



We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5\_EastPalestine@epa.gov

December 1, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters  
On-Scene Coordinator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5  
Superfund and Emergency Management Division  
2565 Plymouth Road  
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

**Subject: Data Validation Report  
E Palestine Site - ER  
EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005  
Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201  
Document Tracking No. 2134**

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for 57 air samples (including 3 field duplicate samples, 4 field blank samples, and 1 media blank samples) collected at the E Palestine site. The samples were collected on May 13, May 15, May 16, and May 17, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC. The final laboratory data package was received on September 18, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

No qualification or rejection of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please contact me via the project manager.

Sincerely,

**Kayla Phye** Digitally signed by Kayla Phye  
Date: 2023.12.01 15:28:29  
-07'00'

Environmental Chemist

Enclosure

cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager  
Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager  
Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator  
TO-TOLIN File

**ATTACHMENTS**

**DATA VALIDATION REPORT  
EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS.  
B135-240, B137-019, B138-009, B138-010**

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

Site Name	E Palestine Site – ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	2134a		
Laboratory Report No.	B135-240	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029		
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples, including one field blank sample		
Collection Date(s)	05/13/2023		
Field Duplicate Pairs	None		
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-051323-1		

**INTRODUCTION**

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan East Palestine Train Derailment Site East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

**OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Data completeness:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	<p>The results for the field blank sample were reported in units of micrograms (µg) while the other sample results were reported in units of µg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).</p> <p>Rohm &amp; Haas IH9805 is cited in the AIHA certification as “IHGC-P029” and may be cited by the abbreviation “Rohm &amp; Haas IH9805” or “IHGC-P029” interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.</p> <p>Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.</p> <p>The extraction date in the laboratory EDD do not match the laboratory report or is blank. During the data validation effort, the extraction times were deleted from the validated EDD and the extraction date was corrected (as needed) to match those in the preparation log in the laboratory report.</p> <p>The sample analysis time is reported as a default value of 00:00 hours for the laboratory control spike duplicate (LCSD) in the analysis date field of the laboratory EDD. Since the sample analysis time for the LCSD is not required for the validated EDD, this value was not manually revised.</p> <p>A unique sample ID for LCSD was not provided in the laboratory EDD. Unique sample IDs are needed to avoid overwriting other quality control (QC) sample data when validated EDDs are uploaded to the Scribe database. The LCSD ID in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields of the validated EDD were manually revised to match those in the laboratory report.</p>

**Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Method blanks:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230515H and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230515H were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs.

**Field blanks:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

**Surrogates and labeled compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**MS/MSDs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Laboratory duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Field duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**LCSs/LCSDs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	<p>The laboratory report(s) and the laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/- 1 ug), RPDs (+/- 2%) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be a significant figures issue. No qualifications were applied.</p> <p>The percent recovery (%R) of 2-ethylhexyl acrylate in the LCS IHG230515H was within acceptance criteria in the laboratory reports. The %R of 2-ethylhexyl acrylate in the LCS IHG230515H was above acceptance criteria in the EDD; however, the average percent recovery for 2-ethylhexyl acrylate results for the LCS/LCSD is within acceptance criteria and, therefore, no qualification was applied.</p>

**Sample dilutions:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**MDLs/RLs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits (MDL) were not reported. Nondetect sample results are reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Other [None]:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY  
EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B135-240

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab Result	Lab Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-E-051323-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U	0.014	ppm	0.014	U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-E-051323-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-A-051323-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-A-051323-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051323-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051323-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051323-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U	0.014	ppm	0.014	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051323-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U	0.009	ppm	0.009	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051323-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U	0.014	ppm	0.014	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051323-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U	0.009	ppm	0.009	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051323-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U	0.014	ppm	0.014	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051323-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051323-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.013	U	0.013	ppm	0.013	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051323-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U	0.009	ppm	0.009	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051323-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.013	U	0.013	ppm	0.013	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051323-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U	0.009	ppm	0.009	U
EPD-ST-FB-051323-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8	U	2.8	ug	2.8	U
EPD-ST-FB-051323-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3	U	1.3	ug	1.3	U



