KANSAS PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

REPORT TO THE GOVERNOR

September 22, 2023



Kansas Department of Health and Environment Division of Environment Bureau of Water Public Water Supply Section

> 1000 SW Jackson Street, Suite 420 Topeka, Kansas 66612 (785) 296-5514

(This page intentionally left blank)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Water System Capacity 1 Capacity Assurance – New Public Water Supply Systems 2 New System Implementation and Program Efficacy 2 Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Public Water Supply Systems 2 Previous Existing System Strategy Overview 2 Revised Existing System Strategy Review and Modification 3 Efficacy of Existing System Strategy Review and Modification 3 Efficacy of Existing System Strategy 3 Other KDHE Programs Related to Capacity Development 7 Operator Certification Program 7 Kansas Public Water Supply Loan Fund Program 7 Area Wide Optimization Program 8 Compliance Assistance Program 8 Asset Management 9 Report Availability 9 List of Tables and Figures 5 Table 1: TFM Survey Comparisons from 2023, 2020, and 2017 using the KDHE Public Water Supply Data Collector 4 Table 2: 2023 TFM Capacity Development Survey Summary 5 Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤ 500 5 Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤ 10,001 6 Figure 4: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤ 10,001 6 <t< th=""><th>Introduction</th><th>1</th></t<>	Introduction	1
Capacity Assurance – New Public Water Supply Systems 2 New System Permitting Overview 2 New System Implementation and Program Efficacy 2 Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Public Water Supply Systems 2 Previous Existing System Strategy Overview 2 Revised Existing System Strategy Review and Modification 3 Efficacy of Existing System Strategy 3 Other KDHE Programs Related to Capacity Development 7 Operator Certification Program 7 Kansas Public Water Supply Loan Fund Program 7 Area Wide Optimization Program 8 Compliance Assistance Program 8 Conclusion 9 Report Availability 9 List of Tables and Figures 5 Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤00 5 Figure 2: Priority ranking by population: ≤10,001 6 Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤10,001 6 Figure 4: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤10,001 6 Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤500) 6 Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤10,00) 6	Water System Capacity	1
Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Public Water Supply Systems 2 Previous Existing System Strategy Overview 2 Revised Existing System Strategy Review and Modification 3 Efficacy of Existing System Strategy 3 Other KDHE Programs Related to Capacity Development 7 Operator Certification Program 7 Kansas Public Water Supply Loan Fund Program 7 Area Wide Optimization Program 8 Compliance Assistance Program 8 Asset Management 9 Conclusion 9 Report Availability 9 List of Tables and Figures 5 Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤ 500 5 Figure 2: Priority ranking by population: ≤ 01 – 3,300 5 Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤ 10,001 6 Figure 4: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤ 10,001 6 Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤ 500) 6 Figure 6: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤ 500) 6 Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤ 500) 6 Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacit	Capacity Assurance – New Public Water Supply Systems New System Permitting Overview New System Implementation and Program Efficacy	2 2 2
Other KDHE Programs Related to Capacity Development 7 Operator Certification Program 7 Kansas Public Water Supply Loan Fund Program 7 Area Wide Optimization Program 8 Compliance Assistance Program 8 Asset Management 9 Conclusion 9 Report Availability 9 List of Tables and Figures 7 Table 1: TFM Survey Comparisons from 2023, 2020, and 2017 using the KDHE Public Water Supply Data Collector 4 Table 2: 2023 TFM Capacity Development Survey Summary 5 Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤500 5 Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: 501 – 3,300 5 Figure 4: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤10,001 6 Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤ 500) 6 Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (501 – 3,300) 6 Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (501 – 3,300) 6 Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (501 – 3,300) 7 Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (3,301 – 10,000) 7 <	Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Public Water Supply Systems Previous Existing System Strategy Overview Revised Existing System Strategy Review and Modification Efficacy of Existing System Strategy	2 2 3 3
