# Summary of Puerto Rico's Southern Aquifer Drinking Water Sampling

September 2023



- Brief Recap of Objective
- Summary of Findings
- Review of Historical Data
- Sampling Approach
- Laboratory Analysis
- Results
- Conclusion and Q&As



### **Brief Recap of Objective**



<u>Figure</u>: Map depicting sites with potential deposit of coal combustion residuals in Southern Puerto Rico.

- Between April and May 2023, EPA conducted a sampling effort of drinking water wells in southern Puerto Rico
- The effort follows the commitment made by Administrator Regan during his July 2022 Journey 2 Justice visit
- The effort was in response to the communities' concerns regarding unencapsulated coal ash deposited in southern Puerto Rico and its potential impact on groundwater used for drinking water
- Objective: Evaluate public drinking water wells for the presence of metals

# Summary of Findings

- No exceedances of drinking water criteria for metals in PRASA drinking water wells
- No exceedances of drinking water criteria for metals in the effluent of the Guayama drinking water treatment plant
- No exceedances of drinking water criteria for metals in historical results
  - Historical PRASA drinking water results
  - Historical USGS water results



- Brief Recap of Objectives
- Summary of Findings
- Review of Historical Data
- Sampling Approach
- Laboratory Analysis
- Results
- Conclusion and Q&As



### **Historical Information Reviewed**

#### EPA reviewed historical data

- PRASA Public Water Supply Well Data
  - 22 PRASA wells sampled sporadically from 2015 to 2022
  - Sample analysis includes 8 metals identified in Appendix 4 of CCR Rule
  - No metals results exceeded drinking water criteria
- USGS Well Sampling Data
  - 26 wells sampled sporadically by USGS from 1986 to 2022
  - Sample analysis includes metals identified in Appendix 4 of CCR Rule except Barium, Flouride and Mercury
  - No metals results exceeded drinking water criteria



- Brief Recap of Objectives
- Summary of Findings
- Review of Historical Data
- Sampling Approach
- Laboratory Analysis
- Results
- Conclusion and Q&As

# TO STATES TO STA

### **Sampling Approach**

- Sampling followed approach discussed with communities in December 2022
- 30 Public Water Supply wells and the Guayama Drinking Water Plant sampled
  - April 18 26 : unfiltered raw water samples were collected
  - May 18: follow-up sampling of the Guayama
     Drinking Water Plant filtered and unfiltered samples were collected
- A list of wells sampled is at right

<u>Arroyo</u>
Arroyo Urbano 1 ("stand-by")
Belinda
Texaco ("stand-by")
Yaurel 1 ("stand-by")
Yaurel 2
<u>Guayama</u>
Hacienda Guamaní
Villodas
Santa Isabel
Gairea ISANCI
Ollas
Ollas
Ollas Paso Seco 1
Ollas Paso Seco 1 Paso Seco 2
Ollas Paso Seco 1 Paso Seco 2 Paso Seco 3
Ollas Paso Seco 1 Paso Seco 2 Paso Seco 3 Paso Seco 4

Playita Cortada

Santiago Apóstol

<u>Salinas</u>
Buono
Coco 2
Coco 3
Coco 4
Coquí 3
Godreau 1
Godreau 2
La Margarita ("stand-by")
Las Monjas ("stand-by")
Parcelas Vázquez
Salinas Urbano 1
Salinas Urbano 3
San Felipe
Texidor
Table: List of public drinking



### Sample Collection and Processing







### **Sample Collection and Processing**









## Guayama Drinking Water Treatment Plant

- Two separate sample events were conducted on April 26 and May 18
- Initial samples were collected on April 26 :
  - Samples were collected after a 41.9 mm rainfall event the day prior to sampling
  - Unfiltered raw water samples were collected at the treatment plant's intake tap
  - Samples were preserved in the field with nitric acid per quality assurance requirements



**Photograph 1:** Collection of influent drinking water samples at the Guayama DW Treatment plant on April 26.





**Photographs 2 and 3**: View of influent drinking water samples collected from the Guayama DW Treatment Plant on April 26.



## Guayama Drinking Water Treatment Plant

- Due to turbidity/color/appearance, resampling occurred on May 18, 2023
  - Both the plant's intake (untreated water) and the treated water were sampled
  - The intake raw surface water samples were taken directly from the irrigation channel that directs water from Patillas Lake via the Patillas irrigation canal
  - Both filtered and unfiltered intake samples were collected



**Photograph 1:** View of the irrigation channel after the intake location.



Photograph 2: Collection of raw water samples at the entrance of the irrigation channel.



