

December 13, 2023

Mr. Josh Peters
On-Scene Coordinator
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5
Superfund and Emergency Management Division
2565 Plymouth Road
Ann Arbor, MI 48105

We are in the process of ensuring this document is accessible to all audiences. If you need assistance accessing this document, or any material on the EPA East Palestine, Ohio emergency response web pages, please contact the Region 5 Public Information Officer on-call at:

R5 EastPalestine@epa.gov

Subject: Data Validation Report

E Palestine Site - ER

EPA Contract No.: 68HE0519D0005

Task Order/Task Order Line-Item No.: 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201

Document Tracking No. 2224

Dear Mr. Peters:

Tetra Tech, Inc. (Tetra Tech) is submitting this data validation report for 122 air samples (including 10 field duplicate samples, 6 field blank samples, and 6 media blanks) collected at the E Palestine site. The samples were collected on July 20, 23, and 28, 2023 and were analyzed for acrylates by Eurofins Analytics, LLC. The final laboratory data package was received on August 2, 2023.

Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3 (April 2023), the Tetra Tech Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4 (August 2022), and the National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review (November 2020).

No qualification or rejection of results was required for these data packages. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.

If you have any questions regarding this data validation report, please contact me via the project manager.

Sincerely,

Casey Cormier Digitally signed by Casey Cormier Date: 2023.12.13 13:32:29 -05'00'

Environmental Chemist

Enclosure

cc: Karl Schultz, Tetra Tech Program Manager

Dustin Grams, Tetra Tech Project Manager

Mayra Arroyo Ortiz, Tetra Tech Project Document Control Coordinator

TO-TOLIN File

DATA VALIDATION REPORT EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC NOS. B205-130, B206-112, B206-117, B212-133

| Site Name E Palestine Site - ER | | TO/TOLIN No. | 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 |
|---------------------------------|---|--------------|---------------------------------------|
| Document Tracking No. | 2224a | TO/TOLIN NO. | 08HEU32UFUU32/UUU1EB2U1 |
| Laboratory Report No. | B205-130 | Laboratory | Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA |
| Analyses | n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M | | |
| Samples and Matrix | es and Matrix 29 air samples including one field blank, one media blank and two field duplicate pairs | | field duplicate pairs |
| Collection Date(s) 07/20/2023 | | | |
| Field Dunlieste Daire | EPD-PB-CM-10-072023-1 / EPD-PB-CM-100-072023-1 | | |
| Field Duplicate Pairs | EPD-PB-CM-11-072023-1 / EPD-PB-CM-111-072023-1 | | |
| Field QC Blanks | EPD-PB-FB-01-072023-1 and EPD-PB-MB-0 | 1-072023-1 | |

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)*, *East Palestine Train Derailment Site*, *East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V)*, *EPA Region 5*, *Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| | The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other field sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (μ g/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD). |
| | The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably. |
| N | To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the chain of custody form. The ratio of field quality control (QC) samples (field blanks, media blanks, and field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect. |
| | Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar. |

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Method blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| | Nondetect results for laboratory method blank LMB IHG230724D and laboratory reagent blank (LRB) LRB IHG230724D were |
| N | reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and |
| | agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. |



Field blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| N | Only 1 field blank sample was included in this data package although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field blank per 20 field samples. No qualifications were applied because all sample results were nondetect. |

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

MS/MSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Laboratory duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Field duplicates:

| | Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--|--------------------|---|
| | N | Only 2 field duplicate samples were included in this data package although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field duplicate sample per 10 field samples. Based on professional judgement, no qualifications were applied. |



LCSs/LCSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| | The laboratory report and laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the laboratory control sample (LCS)/LCS duplicate (LCSD) results (+/- 1 ug), relative percent differences (+/- 2%) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be significant figures issue(s). No qualifications were applied. |
| N | The site-specific QAPP requires a LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 29 field samples in 1 sample preparation batch that included 1 LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included 2 LRBs, laboratory media blanks, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023 about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the QC sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria. |

Sample dilutions:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

MDLs/RLs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary. |

Tentatively identified compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Other [None]:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
|----|--|
| J+ | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high. |
| J- | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| R | The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit). |
| UJ | The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. |