Conclusion9Report Availability9List of Tables and Figures9Table 1: TFM Survey Comparisons from 2023, 2020, and 2017 using the KDHE Public Water Supply Data Collector4Table 2: 2023 TFM Capacity Development Survey Summary5Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤ 500 5Figure 2: Priority ranking by population: $501 - 3,300$ 5Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: $3,301 - 10,000$ 6Figure 4: Priority Ranking by Population: $\leq 10,001$ 6Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤ 500)6Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($(3,301 - 10,000)$)7Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($(3,301 - 10,000)$)7	Other KDHE Programs Related to Capacity Development Operator Certification Program Kansas Public Water Supply Loan Fund Program Area Wide Optimization Program Compliance Assistance Program Asset Management	7 7 8 8 9
Report Availability9List of Tables and FiguresTable 1: TFM Survey Comparisons from 2023, 2020, and 2017 using the KDHE Public Water Supply Data Collector4Table 2: 2023 TFM Capacity Development Survey Summary5Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤ 5005Figure 2: Priority ranking by population: 501 – 3,3005Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤ 10,0016Figure 4: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤ 10,0016Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (501 – 3,300)6Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (3,301 – 10,000)7Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (3,301 – 10,000)7	Conclusion	9
List of Tables and FiguresTable 1: TFM Survey Comparisons from 2023, 2020, and 2017 using the KDHE Public Water Supply Data Collector4Table 2: 2023 TFM Capacity Development Survey Summary5Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤ 500 Figure 2: Priority ranking by population: $501 - 3,300$ 5Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: $3,301 - 10,000$ 6Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤ 500)6Figure 6: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($501 - 3,300$)6Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($3,301 - 10,000$)7Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($3,301 - 10,000$)7	Report Availability	9
Table 1: TFM Survey Comparisons from 2023, 2020, and 2017 using the KDHE Public Water Supply Data Collector4Table 2: 2023 TFM Capacity Development Survey Summary5Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤ 500 5Figure 2: Priority ranking by population: $501 - 3,300$ 5Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: $3,301 - 10,000$ 6Figure 4: Priority Ranking by Population: $\leq 10,001$ 6Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤ 500)6Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($3,301 - 10,000$)7Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($3,301 - 10,000$)7	List of Tables and Figures	
Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤ 500 5Figure 2: Priority ranking by population: $501 - 3,300$ 5Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: $3,301 - 10,000$ 6Figure 4: Priority Ranking by Population: $\leq 10,001$ 6Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤ 500)6Figure 6: Financial/managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($501 - 3,300$)6Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($3,301 - 10,000$)7Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population ($3,301 - 10,000$)7	 Table 1: TFM Survey Comparisons from 2023, 2020, and 2017 using the KDHE Public Water Supply Data Collector Table 2: 2023 TFM Capacity Development Survey Summary 	4 5
Figure 3: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity6Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity7Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity7	 Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: ≤ 500 Figure 2: Priority ranking by population: 501 – 3,300 Figure 3: Priority Ranking by Population: 3,301 – 10,000 Figure 4: Priority Ranking by Population: ≤ 10,001 Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (≤ 500) Figure 6: Financial/managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity 	5 5 6 6
	 Figure 0: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (501 – 3,300) Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (3,301 – 10,000) Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity 	6 7

