

Technical Support Document for the Proposed Rule:

Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River

United States Environmental Protection Agency

Office of Water

December 2023

Table of Contents

Acronyms and Abbreviations

“Ammonia Nitrogen”	Combination of ammonia (NH_3) and ammonium (NH_4^+)
CPUE	Catch Per Unit Effort
CSO	Combined Sewer Overflow
DNREC	Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control
DPS	Distinct Population Segment
DRBC	Delaware River Basin Commission
EFDC	Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code
EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
ESA	Endangered Species Act
GAM	Generalized Additive Model
HSI	Habitat Suitability Index
LC50	The dissolved oxygen level causing 50% mortality in 24 hours
LC5	The dissolved oxygen level causing 5% mortality in 24 hours
mg/L	Milligrams per Liter
mg-N/L	Milligrams Nitrogen per Liter
NH_3	Ammonia
NH_4^+	Ammonium
NOAA Fisheries	National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, National Marine Fisheries Service
POSAT	Percent Oxygen Saturation
ppt	Parts Per Thousand
QGAM	Quantile Generalized Additive Model
“Relevant Zones” or “Specified Zones”	Zone 3, Zone 4, and the upper portion of Zone 5 (in total, river miles 108.4 to 70.0)
“Restored Scenario”	Dissolved oxygen levels following implementation of pollution control actions at certain wastewater treatment plants
USGS	United States Geological Survey
WASP	Water Quality Analysis Simulation Program
°C	Degrees Celsius
%	Percent
> , = , <	Greater Than, Equal To, Less Than

Executive Summary

This document explains the basis and derivation of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) proposed dissolved oxygen criteria for Zone 3, Zone 4, and the upper portion of Zone 5 (in total, river miles 108.4 to 70.0; hereafter, referred to as "specified zones" or "relevant zones") of the Delaware River, presented in the associated proposed rule, *Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River*. EPA's proposed criteria are based on the best available scientific information and would be protective of aquatic life designated uses that include propagation.

EPA derived dissolved oxygen criteria largely based on the oxygen requirements of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon, a federally endangered species that is among the most oxygen-sensitive species in the relevant zones of the Delaware River. EPA's proposed criteria apply to three seasons – *Spawning and Larval Development* (March 1 – June 30), *Juvenile Development* (July 1 – October 31), and *Overwintering* (November 1 – February 28/29) – which are intended to protect Atlantic Sturgeon and other oxygen-sensitive aquatic life in the relevant zones (Table ES-1).

To derive criteria for the *Juvenile Development* season, EPA developed an Atlantic Sturgeon cohort model that describes the effects of water temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen on the potential growth and mortality of a hypothetical cohort of juvenile fish spawned during a single year. EPA's cohort model predicts the maximum fraction of the cohort that survives through October 31 and the maximum potential production of biomass from July 1 to October 31. Using outputs of the cohort model along with recent water quality monitoring data, EPA defined a habitat suitability index based on water temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen, where suitable habitat is defined as habitat that supports increasing biomass of the annual cohort more often than not. EPA selected dissolved oxygen criteria magnitudes and exceedance frequencies based on the distribution of dissolved oxygen values that, if attained, would provide suitable habitat during the *Juvenile Development* season.

To derive criteria for the *Spawning and Larval Development* and *Overwintering* seasons, EPA determined – based on fish physiology and water temperature trends throughout the year – that the dissolved oxygen threshold that is protective for juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon experiencing stressful (high) water temperatures during the *Juvenile Development* season would also be protective for larvae and overwintering juveniles not experiencing high water temperatures.

Table ES-1. EPA's Proposed Dissolved Oxygen Criteria

Season	Magnitude (Percent Oxygen Saturation)	Duration	Exceedance Frequency
Spawning and Larval Development *(March 1 – June 30)*	66%	Daily Average	10% *(12 Days Cumulative)*
Juvenile Development *(July 1 – October 31)*	66%	Daily Average	10% *(12 Days Cumulative)*
	74%	Daily Average	50% *(61 Days Cumulative)*
Overwintering *(November 1 – February 28/29)*	66%	Daily Average	10% *(12 Days Cumulative)*

1 Introduction

On December 1, 2022, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) determined that revised water quality standards are necessary to protect aquatic life in certain water quality management zones of the Delaware River. Specifically, EPA issued an Administrator's Determination, pursuant to the Clean Water Act section 303(c)(4)(B), finding that a revised designated use to protect aquatic life propagation and corresponding dissolved oxygen criteria to protect that use are necessary in Zone 3, Zone 4, and the upper portion of Zone 5 (in total, river miles 108.4 to 70.0) of the Delaware River (hereafter, referred to as "specified zones" or "relevant zones"). This technical support document contains the scientific information, methods, and technical analyses used to derive the dissolved oxygen criteria for EPA's proposed rule, *Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River*. The dissolved oxygen criteria are intended to protect EPA's proposed aquatic life designated use for New Jersey and Pennsylvania, as well as Delaware's current aquatic life designated use, all of which include a component for aquatic life propagation.[1]

1.1 Background

The Delaware River has historically been home to numerous species of ecological, recreational, and economic importance; however, centuries of anthropogenic water quality impacts and habitat degradation, peaking in the mid-twentieth century, made portions of the river unsuitable for many aquatic species. In the 1700s and 1800s, many native fish species in the Delaware River faced declining populations due to overharvesting and the installation of

---

[1] More information about designated uses related to EPA's proposed rule is available in the preamble.

physical barriers that prevented fish passage.[2] Further population declines of native oxygen-sensitive species – such as the Atlantic Sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus oxyrinchus*), American Shad (*Alosa sapidissima*), Shortnose Sturgeon (*Acipenser brevirostrum*), and Striped Bass (*Morone saxatilis*), among others[3] – were linked to accelerating degradation of water quality through the first half of the 1900s, including seasonal anoxia (i.e., absence of oxygen) by the mid-twentieth century in Zone 3, Zone 4, and the upper portion of Zone 5 of the Delaware River.[4]

Dissolved oxygen is an important water quality parameter that can significantly influence the distribution and abundance of aquatic organisms and ecological relationships in aquatic ecosystems. Aquatic organisms need to obtain adequate levels of dissolved oxygen to maintain and support normal functioning, including during sensitive life stages, such as spawning, larval development, and juvenile growth.[5] As dissolved oxygen levels decrease in a waterbody, the rate at which aquatic organisms can obtain oxygen from the water decreases, resulting in impaired growth and reduced survival. Maintaining a healthy ecosystem requires dissolved oxygen levels above thresholds that impair growth and survival of aquatic species.

1.1.1 Causes of Low Dissolved Oxygen in the Specified Zones of the Delaware River

Discharges of untreated or poorly treated municipal and industrial wastewater into the specified zones of the Delaware River have historically been a major cause of water quality degradation, including oxygen depletion.[6] While conditions have significantly improved, inputs of oxygen-consuming wastes from wastewater dischargers, especially ammonia (NH_3) and ammonium (NH_4^+) (which in combination are hereafter referred to as "ammonia nitrogen"), as

---

[2] Hardy, C. A. (1999). Fish or Foul: A History of the Delaware River Basin Through the Perspective of the American Shad, 1682 to the Present. Pennsylvania History, 66(4), 506-534. https://digitalcommons.wcupa.edu/hist_facpub/13; Secor, D.H. and Waldman, J. (1999). Historical abundance of Delaware Bay Atlantic sturgeon and potential rate of recovery. American Fisheries Society Symposium. 23. 203-216.https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291783957_Historical_abundance_of_Delaware_Bay_Atlantic_sturgeon_and_potential_rate_of_recovery; Smith, T.I.J., & Clugston, J.P. (1997) Status and management of Atlantic sturgeon, Acipenser oxyrinchus, in North America. Environmental Biology of Fishes 48, 335–346. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007307507468; National Marine Fisheries Service. (1998). Recovery Plan for the Shortnose Sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum). Prepared by the Shortnose Sturgeon Recovery Team for the National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland. 104 pages. https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/15971

[3] Stoklosa, A.M., Keller, D.H., Marano, R., and Horwitz, R.J. (2018). "A Review of Dissolved Oxygen Requirements for Key Sensitive Species in the Delaware Estuary." Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University. November 2018. https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/Review_DOreq_KeySensSpecies_DelEstuary_ANStoDRBCnov2018.pdf

[4] See citations in footnote 2 above; Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. (1981). Interstate Fisheries Management Plan for the Striped Bass. http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/file/1981FMP.pdf

[5] United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2021). Factsheet on Water Quality Parameters: Dissolved Oxygen. July 2021. Document ID: EPA 841F21007B. https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2021-07/parameter-factsheet_do.pdf; United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2023a). Indicators: Dissolved Oxygen. June 9, 2023. https://www.epa.gov/national-aquatic-resource-surveys/indicators-dissolved-oxygen

[6] Hardy (1999); Delaware River Basin Commission. (2022a). Analysis of Attainability: Improving Dissolved Oxygen and Aquatic Life Uses in the Delaware River Estuary. September 2022 Draft. See section 3 – "Factors that can Improve Dissolved Oxygen in the Fish Maintenance Area." https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/AnalysisAttainability/AnalysisAttainability_DRAFTsept2022.pdf

well as sediment-water ammonium flux and sediment oxygen demand continue to be significant sources of oxygen demand in the specified zones of the Delaware River.[7]

