

December 7, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105

We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5 EastPalestine@epa.gov

**Subject: Data Validation Report** 

E Palestine Site - ER

EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005

Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201

**Document Tracking No. 2146** 

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for 98 air samples (including 8 field duplicate samples, 5 field blank samples, and 4 media blanks) collected at the E Palestine site. The samples were collected on June 27 and 28, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC at the Ashland, Virginia laboratory. The final laboratory data package was received on July 5, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project* Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No qualification or rejection of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please contact me via the project manager.

Sincerely,

Casey

Digitally signed by Casey Cormier Date: 2023.12.11 Cormier Date: 2023.12.1 05:49:41 -05'00'

**Environmental Chemist** 

Enclosure

Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager cc:

Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager

Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator

TO-TOLIN File

# **ATTACHMENT**

DATA VALIDATION REPORT EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORTS B180-010, B181-352, B181-353, AND B181-354

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	2146a	10/TOLIN NO.	08HEU320F0U32/0001EB201
Laboratory Report No.	B180-010	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M		
Samples and Matrix	28 air samples including 1 field blank, 1 media blank, and 2 field duplicate pairs		
Collection Date(s)	06/27/2023		
Field Duplicate Daire	EPD-PB-CM-14-062723-1/EPD-PB-CM-144-0	62723-1	
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-OD-07-062723-1/EPD-PB-OD-077-062723-1		
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-01-062723-1 and EPD-PB-MB-01-062723-1		

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



# Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other field sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligrams per cubic meter ( $\mu$ g/m³), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.
N	To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the chain of custody (COC) form. The ratio of field QC samples (field blanks, media blanks, field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect.
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar. The Date_Received for all samples was reported with a default time of 00:00. This was revised to report the date of receipt only.
	Sample EPD-PB-DW-B-062723-1 was voided when badge was observed on the ground after being knocked down.



## Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

#### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230629C and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230629C were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.

#### Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field blank per 20 field samples; however, only 1 field blank was collected for the 26 samples when 2 field blanks should have been collected. No qualifications were applied because all sample results were nondetect.

# **Surrogates and labeled compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



# **Laboratory duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field duplicate sample per 10 field samples; however, only 2 field samples were collected for 26 field samples when 3 field samples should have been collected. No qualifications were applied.

# LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The laboratory report and laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/-1 ug), relative percent differences (RPDs) (+/-2%), and /or percent recoveries (+/-1%) that were verified with the laboratory to significant issue(s). No qualifications were applied.
N	The LCS/LCSD RPD laboratory limit was inconsistent between the level II and level IV data package. The LCS/LCSD RPD listed in the level IV data package exceeded the site-specific QAPP criteria of 20%, however all sample results were nondetect therefore, no qualifications were applied.
N	The site-specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank, laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCS duplicate (LCSD) to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 26 field samples in one sample preparation batch that included one LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included two LRBs, laboratory media blanks, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023 about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.

# Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

## **Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



#### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

# EAST PALESTINE SITE - AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B180-010

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result	Lab_Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-144-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-FB-01-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-MB-01-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-077-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-UW-F-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-062723-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	91 ppm	0.009	1 U

Site Name E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOUN No	69115052050022/000150201		
Document Tracking No.	2146b	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Laboratory Report No.	B181-352	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA		
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-Butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029				
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples including one field blank and one field duplicate pair				
Collection Date(s)	06/28/2023				
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-062823-2/EPD-ST-8H-WA-55-062823-2				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-062823-2				

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



# Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes				
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligrams per cubic meter ( $m$ g/ $m$ <sup>3</sup> ), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).				
N	Rohm & Haas IH9805 was cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.				
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.				
	Sample EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-062823-2 was voided due to a pump fault.				

# Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

#### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The nondetect result for laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230630A was reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.
N	Laboratory method blank LMB ING230630A contained n-Butyl acrylate at a concentration less than the reporting limit. The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and resolved the laboratory method blank and LRB results in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied because all n-Butyl acrylate sample results were nondetect.

Field blanks:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	·	
Y		
Surrogates and labeled compounds	:	
Within		
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
NA		
MS/MSDs:		
Within	Evenadance/Notes	-
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
NA		
Laboratory duplicates:		
Within	Exceedance/Notes	
Criteria	Exceedance/ Notes	
NA		
Field duplicates:		
Within	Funna daman /Alatan	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes	
Υ		
LCSs/LCSDs:		
Within		
VVICIIII	Francisco (Notation	

Exceedance/Notes



Criteria Y

# Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

## **Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Other [None]:

•	•
Within	Evenedones /Netes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



#### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

# EAST PALESTINE SITE - AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B181-352

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result	Lab_Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-062823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.01	L5 U	0.0	15 ppm	0.03	15 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-062823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0	)1 U	0.0	0.01 ppm		01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-062823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.01	L5 U	0.0	15 ppm	0.0	15 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-062823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0	)1 U	0.0	01 ppm	0.0	01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-062823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.01	L5 U	0.0	15 ppm	0.0	15 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-062823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0	)1 U	0.0	01 ppm	0.0	01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-062823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.01	L4 U	0.0	14 ppm	0.0	14 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-062823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.00	)9 U	0.0	09 ppm	0.00	09 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-062823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.01	L5 U	0.0	15 ppm	0.0	15 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-062823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0	)1 U	0.0	01 ppm	0.0	01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-062823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.01	L5 U	0.0	15 ppm	0.0	15 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-062823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0	)1 U	0.0	01 ppm	0.0	01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-062823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.01	L5 U	0.0	15 ppm	0.0	15 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-062823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0	)1 U	0.0	01 ppm	0.0	01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-55-062823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.01	L5 U	0.0	15 ppm	0.0	15 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-55-062823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0	)1 U	0.0	01 ppm	0.0	01 U
EPD-ST-FB-062823-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2	.8 U	2	1.8 ug	2	.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-062823-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1	.3 U	1	3 ug	1	.3 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Document Tracking No.	2146c	TO/TOLIN NO.			
Laboratory Report No.	B181-353	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA		
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M				
Samples and Matrix	29 air samples including 1 field blank, 1 media blank, and 2 field duplicate pairs				
Collection Date(s)	06/28/2023				
Field Dunlieste Daire	EPD-PB-BKBA-02-062823-1/EPD-PB-BKBA-022-062823-1				
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-WA-04-062823-1/EPD-PB-WA-44-062823-1				
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-01-062823-1 and EPD-PB-MB-01-062823-1				

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



# Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other field sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligrams per cubic meter ( $\mu$ g/m³), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.
N	To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the chain of custody (COC) form. The ratio of field QC samples (field blanks, media blanks, field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect.
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar. The Date_Received for all samples was reported with a default time of 00:00. This was revised to report the date of receipt only.

# Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

#### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	Nondetect result for laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230630B was reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.
N	Laboratory method blank LMB IHG230630B contained n-Butyl acrylate at a concentration less than the reporting limit. The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and resolved the laboratory method blank and LRB results in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied because all n-Butyl acrylate sample results were nondetect.

#### Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes			
N	The site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field blank per 20 field samples; however, only 1 field blank was collected for the 27 samples when 2 field blanks should have been collected. No qualifications were applied because all sample results were nondetect.			

## **Surrogates and labeled compounds:**

•	·
Within	Evenadance /Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# **Laboratory duplicates:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field duplicate sample per 10 field samples; however, only 2 field duplicates were collected for 27 field samples when 3 field duplicates should have been collected. No qualifications were applied.

# LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The laboratory report and laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/-1ug), relative percent differences (RPDs)(+/-2%) and/or percent recoveries (+/-1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be significant figures issue(s). No qualifications were applied.
N	The site-specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank, laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCS duplicate (LCSD) to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 27 field samples in one sample preparation batch that included one LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included two LRBs, laboratory media blanks, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023 about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.

# Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



# **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

# Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# Other [None]:

_	•	•
	Within	Evenedones /Netes
	Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	NA	

#### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

# EAST PALESTINE SITE - AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B181-353

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result	Lab_Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	)1 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	)1 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-022-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	)1 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	)1 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	)1 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	)1 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	l ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	)1 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-DW-D-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-FB-01-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U	,	2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-MB-01-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	l ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	l ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	l ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	l ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-UW-H-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	l ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	l ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-044-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-062823-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	91 U	0.009	L ppm	0.009	1 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201				
Document Tracking No.	2146d	TO/TOLIN NO.	0811E0320F0032/0001EB201				
Laboratory Report No.	B181-354	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA				
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M						
Samples and Matrix	32 air samples including 2 field blanks, 2 media blanks, and 3 field duplicate pairs						
Collection Date(s)	06/28/2023						
	EPD-PB-OD-05-062823-2/EPD-PB-OD-055-06	2823-2					
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-WA-01-062823-2/EPD-PB-WA-011-062823-2						
	EPD-PB-WA-05-062823-2/EPD-PB-WA-055-062823-2						
Field QC Blanks EPD-PB-FB-02-062823-2, EPD-PB-FB-03-062823-2, EPD-PB-MB-02-062823-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-062823-2							

#### **INTRODUCTION**

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



# Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms ( $\mu$ g) while the other field sample results were reported in units of $\mu$ g, milligrams per cubic meter ( $\mu$ g/m³), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.
N	To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the chain of custody (COC) form. The ratio of field QC samples (field blanks, media blanks, field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect.
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar. The Date_Received for all samples was reported with a default time of 00:00. This was revised to report the date of receipt only.

# Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

#### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes						
N	Laboratory method blank LMB IHG230630C and laboratory reagent blank IHG230630C contained n-Butyl acrylate concentrations less than the reporting limit. The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and resolved the laboratory method blank and LRB results in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied because all n-Butyl acrylate sample results were nondetect.						

Field blanks:	
Within	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	
Surrogates and labeled compounds:	
Within	
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
MS/MSDs:	
Within	Evenedones /Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Laboratory duplicates:	
Within	Fuse adamas /Notas
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	
Field duplicates:	
Within	Evenedones /Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/Notes



Υ

# LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank, laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCS duplicate (LCSD) to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 32 field samples in one sample preparation batch that included one LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included two LRBs, laboratory media blanks, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023 about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.

# Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

# MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

# **Tentatively identified compounds:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



# Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

	1 0
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

# EAST PALESTINE SITE - AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B181-354

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result	Lab_Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091 ppm		0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091 ppm		0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-06-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U		0.0091 ppm		0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-07-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.0091 ppm		0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-08-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.0091 ppm		0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-09-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.0091 ppm		0.0091 U	
EPD-PB-CM-11-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-DW-D-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-FB-02-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-FB-03-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-MB-02-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-MB-03-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate		2 U		2 ug		2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-055-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-UW-H-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.008	8 U		8 ppm	0.008	8 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-011-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009			1 ppm	0.009	
EPD-PB-WA-05-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-055-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U		1 ppm	0.009	1 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-062823-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009	1 U	0.009	1 ppm	0.009	1 U