(This page intentionally left blank)

INTRODUCTION

On August 6, 1996, President Bill Clinton signed PL 104-182, more commonly known as the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Amendments of 1996. Section 1420 of the SDWA required states to prepare two strategies to assist public water suppliers in achieving technical, financial and managerial capacity. One strategy was prepared for new public water supply system permitting. The second was prepared to help existing public water supply systems. The Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) is the primacy agency responsible for preparation and implementation of the Kansas Capacity Development strategies.

The New Systems Capacity Development Strategy was submitted to the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and subsequently approved in September 1999. The New Systems Strategy ensures that KDHE will not issue a permit to a new system until it has demonstrated the technical, financial and managerial (TFM) capacity to comply with drinking water regulations for the protection of the public health.

The Kansas Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Systems was submitted to the EPA in August 2000 and was approved in September 2000. The SDWA requires the head of the state primacy agency to submit to the Governor 2 years after adoption of the strategy and every 3 years thereafter, a report on implementation and efficacy of the state strategy. This report is submitted to the Governor to comply with the 3-year reporting requirement. Failure to prepare a strategy or submit the required reports may result in a 20% reduction in the capitalization grant from EPA for the drinking water revolving loan fund.

The 2018 America's Water Infrastructure Act (AWIA), Section 2012, required state drinking water programs to consider and include as appropriate, asset management in their state capacity development strategies. Consistent with this statutory change, state drinking water programs were expected to revise their capacity development strategies to include a description of how asset management will be promoted through addressing the five-corequestion framework of asset management. The Kansas Capacity Development strategy for Existing Public Water Supply Systems Revision 1 was submitted to EPA on December 14, 2022 and approved on February 15, 2023.

WATER SYSTEM CAPACITY

Water system capacity is the ability to plan for, achieve, and maintain compliance with applicable drinking water standards. Capacity consists of three elements: Technical, Financial, and Managerial (TFM). Technical Capacity or capability is the physical and operational ability of a water system to meet SDWA requirements, including the adequacy of physical infrastructure, technical knowledge and capability of personnel, and adequate source water. Financial Capacity or capability is the ability of a water system to acquire and manage sufficient financial resources to allow the system to achieve and maintain compliance with SDWA requirements. Managerial Capacity or capability is the ability of a water system to conduct its affairs in a manner enabling the system to achieve and maintain compliance with SDWA requirements, including institutional and administrative capabilities. Properly managed systems have governing boards or authorities that are actively involved in oversight of system operations, while at the same time avoiding micromanagement.

Capacity development is the *process* of water systems acquiring and maintaining adequate technical, financial, and managerial capabilities to ensure that systems consistently achieve the public health protection objectives of the 1996 Safe Drinking Water Act and to address both immediate and long-term challenges.

CAPACITY ASSURANCE – NEW PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS

New System Permitting Overview

Under the 1996 amendments to the SDWA, states are required to ensure that new community and new nontransient, non-community (NTNC) public water supply systems have the technical, financial and managerial capability to meet current and future SDWA requirements. KDHE's New System Permit Application consists of two parts. Part 1 is the engineering and design component, which is reviewed and approved by the Engineering and Permitting Unit. Part 2 of the permit application is the technical, financial and managerial capacity assurance component. Upon approval of Part 1 and the budget section of Part 2, a conditional permit or a letter of approval is issued authorizing construction of the public water supply system. Before the system begins operation, the remaining elements in Part 2 of the application must be completed and approved. A final inspection must also be conducted, and approval granted by KDHE to initiate operations.

New System Implementation and Program Efficacy

The Capacity Assurance Strategy for new systems includes a review and evaluation component KDHE uses to determine if the application and permitting process is effective. KDHE employs the EPA's Enforcement Response Policy (ERP) and Enforcement Tracking Tool (ETT) to analyze the success of the New System Capacity Assurance Program. According to the ERP, any system with a score of greater than 10 points using the ETT is considered to be in significant non-compliance. Any new system with greater than 10 points on EPAs quarterly ETT report receives a special review to ascertain why the non-compliance is occurring. KDHE evaluates whether the system's non-compliance is because of any short-coming in the new system permitting process or whether the non-compliance is due to other reasons, such as not following a proposed budget or providing proper training for the system's certified operator. During the period of October 1, 2020 through September 30, 2023, 3 new systems were permitted and none of the 3 systems received a score of greater than 10 on EPAs ETT.

CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY FOR EXISTING PUBLIC WATER SUPPLY SYSTEMS

Previous Existing System Strategy Overview

In March 1999, KDHE convened the Kansas Capacity Development Workgroup to assist in the preparation of the *Report of Findings* for the Kansas Capacity Development Strategy. Thirty drinking water stakeholders from across the State were invited to participate in the Workgroup. The Workgroup met 8 times during 1999 and 2000 and developed 15 recommendations for the Department to consider in the State Strategy.