EAST PALESTINE SITE - AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B205-130

| Sample_ID | Method | CAS# | Analyte | Lab_Result | Lab_Qual | RL | Units | VAL_Result | VAL_Qual |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|------------|----------|--------|-------|------------|----------|
| EPD-PB-BKBA-01-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | L U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-BKBA-02-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-06-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0092 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-07-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-08-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-09-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | L U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-10-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | L U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-100-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-11-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-111-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-12-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | L U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-CM-14-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-DW-D-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | l U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-FB-01-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 | U |
| EPD-PB-MB-01-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 | U |
| EPD-PB-OD-01-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | l U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-OD-02-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-OD-03-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-OD-04-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-OD-05-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-OD-06-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-OD-07-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | l U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-UW-H-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | LU | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-WA-01-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | l U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-WA-02-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | L U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-WA-03-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | l U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-WA-04-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | l U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-WA-05-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | l U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |
| EPD-PB-WA-06-072023-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | L U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | U |

| Site Name | E Palestine Site - ER | TO/TOLIN No. | 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 | | | |
|---|---|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Document Tracking No. | 2224b | TO/TOLIN NO. | 08HE0520F0032/0001EB201 | | | |
| Laboratory Report No. | B206-112 | Laboratory | Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA | | | |
| Analyses | n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M | | | | | |
| Samples and Matrix | Samples and Matrix 29 air samples including 1 field blank, 1 me | | dia blank and 2 field duplicate pairs | | | |
| Collection Date(s) | 07/23/2023 | | | | | |
| Field Duplicate Daire | EPD-PB-CM-07-072323-1/EPD-PB-CM-077-072323-1 | | | | | |
| Field Duplicate Pairs | EPD-PB-WA-05-072323-1/EPD-PB-WA-055-072323-1 | | | | | |
| Field QC Blanks EPD-PB-FB-01-072323-1 and EPD-P | | 1-072323-1 | | | | |

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| | The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other field sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (μ g/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD). |
| | The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably. |
| N | To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the chain of custody form. The ratio of field quality control (QC) samples (field blanks, media blanks, and field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect. |
| | Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar. |

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Method blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| | Nondetect results for laboratory reagent blank (LRB) LRB IHG230725B was reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied. |
| N | Laboratory method blank LMB IHG230725B contained n-butyl acrylate at concentrations less than the RLs. The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and resolved the laboratory method blank and LRB results in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied because the laboratory is only reporting down to the RL and all n-butyl acrylate sample results were nondetect. |

Field blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| N | Only 1 field blank sample was included in this data package although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field blank per 20 field samples. No qualifications were applied because all sample results were nondetect. |

Surrogates and labeled compounds:

| U | • |
|----------|------------------|
| Within | Evenedance/Notes |
| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
| NA | |

MS/MSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Laboratory duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Field duplicates:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| N | Only 2 field duplicate samples were included in this data package although the site-specific QAPP specifies the collection of 1 field duplicate sample per 10 field samples. Based on professional judgement, no qualifications were applied. |

LCSs/LCSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| | The laboratory report and laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the laboratory control sample (LCS)/LCS duplicate (LCSD) results (+/- 1 ug), relative percent differences (+/- 2%) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be significant figures issue(s). No qualifications were applied. |
| N | The site-specific QAPP requires a LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 29 field samples in 1 sample preparation batch that included 1 LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included 2 LRBs, laboratory media blanks, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023 about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the QC sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria. |

Sample dilutions:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |



Re-extraction and reanalysis:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

MDLs/RLs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary. |

Tentatively identified compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Other [None]:

| _ | • | • |
|---|----------|-------------------|
| | Within | Evenedones /Netes |
| | Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
| | NA | |

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
|----|--|
| J+ | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high. |
| J- | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| R | The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit). |
| UJ | The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. |