**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

Site Name	E Palestine Site – ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	2134b		
Laboratory Report No.	B137-019	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M		
Samples and Matrix	29 air samples, including 1 field blank, 1 media blank, and 2 field duplicate pairs		
Collection Date(s)	05/15/2023		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-CM-12-051523-1/EPD-PB-CM-122-051523-1 EPD-PB-OD-07-051523-1/EPD-PB-OD-077-051523-1		
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-01-051523-1 and EPD-PB-MB-01-051523-1		

**INTRODUCTION**

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio*, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5*, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

**OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Data completeness:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	<p>Level II laboratory report did not include some required QC information; therefore, the Level IV laboratory report was used for this data validation effort.</p> <p>The Level II and Level IV laboratory reports did not contain quality control (QC) results for the laboratory reagent blank (LRB IHG230517B); however, the electronic data deliverable (EDD) results and the raw data results did contain the LRB results. No revisions or qualifications were applied since the LRB result is nondetect.</p> <p>The results for the field blank sample and media blank sample were reported in units of micrograms (µg) while the other field sample results were reported in units of µg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m<sup>3</sup>), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).</p> <p>The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.</p> <p>To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the COC form. The ratio of field QC samples (field blank samples, media blank samples, field duplicate samples) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation.</p> <p>Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.</p> <p>The extraction date in the laboratory EDD is absent. During the data validation effort, the extraction dates were added or corrected (as needed) to match those in the preparation log in the laboratory report.</p>

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Data completeness continued:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	A unique sample ID for the laboratory control spike duplicate (LCSD) was not provided in the laboratory EDD. Unique sample IDs are needed to avoid overwriting other QC sample data when validated EDDs are uploaded to the Scribe database. The LCSD ID in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields of the validated EDD were manually revised to match those in the laboratory report.

**Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

**Method blanks:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230517B and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230517B were reported as “0” in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.

**Field blanks:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	One field blank sample was included in this data package although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field blank per 20 field samples. The ratio of field blanks to field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field blanks to field samples was not verified during this validation.

**Surrogates and labeled compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**MS/MSDs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Laboratory duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Field duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Two field duplicate samples were included in this data package although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field duplicate sample per 10 field samples. The ratio of field duplicates to field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field duplicates to field samples was not verified during this validation..

**LCSs/LCSDs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	<p>The laboratory report and laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/- 1 ug), RPDs (+/-2%) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be significant figures issue(s). No qualifications were applied.</p> <p>The site-specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank, laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCSD to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 29 field samples in 1 sample preparation batch that included 1 LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included 2 LRBs, laboratory media blank samples, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023 about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the QC sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.</p>

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Sample dilutions:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**MDLs/RLs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits (MDL) were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

**Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Other [None]:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT

### Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY  
EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B137-019

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab Result	Lab Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-06-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-07-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-08-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-09-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-10-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-11-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-12-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-122-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-14-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-DW-E-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-FB-01-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	U	2	ug	2	U
EPD-PB-MB-01-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	U	2	ug	2	U
EPD-PB-OD-01-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-02-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-03-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-04-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-05-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-06-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-07-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-077-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-UW-A-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-01-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-02-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-03-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-04-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-05-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-06-051523-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091	U

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

Site Name	E Palestine Site – ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	2134c		
Laboratory Report No.	B138-009	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029		
Samples and Matrix	10 air samples, including 1 field blank and 1 field duplicate pair		
Collection Date(s)	05/16/2023		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051623-2/EPD-ST-8H-WA-11-051623-2		
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-051623-2		

**INTRODUCTION**

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio*, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5*, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

**OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Data completeness:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	<p>Level II laboratory report does not include some required QC information; therefore, the Level IV laboratory report was used for this data validation effort.</p> <p>The Level II and Level IV laboratory reports did not contain quality control (QC) results for the laboratory reagent blank (LRB IHG230518A); however, the electronic data deliverable (EDD) results and the raw data results did contain the LRB results. No revisions or qualifications were applied since the LRB result is nondetect.</p> <p>The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (µg) while the other sample results were reported in units of µg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory EDD.</p> <p>Rohm &amp; Haas IH9805 was cited in the AIHA certification as “IHGC-P029” and may be cited by the abbreviation “Rohm &amp; Haas IH9805” or “IHGC-P029” interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.</p> <p>Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.</p> <p>The extraction date in the laboratory EDD did not match the laboratory report or were absent. During the data validation effort, the extraction dates were added or corrected (as needed) to match those in the preparation log in the laboratory report.</p> <p>A unique sample ID for laboratory control spike duplicate (LCSD) was not provided in the laboratory EDD. Unique sample IDs are needed to avoid overwriting other QC sample data when validated EDDs are uploaded to the Scribe database. The LCSD ID in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields of the validated EDD were manually revised to match those in the laboratory report.</p>

**Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Method blanks:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230518A and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230518A were reported as “0” in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs.