All 15 recommendations have been implemented or amended. The changes are documented in Amendments 1 and 2 of the Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Water Supply Systems. The Report of Findings, the Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Public Water Supply Systems and the amendments are available for review on the Capacity Development Webpage: <u>http://www.kdhe.ks.gov/415/Capacity-Development-Program-Reports</u>. Detailed information related to Program implementation is available in the annual program reports, also located on the Capacity Development Program webpage.

The Kansas Capacity Development Program is considered a national leader in developing and implementing innovative tools and programs to help water systems achieve and maintain TFM capacity. Several states have used the Kansas Capacity Development Survey as a model to develop similar surveys for use in evaluation of their state programs.

Periodic review and modification of the Strategy for Existing Systems is vital in keeping KDHE's Capacity Development Program current and relevant to the needs of Kansas public water supply systems. KDHE periodically convenes the State Capacity Development Workgroup to provide updates on Strategy implementation.

These meetings provide an opportunity for the stakeholders to review KDHE's implementation activities and offer advice on changes that may be needed. The Strategy has been amended twice and revised once since the initial EPA approval in 2000. Amendment 1 adopted in 2007, primarily clarified language to ensure that implementation activities were consistent with the Strategy as applied to agency responsibilities. Amendment 2 was adopted during State Fiscal Year 2014 and added two new programs – the Area Wide Optimization Program (AWOP) and the Drinking Water Protection Program (DWPP). Revision 1 was adopted on December 14, 2022 added Asset Management and the Compliance Assistance Program.

Revised Existing System Strategy Overview

The 2018 America's Water Infrastructure Act (AWIA), Section 2012, required state drinking water programs to consider and include, as appropriate, asset management in their state capacity development strategies. Consistent with this statutory change, state drinking water programs were expected to revise their capacity development strategies to include a description of how asset management will be promoted through addressing the five core components of asset management. The Kansas Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Public Water Supply Systems Revision 1 was submitted to EPA on December 14, 2022 and approved on February 15, 2023.

KDHE hosted a Stakeholder Meeting on January 29, 2020. Stakeholders from the following organizations were invited to the meeting: EPA-Region 7, League of Kansas Municipalities, Kansas Department of Agriculture, Kansas Department of Commerce, Kansas Municipal Utilities, Kansas Rural Water Association, Kansas Section American Water Works Association, Kansas Water Office, Midwest Assistance Program, Ranson Financial, United States Department of Agriculture Rural Development, and Wichita State University Environmental Finance Center (WSU EFC). AWIA requirements regarding the addition of asset management to the strategy were discussed. The promotion and development of Asset Management at water systems was also discussed. A second meeting was held on March 10, 2022, with the assistance of the WSU EFC. WSU EFC Staff defined capacity development and gave an overview of the Kansas Capacity Development Program. Asset Management was introduced to the Stakeholders and the Five Core Components were explained.

Efficacy of Existing System Strategy

The Capacity Development Workgroup recommended that KDHE use a water system survey to measure improvements in water system capacity. Prior to the 2002 Report to the Governor, KDHE developed and completed the first Capacity Development Survey. The Capacity Development Survey is conducted every 3 years in conjunction with the Report to the Governor.

The 2002, 2005 and 2008 surveys consisted of hardcopy forms mailed to each water system and then entered by hand into a KDHE database. An electronic survey was under development during 2011, therefore a survey of water systems was not conducted for the 2011 Report to the Governor. In February 2014, KDHE launched the Public Water Supply Data Collector (PWSDC), a web-based survey tool used to conduct the Capacity Development Survey. Due to the change in survey format and data collection procedures, data from the 2014 survey reestablishes a baseline that will be used to measure improvements or identify weaknesses in water system capacity. The survey is also used to identify training and/or technical assistance needs to help systems achieve and maintain TFM capacity.

