An introduction to machine learning models for gas sensor calibration



Prof. Naomi Zimmerman

University of British Columbia, Vancouver, Canada

US EPA Air Sensor QA Workshop: Gas Sensor Session July 27, 2023 What is machine learning (ML)? Some definitions



Parametric Non-parametric

Why are these appealing tools for gas sensor calibration?

- Sensor operating principle: electrochemical or metal oxide most popular
- Both types can have varying *selectivity* i.e., they might respond to more pollutants than just the target analyte
- Chemical reactions also influenced by environmental parameters like temperature and relative humidity
- Closed form models of these various effects difficult to capture effects can be nonlinear. Good candidate for machine learning models



Before you start building a machine learning model...

• **Sampling:** Aim to collect high quality data that represents the target sampling domain as closely as possible (in pollutant space and meteorological parameters such as T, RH)



- Pre-process and clean your data: do some QA/QC, remove outliers, determine how you
 will handle missing values etc. Many algorithms also recommend scaling your data on a 0-1
 scale.
 - Missing values: for small gaps, you can use tools like a Kalman filter to impute missing values if you want to investigate time-trends. You might also omit whole periods if a threshold of data is missing (e.g., >25%)
- External validation: Set aside some data for model validation that is <u>completely</u> external to model building this is a pure testing data set. Many folks use an 80:20 split

Popular models part 1: random forest

Α

Bootstrap data into sub-samples

- # trees (M) user specified
- Tree is terminated either by min data pts per node or max tree depth





Popular models part 2: neural networks



- Slightly more complicated to explain
- Between each node in each layer, a *weight* and *bias* factor are fit to a user-specified activation function



 $f(x) = \left(\frac{e^x}{e^x + 1}\right) OR \ f(x) = \max(0, x) OR \ f(x) = \log(1 + \exp(x))$

 Weights and biases to fit x are estimated using backpropagation from training data, essentially by minimizing the residuals between the true data and the output from the neural network after initializing them with guesses

 $x = input \times weight + bias$

• Initial guess for weights is normally randomly selected from normal distribution, initial guess for bias normally 0

Hyper-parameters and tuning your models

- A hyper-parameter is an external value that affects how the model "learns" or is built
- There are different approaches for scanning range of possible hyperparameters
- Choosing optimal hyperparameter could be based on a target function like root mean square error minimization, etc.
- Some hyperparameters include:

Random Forest	Neural Networks
# trees in your "forest"	# of hidden layers
m _{try}	# nodes per hidden layer
Tree complexity	Learning rate
Sampling scheme	Batch size
Splitting rule	Activation function



Tools to assess importance of predictors

- There are a few approaches to this, but I will share the one I think is most interpretable:
 permutation importance
- UBC

- After your models are built, you randomly shuffle (or *permutate*) your input variables one at a time
- If a variable is important, this process will result in less accurate predictions, since the resulting data no longer corresponds to anything observed in the real world
- The more important a variable, the more error we will see, since we are breaking a strong relationship that our model learned during training

Example of permutation importance

- Consider the case where we have built four separate random forest calibration models for four gases: CO, CO₂, NO₂ and O₃
- Each model has 7 input variables: sensor-reported signals for CO, CO₂, NO₂, O₃, SO₂, T, RH
- In the CO calibration model, there is the most error if we permute the CO sensor signal – this suggests a relatively simple model: the CO sensor is an important input in calibrated CO measurements
- In the NO₂ model, RH is actually the most important variable, but not by a huge margin



Interpreting model performance

- There are standard accuracy and precision metrics for assessing model performance – the US EPA has released performance targets for O₃ and are a good place to start for any gas sensor model
- More holistically, if you are building a ML model, consider that they are functions of their environment – they will likely not transfer well if the deployment conditions are different from the calibration conditions
- Let's return to the permutation error plot for CO₂ as an example this calibration was done near vehicle emissions thus it leverages the more accurate vehicle emission sensor signals to correct CO₂ this model would likely not work very well indoors!

Best practices: highlights

ML models can be powerful tools to help with gas sensor calibration – they are especially appealing due to the operating principles of many popular gas sensors, just keep in mind:

- Evaluate your model: hyperparameters, interpreting variable importance etc. can all tell you something about the underlying nature of the calibration model
- **Space is the place:** keep in mind that your calibration models will likely not work well in new spaces/places especially for pollutants with more complex models (e.g., the CO vs. CO₂ example). You might risk under-estimating a pollutant or mis-representing risk if applied to the wrong context. Use common sense do the numbers make sense?
- **Routinely reassess:** A calibration model isn't static sensors degrade or conditions change. Consider tracking this and rebuilding when performance dips below targets

Resources

Websites

- US EPA Air Sensor Performance Targets and Testing Protocols: <u>https://www.epa.gov/air-sensor-toolbox/air-sensor-performance-targets-and-testing-protocols</u>
- StatQuest: <u>https://statquest.org/</u>

(their YouTube videos are some of the easiest to understand that I have encountered)

Textbooks:

- Hands-On Machine Learning with R, Bradley Boehmke & Brandon Greenwell
- **R for Data Science**, *Hadley Wickham & Garrett Grolemund*

Thank you!

Questions for later? Email me anytime at <u>nzimmerman@mech.ubc.ca</u>

This research was undertaken, in part, thanks to funding from the Canada Research Chairs program.