**Field blanks:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

**Surrogates and labeled compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**MS/MSDs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Laboratory duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Field duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

**LCSs/LCSDs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The laboratory report(s) and the laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/- 1 ug), RPDs (+/- 2%) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be a significant figures issue. No qualifications were applied.

**Sample dilutions:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**MDLs/RLs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits (MDL) were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Other [None]:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY  
EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B138-009

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab Result	Lab Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U	0.014	ppm	0.014	U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016	U	0.016	ppm	0.016	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011	U	0.011	ppm	0.011	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.017	U	0.017	ppm	0.017	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011	U	0.011	ppm	0.011	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-11-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U	0.014	ppm	0.014	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-11-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U	0.009	ppm	0.009	U
EPD-ST-FB-051623-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8	U	2.8	ug	2.8	U
EPD-ST-FB-051623-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3	U	1.3	ug	1.3	U

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

Site Name	E Palestine Site – ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	2134d		
Laboratory Report No.	B138-010	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029		
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples including one field blank		
Collection Date(s)	05/17/2023		
Field Duplicate Pairs	None		
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-051723-1		

**INTRODUCTION**

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio*, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5*, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

**OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Data completeness:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	<p>Level II laboratory report does not include some required QC information; therefore, the Level IV laboratory report was used for this data validation effort.</p> <p>The Level II and Level IV laboratory reports did not contain quality control (QC) results for the laboratory reagent blank (LRB IHG230518A); however, the electronic data deliverable (EDD) results and the raw data results did contain the LRB results. No revisions or qualifications were applied since the LRB result is nondetect.</p> <p>The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (µg) while the other sample results were reported in units of µg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory EDD.</p> <p>Rohm &amp; Haas IH9805 was cited in the AIHA certification as “IHGC-P029” and may be cited by the abbreviation “Rohm &amp; Haas IH9805” or “IHGC-P029” interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.</p> <p>Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.</p> <p>The extraction date in the laboratory EDD did not match the laboratory report or were absent. During the data validation effort, the extraction dates were added or corrected (as needed) to match those in the preparation log in the laboratory report.</p> <p>A unique sample ID for laboratory control spike duplicate (LCSD) was not provided in the laboratory EDD. Unique sample IDs are needed to avoid overwriting other QC sample data when validated EDDs are uploaded to the Scribe database. The LCSD ID in the Samp_No and Lab_Samp_No fields of the validated EDD were manually revised to match those in the laboratory report.</p>

**Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Method blanks:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230518A and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230518A were reported as “0” in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs.

**Field blanks:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

**Surrogates and labeled compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**MS/MSDs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Laboratory duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Field duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**LCSs/LCSDs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	The laboratory report(s) and the laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/- 1 ug), RPDs (+/- 2%) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be a significant figures issue. No qualifications were applied.

**Sample dilutions:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**MDLs/RLs:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits (MDL) were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

**DATA VALIDATION CHECKLIST – STAGE 2A  
EPA REGION 5 START CONTRACT**

**Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Other [None]:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

**Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been “tentatively identified” and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY  
EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B138-010

Sample ID	Method	CAS No.	Analyte	Lab Result	Lab Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-051723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016	U	0.016	ppm	0.016	U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-051723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011	U	0.011	ppm	0.011	U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-051723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-051723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-051723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-051723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U	0.014	ppm	0.014	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-051723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	U	0.009	ppm	0.009	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U	0.014	ppm	0.014	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-051723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014	U	0.014	ppm	0.014	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-051723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015	U	0.015	ppm	0.015	U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-051723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01	U	0.01	ppm	0.01	U
EPD-ST-FB-051723-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8	U	2.8	ug	2.8	U
EPD-ST-FB-051723-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3	U	1.3	ug	1.3	U