INFORMATION SESSION:
FY 2024 REQUEST FOR APPLICATIONS
CWA SECTION 319 TRIBAL COMPETITIVE GRANTS

TUESDAY, DECEMBER 5, 2023   2:30 – 3:30PM EASTERN

WEDNESDAY, DECEMBER 13, 2023   3:00 – 4:00PM EASTERN

Margot Buckelew & Steve Epting

US EPA Headquarters

A QUICK HOW-TO ON ZOOM...

Webinar slides and Q&As posted at:

http://www.EPA.gov/NPS/Tribal under the "Current Grant Info" tab

AGENDA

- Grant Program background:
  - Who can apply?
  - Funding information
  - Key dates
- What kind of work is EPA looking to fund?
- FY2024 request for applications (RFA)
  - What's changed since last year? & RFA Clarifications
  - How to navigate the RFA
  - How are applications evaluated?
  - Important reminders & key dates
- Question and answer segment
  - Questions may be typed in at any time throughout the webinar

WHO CAN APPLY?

- All Tribes eligible to receive FY2024 319 grants.
  - See https://www.Epa.Gov/NPS/current-Tribal-ss319-grant-information for list.

- Tribes <u>may</u> apply for both base & competitive 319 funds in the same year

RECENT COMPETITIVE GRANT RESULTS

Year	# Applications	# Awarded
2018	41	29
2019	46	28
2020	47	28
2021	36	32
2022	35	31
2023	35	33
Avg.	**40**	**30**

THE COMPETITIVE GRANT PROCESS

Applicant questions due to EPA by **January 4, 2024** (email Tribal319grants@EPA.gov)

⬇

Applications must submitted online at Grants.gov by **January 19, 2024** (11:59pm Eastern)

⬇

Threshold Review: EPA Regions review applications to ensure they are eligible and meet RFA threshold criteria

⬇

Evaluation Review: Eligible applications reviewed by National Review committee. Scored out of 100 points.

⬇

EPA Selection Official: Selected applications for award, based primarily on ranking.

⬇

Awards announced in Spring 2024

*So, your project schedule should not begin before fall 2024.

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THRESHOLD CRITERIA AND RANKING CRITERIA

THRESHOLD CRITERIA (SECTION III.D)

- EPA REGIONAL REVIEW

- MUST SUBSTANTIALLY COMPLY WITH SECTION IV.C

- NO SCORE

*IS THE PROPOSED WORK ELIGIBLE?

*IS THE APPLICATION PACKAGE COMPLETE?

RANKING CRITERIA (SECTION V.A)

- NATIONAL COMMITTEE REVIEW

- APPLICATIONS EVALUATED, SCORED, THEN RANKED

- MAXIMUM SCORE OF 100 POINTS

APPLICATION PACKAGE (SEE RFA PG. 17)

<u>MANDATORY DOCUMENTS:</u>

a.   SF-424 – "signed application for federal assistance"

b.   SF-424A – "budget information for non-construction programs"

c.   EPA key contacts form 5700-54

d.   EPA form 4700-4 – preaward compliance review report

e.   *Project narrative work plan (i.e., 15-page application)*

<u>OPTIONAL DOCUMENTS:</u>

g.   Supporting materials (e.g., photos, maps, figures)

h.   Negotiated indirect cost rate agreement, if applicable

WHAT KIND OF WORK IS EPA LOOKING TO FUND?

Projects that…

- Will directly improve/protect water quality by implementing on-the-ground BMPs.

- Are strategically targeted in the watershed to address a NPS pollution problem/threat.

- Demonstrate a "readiness to proceed."
  - Reasonable and achievable budget and project schedule.
  - Project team with clearly defined roles/responsibilities.

QUESTIONS?

KEY RFA REMINDERS

1. Tribal PPGs – no $ match requirement.

2. Funding request can be up to $125,000 - *new from 2023*

3. Reserved funding for Tribes that haven't received a grant FY18-23 - *new from 2023*
    - See list for reference of <u>Tribes eligible for this set-aside</u>

4. Cover page - *requirement added 2022*
    - Counted towards 15-page limit. See RFA page 19 for required elements.
    - <mark>Additional information provided this year in the RFA explicitly</mark>

5. GRTS project information - *requirement added in 2022*
    - If awarded funding, you are responsible for providing EPA project info within 90 days of your grant award. This will be included in EPA's 319 program grants reporting and tracking database (GRTS).