EAST PALESTINE SITE - AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B206-112

| Sample_ID | Method | CAS# | Analyte | Lab_Result Lab_Qua | al RL | Units | VAL_Result VAL_Qual |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|--------------------|--------|-------|---------------------|
| EPD-PB-BKBA-01-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-BKBA-02-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-06-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-07-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-077-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-08-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-09-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-10-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-11-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-12-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-14-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-DW-D-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-FB-01-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |
| EPD-PB-MB-01-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-01-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-02-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-03-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-04-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-05-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-06-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-07-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-UW-H-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-01-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-02-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-03-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-04-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-05-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-055-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-06-072323-1 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |

| Site Name | E Palestine Site - ER | TO/TOLIN No. | 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|----------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Document Tracking No. | 2224c | TO/TOLIN NO. | 08HEU32UFUU32/UUU1EB2U1 | | | | | |
| Laboratory Report No. B206-117 | | Laboratory | Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA | | | | | |
| Analyses | n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M | | | | | | | |
| Samples and Matrix | 32 air samples including 2 field blanks, 2 media blanks and 3 field duplicate pairs | | | | | | | |
| Collection Date(s) | 07/23/2023 | | | | | | | |
| | EPD-PB-CM-06-072323-2/EPD-PB-CM-066-072323-2 | | | | | | | |
| Field Duplicate Pairs | EPD-PB-OD-07-072323-2/EPD-PB-OD-077-072323-2 | | | | | | | |
| | EPD-PB-WA-06-072323-2/EPD-PB-WA-066-072323-2 | | | | | | | |
| Field QC Blanks | EPD-PB-FB-02-072323-2, EPD-PB-FB-03-07 | 2323-2, EPD-PB-MB-02 | -072323-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-072323-2 | | | | | |

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| | The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other field sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (μ g/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD). |
| | The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably. |
| N | To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the chain of custody form. The ratio of field quality control (QC) samples (field blanks, media blanks, and field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect. |
| | Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar. |

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes | | | | |
|--------------------|------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Υ | | | | | |

Method blanks:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|---|
| N | Nondetect results for laboratory reagent blank (LRB) LRB IHG230725D and laboratory method blank LMB IHG230725D were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. |



| Field blanks: | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Within Exceedance/Notes | | | | | | |
| Criteria | exceedance/Notes | | | | | |
| Y | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Surrogates and labeled compounds: | | | | | | |
| Within | Exceedance/Notes | | | | | |
| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes | | | | | |
| NA | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| MS/MSDs: | | | | | | |
| Within | Even dames /Notes | | | | | |
| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes | | | | | |
| NA | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Laboratory duplicates: | | | | | | |
| Within | Fyrandamas /Nictor | | | | | |
| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes | | | | | |
| NA | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Field duplicates: | | | | | | |
| Within | Exceedance/Notes | | | | | |
| | LACECUATICE/ NOTES | | | | | |



Criteria Y

LCSs/LCSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | The laboratory report and laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the laboratory control sample (LCS)/LCS duplicate (LCSD) results (+/- 1 ug), relative percent differences (+/- 2%), and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be significant figures issue(s). No qualifications were applied. | | | | |
| N | The site-specific QAPP requires a LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD to be analyzed per batch of 20 samples. However, the laboratory analyzed 32 field samples in 1 sample preparation batch that included 1 LRB, laboratory media blank, LCS, and LCSD, when the batch should have included 2 LRBs, laboratory media blanks, LCSs, and LCSDs. The laboratory was contacted on August 8, 2023 about this deviation from the site-specific QAPP and agreed that they would follow the QC sample frequency requirements in the site-specific QAPP in future reports. No qualifications were applied based on professional judgment because the QC sample results met the QAPP acceptance criteria, and the QC sample results from previous datasets for this project have met the QAPP acceptance criteria. | | | | |

Sample dilutions:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes | | |
|--------------------|------------------|--|--|
| NA | | | |

MDLs/RLs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary. |



Tentatively identified compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Other [None]:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
|----|--|
| J+ | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high. |
| J- | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| R | The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit). |
| UJ | The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. |