Beginning in June 2023, KDHE requested the 861 community water systems in Kansas to log on to the KDHE website and complete the 2023 Capacity Development Survey. As of August 31, 438 water systems have responded to the survey request. This represents approximately 51% of the community water systems in Kansas. For purposes of this report, KDHE evaluated 402 of the 438 respondents. Table 1 compares results from 2017, 2020 and 2023.

Each water system is assigned a score based upon its survey response. This score is used to determine placement in High, Medium or Low priority category. Placement in the High category indicates the system has insufficient capabilities in all three areas (TFM) of capacity development or is extremely deficient in one area. These are also the systems that often have drinking water compliance challenges. Water systems in the medium category usually comply with regulations and may have only a few TFM related deficiencies. The Low priority category includes the water systems that demonstrate sufficient TFM capabilities and rarely experience compliance problems. The ultimate goal is to have the fewest number of systems in the high priority category with the majority of water systems in the low priority category.

Table 1 summarizes the results of the 2023 Survey and compares those results with the 2020 and 2017 surveys. The percentage of High Priority systems has decreased in all 3 population groups. Systems with a population of 3,301 or more have seen a shift of systems from Medium to Low Priority.

	2023 TFM Survey		2020 TFM Survey		2017 TFM Survey	
Surveys Received	438		600		529	
Surveys Analyzed	402		568		476	
Percent Analyzed	92%		95%		90%	
Population 500 or Less	Total No. of Systems: 170		Total No. of Systems: 261		Total No. of Systems: 229	
High Priority	11%	18	12%	31	13%	29
Medium Priority	61%	104	59%	155	59%	134
Low Priority	28%	48	29%	75	29%	66
Population 501 to 3,300	Total No. of Systems: 167		Total No. of Systems: 229		Total No. of Systems: 214	
High Priority	2%	4	3%	6	3%	6
Medium Priority	50%	83	45%	103	42%	90
Low Priority	48%	80	52%	120	55%	118
Population 3,301 or More	Total No. of Systems: 64		Total No. of Systems: 78		Total No. of Systems: 71	
High Priority	2%	1	3%	2	6%	4
Medium Priority	31%	20	42%	33	38%	27
Low Priority	67%	43	55%	43	56%	40

 Table 1: TFM Survey Comparisons from 2023, 2020 and 2017 using the KDHE PWSDC

Total Number of Surv	402					
Highest Score (1 syste	66					
Lowest Score (1 system	2					
Average Score	22					
Median Score	22					
Priority Ranking Summary						
Priority Category	Points	Number of Systems				
High	40 or More Points	23 (6%)				
Medium	20 to 39 Points	172 (43%)				
Low	0 to 19 Points	216 (54%)				

Table 2: 2023 TFM Capacity Development Survey Summary.

KDHE will use the survey scores in conjunction with compliance data, and sanitary survey information to prioritize the systems for capacity development assistance.

Studies conducted by EPA indicate that small drinking water systems face greater difficulties in achieving and maintaining TFM capacity and therefore may experience higher non-compliance rates with drinking water regulations. To determine if small systems in Kansas also face more challenges in achieving and maintaining TFM capacity, KDHE analyzes the TFM survey data by population. Figures 1 through 4 illustrate the priority ranking by population.

Analysis of the data in Figures 1 through 4 is consistent with EPA conclusions that small systems experience greater difficulties in achieving and maintaining TFM capacity. As illustrated in Figure 1, the highest percentage of High Priority systems occurs in water systems serving a population of 500 or less. Factors that may impact a small system's ability to achieve and maintain capacity include: limited financial resources due to small customer base, lack of fulltime personnel to manage and operate the system and low to moderate income customer base. The Capacity Development Program places special emphasis on assisting small systems through board/council education, small system operator training, on-site technical assistance, rate-setting and financial planning assistance, and asset management training and technical assistance. Planning grants are also available for water systems interested in regional planning, and for small systems with Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL) violations.



Figure 1: Priority ranking by population: \leq 500.



Figure 2: Priority ranking by population: 501 – 3000.