NEW FY24 RFA CHANGES

1. ==Significant== Ranking criteria changes (continued on next slide):

FY2023 Evaluation Scoring Criteria (OLD – No Longer Used)	FY2024 Evaluation Scoring Criteria (NEW)
A. **Subcategories of NPS pollution** *(10 points)*	**i. Project Location** *(15 points total)* • Project Site Description *(5 points)* • Waterbody Description *(5 points)* • Watershed Description *(5 points)*
B. **Water quality problems/threats** *(10 points)*	**ii. NPS Pollution Problem** *(10 points total)* • NPS Pollution Subcategories *(5 points)* • Water Quality Problems/Threats *(5 points)*
C. (30 points total) **Project goals & objectives** *(5 points)* **Work plan components** *(10 points)* **Description of BMPs & other project activities** *(10 points)* **Project location** *(5 points)*	**iii. Project Goals & Environmental Results** *(15 points total)* Project Goals *(6 points)* Environmental Outputs & Outcomes *(6 points)* Progress Tracking Plan *(3 points)*

FY2023 Evaluation Scoring Criteria (OLD – No Longer Used)	FY2024 Evaluation Scoring Criteria (NEW)
D. (10 points total) • **Link between workplan components and NPS pollution subcategories** *(5 points)* • **Water quality benefits** *(5 points)*	iv. **Project Workplan** *(25 points total)* • Project Workplan Details *(15 points)* • Roles & Responsibilities *(5 points)* • Milestone Schedule *(5 points)*
E. **Watershed approach** *(10 points)*	v. **BMP Technical Merit** *(20 points total)* • BMP justification to address NPS problem *(10 points)* • Climate Change Planning *(5 points)* • Operation & Maintenance Plan *(5 points)*
F. (10 points total) • **Environmental results** *(3 points)* • **Measuring and tracking progress** *(4 points)* • **Past performance** *(3 points)*	vi. **Project Budget** *(10 points)*
G. (10 points total) • **Project Budget** *(8 points)* • **Spending Approach** *(2 points)*	vii. **Past Performance** *(5 points)*
H. **Milestone schedule** *(5 points)*	
I. **Roles & responsibilities** *(5 points)*	

NEW FY24 RFA CHANGES (CONTINUED)

1. Detailed List of Cover Page Sections:

Cover Page (included in the 15-page Project Narrative limit and should not exceed 1 page). Include the following information in the cover page:

- **Project Title.** The project title should reflect the main project outcome/objective and should be 15 words or less.
- **Name of Tribe or Intertribal Consortium Applying for Funding**
- **Key Personnel and Contact Information** (i.e., name, email address and phone number).
- **Waterbody name and HUC12 ID Number(s).** A useful tool for looking up this information can be found here: https://www.epa.gov/waterdata/hows-my-waterway
- **Abstract/Project Summary.** The abstract should begin with one or two sentences describing the main objective of the application. It should also include a description of the main tasks, including the specific BMPs and other activities, if applicable, to be implemented as part of the proposed project, as well as a description of the anticipated environmental outcomes. The entire abstract should be 250 words or less.
- **Pollution Category and Subcategory.** NPS pollution categories and subcategories can be found in Appendix B.
- **Anticipated Environmental Outputs and Outcomes.** Include a brief description of the anticipated pollutant reduction measures and results. Detailed explanations and information should be included in the Project Narrative and will be evaluated as described in Section V.A.iii.
- **Total Project Cost.** Specify the amount of CWA §319 federal funds requested and the non- federal cost share/match, if applicable, for the proposed project.

NEW FY24 RFA CHANGES (CONTINUED)

2. Appendix of Categories and Subcategories of NPS Pollution

Appendix B: Categories and Subcategories of NPS Pollution

Agriculture:
- Irrigated Crop Production
- Non-Irrigated Crop Production
- Specialty Crop Production (e.g. horticulture/citrus/nuts/fruits)
- Grazing-Related Sources
- Pasture Grazing
- Range Grazing
- Aquaculture

Animal Feeding Operations
No subcategories

Silviculture:
- Harvesting/Residue Management
- Forest Management (e.g. pumped drainage/fertilization/pesticide application)
- Road Construction/Maintenance
- Reforestation

Construction:
- Highways/Roads/Bridges
- Land Development or Redevelopment

- Mine Tailings
- Mill Tailings
- Petroleum Activities
- Dredge Mining
- Abandoned Mine Drainage

Land Disposal/Storage/Treatment:
- Wastewater
- Landfills
- Inappropriate Waste Disposal
- Industrial Land Management
- On-site/Decentralized Wastewater Treatment
- Hazardous Waste
- Septage Disposal
- Waste Storage/Storage Tank Leaks (above ground)
- Waste Storage/Storage Tank Leaks (underground)

Hydromodification:
- Upstream Impoundment
- Dam Construction

RFA CLARIFICATIONS

- Past performance, evaluation criterion vii (page 22)

  - Provide a list of federally-funded assistance agreements from the last <mark>5 years.</mark> No more than 5, <mark>for similar scopes of work to the proposed project (i.e. Primarily BMP implementation</mark>).