Along the Delaware River, untreated wastewater discharges typically occur during and after rainfall due to combined sewer overflows (CSOs), which are a source of nutrients (i.e., nitrogen and phosphorus), sediments, and toxic contaminants, and can lead to increased chemical and biological oxygen demand in the river.[8] Although the cumulative impact of historical CSOs on sediment oxygen demand in the Delaware River has not been estimated, CSOs can over time increase or maintain sediment oxygen demand as untreated organic material settles on the riverbed and is broken down by oxygen consuming bacteria (thus, removing oxygen from the water column), a process that continues long after the end of an overflow event.[9] CSOs have been a persistent source of pollutants in the specified zones of the Delaware River for over a century. For example, sewer overflows from Philadelphia in the early 1900s deposited over 200,000 tons of solids per year, which, in combination with other solid wastes, created deposits 12 feet deep in the river.[10] From July 1, 2021, to June 30, 2022, Philadelphia's wastewater system alone discharged over 1.7 billion cubic feet of CSOs into the Delaware River.[11]

Although most point source discharges today are treated, treated effluent can still contain high levels of ammonia nitrogen, which depletes oxygen in the water as bacteria oxidize ammonia into nitrite and nitrate.[12] During the reporting periods from July through October 2022, major wastewater treatment facilities along the Delaware River discharged ammonia nitrogen at monthly average concentrations ranging from a low of 0.07 milligrams nitrogen per liter (mg-N/L) at the Florence Township Sewage Treatment Plant in New Jersey (discharging into Zone 2 of the Delaware River) to a high of 35 mg-N/L at the Camden County Municipal Utilities Authority in New Jersey (discharging into Zone 3 of the Delaware River).[13]

---

[7] Delaware River Basin Commission. (2022b). Modeling Eutrophication Processes in the Delaware River Estuary – Three-Dimensional Water Quality Model. https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/AnalysisAttainability/WQModelCalibrationRpt_DRAFTsept2022.pdf

[8] Miskewitz, R. and Uchrin, C. (2013). In-Stream Dissolved Oxygen Impacts and Sediment Oxygen Demand Resulting from Combined Sewer Overflow Discharges. Journal of Environmental Engineering, 139(10). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0000739

[9] Miskewitz and Uchrin (2013)

[10] Hardy (1999)

[11] Philadelphia Water Department. (2022). Combined Sewer Management Program Annual Report. Stormwater Management Program Annual Report. See Appendix D – "NPDES Annual CSO Status Report FY 2022," Table 2 – "Overflow Summary for 7/1/2021 – 6/30/2022." https://water.phila.gov/pool/files/fy22-npdes-annual-report.pdf

[12] United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2023b). Ammonia. https://www.epa.gov/caddis-vol2/ammonia

[13] Each individual reporting period is one month long. For the reporting period ending on September 30, 2022, Florence Township Municipal Building discharged an average of .07 mg/L of ammonia. For the reporting period ending on July 31, 2022, Camden County Municipal Utilities Authority discharged an average of 35 mg/L of ammonia. Source: United States Environmental Protection Agency. Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS). Database. Retrieved June 29, 2023.

1.1.2 Endangered Species in the Specified Zones of the Delaware River

The Delaware River is home to two oxygen-sensitive fish species – Shortnose Sturgeon and Atlantic Sturgeon – that are protected under the federal Endangered Species Act (ESA). All populations of Shortnose Sturgeon were listed as endangered in 1967.[14] Across the U.S., Shortnose Sturgeon face ongoing threats due to water pollution, habitat degradation, and fisheries bycatch, among other factors.[15] While the historic population size of Shortnose Sturgeon in the Delaware River remains unknown, in 2006 the population was estimated to be approximately 12,000 adults.[16] The New York Bight distinct population segment (DPS) of Atlantic Sturgeon – which includes the population found in the Delaware River – was listed as endangered under the ESA in 2012.[17] In 2017, the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA Fisheries) designated the Delaware River, among others, as critical habitat for the New York Bight DPS of Atlantic Sturgeon,[18] and reaffirmed its endangered listing in 2022 following a five-year review of its status.[19] The remnant population of the New York Bight DPS of Atlantic Sturgeon faces ongoing threats due to water quality in natal rivers, such as the Delaware River, as well as climate change, ship strikes, fisheries bycatch, and entanglement in fishing gear.[20,21] Like the Shortnose Sturgeon, the historic population size of Atlantic Sturgeon is not well documented. However, in 1890, when the population was already declining, there were approximately 180,000 female Atlantic Sturgeon in the Delaware River.[22] Despite improvements in dissolved oxygen levels since the 1970s, it is estimated that only 125 – 250 adult Atlantic Sturgeon currently return to spawn in the Delaware River.[23]

---

[14] Federal Register, Vol. 32, No. 48. March 11, 1967. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/s3//2022-12/4000-4002.pdf

[15] NOAA Fisheries. (2023a). Shortnose Sturgeon – Overview. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/species/shortnose-sturgeon

[16] *Id.*; NOAA Fisheries. (2023b). Shortnose Sturgeon – Populations. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/species/shortnose-sturgeon#populations

[17] Federal Register, Vol. 77, No. 24. February 6, 2012. 77 FR 5879. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2012/02/06/2012-1946/endangered-and-threatened-wildlife-and-plants-threatened-and-endangered-status-for-distinct

[18] Federal Register, Vol. 82, No. 158. August 17, 2017. 50 CFR Part 226. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/08/17/2017-17207/endangered-and-threatened-species-designation-of-critical-habitat-for-the-endangered-new-york-bight

[19] National Marine Fisheries Service. (2022). New York Bight Distinct Population Segment of Atlantic Sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus oxyrinchus*), 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. February 17, 2022. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/document/new-york-bight-distinct-population-segment-atlantic-sturgeon-5-year-review

[20] *Ibid*. See Section 2.3.2, "Five-Factor Analysis (threats, conservation measures, and regulatory mechanisms)."

[21] Dunton, K.J., Jordaan, A., Conover, D.O., McKown, K.A., Bonacci, L.A., and Frisk, M.G. (2015). Marine Distribution and Habitat Use of Atlantic Sturgeon in New York Lead to Fisheries Interactions and Bycatch. Marine and Coastal Fisheries 7:18-32. https://doi.org/10.1080/19425120.2014.986348; Atlantic Sturgeon Bycatch Working Group. (2022). Action Plan to Reduce Atlantic Sturgeon Bycatch in Federal Large Mesh Gillnet Fisheries. NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service. https://media.fisheries.noaa.gov/2022-09/Final-Action-Plan-to-Reduce-Atlantic-Sturgeon-Bycatch.pdf

[22] Secor and Waldman (1999)

[23] White, S.L., Sard, N.M., Brundage, H.M., Johnson, R.L., Lubinski, B.A., Eackles, M.S., Park, I.A., Fox, D.A., and Kazyak, D.C. (2022). Evaluating Sources of Bias in Pedigree-Based Estimates of Breeding Population Size. Ecological Applications 32(5): e2602. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2602

In addition to being listed as endangered under the ESA, available evidence suggests that Shortnose Sturgeon and Atlantic Sturgeon are the most oxygen-sensitive species in the specified zones of the Delaware River. In general, all sturgeon species share common life history traits,[24] among which they are recognized to be relatively more sensitive to low dissolved oxygen levels compared to other co-occurring fish.[25,26] Sturgeons are considered unusually sensitive to hypoxia given their documented metabolic and behavioral responses and limited ability to oxyregulate.[27] Juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon are particularly sensitive to low dissolved oxygen levels, especially at high water temperatures,[28] such as those typically present at the peak of summer in the Delaware River.[29] A literature review across oxygen-sensitive species in the Delaware River indicates that Atlantic Sturgeon, particularly the juvenile life stage, have the highest documented dissolved oxygen requirements for growth and survival when compared to other oxygen-sensitive species in the specified zones of the Delaware River.[30] In its five-year review of the listing of the New York Bight DPS of Atlantic Sturgeon, NOAA Fisheries observed a continuation of low dissolved oxygen conditions in the Delaware River and around the expected location of age 0-1 Atlantic Sturgeon.[31] Low oxygen levels can lead to habitat displacement effects whereby juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon seeking relief are constrained to waters that remain suboptimal for growth due to other limiting factors (e.g., higher salinity waters).[32] NOAA Fisheries also noted studies linking age 0-1 Atlantic Sturgeon capture rates in the fall to the preceding summer dissolved oxygen conditions in the Delaware River, providing further evidence that low dissolved oxygen levels are a contributor to the mortality of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon.[33]

---

[24] Federal Register, Vol. 82, No. 158. August 17, 2017. 50 CFR Part 226. pp. 39161-39163. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/08/17/2017-17207/endangered-and-threatened-species-designation-of-critical-habitat-for-the-endangered-new-york-bight

[25] *Ibid*. p. 39162, see Dees (1961), Sulak and Clugston (1999), Billard and Lecointre (2001), Secor and Niklitschek (2002), and Pikitch et al. (2005), cited therein.