**Photograph 3:** View of raw water samples collected at the Guayama DWTP on May 18.

# TO STATES TOWN

## **Guayama Drinking Water Treatment Plant**

- May 18<sup>th</sup> sampling event treated effluent water samples
- Unfiltered effluent water (after treatment) was collected



**Photograph 1:** Collection of treated water samples at the laboratory effluent sampling location.



**Photograph 2:** View of effluent water samples collected at the Guayama DWTP on May 18.



- Brief Recap of Objectives
- Summary of Findings
- Review of Historical / Existing Data
- Sampling Approach
- Laboratory Analysis
- Results
- Conclusion and Q&As



### **Laboratory Analysis**

- Samples were analyzed at the USEPA Regional Laboratory in New Jersey.
- Analysis included Target Analyte List (TAL) elements using USEPA Method 200.7:
  - Includes metals identified in Appendix IV EPA's Coal Combustion Residuals Rule



### **Analytical Parameters**

	Metal Regulated Driking Water		CCR Rule		
	Under Coal	Maximum	Groundwater		
	Combustion Residual	tion Residual Contaminant			
Metal	(CCR) Rule (Y/N)	Level (MCL) (ppb)	Standard (ppb)		
Boron	Yes	-	-		
Calcium	Yes	-	-		
Antimony	Yes	6	-		
Arsenic	Yes	10	-		
Barium	Yes	2000	-		
Beryllium	Yes	4	-		
Cadmium	Yes	5	-		
Chromium	Yes	100	-		
Cobalt	Yes	-	6		
Lead	Yes	15			
Lithium	Yes	-	40		
Mercury	Yes	2	-		
Molybdenum	Yes	-	100		
Selenium	Yes	50	-		
Thallium	Yes	2	-		

	Metal Regulated Under Coal		
	Combustion Residual	Contaminant	Protection
Metal	(CCR) Rule (Y/N)	Level (MCL) (ppb)	Standard (ppb)
Copper	-	1300	-
Aluminum	-	-	-
Iron	-	-	-
Magnesium	-	-	-
Manganese	-	-	-
Nickel	-	-	-
Potassium	-	-	-
Silver	-	-	-
Sodium	-	-	-
Vanadium	-	-	-
Zinc	-	-	-

<u>Table</u>: List of analytes include Target Analyte List (TAL) elements using USEPA Method 200.7, plus boron, lithium and molybdenum. The table specifies metals listed in Appendix III of EPA's CCR rule, other metals listed with Yes are listed in Appendix IV of EPA's CCR rule.



- Brief Recap of Objectives
- Summary of Findings
- Review of Historical Data
- Sampling Approach
- Laboratory Analysis
- Results
- Conclusion and Q&As



### PRASA Wells Sampling Results

	Merged 2021 RSL - MCL (ug/L) & GWPS - (greater of MCL, USEPA Amendments Level (August 29, 2019), or Site Background)		Range of PRASA Santa Isabel Active Wells		Range of PRASA Salinas Active Wells		Range of PRASA Guayama Active Wells		Range of PRASA Arroyo Active Wells		A o		
Fraction.	Action Level	Min		Max	Min		Max	Min		Max	Min		Max
Antimony	6	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Arsenic	10	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Lithium	40	ND	-	ND	ND	-	11.4	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Molybdenum	100	ND	-	ND	ND	-	2.71	ND	-	1.34	ND	-	ND
Boron	-	103	-	178	63.2	-	662	130	-	222	66.5	-	89.2
Selenium	50	ND	-	2.81	ND	-	2.25	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Thallium	2	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Cobalt	6	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Barium	2000	1.02	-	26.5	19.6	-	67.9	ND	-	4.42	52.4	-	65.6
Beryllium	4	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Cadmium	5	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Chromium	100	ND	-	5.29	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Lead	15	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Mercury	2	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Copper	1300	ND	-	4.03	ND	-	9.91	1.2	-	1.62	1.44	-	2.36
Aluminum	-	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Iron	-	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	64.2
Manganese	-	ND	-	21.2	ND	-	2	ND	-	ND	ND	-	5.8
Nickel	-	ND	-	1.02	ND	-	1.02	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Silver	-	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND	ND	-	ND
Vanadium	-	13.8	-	32.4	5.27	-	34.7	13.7	-	20.4	4.38	-	5.67
Zinc	-	ND	-	6.34	ND	-	5.97	ND	-	2.95	2.9	-	5.95
Sodium	-	38200	-	78800	33800	-	241000	50100	-	90900	46300	-	46300
Potassium	-	745	-	1980	727	-	1260	835	-	1340	510	-	675
Calcium	-	44000	-	98300	40900	-	109000	65800	-	66700	40100	-	46500
Magnesium	-	23700	-	37700	13800	-	29100	23800	-	30500	16600	-	18400



