0.018 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-042723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.012 U	0.012	bpm	0.012 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-042723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016 U	0.016	bpm	0.016 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-042723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011 U	0.011	bpm	0.011 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-042723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.013 U	0.013	bpm	0.013 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-042723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	600.0	bpm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-042723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.012 U	0.012	bpm	0.012 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-042723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.008 U	0.008	bpm	0.008 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-042723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.017 U	0.017	bpm	0.017 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-042723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.012 U	0.012	bpm	0.012 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-042723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.013 U	0.013	bpm	0.013 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-042723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-042723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.013 U	0.013	bpm	0.013 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-042723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-FB-042723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ßn	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-042723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ng	1.3 U