[26] Stoklosa et al. (2018); Secor, D.H. and Niklitschek, E.J. (2001). Hypoxia and Sturgeons: Report to the Chesapeake Bay Program Dissolved Oxygen Criteria Team. March 29, 2001.Reference Number: [UMCES] CBL 01-0080. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277065759_Hypoxia_and_Sturgeons_report_to_the_Chesapeake_Bay_Program_Dissolved_Oxygen_Criteria_Team

[27] Secor and Niklitschek (2001). Oxyregulation refers to an organism's ability to maintain metabolic rates as the oxygen level in the water declines.

[28] Secor, D., and T. Gunderson. (1998). Effects of hypoxia and temperature on survival, growth, and respiration of juvenile Atlantic sturgeon, Acipenser oxyrinchus. Fishery Bulletin 96:603-613.; Niklitschek, E. (2001). Bioenergetics modeling and assessment of suitable habitat for juvenile Atlantic and shortnose sturgeons (Acipenser oxyrinchus and A. brevirostrum) in the Chesapeake Bay. University of Maryland at College Park.

[29] More information is available in the associated document, *Technical Support Document for the Proposed Rule: Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River*

[30] Stoklosa et al. (2018)

[31] National Marine Fisheries Service (2022). See Section 2.3.2.1, "Present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range."

[32] *Ibid*. See Allen et al. (2014), cited therein.

[33] *Ibid*. See Moberg and DeLucia (2016), Stetzar et al. (2015), and Park (2020), cited therein.

1.1.3 Dissolved Oxygen Trends in the Specified Zones of the Delaware River

Dissolved oxygen levels in Zone 3, Zone 4, and the upper portion of Zone 5 of the Delaware River mirror trends in historic pollutant loading and recent pollution control efforts in the river. Average summer dissolved oxygen levels in the Delaware River near Chester, Pennsylvania (Zone 4) declined from near saturation in the late 1880s to near zero (i.e., anoxia) in the 1950s and 1960s.[34] Starting in 1970, dissolved oxygen levels began to increase steadily in association with declining ammonia nitrogen concentrations in the river.[35] Reductions in nutrient concentrations, including ammonia nitrogen, have been documented across the Delaware River watershed through at least 2018.[36] However, dissolved oxygen levels in the summer remain low enough to limit the growth and survival of oxygen-sensitive species and life stages, such as juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon.[37] Recent modeling studies have shown that further reductions in pollutant loading, including a reduction in the volume and frequency of CSOs as well as enhanced treatment of ammonia nitrogen discharges, could significantly improve the dissolved oxygen conditions in the relevant zones of the Delaware River.[38]

1.2 Scope of EPA's Proposed Rule

In accordance with the Administrator's Determination, EPA's proposed rule, if finalized, would apply to Zone 3, Zone 4, and the upper portion of Zone 5 of the Delaware River (in total, river miles 108.4 to 70.0), for the states of Delaware, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania (Table 1, Figure 1).

Table 1. Zones of the Delaware River Covered by EPA's Proposed Rule

Segment of the Delaware River	River Miles	States Affected
Zone 3	108.4 to 95.0	New Jersey, Pennsylvania
Zone 4	95.0 to 78.8	New Jersey, Pennsylvania
Zone 5 – Upper Portion	78.8 to 70.0	Delaware, New Jersey

---

[34] Sharp, J. (2010). Estuarine oxygen dynamics: What can we learn about hypoxia from long-time records in the Delaware estuary? Limnology and Oceanography, 55(2), 535-548.

[35] Sharp (2010)

[36] Shoda, M.E., and Murphy, J.C. (2022). Water-quality trends in the Delaware River Basin calculated using multisource data and two methods for trend periods ending in 2018. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2022–5097. https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20225097

[37] More information is available in the associated document, *Technical Support Document for the Proposed Rule: Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River*; Delaware River Basin Commission (2022a); Niklitschek, E., and D. Secor. (2009a). Dissolved oxygen, temperature and salinity effects on the ecophysiology and survival of juvenile Atlantic sturgeon in estuarine waters: I. Laboratory results. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 381:S150-S160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2009.07.018; Stoklosa et al. (2018).

[38] Delaware River Basin Commission (2022a, 2022b)



Figure 1: Map of the Delaware River Watershed and Zones Covered by EPA's Proposed Rule. EPA's proposed rule would apply to the urban stretch of the Delaware River in Zone 3, Zone 4, and the upper portion of Zone 5 (in total, river miles 108.4 to 70.0). There are two relevant continuous monitoring stations in these zones, one at Penn's Landing (Zone 3) and one at Chester (Zone 4). Atlantic Sturgeon spawning and juvenile development has been frequently documented near Marcus Hook, PA. Sources: The watershed boundary, zone boundaries, and river shapefiles were provided by the Delaware River Basin Commission. EPA obtained data on urban areas from the U.S. Census Bureau. The location coordinates for the monitoring stations are from the U.S. Geological Survey. Service Layer Credit: ESRI Light Gray Basemap.

2 Water Quality Conditions in the Delaware River

This section provides a description of selected water quality characteristics (dissolved oxygen, temperature, and salinity) in the specified zones of the Delaware River using recent observed data and projections of water quality conditions under a restored scenario.

2.1 Observed Conditions

Water quality data for the relevant zones of the Delaware River are available from two continuous monitoring gage stations jointly maintained by the United States Geological Survey (USGS) and the Delaware River Basin Commission (DRBC). Measurements from the Delaware River at Penn's Landing include data from several locations near the gage station in Zone 3 (Figure 1).[39] Measurements from the Delaware River at Chester, PA include data from several locations near the gage station in Zone 4 (Figure 1).[40] The water quality record at both sites extends from the early 1960s through the present.

EPA obtained data on daily average, daily minimum, and daily maximum values for continuously monitored water temperature, specific conductivity, and dissolved oxygen from both the Penn's Landing and Chester monitoring sites. Data availability varied across seasons and years, with larger gaps in the record during winter months in many years when data were not collected. For the summer months, EPA filled small gaps in the data by interpolating from available observations; EPA did not interpolate data to fill large gaps in the winter months. Data from 2010 were not included in further analyses because a substantial period of missing data was present in early July at both the Chester and Penn's Landing locations. Daily means and data gaps for July to October for the years 2002 – 2022 are presented in Appendix 1.

From 2002 to 2022, median water temperature was greater than 20°C by June 1st and remained above that level until early October. Median water temperature reached a seasonal maximum of 27°C in mid-August, with daily averages in some years reaching over 30°C (Figure 2). During winter, water temperature was always less than 18°C, with a median of approximately 9°C.

EPA calculated salinity from measurements of specific conductivity and water temperature.[41] The relevant zones of the Delaware River are freshwater to oligohaline. Median salinity was less than 0.5 ppt at both Chester and Penn's Landing monitoring stations during each season. At Chester, salinity increased in some years during late summer to fall, but was always less than 2 ppt.

---

[39] USGS 01467200 Delaware River at Penn's Landing, Philadelphia, PA. Retrieved March 9, 2023. https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/inventory/?site_no=01467200&agency_cd=USGS

[40] USGS 01477050 Delaware River at Chester PA. Retrieved January 31, 2023. https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/inventory?agency_code=USGS&site_no=01477050

[41] Using ec2pss function in wql package in R. Source: Jassby, A., Cloern, J., and Stachelek, J. wql: Exploring Water Quality Monitoring Data. R package version 1.0.0. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/wql/index.html

EPA calculated percent oxygen saturation from dissolved oxygen concentrations, salinity, and water temperature using:

$$Percent\ Oxygen\ Saturation = \frac{100 \cdot [DO]}{[DO]_s} \qquad \text{(eq. 1)}$$

where $[DO]_s$ is the calculated solubility of oxygen at sea level at the observed salinity and water temperature.[42] Dissolved oxygen concentrations quantify the amount of oxygen in the water, most often using units of milligrams per liter (mg/L). Percent oxygen saturation quantifies the amount of oxygen in water in relation to the oxygen concentration in the water when at equilibrium with the atmosphere.

There are two main reasons why percent saturation is EPA's preferred metric when evaluating aquatic life requirements for this proposed rule. First, as noted by Niklitschek and Secor (2009a), percent oxygen saturation or partial pressure are the most biologically relevant measures of oxygen level. This reflects that fact that these measures determine the maximum gradient in partial pressure across biological membranes, such as the gill lamellae of fish, and therefore the maximum rate at which aquatic organisms may obtain oxygen from the water. Dissolved oxygen concentrations, on the other hand, vary with water temperature and salinity even if the partial pressure or percent oxygen saturation does not vary. Thus, physiological effects of oxygen levels are *directly* related to percent oxygen saturation and *indirectly* related to dissolved oxygen concentration.

Second, percent oxygen saturation varies with water temperature to a much smaller degree than does the dissolved oxygen concentration (Figure 2). Because oxygen solubility is higher in cold water than in warm water, dissolved oxygen concentrations are often much higher in cold water. The strong negative relationship between dissolved oxygen concentration and temperature can complicate interpretation of seasonal dissolved oxygen patterns. For example, in the Delaware River, dissolved oxygen concentrations increase quickly during fall as temperatures decrease, even though percent saturation increases more slowly (Figure 2). In this example, the increasing oxygen concentration gives the appearance that oxygen availability to aquatic organisms is increasing more rapidly than it is actually increasing. Given this relationship between temperature and dissolved oxygen concentration, criteria expressed as concentration will likely be above or below the protective threshold at various times of the year, whereas criteria expressed as percent oxygen saturation would be protective throughout the year.