  - For each agreement listed:

    - Provide a brief statement on how you documented/reported on progress towards expected results.

    - If you didn't make expected progress, state how you documented this.

RFA CLARIFICATIONS

- ## Supporting materials, (page 18)

  - ### Not included in 15-page application limit.

  - ### E.g., Letters of support, data graphs, site photos, BMP diagrams

    *Items explicitly required under the evaluation criteria <u>do not</u> qualify as supporting materials and are counted towards the 15-page limit. E.g., Work plan table, budget table, project schedule.

QUESTIONS?

GRANTS.GOV℠
FIND. APPLY. SUCCEED.®

Help | Register | Login

Home   Learn Grants ⌄   Search Grants   Applicants ⌄   Grantors ⌄   System-To-System ⌄   Forms ⌄   Connect ⌄   Support ⌄

VIEW GRANT OPPORTUNITY

EPA-OW-OWOW-24-01

Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Request for Applications from Indian Tribes and Intertribal Consortia for Nonpoint Source Management Grants Under Clean Water Act Section 319

Environmental Protection Agency

Environmental Protection Agency

Apply

| SYNOPSIS | VERSION HISTORY | RELATED DOCUMENTS | PACKAGE |

General Information

Document Type:	Grants Notice	**Version:**	Synopsis 1
Funding Opportunity Number:	EPA-OW-OWOW-24-01	**Posted Date:**	Nov 21, 2023
		Last Updated Date:	Nov 21, 2023
Funding Opportunity Title:	Fiscal Year (FY) 2024 Request for Applications from Indian Tribes and Intertribal Consortia for Nonpoint Source Management Grants Under Clean Water Act Section 319	**Original Closing Date for Applications:**	Jan 19, 2024
		Current Closing Date for Applications:	Jan 19, 2024
Opportunity Category:	Discretionary	**Archive Date:**	Feb 18, 2024
Opportunity Category Explanation:		**Estimated Total Program Funding:**	$ 3,500,000
		Award Ceiling:	$125,000
Funding Instrument	Cooperative AgreementGrant	**Award Floor:**	$

GRANTS.GOV RESOURCES

Support center available 24/7 (closed on federal holidays)

- Email: support@grants.Gov
- Phone: 1-800-518-4726 (toll-free) | 1-606-545-5035 (international)

Resources

- Workspace overview: https://www.Grants.Gov/web/grants/applicants/workspace-overview.Html
- Youtube training & webinar videos: https://www.Youtube.Com/user/grantsgovus/featured
- Online user guide: https://www.Grants.Gov/help/html/help/getstarted/get_started.Htm
- FAQs: http://www.Grants.Gov/web/grants/applicants/applicant-faqs.Html
- Grants.gov self-service web portal: https://grants-portal.Psc.Gov
- Blog: https://blog.Grants.Gov/

Navigating the RFA

TABLE OF CONTENTS

21

I. Funding Opportunity Description

- Describes the types of projects EPA is looking to fund
- Language about EPA's Strategic Plan, outputs and outcomes (see Criterion iii)

II. Award Information
- Funding available for competition (max $125K/project)
- Funding is for grants and cooperative agreements

TABLE OF CONTENTS

23

TABLE OF CONTENTS

III. Eligibility Information

- Who is eligible? CWA Section 319-eligible Tribes.
- Cost-share/match info.
- **Threshold Evaluation Criteria** (i.e., what EPA reviews to make sure you submitted a complete, eligible application before sending to the review committee).
- Funding restrictions on watershed planning, Admin costs, WQ monitoring, intertribal consortia.
- PPG info

24

IV. Application and Submission Information

- Must apply through Grants.gov "Workspace", a few limited exceptions
- Application Due Date! (**January 19, 2024**)
- What to include in your application package.
- **How to format your application (Section IV.B.2)** and what to include to address each ranking criterion. *15-page limit for project narrative.