Figure 3: Priority ranking by population: 3,301 – 10,000.



Figure 4: Priority ranking by population: \leq 10,000.

KDHE also reviews the survey data to identify training and technical assistance needs for water systems based on size. For example, KDHE uses the survey data to determine the type of assistance needed for a given water system size. Figures 5 through 8 compare managerial and financial capacity to technical capacity by population served to help identify specific training and technical assistance needs. The data in Figures 5 and 6 indicate that small systems tend to experience more difficulty in financial and managerial capability, and therefore KDHE training and technical assistance efforts should emphasize board/council education and financial planning assistance. The data in Figures 7 show that medium sized systems generally have more challenges related to technical capability while Figure 8 indicates challenges at the largest systems vary. The Capacity Development Program will place special emphasis on asset management training and technical assistance for these medium to large systems. Asset management implementation provides a framework for water systems to develop a plan to address all TFM issues with the flexibility to place special emphasis on areas where capacity is lacking.



Figure 5: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (\leq 500).



Figure 6: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (501 – 3,300).



Figure 7: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (3,301 – 10,000).



Figure 8: Financial/Managerial Capacity Compared to Technical Capacity by Population (\geq 10,001).

OTHER KDHE PROGRAMS RELATED TO CAPACITY DEVELOPMENT

Operator Certification Program

The Capacity Development Program collaborates with the Operator Certification Program to provide training and technical assistance for water operators. The two primary activities include the Water System Operator Training Program and technical assistance for Operators-In-Training.

The Capacity Development and Operator Certification programs worked with Kansas Municipal Utilities (KMU) and Kansas Rural Water Association (KRWA) to develop a training curriculum for water system operators. Topics addressed in 2022 and 2023 included: Emergency Planning/Response, Distribution System Tools/Practices, Surface Water Treatment, Cybersecurity, Groundwater Technologies, and Water Loss & Sustainable Utility Management. A minimum of one 2-day workshop were conducted in each KDHE region during each state fiscal year of the contracts with KMU and KRWA.

Technical assistance was provided to Operators-in-Training through a contract with KMU. On-site technical assistance was provided to water system operators that are not yet certified. The goal of this technical assistance was to provide hands-on training and oversight at the water system to ensure that protection of public health was maintained. This assistance was provided for a maximum period of one year or until the operator-in-training passes the certification exam, whichever occurred first.

Kansas Public Water Supply Loan Fund Program

The SDWA prohibits loans from the Kansas Public Water Supply Loan Fund to systems that are not in compliance with drinking water standards unless such loans would bring the system into compliance. The SDWA further prohibits loans to systems that do not demonstrate technical, financial, and managerial capacity unless such systems agree to make the necessary changes in operations including but not limited to management, accounting, rate structure or other procedures that would ensure TFM capacity over the long term.

The Capacity Development Program collaborates with the loan program to promote development of asset management plans. This collaboration resulted in development of a matrix to determine eligibility for additional points in the loan ranking process. Applicants may receive up to 10 points in the loan ranking system if they are implementing an asset management plan.

Area Wide Optimization Program (AWOP)

The Area Wide Optimization Program (AWOP) is a voluntary program initiated to assist water systems toward optimizing their existing water treatment processes without major expenditures or infrastructure improvement. Optimization of public water supply systems is pursued to increase public health protection. AWOP was originally designed to address microbial contaminants only but has since grown to include many other areas of optimization, including but not limited to disinfection by-products and harmful algal blooms. Optimization is pursued through a variety of channels and mechanisms. The method of pursuit is dictated by the water system's areas of vulnerability.

KDHE initiated its AWOP in July 2017 and has since completed comprehensive performance evaluations (CPEs) aimed at optimizing water treatment plant turbidity performance at participating Kansas public water supply systems. In addition, AWOP team members participate in EPA Region 6/7 quarterly meetings and training sessions. These meetings and training sessions allow AWOP teams from participating states to share their knowledge and learn new methods of assisting water systems. The KDHE AWOP team has lost several team members and is currently training new staff. A training CPE is schedule in 2024 and will resume voluntary CPEs after training is complete. An AWOP Coordinator position was created and filled in 2022. The position will coordinate all AWOP activities and also provide technical assistance to water systems that have compliance issues.