Therefore, for this proposed rule EPA is evaluating oxygen requirements and thresholds in terms of percent oxygen saturation, rather than as concentrations.

---

[42] Using gas_O2sat function in the marelac package in R. Source: Soetaert, K., Petzoldt, T., Meysman, F., Meire, L. marelac: Tools for Aquatic Sciences. R package version 2.1.10. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/marelac/index.html

Median percent oxygen saturation was lowest from late July to early August, reaching 64% at Chester and 58% at Penn's Landing. Minimum saturation levels were 46% at Chester and 38% at Penn's Landing, with the lowest values distributed from mid-July to late August at Chester and from mid-June to mid-September at Penn's Landing (Figure 2). During November through February, oxygen levels remained at or above 66% at Chester and 69% at Penn's Landing, with median values of 85% and 88%, respectively. Oxygen concentrations followed a more pronounced seasonal pattern than percent oxygen saturation because concentrations reflect both net metabolism (i.e., production and consumption of oxygen in the river) and oxygen solubility, the latter of which depends on the relatively predictable pattern of water temperature (Figure 2). Oxygen concentrations increased rapidly in September, reflecting increasing percent oxygen saturation and decreasing water temperature (Figure 2).

The importance of dissolved oxygen for defining Atlantic Sturgeon habitat suitability within the relevant zones of the Delaware River reflects the fact the salinity and water temperature are generally in a suitable range. Salinity is consistently low and though water temperature varies seasonally, spatial variability is subtle. Water temperatures are slightly lower in the upstream end of the relevant zones (e.g., Penn's Landing or landward), compared with the more estuary-adjacent region of the river (Chester or seaward; Figure 2). In the Delaware River, as would be expected in most natural waters, the lowest oxygen levels mostly coincided with the highest water temperatures.



Figure 2: Seasonal Distributions of Selected Water Quality Parameters at the Chester and Penn's Landing Monitoring Stations. Each measurement taken between 2002 to 2022 is represented by a grey circle. Red lines indicate the daily median for the period of record. Vertical dashed lines indicate the seasons corresponding with EPA's proposed dissolved oxygen criteria.

2.2 Projected Future (Restored) Conditions

EPA expects that future pollution treatment and controls – including reductions in effluent ammonia discharge and increased effluent dissolved oxygen concentrations – to implement revised dissolved oxygen criteria will significantly improve dissolved oxygen levels in the specified zones of the Delaware River.[43] DRBC modeled the effect of pollution reduction on dissolved oxygen levels in the Delaware River using an Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code (EFDC) hydrodynamic model coupled with a water quality analysis simulation program (WASP) eutrophication model.[44] DRBC provided EPA with vertically averaged water quality simulation results at a 2-hour interval under restored conditions for the years 2012, 2018, and 2019.[45]

EPA estimated a time series of restored dissolved oxygen concentrations for July through October from 2002 to 2022 for the Chester and Penn's Landing water quality monitoring stations using a generalized additive model (GAM).[46] The GAM relates observed daily mean dissolved oxygen at the monitoring stations to daily means of restored scenario predictions for those locations from DRBC's EFDC-WASP model (Appendix 2). The GAM has the form:

$$DO_{res} \sim s(DO_{obs}) + s(Q) \qquad \text{(eq. 2)}$$

where DO_{res} is the daily mean dissolved oxygen under the restored scenario, $s(DO_{obs})$ is a smooth function of the observed daily average dissolved oxygen (mg/L), and $s(Q)$ is a smooth function of the daily discharge (m^3/s) of the Delaware River measured at the USGS gauging station at Trenton, NJ. GAMs were fitted separately for the Chester and Penn's Landing monitoring locations (Appendix 2).

Percent oxygen saturation during the *Juvenile Development* season (July 1 - October 31) was projected to increase by an average of 9.3% across both sites, with a 2.3% larger increase at Chester compared to Penn's Landing. Dissolved oxygen levels closer to the lower end of the seasonal distribution were projected to increase more than higher values. For example, the 10th percentile of percent oxygen saturation across both sites was projected to increase by 12.6%, while the median percent oxygen saturation was projected to increase by 9.6%, similar to the average change.

---

[43] More information is available in the associated rule document, *Economic Analysis for the Proposed Rule: Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River*.

[44] Delaware River Basin Commission (2022b)

[45] In this analysis, "restored conditions" or "restored scenario" refer to dissolved oxygen levels in the specified zones following implementation of expected CSO long-term control plans, reductions in effluent ammonia nitrogen, and increases in effluent dissolved oxygen at certain wastewater treatment plants. More information is available in the associated rule document, *Economic Analysis for the Proposed Rule: Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River*.

[46] Although DRBC provided modeled data for the full area of the specified zones, EPA chose to evaluate conditions at the two monitoring stations (Chester and Penn's Landing) for consistency with the available observed data.

3 Methodology for Deriving Dissolved Oxygen Criteria

This section describes EPA's approach for developing dissolved oxygen criteria for Zone 3, Zone 4, and the upper portion of Zone 5 for the Delaware River. Section 3.1 discusses the applicability and use of existing EPA guidance documents for dissolved oxygen criteria derivation. Section 3.2 explains how EPA selected three distinct seasons for criteria development. Section 3.3 outlines EPA's modeling approach used to calculate dissolved oxygen criteria during the *Juvenile Development* season (July – October). Section 3.4 describes the criteria development process for the remaining two seasons, *Overwintering* (November – February) and *Spawning and Larval Development* (March – June).

3.1 Existing EPA Methodology and Guidance Documents

Under Clean Water Act section 304(a), EPA publishes, from time to time, national recommended aquatic life criteria for a variety of pollutants and parameters. EPA's 1986 *Quality Criteria for Water* ("Gold Book")[47] and the 2000 *Ambient Aquatic Life Water Quality Criteria for Dissolved Oxygen (Saltwater): Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras* ("Virginian Province Document")[48] contain EPA's Clean Water Act section 304(a) national recommended criteria for dissolved oxygen in freshwater and saltwater environments, respectively. The relevant zones of the Delaware River include a freshwater to oligohaline tidally-influenced reach.

The Gold Book recommends different freshwater dissolved oxygen criteria for protection of coldwater versus warmwater species. Coldwater values are recommended for waters with salmonids or other coldwater or coolwater fish with similar sensitivities. Water temperature and species composition indicates that the relevant zones of the Delaware River support warmwater species. EPA's national recommended dissolved oxygen criteria for early life stages[49] in warmwater environments are 6.0 mg/L as a 7-day mean and 5.0 mg/L as a 1-day minimum. For all other life stages, EPA's national recommended dissolved oxygen criteria are 5.5 mg/L as a 30-day mean, 4.0 mg/L as a 7-day mean minimum, and 3.0 mg/L as a 1-day minimum. The Gold Book recommendations are intended to protect a wide range of aquatic organisms nationally. Given the site-specific nature of EPA's proposed rule, presence of oxygen-sensitive endangered species, and abundance of site-specific water quality and species-specific data, EPA chose to derive site-specific criteria to protect the oxygen-sensitive endangered species in the specified zones of the Delaware River and not rely on the national recommendations in the Gold Book in this instance.

---

[47] United States Environmental Protection Agency. (1986). Quality Criteria for Water 1986. Document ID: EPA 440/5-86-001. May 1, 1986. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2018-10/documents/quality-criteria-water-1986.pdf

[48] United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2000). Ambient Aquatic Life Water Quality Criteria for Dissolved Oxygen (Saltwater): Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras. Document ID: EPA-822-R-00-012. November 2000. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2018-10/documents/ambient-al-wqc-dissolved-oxygen-cape-code.pdf

[49] "Early life stages" includes all embryonic and larval stages and all juvenile forms to 30-days following hatching.

The Virginian Province Document, published in 2000, recommends acute and chronic dissolved oxygen criteria for marine waters and a recommended approach for deriving dissolved oxygen criteria given required data on acute and chronic effects of low oxygen on resident species. There are several reasons why the criteria and recommended approach from the Virginian Province Document cannot be applied to determine dissolved oxygen criteria for the relevant zones of the Delaware River. First, the test data included in the Virginian Province Document and used for criteria derivation are for marine species tested under salinity levels much higher than the levels that occur in the relevant zones of the Delaware River. Most of the tested taxa do not occur in the tidal-fresh Delaware River, where salinity is typically less than 0.5 ppt and always less than 2 ppt (Figure 2). Second, in part due to the intended application to saltwater taxa, the recommended criteria in the Virginian Province Document are far lower than levels that protect freshwater species. The recommended acute criterion (2.3 mg/L) is less than both the existing 24-hour average criterion for the specified zones of the Delaware River (3.5 mg/L)[50] and oxygen levels observed in recent years, when juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon were observed only intermittently (Figure 2). The chronic criterion recommended in the Virginian Province Document for application to the 30-day average (4.8 mg/L) is likewise below the Gold Book freshwater criterion for the 30-day average for warmwater fisheries (5.5 mg/L) and is too low to protect Atlantic Sturgeon (section 4). Finally, The Virginian Province Document recognizes the potential limitations of the approach it recommends, noting that "in cases where a threatened or endangered species occurs at a site, and sufficient data exist to suggest that it is more sensitive at concentrations above the criteria, it is appropriate to consider development of site-specific criteria based on this species." Recognizing that this situation applies to the specified zones of the Delaware River, EPA followed this particular recommendation of the Virginian Province Document and separately evaluated the dissolved oxygen requirements of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon, as detailed in section 3.3.