25

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VI. Award Administration Information
- When EPA will notify applicants after **threshold** and **evaluation** reviews.
- What you'll need to include in final work plan, if selected.
- Reporting requirements during grant period.
- Additional requirements (e.g., Satisfactory Progress, O&M)
- Filing a dispute

27

TABLE OF CONTENTS

VII. Agency Contacts
- Who to contact at EPA (in most cases, Tribal319grants@EPA.gov)

VIII. Other Information
- QA/QC, data sharing

Appendix A – Watershed Plan Elements

Appendix B (NEW) – Categories and Subcategories of NPS Pollution

QUESTIONS SO FAR?

SEVEN RANKING CRITERIA SECTION V.A. OF RFA

Application Tips

RANKING CRITERION (i)

Project Location (**15 points total**)

a) The proposed project site(s), including the location of the site(s) relative to the waterbody/waterbodies impacted by NPS pollution and any notable features relevant to the proposed NPS project (e.g., roads, project access points, proximity to other relevant locations). (5 points)

b) The waterbody, including water body type and importance, that will be protected or restored as a result of the proposed project. (5 points)

c) The watershed (HUC12 watershed or smaller, as appropriate) in which the proposed project will take place, including a description of watershed make-up (e.g. predominant land uses) as relevant to the proposed project and how the proposed project addresses priority NPS issue(s) within the watershed and how the project connects with other ongoing or planned NPS management activities within the watershed. (5 points)

*Refer to your Tribe's NPS program documents and EPA Tribal NPS handbook for NPS pollution sources.

RANKING CRITERION (i)

Project Location (**15 points total**)

a) The proposed project site(s), including the location of the site(s) relative to the waterbody/waterbodies impacted by NPS pollution and any notable features relevant to the proposed NPS project (e.g., roads, project access points, proximity to other relevant locations). (5 points)

b) The waterbody, including water body type and importance, that will be protected or restored as a result of the proposed project. (5 points)

c) The watershed (HUC12 watershed or smaller, as appropriate ~~project will take place, including a description of waters~~ predominant land uses) as relevant to the proposed pr~~~~ project addresses priority NPS issue(s) within the ~~~~ connects with other ongoing or planned NPS mana~~~~ watershed. (5 points)

*Refer to your Tribe's NPS program docume~~~~ __handbook__ for NPS pollution sources.

- Information on where the project will be taking place, the waterbody of concern, and information on the watershed
- Include information on other NPS projects, prioritization of NPS work for the Tribe

Examples of demonstrating location with supporting materials:

Prioritization of existing NPS problem areas (e.g., eroding streambanks)

Linking water quality monitoring data to problem and proposed project location.

EPA Linked Data: Water Monitoring

Description	Value
Shape	Point
Source Originator	21VASWCB
Source Feature Identifier	1ADOC001.16
Program Identifier	Water Monitoring

(More about this Surfacewater Feature)

Tools:
• Watershed Report

Zoom to

https://www.EPA.gov/waterdata/waters-geoviewer

Priority Problems
- Creek Flooding
- Localized Flooding
- Erosion
- Water Quality

http://www.austintexas.gov/page/district-9-watershed-profile

33

RANKING CRITERION (ii)

NPS Pollution Problem (**10 points**)

a) The NPS pollution sources at the subcategory level that you will address through the proposed project. **(5 points)**

a) The water quality problem or threats caused by the NPS pollution source(s) to be addressed through the proposed project; including information sources and evidence. **(5 points)**

RANKING CRITERION (ii)

NPS Pollution Problem (**10 points**)

a) The NPS pollution sources at the subcategory level that you will address through the proposed project. **(5 points)**

a) The water quality problem or threats caused by the NPS pollution source(s) to be addressed through the proposed project; including information sources and evidence. **(5 poi**

- Describe the sources you will be addressing in project;
- Knowledge sources beyond data collection may serve as evidence for this (discussions from elders, anecdotal evidence of uses/access/condition declining etc.)