## **Guayama Drinking Water Plant Sampling Results - April**

		Lake Patillas Surface Water - After	Lake Patillas Surface Water - After			
		Significant Rainfall Event	Significant Rainfall Event			
	Merged 2022 RSL - MCL (ug/L) & GWPS -	Guayama DWTP Unfiltered Intake	Guayama DWTP Unfiltered Intake			
	(greater of MCL,	4/26/2023	4/26/2023			
	<b>USEPA Amendments</b>	Unfiltered Intake after Heavy Rain	Unfiltered Intake after Heavy Rain			
	Level (August 29, 2019),		Duplicate			
	or Site Background)	ug/L	ug/L			
Fraction.	Action Level	Result	Result			
Aluminum	-	8710	12300			
Lead	15	3.94	4.08			
Manganese	-	7910	12200			
Molybdenum	100	ND	ND			
Nickel	_	3.02	4.21			
Silver	-	ND	ND			
Thallium	2	ND	ND			
Antimony	6	ND	ND			
Arsenic	10	1.32	1.62			
Barium	2000	232	341			
Beryllium	4	ND	ND			
Cadmium	5	ND	ND			
Chromium	100	4.03	5.4			
Cobalt	6	6.69	9.68			
Copper	1300	111	153			
Vanadium	-	32.1	42.3			
Zinc	-	50	58.2			
Selenium	50	ND	ND			
Iron	-	18700	27100			
Lithium	40	ND	ND			
Magnesium	-	7310	8640			
Potassium	-	1170	1300			
Sodium	-	13200	13600			
Boron	-	23.4	23.7			
Calcium	-	15100	16900			
Mercury	2	ND	ND			



## **Guayama Drinking Water Plant Sampling Results - May**

		Lake Patillas Water - Guayama Reser	voir Surface Water - Normal Low Rainfall	Guayama DWTP - Normal Low Rainfall			
	Merged 2022 RSL - MCL (ug/L) & GWPS -	Guayama DWTP Unfiltered Intake	Guayama DWTP Filtered Intake	Guayama DWTP Unfiltered Effluent	Guayama DWTP Unfiltered Effluent		
	(greater of MCL,	5/18/2023	5/18/2023	5/18/2023	5/18/2023		
	<b>USEPA Amendments</b>	Unfiltered Intake	Filtered with 0.45m Filter	Unfiltered Effluent	Unfiltered Effluent		
	Level (August 29, 2019),		•				
	or Site Background)	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L	ug/L		
Fraction.	Action Level	Result	Result	ug/L Result	ug/L Result		
Aluminum	- Action Level	185	20.2	59.1	56.5		
Lead	15	ND	20.2 ND	ND	ND		
Manganese	-	137	24	ND	ND		
Molybdenum	100	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Nickel	-	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Silver	_	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Thallium	2	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Antimony	6	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Arsenic	10	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Barium	2000	14.9	11	11.8	11.4		
Beryllium	4	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Cadmium	5	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Chromium	100	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Cobalt	6	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Copper	1300	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Vanadium	-	2.2	2.04	1.38	1.47		
Zinc	-	ND	4.07	ND	ND		
Selenium	50	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Iron	-	1270	839	ND	ND		
Lithium	40	ND	ND	ND	ND		
Magnesium	-	5350	5090	5210	5200		
Potassium	-	784	769	815	771		
Sodium	-	13200	13200	15200	15200		
Boron	-	22.1	21.2	22.1	22.1		
Calcium		13400	13000	13600 13500			
Mercury	2	ND	ND	ND	ND		



### **USGS Historical Data**

	Merged 2021 RSL - MCL (ug/L) & GWPS - (greater of MCL, USEPA Amendments Level (August 29, 2019), or Site Background)  USGS Wells Historic Results 1986 - 2016 (n = 62)			ults 16
Fraction.	Action Level	Min	-	Max
Antimony	6	ND	-	1.0
Arsenic	10	0.09	-	1.0
Lithium	40	ND	-	9.0
Molybdenum	100	0.219	-	3.0
Boron	-	54	-	286
Selenium	50	0.17	-	2.40
Thallium	2	ND	-	0.30
Cobalt	6	ND	-	1.0
Barium	2000	NA		NA
Beryllium	4	ND	-	0.50
Cadmium	5	ND	-	1.0
Chromium	100	ND	-	10.0
Lead	15	ND	-	1.76
Mercury	2	NA	-	NA
Copper	1300	ND	-	8.00
Aluminum	-	ND	-	30
Iron	-	ND	-	58.3
Manganese	-	ND	-	11.30
Nickel	-	ND	-	2.0
Silver	-	ND	-	0.005
Vanadium	-	2.6	-	33.4
Zinc	-	ND	-	128.0
Sodium	-	NA	-	NA
Potassium	-	NA	-	NA
Calcium	-	NA	-	NA
Magnesium	-	NA	-	NA



#### **Conclusion and Questions**

- Results from EPA's April-May 2023 sampling effort show that
  - Public drinking water from the PRASA Public Water System wells and the Guayama Water Treatment Plant do not exceed drinking water criteria for metals
  - No exceedances were detected for applicable groundwater protection standards established under the Coal Combustion Residuals Rule
- Moving forward
  - PRASA will continue with its sampling, which includes metals regulated by the Safe Drinking Water Act.



## **Questions and Discussion**