EAST PALESTINE SITE - AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B206-117

| Sample_ID | Method | CAS# | Analyte | | _Qual RL | Units | VAL_Result VAL_Qual |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|----------|----------|-------|---------------------|
| EPD-PB-BKBA-01-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0087 U | 0.0087 | ppm | 0.0087 U |
| EPD-PB-BKBA-02-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-06-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-066-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-07-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-08-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-09-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0084 U | 0.0084 | ppm | 0.0084 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-10-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0085 U | 0.0085 | ppm | 0.0085 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-11-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0086 U | 0.0086 | ppm | 0.0086 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-12-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0087 U | 0.0087 | ppm | 0.0087 U |
| EPD-PB-CM-14-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0089 U | 0.0089 | ppm | 0.0089 U |
| EPD-PB-DW-B-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-FB-02-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |
| EPD-PB-FB-03-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |
| EPD-PB-MB-02-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |
| EPD-PB-MB-03-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 U | 2 | ug | 2 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-01-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-02-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-03-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0088 U | 0.0088 | ppm | 0.0088 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-04-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-05-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-06-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-07-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0084 U | 0.0084 | ppm | 0.0084 U |
| EPD-PB-OD-077-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0084 U | 0.0084 | ppm | 0.0084 U |
| EPD-PB-UW-F-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-01-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0088 U | 0.0088 | ppm | 0.0088 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-02-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-03-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-04-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.009 U | 0.009 | ppm | 0.009 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-05-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-06-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |
| EPD-PB-WA-066-072323-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 U |

| Site Name | E Palestine Site - ER | TO/TOUNING | COUPOE 2050022 /0004 ED204 | | | | |
|--|--|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Document Tracking No. | 2224d | TO/TOLIN No. | 68HE0520F0032/0001EB201 | | | | |
| Laboratory Report No. | B212-133 | Laboratory | Eurofins Analytics, LLC – Ashland, VA | | | | |
| Analyses | n-Butyl acrylate by NIOSH Method 1450M | | | | | | |
| Samples and Matrix 32 air samples including 2 field blanks, 2 media blanks and 3 field duplicate pairs | | | | | | | |
| Collection Date(s) | 07/28/2023 | | | | | | |
| | EPD-PB-BKBA-01-072823-2/EPD-PB-BKBA-0 | 11-072823-2 | | | | | |
| Field Duplicate Pairs | EPD-PB-WA-02-072823-2/EPD-PB-WA-022-072823-2 | | | | | | |
| | EPD-PB-WA-05-072823-2/EPD-PB-WA-055-072823-2 | | | | | | |
| Field QC Blanks | Field QC Blanks EPD-PB-FB-02-072823-2, EPD-PB-FB-03-072823-2, EPD-PB-MB-02-072823-2, and EPD-PB-MB-03-072823-2 | | | | | | |

INTRODUCTION

This checklist summarizes the Stage 2A validation performed on the subject laboratory report, in accordance with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) *Guidance for Labeling Externally Validated Laboratory Analytical Data for Superfund Use* (January 2009). Analytical data were evaluated in general accordance with the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP), East Palestine Train Derailment Site, East Palestine, Columbiana County, Ohio, Revision 3* (April 2023), the Tetra Tech *Quality Assurance Project Plan, Superfund Technical Assessment and Response Team (START V), EPA Region 5, Revision 4* (August 2022), and the EPA *National Functional Guidelines (NFG) for Organic Superfund Methods Data Review* (November 2020).

OVERALL EVALUATION

No rejection or qualification of results was required for this data package. The results may be used as reported by the laboratory.



Data completeness:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | The results for the field blank and media blank were reported in units of micrograms (μ g) while the other field sample results were reported in units of μ g, milligrams per cubic meter (μ g/m3), and parts per million (ppm) (volume) in the laboratory report and only in units of ppm in the laboratory electronic data deliverable (EDD). | | | | | | |
| | The site-specific QAPP specifies analysis of acrylates in air by Eurofins Analytics, LLC standard operating procedure (SOP) IHGC-001-v.22-3. The laboratory confirmed that NIOSH Method 1450M, which is mentioned in the laboratory deliverables, is equivalent to SOP IHGC-001-v.22-3; therefore, these method references may be used interchangeably. | | | | | | |
| N | To facilitate sample reporting, large sample delivery groups may be logged by the laboratory separately by individual pages of the chain of custody form. The ratio of field quality control (QC) samples (field blanks, media blanks, and field duplicates) to non-QC field samples is monitored independent of this validation and therefore the ratio of field QC samples to non-QC field samples was not verified during this validation. No qualifications were applied because all field sample results were nondetect. | | | | | | |
| | Note, the following fields in the laboratory EDD may be formatted as date only or as date/time: Date_Collected, Date_Received, Date_Extracted, and Date_Analyzed. The time value was not required to be provided in the EDD. If no time value was provided, then the entered value may appear as date only or with a default time value of 0:00, 00:00, or similar. | | | | | | |