NPS POLLUTION SOURCES ADDRESSED BY COMPETITIVE 319 PROJECTS AWARDED IN RECENT YEARS

Show the water quality threat or problem.

http://septicrehab.com/images/septic_system_failure.jpg

Failing septic system

https://conservationdistrict.org/2014/is-your-stream-bank-heading-downstream.html

Eroding streambank

RANKING CRITERION (iii)

Project Goals and Environmental Results **(15 points total)**

a)   Project goal(s) that link to the NPS pollution source(s) and water quality problem/threat described in the application, as well as a priority in the Tribal NPS management program. (6 points)

b)   Anticipated environmental outputs and outcomes (see section i.B for examples of outputs and outcomes), and how the outputs and outcomes are linked to EPA's FY2022-2026 strategic plan. (6 points)

c)   Aplan for measuring and tracking progress toward achieving the expected outputs and outcomes (3 points)

RANKING CRITERION (iii)

Project Goals and Environmental Results **(15 points total)**

a) Project goal(s) that link to the NPS pollution source(s) and water quality problem/threat described in the application, as well as a priority in the Tribal NPS management program. (6 points)

b) Anticipated environmental outputs and outco~~mes~~ examples of outputs and outcomes), and h~~ow~~ are linked to EPA's FY2022-2026 stra~~tegic~~

c) A plan for measuring and tracking prog~~ress~~ expected outputs and outcomes (3 poi~~nts~~

- Focus primarily on goals related to addressing the problem/threat you have identified in (ii)
- Link the proposed project to your NPS Management plan
- Outputs = deliverables you will achieve during the project period.
- Outcomes = results, effects, or consequences of outputs and is related to achieving the goal

Example Goals, Objectives, and Proposed Activities

Goal 1:

Decrease sediment and bacteria loading to meet water quality targets to support designated beneficial uses in Oak Creek.

Objective 1:

Remove livestock access to Oak Creek.

Management Actions:
1. Install livestock exclusion fencing
2. Install off-site water supply for livestock

Objective 2:

Stabilize eroding streambank and restore riparian area at former livestock access point.

Management Actions:
1. Stabilize 100 ft. of streambank
2. Riparian planting on 0.25 acres

RANKING CRITERION (iv)

Project Workplan (**25 points total**)

a) Complete details for the project workplan, including a detailed breakdown of tasks to implement the proposed BMP(s), as well as other eligible project activities, where applicable. (15 points)

b) The roles and responsibilities for each workplan component including responsible parties and lead contacts. (5 points)

c) A milestone schedule that covers each year of the project period and includes a breakout of the project activities into phases with associated tasks and timeframe, interim milestone dates for each project component, and a clearly articulated approach, procedures, and controls to ensure that awarded funds will be expended in a timely and efficient manner. (5 points)

RANKING CRITERION (iv)

Project Workplan (**25 points total**)

a) Complete details for the project workplan, including a detailed breakdown of tasks to implement the proposed BMP(s), as well as other eligible project activities, where applicable. (15 points)

b) The roles and responsibilities for each workplan comp[onent] and lead contacts. (5 points)

c) A milestone schedule that covers each year of [the] breakout of the project activities into phases w[ith] interim milestone dates for each project comp[onent] approach, procedures, and controls to ensure th[at] a timely and efficient manner. (5 points)

- All this information can be included on one table (example on slide 43)
- Break down work plan into specific tasks with timelines associated (could a new staff person pick up work plan and complete project?)
- ID lead person/agency for each work plan component

RANKING CRITERION (v)

BMP Technical Merit (**20 points total**)

a) A justification for why the proposed BMPs are best suited to address the NPS pollution source(s) and water quality problem/threat identified in the application. (10 points)

b) Potential climate change impacts to the project site and how future conditions will impact the performance of proposed BMPs, and whether/how the applicant considered these potential impacts in the design of the proposed project. (5 points)

c) The plan for operating and maintaining the proposed BMP(s) to ensure they remain effective for their expected lifespan. (5 points)

RANKING CRITERION (v)

BMP Technical Merit (**20 points total**)

a) A justification for why the proposed BMPs are best suited to address NPS pollution source(s) and water quality problems in the application. (10 points)

b) Potential climate change impacts and how future conditions will impact the project, including whether/how the applicant has incorporated this into the design of the proposed project.

c) The plan for operating and maintaining the BMPs so they remain effective for their lifespan.

- Provide detailed info on BMPs including planned or conceptual design, when applicable.
- Justification on why this BMP is best suited for the identified problems
- Considerations on how conditions may change under future climate scenarios and how the BMP design will be responsive to this
- We encourage you to use tools like: https://www.epa.gov/climate-change-water-sector/climate-change-and-water-tools
- A plan to maintain the BMP for it's life span (usually 10 years)

COMMON BMPS IMPLEMENTED BY COMPETITIVE 319 PROJECTS IN RECENT YEARS

Best Management Practice
Streambank & Shoreline Protection
Riparian Planting
Fencing
Outreach & Education
Stream Crossing Improvements
Erosion & Sediment Control Practices
Wetland Restoration
Native Plant Propagation & Planting
Invasive Species Management
Vegetative Buffer Strips

RANKING CRITERION (vi)

Project Budget (**10 points total**)

- The reasonableness of the budget and estimated funding amounts for each work plan component/task.