3.2 Delineating Seasons for Criteria Derivation

EPA is proposing to define three distinct seasons for criteria derivation based largely on Atlantic Sturgeon early life stages. Atlantic Sturgeon are a federally endangered species and are found throughout the specified zones.[51] As explained above (section 3.1) and in the introduction (section 1.1), available evidence relating dissolved oxygen levels to the critical endpoints of growth and survival of juveniles suggests that Atlantic Sturgeon are the most oxygen-sensitive species in the specified zones of the Delaware River. Deriving dissolved oxygen criteria based

---

[50] More information on current dissolved oxygen criteria in the specified zones is available in section III(D) of the rule preamble.

[51] Section 1.1; Stoklosa et al. (2018); Moberg, T. and DeLucia. M. (2016). Potential Impacts of Dissolved Oxygen, Salinity and Flow on the Successful Recruitment of Atlantic Sturgeon in the Delaware River. The Nature Conservancy. https://conservationgateway.org/ConservationPractices/Freshwater/HabitatProtectionandRestoration/Documents/DelawareAtlanticSturgeonReport_TNC5172016.pdf; Federal Register, Vol. 82, No. 158. August 17, 2017. 50 CFR Part 226. (pp. 39161-39163). https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/08/17/2017-17207/endangered-and-threatened-species-designation-of-critical-habitat-for-the-endangered-new-york-bight

on the requirements of sensitive and important species,[52] which can include threatened or endangered species, to ensure protection of the applicable aquatic life designated use is consistent with prior EPA guidance and actions.[53] Additionally, several laboratory studies (detailed in section 3.3) provide EPA with sufficient data to evaluate quantitative relationships between water quality parameters and juvenile sturgeon growth and mortality. Thus, EPA concluded that deriving criteria largely based on Atlantic Sturgeon early life stages would ensure that the proposed and applicable aquatic life designated uses are protected.

Atlantic Sturgeon return from marine habitats to spawn in the Delaware River in the spring, generally during May and June, with egg and larval development occurring during May through July and growth and development of young-of-the-year juveniles increasing during July.[54] The dates of major phases of the life history of Atlantic Sturgeon can vary between years and are difficult to quantify because Atlantic Sturgeon are rare in the Delaware River.[55] Research that might provide more evidence is limited by the protected status of the species.[56] EPA focused on the period after July 1 as the period of interest for modeling juvenile growth and development in the Delaware River because available data suggest that juveniles are present during this period and that oxygen and water temperatures that occur during this period are likely to affect their growth and survival.[57] By November, oxygen levels are relatively high and growth rates are limited by low water temperatures rather than oxygen levels, a characteristic of the overwintering period.[58] Therefore, while juvenile development continues beyond October 31, EPA selected this date to mark the transition from the juvenile development period to the overwintering period.

---

[52] Stephen, C.E., Mount, D.I., Hansen, D.J., Gentile, J.R., Chapman, G.A., and Brungs, W.A. (1985). Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Organisms and Their Uses. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Document ID: PB85-227049. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2016-02/documents/guidelines-water-quality-criteria.pdf

[53] United States Environmental Protection Agency (2000); United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2003). Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Dissolved Oxygen, Water Clarity and Chlorophyll a for the Chesapeake Bay and its Tidal Tributaries. April 2003. Document ID: EPA 903-R-03-002. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100YKPQ.PDF?Dockey=P100YKPQ.PDF

[54] Moberg and DeLucia (2016)

[55] *Id*. Because fish spawning is often largely dependent on water temperature, there is variation in the timing of early life stages and migration between years and between populations of the same species that are in different geographic locations. For example, the timing of Atlantic Sturgeon spawning in the Delaware River may occur later than the Atlantic Sturgeon spawning in Virginia rivers. Thus, to determine an appropriate date to mark the transition between larval developlement and juvenile rearing, EPA relied on the limited studies evaluating the timing of Delaware River Atlantic Sturgeon early life stages.

[56] Federal Register, Vol. 77, No. 24. February 6, 2012. 77 FR 5879. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2012/02/06/2012-1946/endangered-and-threatened-wildlife-and-plants-threatened-and-endangered-status-for-distinct

[57] Sections 2.1 and 3.2.2; Moberg and DeLucia (2016); Stoklosa et al. (2018); Delaware River Basin Commission. (2022c). Linking Aquatic Life Uses with Dissolved Oxygen Conditions in the Delaware River Estuary. November 2022. Draft. https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/AnalysisAttainability/LinkingALDU-DO_DRAFTnov2022.pdf

[58] Details are available in section 4.1.2

The endangered Atlantic Sturgeon and Shortnose Sturgeon are not the only oxygen-sensitive species present in the specified zones of the Delaware River. A comprehensive literature review identified additional species that likely face lethal and sub-lethal effects at various early life stages due to low levels of dissolved oxygen in the Delaware River Estuary.[59] While life stages of various fish and aquatic invertebrates differ, general groupings can be developed that cover a range of species. For example, spawning generally occurs from March through June for the American Shad, Channel Catfish, Striped Bass, Largemouth Bass, White Perch, and Yellow Perch.[60] This period overlaps with the spawning period of Atlantic Sturgeon. Therefore, while EPA defined seasons generally based on the life stages of Atlantic Sturgeon, these seasons are likely to be protective of early life stages of other oxygen-sensitive species in the specified zones of the Delaware River. By developing criteria that are protective of Atlantic Sturgeon life stages, EPA concluded that the criteria are also protective of other resident and migratory aquatic species in the specified zones of the Delaware River.

Thus, EPA derived dissolved oxygen criteria for the following three seasons that are intended to protect Atlantic Sturgeon life stages, while also protecting a range of other aquatic species sensitive life stages. The *Spawning and Larval Development* season occurs from March 1 to June 30.[61] The *Juvenile Development* season occurs from July 1 – October 31. Finally, the *Overwintering* season occurs from November 1 – February 28/29.

3.3 Ecological Modeling to Derive Criteria for the *Juvenile Development* Season

EPA developed an Atlantic Sturgeon cohort model that describes the effects of temperature, salinity, and dissolved oxygen on the growth and mortality of a hypothetical cohort or group of juvenile fish spawned during a single year (section 3.3.1). The cohort model predicts the maximum fraction of the cohort that survives through October 31 and the maximum relative change in biomass from July 1 to October 31.[62] As part of the cohort model, EPA developed a mortality model (section 3.3.2) and a growth model (section 3.3.3) to predict the daily instantaneous mortality rate and growth rate, respectively, for members of the cohort. Lastly, EPA defined an index of habitat suitability based on selected water quality parameters to evaluate dissolved oxygen levels that would provide suitable habitat for juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon (section 3.3.4).

---

[59] Stoklosa et al. (2018)

[60] Stoklosa et al. (2018); Delaware River Basin Commission. (2015). Existing Use Evaluation for Zones 3, 4, & 5 of the Delaware Estuary Based on Spawning and Rearing of Resident and Anadromous Fishes. September 30, 2015. https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/ExistingUseRpt_zones3-5_sept2015.pdf

[61] EPA defined the *Spawning and Larval Development* season as starting on March 1st to encompass the spawning period of several oxygen-sensitive species in the specified zones. Although Atlantic Sturgeon spawning typically occurs beginning in May, defining the season as starting in March ensures that the criteria are protective of a broader range of species, including the Atlantic Sturgeon.

[62] The cohort model cannot predict the absolute number of individuals surviving because the initial number of individuals resulting from spawning and larval development is not known.

3.3.1 Atlantic Sturgeon Cohort Model

EPA followed the approach in Niklitschek and Secor (2005) and expressed production (*P*) of an annual cohort of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon over an interval of *t* days as the product of the change in average weight of individuals and the number of surviving individuals, using the following formula:

$$P = \frac{W_0 e^{(G_{max} t)} N_0 e^{-(Z_{min} t)}}{W_0 N_0} \qquad \text{(eq. 3)}$$

where G_{max} (d^{-1}) is the average instantaneous daily growth rate assuming maximum ration and Z_{min} (d^{-1}) is the average instantaneous daily mortality rate resulting from stress due to water temperature and low dissolved oxygen only, omitting other sources of mortality such as predation, deprivation, or disease. The initial weight of individuals in the cohort (W_0) and the initial cohort abundance (N_0) appear in both the numerator and denominator and can be eliminated from equation 3, leaving:

$$P = e^{(G_{max} - Z_{min})t} = e^{\varphi_{pp} t} \qquad \text{(eq. 4)}$$

where

$$\varphi_{pp} = G_{max} - Z_{min} \qquad \text{(eq. 5)}$$

defines the instantaneous daily production potential, φ_{pp} , or the instantaneous amount of biomass produced per unit of cohort biomass per day.[63]

EPA calculated the minimum mortality rate (Z_{min}) from a regression model relating published estimates of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon mortality under experimental treatments of water temperature and percent oxygen saturation or oxygen concentration (section 3.3.2, Table 2).[64] Next, EPA calculated the maximum daily instantaneous production rate (G_{max}) using a bioenergetics model that depends on salinity (ppt), water temperature (°C), percent oxygen saturation, and fish size (g).[65] Descriptions of the regression model and the bioenergetics model are provided below in sections 3.3.2 and 3.3.3, respectively.