Sample preservation, receipt, and holding times:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| Υ | |

Method blanks:

| Within Criteri | Exceedance/Notes |
|-------------------|---|
| N | Nondetect results for laboratory reagent blanks (LRB) LRB IHG230731M and LRB IHG230731N and laboratory method blanks LMB IHG230731M and LMB IHG230731N were reported as "0" in the laboratory EDD rather than at the reporting limit (RL). The laboratory was contacted on August 28, 2023, and agreed to report nondetect laboratory method blank and LRB results at the RL in future laboratory EDDs. No qualifications were applied. |



| Field blanks: | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Within | Even dames /Notes |
| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
| Y | |
| | |
| Surrogates and labeled compounds: | |
| Within | Exceedance/Notes |
| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
| NA | |
| | |
| MS/MSDs: | |
| Within | Even dames /Notes |
| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
| NA | |
| | |
| Laboratory duplicates: | |
| Within | Fyrandamas /Nictor |
| Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
| NA | |
| | |
| Field duplicates: | |
| Within | Exceedance/Notes |
| | LACECUATICE/ NOTES |



Criteria Y

LCSs/LCSDs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| N | The laboratory report and laboratory EDD have one or more minor discrepancies in the laboratory control sample (LCS)/LCS duplicate (LCSD) results (+/- 1 ug), relative percent differences (+/-2%) and/or percent recoveries (+/- 1%) that were verified with the laboratory to be significant figures issue(s). No qualifications were applied. |

Sample dilutions:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Re-extraction and reanalysis:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

MDLs/RLs:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|--|
| Y | Method detection limits were not reported. Non-detect sample results are reported as less than the RL in the laboratory report and at the RL (flagged U) in the validated EDD and attached analytical results summary. |

Tentatively identified compounds:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Other [None]:

| Within Criteria | Exceedance/Notes |
|--------------------|------------------|
| NA | |

Overall Qualifications:

See results summary pages attached for changes to the laboratory qualifiers based upon this validation. The following is a list of qualifiers and definitions that may be used for the validation of this data package:

| J | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
|----|--|
| J+ | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased high. |
| J- | The analyte was positively identified; the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample and may be biased low. |
| NJ | The analysis indicates the presence of an analyte that has been "tentatively identified" and the associated value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample. |
| R | The sample result is rejected as unusable due to serious deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample. |
| U | The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit). |
| UJ | The analyte was analyzed for but was not detected at or above the associated value (reporting limit), which is considered approximate due to deficiencies in one or more quality control criteria. |

EAST PALESTINE SITE - AIR ANALYTICAL RESULTS SUMMARY EUROFINS ANALYTICS, LLC REPORT NO. B212-133

| Sample_ID | Method | CAS# | Analyte | Lab_Result | Lab_Qual | RL | Units | VAL_Result | VAL_Qual |
|--------------------------|--------------------|----------|------------------|------------|----------|--------|-------|------------|------------|
| EPD-PB-BKBA-01-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-BKBA-011-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-BKBA-02-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-CM-06-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-CM-07-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-CM-08-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-CM-09-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-CM-10-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-CM-11-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-CM-12-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-CM-14-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-DW-B-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-FB-02-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 | U | 2 | ug | 2 | . U |
| EPD-PB-FB-03-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 | U | 2 | ug | 2 | . U |
| EPD-PB-MB-02-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 | U | 2 | ug | 2 | <u>U</u> |
| EPD-PB-MB-03-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 2 | U | 2 | ug | 2 | <u>. U</u> |
| EPD-PB-OD-01-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-OD-02-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-OD-03-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-OD-04-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-OD-05-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-OD-06-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-OD-07-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-UW-F-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-WA-01-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-WA-02-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-WA-022-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-WA-03-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-WA-04-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-WA-05-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-WA-055-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |
| EPD-PB-WA-06-072823-2 | NIOSH Method 1450M | 141-32-2 | n-Butyl acrylate | 0.0091 | U | 0.0091 | ppm | 0.0091 | . U |