- Applications will be evaluated based on the adequacy and specificity of the information provided in the detailed budget and whether the proposed costs are reasonable and allowable. Total project costs must include both federal and the required non-federal cost share/match. The cost-effectiveness and reasonableness of all costs (federal and non-federal cost share/match will also be evaluated. (10 points)

RANKING CRITERION (vi)

Project Budget (**10 points total**)

- The reasonableness of the budget and estimated funding amounts for each work plan component/task.

- Applications will be evaluated based on the adequacy and specificity of the information provided in the detailed budget and whether the proposed costs are reasonable and allowable. Total project costs must in~~c~~ and the required non-federal cost share/match. The co~~s~~ reasonableness of all costs (federal and non-federa~~l~~ be evaluated. (10 points)

- Provide cost estimate for each work plan component
- Specify direct, indirect and match costs, when applicable.

CALCULATING THE MATCH

Federal Share	Non-Federal Match Percent	Federal Share Percent	Non- Federal Match	Total Project Cost
$125,000	40%	60%	$83,333	$208,333
$125,000	10%	90%	$13,889	$138,889

Example calculation:
If you know the total project costs:
(1) multiply the total project costs by the cost share/match % needed.
(2) the total is your cost share/ match amount.

For example: if your total project cost = $208,333 and you need 40% cost share/match, then $208,333 x .40 = $83,333 (cost share/match).

Example Workplan

49

Example project budget table

Activity	Amount	Cost	Total
Project Goal: Decrease sediment and bacteria loading to meet water quality targets to support designated beneficial uses in Oak Creek.			
Objective 1: Remove livestock access to Oak Creek			
Fencing materials	0.5 miles	$400/mile	$200
Work crew to complete fencing and restoration	60 hours	$80/hr	$4,800
Livestock off-site watering structures	2 units	$1,500 per unit	$3,000
Objective 2: Stabilize eroding streambank and restore riparian area at former livestock access point			
Bank stabilization materials	100 ft	$20/ft	$2,000
Native riparian plants	50 plantings	$30/planting	$1,500
Native grass seed mix	50 lbs	$10/lb	$500
		Total	$12,000

RANKING CRITERION (vii)

Past Performance (**5 points**)

- Reported on progress towards achieving the expected results (e.g., outputs and outcomes) under the federally funded assistance agreements (assistance agreements include grants and cooperative agreements but not contracts) performed **within the last five years for similar scopes of work to the proposed project,** and if such progress was not being made, whether the applicant adequately documented and/or reported why not. (5 points)

RANKING CRITERION (vii)

Past Performance (**5 points**)

- Reported on progress towards achieving the expected results (e.g., outputs and outcomes) under the federally funded assistance agreements (assistance agreements include grants and cooperative agreements but not contracts) performed **within the last five years f** **similar scopes of work to the proposed project** was not being made, whether the applicant ade and/or reported why not. (5 points)

Past performance – how did you track and report progress under previous grants?

QUESTIONS ON CRITERIA?

KEY DATES

- January 4, 2024: last day to submit questions (Tribal319grants@EPA.Gov)

- *January 19, 2024*: application deadline.
  - Submissions via grants.gov – by 11:59pm EST
  - Late applications will not be considered for funding

- Spring 2024: EPA announces selections.

- Summer 2024: final award packages to grantees.

*So, your project schedule should not begin before fall 2024.

IMPORTANT REMINDERS

- You must submit through grants.gov

- Maximum federal request amount: $125,000

- 15-page (single-spaced) limit on the project narrative
  - Additional pages allowed for supporting materials (maps, data graphs, site photos, etc.)

- Review committee can only evaluate application based on information provided. They will not have access to your NPS assessment report or NPS management program plan

QUESTIONS?

TRIBAL319GRANTS@EPA.GOV

SEE HTTPS://WWW.EPA.GOV/NPS/CURRENT-TRIBAL-SS319-GRANT-INFORMATION FOR MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THE FY2024 COMPETITIVE GRANT.