In cohort model simulations, EPA assumed the initial weight of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon to be 20 g on July 1, based on the 6 to 48 g size of young-of-the-year juveniles included in laboratory studies by Niklitschek and Secor (2009a). Initial fish weight was subsequently evaluated and adjusted as a calibration parameter to match observed fish weights, resulting in a final value of 27 g for the initial weight. Fish weight (g) was calculated daily as

---

[63] Niklitschek, E. J., and Secor, D.H. (2005). Modeling spatial and temporal variation of suitable nursery habitats for Atlantic sturgeon in the Chesapeake Bay. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 64:135-148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2005.02.012

[64] Niklitschek and Secor (2009a); United States Environmental Protection Agency (2003); Campbell, J., and Goodman, L. (2004). Acute sensitivity of juvenile shortnose sturgeon to low dissolved oxygen concentrations. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 133:722-776. https://doi.org/10.1577/T02-070.1

[65] Niklitschek, E. J., and Secor, D.H. (2009b). Dissolved oxygen, temperature and salinity effects on the ecophysiology and survival of juvenile Atlantic sturgeon in estuarine waters: II. Model development and testing. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 381:S161-S172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2009.07.019

$$W_t = W_{t-1}e^{G_{max,t}} \quad \text{(eq. 6)}$$

where $G_{max,t}$ is the calculated value of G_{max} on day t. Similarly, the number of surviving individuals from the cohort on day t was calculated as

$$N_t = N_{t-1}e^{-Z_{min}} \quad \text{(eq. 7)}$$

EPA ran simulations using observed dissolved oxygen, water temperature, and salinity at the Chester and Penn's Landing monitoring stations for 2002-2022. Simulations were also run using the estimates of restored dissolved oxygen – along with observed water temperature and salinity – for the same stations. EPA computed seasonal average G_{max}, Z_{min}, and φ_{pp} in each scenario after the seasonal simulations were completed.

3.3.2 Mortality Model

Results of experimental studies illustrate that juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon and Shortnose Sturgeon are sensitive to moderately low dissolved oxygen levels and their sensitivity to low dissolved oxygen increases at high (i.e., stressful) water temperature,[66] a pattern that occurs in other estuarine and marine fishes and can be predicted based on principles of fish physiology.[67] To meet the requirements of the cohort model used in this study, EPA combined these observations and used them to fit a regression model that predicts mortality resulting from low dissolved oxygen given any temperature and dissolved oxygen level.

Secor and Gunderson (1998) describe results of experiments in which Atlantic Sturgeon experienced "Low" (~3 mg/L) and "High" (~7 mg/L) dissolved oxygen concentrations at temperatures of 19°C and 26°C and in tanks that were either sealed, limiting access of experimental fish to the water surface, or unsealed. In sealed tanks at 26°C and with low dissolved oxygen, 100% mortality occurred within 24 hours. Most individuals survived 10 days at high dissolved oxygen regardless of temperature and whether the tanks were sealed or not. At 19°C, most fish survived at both "low" and "high" dissolved oxygen, but 25% died in the low dissolved oxygen treatment, generally between 3 and 6 days. In the unsealed tanks at 26°C, fish survived low dissolved oxygen for 2 to 9 days, but all fish eventually died, with most fish succumbing between 3 and 6 days.[68] Although the results characterized a pattern of response, this study did not estimate instantaneous mortality rates or dissolved oxygen levels causing a specified fraction of mortality (e.g., LC50), and therefore did not provide a basis for EPA to use when quantifying the effect of low dissolved oxygen on survival.

Campbell and Goodman (2004) provide estimates of the 24-hour LC50 for juvenile Shortnose Sturgeon in four water temperature ranges between 22°C and 29°C. Reported experimental temperature and salinity was used to conbvert their estimates of 24-hour LC50 expressed as dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/L) to equivalent levels as percent oxygen

---

[66] Secor and Gunderson (1998), Campbell and Goodman (2004), Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)

[67] Portner, H.O., and Knust, R. (2007). Climate change affects marine fishes through the oxygen limitation of thermal tolerance. Science 315:95-97. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1135471; Kraus, R.T., Secor, D.H., and Wingate, R.L. (2015). Testing the thermal-niche oxygen-squeeze hypothesis for estuarine striped bass. Environmental Biology of Fishes 98:2083-2092. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-015-0431-3

[68] Table 2 in Secor and Gunderson (1998)

saturation. EPA calculated the instantaneous daily mortality rate for a 24-hour LC50 by recognizing that at the LC50 threshold, the fraction of individuals surviving 24-hours (S) is 0.5, and the instantaneous mortality rate is therefore $-\ln S = 0.6931$. This provided four estimates that can be used in a regression model (Table 2). Using an average LC5:LC50 ratio of 1.38,[69] EPA (2003) estimated that the 24-hour LC5 (i.e., the dissolved oxygen level causing 5% mortality in 24 hours) for Shortnose Sturgeon at 29°C was 4.3 mg/L,[70] which was converted to 56.53 percent oxygen saturation associated with a daily mortality rate of $-\ln(0.95) = 0.0513$ (Table 1).

Niklitschek and Secor (2009a) reported estimates of daily instantaneous mortality rates observed in a partial factorial experimental design involving >20-day exposures of a total of 172 fish to 30%, 70%, and 100% oxygen saturation at 20°C and 28°C. No mortality occurred at 100% saturation, but measurable mortality occurred at 70% saturation and rates appeared to increase approximately exponentially with declining dissolved oxygen levels. Some variability may relate to differences caused by salinity because the experimental design had an unequal number of fish assigned to each treatment and did not have any fish assigned to some treatment combinations within the factorial experimental design. EPA obtained mean estimates of daily mortality rates for each treatment from the upper panel of Figure 7 in Niklitschek and Secor (2009a; Table 2).

Table 2. Daily Instantaneous Mortality Rates of Juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon Used to Predict Mortality Across the Observed Range of Water Temperature and Dissolved Oxygen Level

Percent Oxygen Saturation	Water Temperature (°C)	Mortality Rate (/d)	Source
33.04	24.8	0.6931	Campbell and Goodman (2004)[1,2]
40.76	28.8	0.6931	Campbell and Goodman (2004)[1,2]
25.58	22.1	0.6931	Campbell and Goodman (2004)[1,2]
27.62	26.2	0.6931	Campbell and Goodman (2004)[1,2]
100.00	20	0.0000	Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)
100.00	28	0.0000	Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)
70.00	20	0.0100	Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)
70.00	28	0.0400	Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)
40.00	20	0.0180	Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)
40.00	28	0.0250	Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)
30.00	20	0.0400	Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)
56.53	28.8	0.0513	EPA (2003)[2,3]

[1] Experiments used juvenile Shortnose Sturgeon
[2] Daily instantaneous mortality rate was computed from LC5 or LC50 as -ln(S), where S is the fraction of individuals surviving 24-hour exposure (S=0.95 for LC5).
[3] Estimate is based on interspecies estimate of LC5:LC50 ratio.

---

[69] United States Environmental Protection Agency (2000)
[70] United States Environmental Protection Agency (2003)

To model the approximately exponential increase in mortality rates with decreasing percent oxygen saturation, while including observations with zero mortality, EPA transformed mortality rate estimates using $Z'_{min} = \ln(Z + 0.001)$, then fitted a linear model to the transformed values (Figure 3) using

$$Z'_{min} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 T + \beta_2(POSAT) + \beta_3(T \cdot POSAT) + \varepsilon \qquad \text{(eq. 8)}$$

where $\beta_{0..3}$ are the estimated coefficients of the regression model, T is water temperature (°C), $POSAT$ is percent oxygen saturation, and ε is a normally-distributed random variable. Predicted values from the regression, $\hat{Z}'_{min}$ were back-transformed to compute estimates of minimum instantaneous mortality Z'_{min} using

$$\hat{Z}_{min} = e^{\widehat{Z'}_{min}} - 0.001 \qquad \text{(eq. 9)}$$

EPA used water quality conditions observed between 2002-2022 at the Chester and Penn's Landing monitoring stations (Figure 1, section 2.1) in equations 8 and 9 to calculate the seasonal average mortality rate and predicted relative abundance of the Atlantic Sturgeon young-of-year cohort on October 31. Predicted relative abundance was compared with catch per unit effort (CPUE) in 2009-2022 from juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon abundance surveys conducted by the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (Appendix 3).

3.3.3 Growth Model

Niklitschek and Secor (2009b) describe a bioenergetics model that they developed to model the relationship between water quality conditions that control, limit, or otherwise impact metabolic rates and measurements of those rates from a series of laboratory experiments (Niklitschek and Secor 2009a). These rates are terms of a balanced energy equation in which growth (*G, kJ/g/d*) results from the balance of inputs (*FC* = food consumption) less energetic costs (*RM* = routine metabolism, *SDA* = postprandial metabolism or specific dynamic action, and *AC* = activity cost) and waste or loss (*EG* = egestion, *U* = excretion).

$$G = FC - (RM + SDA + ACT) - (EG + U) \qquad \text{(eq. 10)}$$

Daily instantaneous growth rate G_{max} was calculated as the log of the ratio of the increased (or decreased) weight to initial weight, with the net energy allocated to growth converted to its equivalent in weight via the energy content, E (kJ/g)

$$G_{max} = \log\left(\frac{W + G/E}{W}\right) \qquad \text{(eq. 11)}$$

where the energy content was computed from weight using an empirical relationship (Niklitschek and Secor 2009b).

