

December 11, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters On-Scene Coordinator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5 Superfund and Emergency Management Division 2565 Plymouth Road Ann Arbor, MI 48105

We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at: R5 EastPalestine@epa.gov

Subject: Data Validation Report

E Palestine Site - ER

EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005

Task Order/Task Order Line Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201

Document Tracking No. 2177

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for 56 air samples (including 3 field duplicate samples, 4 field blank samples, and 1 media blank) collected at the E Palestine site. The samples were collected on August 9 to 12, 2023, and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC. The final laboratory data package was received on August 15, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No rejection or qualification of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as received from the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please contact me via the project manager. Sincerely,

Cashman

Celina Barnett-Digitally signed by Celina Barnett-Cashman Date: 2023.12.11

Environmental Chemist

Enclosure

Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager cc:

Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager

Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator

TO-TOLIN File

ATTACHMENT

DATA VALIDATION REPORT EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NOS. B223-201, B223-202, B226-107 AND B226-109

Site Name	Name E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201
Document Tracking No.	2177a		TO/TOLIN NO.	08HEU32UFUU32/0001EB2U1
Laboratory Report No.	B223-201		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029			
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples including one field blank and one field duplicate pair			
Collection Date(s)	08/09/2023			
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-080923-2/ EPD-ST-8H-WA-33-080923-2			
Field QC Blanks	EPD-ST-FB-080923-2			

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
N	Rohm & Haas IH9805 was cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.
	EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-080923-2 was voided due to pump fault.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230811E and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230811E were reported as
N	"0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs.

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	



Within Criteria	Fxceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within	Exceedance/Notes
Criteria	Exceedance/ Notes
Υ	

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Fxceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the reporting limit in the laboratory report and at the reporting limit (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B223-201

Samp_ID	Method	CAS_#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-080923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-080923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-080923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-080923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-080923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-080923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-080923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-080923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-080923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-080923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-080923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-080923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-080923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-080923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-33-080923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-33-080923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-080923-2	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-080923-2	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 U

Site Name E Palestine Site - ER		TO/TOLIN	l No	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Document Tracking No.	2177b	TO/TOLIN	I NO.	08HE0320F0032/0001EB201	
Laboratory Report No.	B223-202	Laborato	γ	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA	
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029				
Samples and Matrix	Nine air samples including one field blank				
Collection Date(s)	08/09/2023				
Field Duplicate Pairs None					
Field QC Blanks EPD-ST-FB-080923-1					

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes					
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).					
N	Rohm & Haas IH9805 was cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.					
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.					

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes			
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230811F and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230811F were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to			
	report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs.			

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	



Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Per the site-specific QAPP, 1 field duplicate sample is required per 20 samples collected. However, fewer than 1 field duplicate sample per 20 samples were collected with this sample group. Based on professional judgement, no qualifications were applied.

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes				
Υ					

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes				
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.				

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B223-202

Samp_ID	Method	CAS_#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-080923-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-C-080923-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-080923-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.016 U	0.016	ppm	0.016 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-G-080923-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.011 U	0.011	ppm	0.011 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-080923-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-080923-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.009 U	0.009	ppm	0.009 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-080923-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-080923-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-080923-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.014 U	0.014	ppm	0.014 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-080923-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-080923-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-080923-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-080923-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-080923-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-080923-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-080923-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-080923-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-080923-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 U

Site Name	E Palestine Site - ER	TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201				
Document Tracking No.	2177c	TO/TOLIN NO.					
Laboratory Report No.	B226-107	Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA				
Analyses	n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M						
Samples and Matrix	29 air samples including 1 field blank, 1 media blank, and 2 field duplicate pairs						
Collection Date(s)	08/10/2023						
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-CM-07-081023-1/EPD-PB-CM-077-081023-1						
Field Duplicate Pairs	EPD-PB-WA-05-081023-1/EPD-PB-WA-055-081023-1						
Field QC Blanks EPD-PB-FB-01-081023-1 and EPD-PB-MB-01-081023-1							

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other field sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (μ g/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
	The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably.
N	To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the chain of custody (COC) form. The ratio of field QC samples (field blanks, media blanks, field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect.
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Fxceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230814A/IHG230814B and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230814A/IHG230814B were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied.



Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Only 1 field blank sample was included in this data pacakage although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field blank per 20 field samples. No qualifications were applied because all sample results were nondetect.

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Only 2 field duplicate samples were included in this data package although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field duplicate sample per 10 field samples. Based on professional judgement, no qualifications were applied.



LCSs/LCSDs:

/ithin riteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B226-107

Samp_ID	Method	CAS_#	Analyte	Lab_Result	Lab_Qual	Units	RL	VAL_Result	VAL_Qual
EPD-PB-BKBA-01-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-BKBA-02-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-06-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-07-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-077-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-08-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-09-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-10-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-11-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-12-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-CM-14-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-DW-C-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-FB-01-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	U	ug	2	2	U
EPD-PB-MB-01-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	2	U	ug	2	2	U
EPD-PB-OD-01-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-02-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	. U
EPD-PB-OD-03-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-04-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	. U
EPD-PB-OD-05-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-OD-06-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	. U
EPD-PB-OD-07-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	. U
EPD-PB-UW-G-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	. U
EPD-PB-WA-01-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	. U
EPD-PB-WA-02-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	. U
EPD-PB-WA-03-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	. U
EPD-PB-WA-04-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	. U
EPD-PB-WA-05-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-055-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U
EPD-PB-WA-06-081023-1	NIOSH Method 1450M	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.0091	. U	ppm	0.0091	0.0091	U

Site Name E Palestine Site - ER			TO/TOLIN No.	68HE0520F0032/0001EB201	
Document Tracking No.	2177d		TO/ TOLIN NO.	080E0320F0032/0001EB201	
Laboratory Report No.	B226-109		Laboratory	Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA	
Analyses	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate and n-butyl acrylate by laboratory standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-P029				
Samples and Matrix Nine air samples including 1 field blank					
Collection Date(s) 08/12/2023					
Field Duplicate Pairs None					
Field QC Blanks EPD-ST-FB-081223-1					

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio,* Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5,* Revision 4 (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
	The results for the field blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (mg/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD).
N	Rohm & Haas IH9805 was cited in the AIHA certification as "IHGC-P029" and may be cited by the abbreviation "Rohm & Haas IH9805" or "IHGC-P029" interchangeably throughout the laboratory report.
	Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar.

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Method blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
N	Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230814G and laboratory reagent blank LRB IHG230814G were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to
	report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs.

Field blanks:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Υ	

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

MS/MSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Laboratory duplicates:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Field duplicates:

Within Criteria	Fxceedance/Notes				
N	Per the site-specific QAPP, 1 field duplicate sample is required per 20 samples collected. However, fewer than 1 field duplicate sample per 20 samples were collected with this sample group. Based on professional judgement, no qualifications were applied.				

LCSs/LCSDs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes					
Υ						

Sample dilutions:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes					
NA						

MDLs/RLs:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
Y	Method detection limits were not reported. Nondetect sample results were reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary.

Tentatively identified compounds:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes
NA	

Other [None]:

Within Criteria	Exceedance/Notes					
NA						

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

J	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.					
J+	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high.					
J-	The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low.					
NJ	The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.					
R	The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.					
U	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit).					
UJ	The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria.					

E PALESTINE SITE - ER AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B226-109

Samp_ID	Method	CAS_#	Analyte	Lab_Result Lab_Qual	RL	Units	VAL_Result VAL_Qual
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-081223-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-DW-D-081223-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-081223-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-UW-H-081223-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-081223-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-01-081223-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-081223-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-02-081223-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-081223-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-03-081223-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-081223-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-04-081223-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-081223-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-05-081223-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-081223-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	0.015 U	0.015	ppm	0.015 U
EPD-ST-8H-WA-06-081223-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	0.01 U	0.01	ppm	0.01 U
EPD-ST-FB-081223-1	IHGC-P029	103-11-7	2-Ethylhexyl acrylate	2.8 U	2.8	ug	2.8 U
EPD-ST-FB-081223-1	IHGC-P029	141-32-2	n-Butyl acrylate	1.3 U	1.3	ug	1.3 U