Compliance Assistance Program

During 2022, KDHE started implementation of the Compliance Assistance Program (CAP). The CAP mirrors many of the activities of the Drinking Water Protection Program (DWPP) but focuses on contaminants other than nitrates. KDHE works directly with small groundwater PWS systems in non-compliance with drinking water maximum contaminant levels (MCL), primarily arsenic, but other contaminants may be considered depending on the circumstances. Activities may include, but are not limited to:

- Site Assessments
- Special Study Sampling
- Operational Assistance
- Financial Assistance using EPA Small and Disadvantaged Community Grants
- Rate-setting Assistance
- SRF Loans

KDHE may provide this assistance using internal staff or outside 3rd party contractors depending upon the circumstances and needs at the PWS system. The primary goal of the CAP is to bring small PWS systems back into compliance without construction of expensive and complex treatment technologies that the PWS cannot afford or has the technical capability to operate.

<u>Asset Management</u>

In the simplest terms, Asset Management can be thought of as applied common sense. Asset Management is designed to help water systems decide how and where to spend their money to achieve the desired results. Such a process is needed when there are competing priorities for limited funding.

Asset Management is maintaining a desired level of service (what you want your assets to provide) at the lowest life cycle cost (best appropriate cost – not no cost). Asset Management provides a set of tools and practices that can assist a water utility in operating, maintaining, and managing assets in a cost-effective, sustainable fashion.

There are five core components of Asset Management:

- 1. What is the *Current State of the Assets*?
- 2. What is the *Desired Level of Service*?
- 3. Which Assets are Critical to Sustained Performance?
- 4. What is the *Best Life Cycle Cost*?
- 5. What is the Long-Term Funding Strategy?

Since 2011, KDHE has provided asset management training using the AM KanWork Manual, which was developed by the New Mexico State Environmental Finance Center (now the Southwest Environmental Finance Center) under a contractual agreement. Training and onsite technical assistance focusing on the five core components using AM KanWork will continue to be provided to Kansas public water supply systems through contractual agreements. In addition to training and technical assistance, additional points can be added to a State Revolving Loan Fund (SRF) application if the PWS has an asset management plan. Continued implementation of AM KanWork training and technical assistance meets the requirements of AWIA, Section 2012.

CONCLUSION

KDHE has strived to improve the Capacity Development Program since the beginning of the program twenty years ago. The Capacity Development Survey assists in evaluating the Program. Data gathered in the 2023 Survey indicate that the Capacity Development Program is succeeding. The number of systems in the High Priority category have decreased in all population groups. The combination of the new water system reviews, technical assistance, OIT training, operator certification training, public water supply loan fund, and AWOP team have all contributed to the success of the program. KDHE plans to continue improving each portion of the Capacity Development Program to improve the technical, financial, and managerial capacity of water systems in the state of Kansas to ensure public health protection.

REPORT AVAILABILITY

The SDWA requires that the State make this report available to the public. The Department will post this report on KDHE Public Water Supply Capacity Development web page. The Capacity Development web page address is: <u>https://www.kdhe.ks.gov/415/Capacity-Development-Program-Reports</u>. Other Capacity Development Reports available by request or from the web page include:

- Report of Findings on Improving the Technical, Financial and Managerial Capacity of Kansas' Public Water Systems, July 2000
- State of Kansas Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Public Water Supply Systems, August 1, 2000

- State of Kansas Capacity Development Strategy Amendment 1, 2007
- State of Kansas Capacity Development Strategy Amendment 2, 2014
- State of Kansas Capacity Development Strategy for Existing Public Water Supply Systems Revision 1, December 31, 2022
- Capacity Development Program Annual Reports, 2018-2022