In this bioenergetic framework, water temperature controls the potential or maximum rates, while percent oxygen saturation limits the maximum oxygen delivery rate and therefore may limit rates to less than their temperature-driven potential. Salinity may impose an additional metabolic cost associated with osmoregulation, which causes a proportional increase in routine metabolism when salinity is higher or lower than optimal.[71] For juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon, potential bioenergetic rates are maximized when water temperature is 20°C, while the osmoregulation costs (i.e., energetic costs imposed by salinity) are minimized when salinity is 9.3 ppt. As shown by Niklitschek and Secor (2009b), a decrease in oxygen saturation from 100% to 40% limits food consumption to 60% of the maximum, which by itself would be expected to limit growth. The model also predicts that gross growth efficiency (i.e., the proportion of food consumption allocated to growth) decreases from 31% to 24%, reflecting a 2% increase in the relative allocation to routine metabolism and a 6% increase in the fraction of the diet not assimilated (i.e., egestion). Thus, low oxygen levels both limit overall metabolic rates and cause a shift in the allocation of available energy away from growth.

EPA obtained code for the bioenergetics model from the original authors and tested it to ensure that it replicated the results shown in relevant figures from Niklitschek and Secor (2009b). EPA's initial evaluation showed that the code did not replicate the published results. When comparing the code to the equations reported in the Supplemental Information for the peer-reviewed manuscript, EPA noted several differences, including differences in reported values of the model parameters vs. parameter values included in the code. Therefore, to produce working code, EPA edited the provided code so that the equations were faithful to the formulations presented in the Supplemental Information. The model was then re-optimized to select parameters resulting in a best fit to the experimental measurements using a genetic optimization algorithm coded in R. EPA used parameter values included in the original code as starting values for the optimization and then varied randomly, with parameter sets resulting in the lowest residual error selected and used in subsequent optimization, narrowing the magnitude of random variations until the parameter values stabilized. The resulting sub-models were optimized starting with routine metabolism as follows: routine metabolism (*RM*) → food consumption (*FC*) → egestion (*EG*) → specific dynamic action (*SDA*) → excretion (*U*) → activity cost (*ACT*), following Niklitschek and Secor (2009b). EPA reproduced key figures from the original manuscript to ensure that the model was reproducing the observed responses to water temperature, salinity, and percent oxygen saturation (Appendix 4). EPA then compared model-predicted bioenergetic rates to averages of measured values to ensure that the model provided an unbiased prediction. The estimates of model parameters derived from experimental measurements, those included in the code as obtained from the authors, and the final optimized values are included in Appendix 4.

Finally, EPA compared the computed estimates of growth rates for 2002-2022 to the distribution of fish weights for young-of-the-year individuals that were captured or recaptured during fall on the Delaware River.[72]

---

[71] Niklitschek and Secor (2009b)

[72] Park, Ian. (2020). Final Report. Section 6 Species Recovery Grants Program. Award Number: NA16NMF4720072. Conservation and Recovery of Juvenile Atlantic Sturgeons in the Delaware River. Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control. Division of Fish and Wildlife.

3.3.4 Relating Habitat Suitability to Indicators of Dissolved Oxygen Status

EPA followed Niklitschek and Secor (2005) in defining a Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) which is equivalent to the instantaneous daily production potential (equation 5). Although habitat suitability has been defined in a variety of ways in the context of fish-habitat relationships, conservation management, and habitat evaluation,[73] EPA defined habitat suitability exclusively in terms of water quality for this analysis. For this analysis, suitable habitat is defined as habitat that supports increasing biomass of the annual cohort more often than not. EPA inferred that other characteristics that potentially affect habitat suitability for Atlantic Sturgeon and other migratory fish, such as water depth or sediment characteristics, are adequate in these habitats because juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon have successfully utilized this habitat in recent years when water quality was unusually good (e.g., Moberg and DeLucia 2016). EPA quantified relationships between computed values of HSI and corresponding dissolved oxygen percentiles using quantile generalized additive models (QGAMs).[74] QGAMs can model the non-linear relationship between dissolved oxygen and HSI as well as predict the expected median HSI, rather than the expected mean. Although the dissolved oxygen percentiles quantify a single reference point on the seasonal distribution, HSI is affected by every oxygen value that occurs during the *Juvenile Development* season. Therefore, each relationship between a dissolved oxygen percentile and HSI is predicated on a certain distribution of dissolved oxygen values over the season. QGAMs were fitted to model the simple curvature of the relationship and to avoid overfitting. EPA computed estimates and corresponding confidence intervals for the lowest value of the dissolved oxygen indicator predicting median HSI > 0.

3.4 Criteria Development for the *Spawning and Larval Development* and *Overwintering* Seasons

The Atlantic Sturgeon cohort model described in the previous section relies on experimental studies that were conducted using juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon and therefore provide information that is most relevant to juvenile growth and survival. Additionally, the underlying studies allocated most experimental treatments to water temperatures between 12°C and 28°C, with only a single experimental treatment at 6°C and none at lower water temperatures.[75] EPA's cohort modeling approach therefore does not apply to spawning and larval development lifestages and has minimal relevance to the overwintering period. Accordingly, EPA did not use

---

[73] E.g., Woodland, R.J., Secor, D.H., and Niklitschek, E.J. (2009). Past and Future Habitat Suitability for the Hudson River Population of Shortnose Sturgeon: A Bioenergetic Approach to Modeling Habitat Suitability for an Endangered Species. American Fisheries Society Symposium 69: 589-604; Collier, J.J., Chiotti, J.A., Boase, J., Mayer, C.M., Vandergoot, C.S., and Bossenbroek, J.M. (2022). Assessing habitat for lake sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens) reintroduction to the Maumee River, Ohio using habitat suitability index models. Journal of Great Lakes Research. 48(1): 219-228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2021.11.006; Brown, S.K., Buja, K.R., Jury, S.H., Monaco, M.E., and Banner, A. (2000). Habitat Suitability Index Models for Eight Fish and Invertebrate Species in Casco and Sheepscot Bays, Maine. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 20(2): 408-435, https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2000)020%3C0408:HSIMFE%3E2.3.CO;2.

[74] Fasiolo, M., Wood, S.N., Zaffran, M., Nedellec, R., and Goude, Y. (2020). Fast Calibrated Additive Quantile Regression. Journal of the American Statistical Association 116:1402-1412. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2020.1725521; Fasiolo, M., Wood, S.N., Zaffran, M., Nedellec, R., and Goude, Y. (2021). qgam: Bayesian Nonparametric Quantile Regression Modeling in R. Journal of Statistical Software 100. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v100.i09

[75] Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)

the cohort model to derive criteria for the *Spawning and Larval Development* or the *Overwintering* seasons.

To derive criteria for the *Spawning and Larval Development* and *Overwintering* seasons, EPA considered evidence compiled in the Virginian Province Document that larvae of many species are as sensitive or more sensitive to low dissolved oxygen than juveniles. The effects of low oxygen on juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon are greater at high water temperatures;[76] water temperatures in the Delaware River peak during the *Juvenile Development* season, typically in July and August (section 2.1; Figure 2). Water temperatures in the Delaware River are lower during the Atlantic Sturgeon larval development period; therefore, larvae are unlikely to be more sensitive to low dissolved oxygen than juveniles because the larvae experience non-stressful water temperature. Similarly, overwintering Atlantic Sturgeon juveniles have temperature-limited metabolism and therefore have similar or slightly lower oxygen requirements than juveniles during summer. Thus, considering the available evidence, EPA concluded that the percent oxygen saturation threshold that is protective for juveniles experiencing stressful water temperatures during the *Juvenile Development* season would also be protective for larvae and overwintering juveniles experiencing non-stressful water temperatures.

The *Juvenile Development* season criteria consist of two criteria derived from the Atlantic Sturgeon cohort model that together ensure a protective seasonal distribution of dissolved oxygen values is maintained (section 4.1.3). Since the cohort model is not used to directly derive criteria for the *Overwintering* and *Spawning and Larval Development* seasons, protectiveness does not depend on the existence of the same overall dissolved oxygen distribution as is the case for the *Juvenile Development* season. EPA therefore determined that a single protective criterion limiting the frequency and severity of low dissolved oxygen conditions that could impact sturgeon would protect aquatic organisms and the designated uses of the waterbody during these seasons.

