

December 20, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105 We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5\_EastPalestine@epa.gov

#### Subject: Data Validation Report E Palestine Site - ER EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005 Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 Document Tracking No. 2160

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for 102 air samples (including nine field duplicate samples, six field blank samples, and five media blanks) collected at the E Palestine site. The samples were collected on July 4, July 29, and July 31, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC. The final laboratory data package was received on October 23, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No qualification or rejection of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please contact me via the project manager.

Sincerely,

Alicia Labare Digitally signed by Alicia Labare Date: 2023.12.20 10:26:45 -05'00'

Environmental Chemist

Enclosure

 cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator TO-TOLIN File

# ATTACHMENT

# DATA VALIDATION REPORT EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS. B187-005, B213-163, B214-002, AND B214-003

Site Name E Palestine Site - ER			68115052050022/000150201
Document Tracking No.	2160a	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Laboratory Report No.	B187-005	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M		
Samples and Matrix	32 air samples including 2 field blanks, 2 m	edia blanks, and 3 field	duplicate pairs
Collection Date(s)	07/04/2023		
	EPD-PB-CM-08-070423-2/EPD-PB-CM-088-	070423-2	
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-CM-14-070423-2/EPD-PB-CM-144-070423-2		
	EPD-PB-OD-01-070423-2/EPD-PB-OD-011-0	70423-2	
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-03-070423-2, EPD-PB-FB-02-070	423-2, EPD-PB-MB-02	-070423-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-070423-2

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



### Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms (µg) while the other field sample results were reported in units of µg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.
Ν	To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the COC form. The ratio of field QC samples (field blanks, media blanks, field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect.
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.
	The sample collection time in the laboratory report and EDD did not match the "chain of custody" (COC) for sample EPD-PB-BKBA- 02-070423-2. The laboratory report was revised however during the validation process the sample collection time in the original EDD was corrected to match the COC and revised laboratory report.



### Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

#### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230706B were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied. Laboratory method blank LMB IHG230706B contained n-butyl acrylate at concentrations less than the reporting limits. The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and resolved the laboratory method blank and LRB results in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied because the laboratory is only reporting down to the RL and all n-butyl acrylate sample results were nondetect.

#### Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



## MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	The site-specific QAPP requires a laboratory reagent blank (LRB), laboratory media blank , laboratory control sample (LCS), and LCS duplicate (LCSD) to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 32 field samples in one sample preparation batch that included one LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included two LRBs, laboratory media blanks, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023 about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the quality control (QC) sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria.

### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

## Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

The end device weith the identified the end side device is the end of the end of the end to be in the end of
The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
biased high.
The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be
biased low.
The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate
concentration of the analyte in the sample.
The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not
be present in the sample.
The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate
due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



## E PALESTINE SITE-ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B187-005

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-088-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-144-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-DW-E-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-FB-02-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-FB-03-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-02-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-03-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-011-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-UW-A-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-070423-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER		68HE0520F0032/0001EB201			
Document Tracking No.	2160b	TO/TOLIN No.	08HE0520F0032/0001EB201			
Laboratory Report No.	B213-163	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA			
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M					
Samples and Matrix	32 air samples including 2 field blanks, 2 media blanks, and 3 field duplicate pairs					
Collection Date(s)	07/29/2023					
	EPD-PB-OD-04-072923-2/EPD-PB-OD-044-0	72923-2				
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-OD-02-072923-2/EPD-PB-OD-022-0	72923-2				
	EPD-PB-CM-14-072923-2/EPD-PB-CM-144-072923-2					
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-02-072923-2, EPD-PB-FB-03-072	2923-2, EPD-PB-MB-02	-072923-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-072923-2			

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



### Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes					
	The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms (µg) while the other field sample results were reported in units of µg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).					
	The ratio of field QC samples (field blanks, media blanks, field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation.					
N	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.					
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.					

### Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

#### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	



## Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

## Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

## LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Ν	The laboratory report and laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the LCS/LCSD results (+/- 1 ug), RPDs (+/-2%) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be significant figures issue(s). No qualifications were applied.



### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## MDLs/RLs:

	hin eria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	ſ	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

### Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## **Overall Qualifications:**



See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

- I	
J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
IJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



## E PALESTINE SITE-ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B213-163

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Resu	ult Lab_(	Qual RL	Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-144-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-DW-B-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-FB-02-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-FB-03-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-02-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-03-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-022-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-044-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-UW-F-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-072923-2	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U

Site Name E Palestine Site - ER			TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Document Tracking No.	2160c		TO/TOLIN NO.	08HE0520F0032/0001EB201		
Laboratory Report No.	B214-002		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA		
Analyses 2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate		by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029				
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples including one field blank and one field duplicate pair					
Collection Date(s)	07/31/2023					
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-073123-2/ EPD-ST-8H-WA-022-073123-2					
Field QC Blanks	Field QC Blanks EPD-ST-FB-073123-2					

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



### Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes							
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μg) while the other sample results were reported in units of μg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).							
N	Rohm & Haas IH9805 was cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.							
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.							

## Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230802C and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230802C were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs.

#### Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	



### Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

### Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

## LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

## Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
IJ	The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.



## E PALESTINE SITE-ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B214-002

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-073123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-073123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-073123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-073123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-073123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-073123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-073123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016 U	0.016	ppm	0.016 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-073123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011 U	0.011	ppm	0.011 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-073123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.012 U	0.012	ppm	0.012 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-073123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.008 U	0.008	ppm	0.008 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-073123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-073123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-073123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-073123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-22-073123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-22-073123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-073123-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-073123-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Document Tracking No.	2160d	TO/TOLIN NO.	08HE0320F0032/0001EB201	
Laboratory Report No.	B214-003	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA	
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M			
Samples and Matrix	29 air samples including 1 field blank, 1 media blank, and 2 field duplicate pairs			
Collection Date(s)	07/31/2023			
Field Duplicate Daire	EPD-PB-WA-01-073123-1/ EPD-PB-WA-011	-073123-1		
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-OD-01-073123-1/ EPD-PB-OD-011-073123-1			
Field QC Blanks	EPD-PB-FB-073123-1 and EPD-PB-MB-0731	.23-1		

#### INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

#### **OVERALL EVALUATION**

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



### Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms (µg) while the other field sample results were reported in units of µg, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.
N	To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the COC form. The ratio of field QC samples (field blanks, media blanks, field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect.
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.



### Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Ν	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230802C and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230802C were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.

### Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Only 1 field blank sample and 1 media blank was included in this data package although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field blank per 20 field samples. No qualifications were applied because all sample results were nondetect.

### Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

#### Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Ν	Only two field duplicate samples were included in this data package although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field duplicate sample per 10 field samples. Based on professional judgement, no qualifications were applied.

### LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	

### Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

## **Re-extraction and reanalysis:**

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



## MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes					
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.					

### Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



### **Overall Qualifications:**

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

e analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. e analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be used high.				
used high				
iscu nigh.				
e analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be				
ised low.				
e analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate				
ncentration of the analyte in the sample.				
e sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not				
present in the sample.				
The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).				
e analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate				
e to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.				



## E PALESTINE SITE-ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B214-003

Sample_ID	Method	CAS#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qua	I RL	Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-06-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-PB-CM-07-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-08-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-09-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-10-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-11-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-12-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-CM-14-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-DW-D-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-FB-01-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-MB-01-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2 U	2	ug	2 U
EPD-PB-OD-01-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-011-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-02-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-03-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-04-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-05-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-06-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-OD-07-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-UW-H-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-01-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-011-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-02-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-03-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-04-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-05-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U
EPD-PB-WA-06-073123-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091 U	0.0091	ppm	0.0091 U