4 Results

4.1 Ecological Modeling Results

4.1.1 Atlantic Sturgeon Mortality

A regression model using estimates of mortality from three studies (Table 2; Campbell and Goodman 2004, EPA 2003, Niklitschek and Secor 2009a) showed that mortality rates of sturgeon increased with declining dissolved oxygen levels and increasing water temperature. A multiple regression fitted to log-transformed mortality rates suggested that the log of mortality rate increased linearly with decreasing percent oxygen saturation and increasing water temperature, such that back-transformed rates increased exponentially with increasing stress due to interacting effects of low oxygen and high water temperature (Figure 3). The mortality rates calculated from estimates of LC50, which were derived from experiments using Shortnose Sturgeon,[77] all have the same instantaneous mortality rate (i.e., -ln(0.5)), but the dissolved

---

[76] Secor and Gunderson (1998), Campbell and Goodman (2004)

[77] Campbell and Goodman (2004)

oxygen level causing 50% mortality in 24 hours increased with increasing water temperature (Figure 3). Although the regression model explains a substantial fraction of the uncertainty (adjusted $r^2 = 0.75$, $p = 0.0025$), the small number of experimental estimates of mortality resulted in wide limits of uncertainty. Additional statistical details are provided in Appendix 5.



Figure 3: Relationship between Instantaneous Mortality Rates, Percent Oxygen Saturation, and Water Temperature. Oxygen saturation levels were as reported for experimental tests or as calculated from reported dissolved oxygen concentrations (Table 2).

By applying the mortality model (equations 8 and 9) to the time series of percent oxygen saturation and water temperature at Chester and Penn's Landing, EPA calculated the effect of these variables on potential survivorship (i.e., percentage surviving the effects of low dissolved oxygen and high water temperature) of a juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon cohort between July 1 and October 31. Between 2002-2022, potential survivorship at Chester and Penn's Landing varied from less than 1% to as high as 50%, with the highest calculated survivorship occurring at Chester in 2018, a year with above average river flow, below average temperature, and higher dissolved oxygen (Figure 4). Young-of-the-year catch per unit effort from the Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control's (DNREC's) juvenile abundance surveys between 2009 and 2022 was positively correlated with modeled survivorship near Penn's Landing ($r^2=0.56$, $p<0.01$), but was not correlated with survivorship near Chester (Figure 4). Although we cannot be certain of the reason for the difference in the correlation, one possible explanation is that Chester is located at the extreme seaward limit of the oxygen-sag and therefore experiences interannual variability that may not as well reflect broader conditions in the specified zones of the river.



Figure 4: Relationship between Simulated Cohort Survivorship and Observed Annual Catch per Unit Effort Index for Young-of-the-Year Atlantic Sturgeon in the Delaware River. The simulated cohort survivorship was calculated using the mortality model and water quality data from the Penn's Landing and Chester monitoring stations.

4.1.2 Atlantic Sturgeon Growth

Growth of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon is maximal when water temperature is 20°C and salinity is 9 ppt.[78] At optimal values for water temperature and salinity, Niklitschek and Secor (2009a) observed higher growth rates in experimental treatments with percent oxygen saturation at 70% or 100%, compared to lower growth observed at 30% and 40% of saturation. Between 2002 and 2022, water quality in the Delaware River was often not optimal for early juvenile development of Atlantic Sturgeon (section 2.1, Figure 2).

Water temperature and percent oxygen saturation interact to affect the growth rate of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon, as calculated using the bioenergetics model (Figure 5). Growth rates are slightly different from rates depicted in the comparable graph in the lower panel of Figure 1 in Niklitschek and Secor (2005), which shows predicted growth rates for a smaller fish (14.4 g) at a higher salinity (11 ppt), and with a lower maximum temperature (~28°C). In the relevant

---

[78] Niklitschek and Secor (2009a)

zones of the Delaware River, the lowest oxygen levels mostly coincided with the highest water temperatures, resulting in lower growth rates than either condition would cause alone. Predicted growth rates were negative under the most unfavorable conditions (Figure 5).



Figure 5: Response of Instantaneous Growth Rate of Juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon to Water Temperature and Percent Oxygen Saturation. The instantaneous growth rate is predicted by the bioenergetics model. Salinity was assumed to be 0.5 ppt (Figure 2) and fish size was assumed to be 50 grams. Black circles show the distribution of percent oxygen saturation and water temperature between July 1 and October 31 during 2002 to 2022 at the Chester and Penn's Landing monitoring stations. The red contour line delineates the region with positive growth from the region with negative growth.

EPA simulated fish growth using water quality data at Chester, PA to evaluate the otherwise poorly constrained estimate of initial size on July 1. Juvenile surveys conducted by DNREC provide benchmark data on juvenile weights in the late fall; however, fish size on July 1 has not been documented. An initial weight of 27 grams on July 1, which is well within the range of sizes suggested by Niklitschek and Secor (2009a), results in simulated fish weights that fall within the range of observed sizes from DNREC surveys for years in which fish were captured (Figure 6). The cohort model predicted reduced growth rates in many years in which no fish were captured; however, EPA also ran simulations for years in which no fish surveys were conducted, resulting in both high and low growth rates that were not accompanied by observed fish sizes (Figure 6).



Figure 6: Simulated Fish Weight based on Water Quality at Chester (lines) and Fish Capture Data (circles) for the Years 2002-2022. Fish capture data are from juvenile abundance surveys conducted by DNREC; captures and re-captures of fish were generally in the vicinity of Marcus Hook, near Chester, PA, on the date indicated. Solid lines show model predictions for years in which fish were captured and their weights shown on the graph. Dotted lines show predictions for years without observed fish sizes. Colors differentiate data by year.

4.1.3 Intersection of Habitat Suitability and Dissolved Oxygen Thresholds

The Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) quantifies the combined effect of percent oxygen saturation, water temperature, and salinity on juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon growth and survival at a seasonal time scale. HSI values for this analysis range from approximately -0.08 to 0.01. When HSI is greater than zero, seasonal average growth rates are greater than seasonal average mortality rates and the biomass of the cohort has the potential to increase.[79] Biomass decreases when HSI is negative; when HSI falls to -0.02 or lower, over 90% of the cohort biomass is lost (Figure 7).



Figure 7: Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) Computed for 2002-2022 using Observed Dissolved Oxygen or Estimates of Restored Dissolved Oxygen at the Penn's Landing and Chester Monitoring Stations. Due to missing water quality data, estimates were not computed for 2010 at either site. Y-axis values in parentheses are the seasonal percent change in biomass from July 1 to October 31 corresponding to the HSI value, computed as $100 \times \left(e^{(123 \times HSI)} - 1\right)$, where 123 is the number of days in the season. "no chg" signifies no change in biomass from July 1 – October 31.

---

[79] A positive HSI does not imply zero mortality in the cohort. Rather, it indicates the potential for the biomass (number of individuals multiplied by the weight of the individuals) of surviving fish to be greater than the initial biomass of the cohort on July 1.

HSI values computed from observed data varied from -0.082 at Penn's Landing in 2005 to 0.0056 at Chester in 2018 (Figure 7). The seasonal net cohort production associated with observed dissolved oxygen varied from a loss of nearly all biomass (i.e., more than 99% loss of biomass) to an 100% seasonal increase in cohort biomass, which occurred at Chester in 2018 (Appendix 6, Figure 7). HSI was 0.0094 higher at Chester, which generally had higher dissolved oxygen than Penn's Landing, and increased at a rate of 0.00084 each year during 2002-2022, with substantial interannual variability. The restored dissolved oxygen scenario resulted in a substantial increase in HSI of 0.031 – and in the best years, a 2- to 3- fold increase in biomass (Figure 7). Whereas HSI computed with observed dissolved oxygen was greater than zero in only a few years at either site, HSI computed using restored dissolved oxygen at Chester was greater than zero in every year except for 2005. Although several years had HSI less than zero at Penn's Landing under the restored scenario, the lower restored values were still much higher than the corresponding observed values. The overall positive shift in HSI values under the restored scenario indicates the expected positive effects of improvement in water quality conditions on sturgeon habitat suitability in the specified zones of the Delaware River.

Quantile generalized additive models (QGAMs) predicting the median HSI conditional on seasonal percentiles of percent oxygen saturation (POSAT) show that when dissolved oxygen levels were relatively low, expected median HSI increased nearly linearly with both the seasonal 10th percentile and median (50th percentile) POSAT. In general, as dissolved oxygen increased from moderate to high levels, the slope decreased toward an asymptote at high POSAT, reflecting a lack of mortality due to low POSAT and oxygen levels that maximized temperature-dependent growth rates (Figure 8). Similar relationships were found with both observed POSAT and the restored scenario (Figure 8). QGAMs fitted to only observed POSAT values were poorly constrained at relatively high POSAT (Table 3), resulting in poor quantification of the threshold dissolved oxygen level required to attain HSI greater than zero, because there were few observations at those levels. In contrast, QGAMs fitted to restored conditions and the associated HSI were well-constrained near HSI=0 and had a relatively well-defined asymptote (Figure 8).



Figure 8: Relationship between Seasonal Percentiles of Percent Oxygen Saturation and Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) at Chester and Penn's Landing from 2002-2022. Regression models are quantile generalized additive models predicting the median HSI conditioned on either the seasonal 10th percentile or median (50th percentile) percent oxygen saturation. Upper vs. lower panels show relationships between observed and restored dissolved oxygen levels and HSI calculated using the corresponding dissolved oxygen levels. Vertical dotted lines and associated labels (%) show the percent oxygen saturation level at which the median regression line intersects HSI=0.

The relationship between observed POSAT percentiles and HSI can be expected to quantify the seasonal relationship for water quality patterns as they currently exist. Since the seasonal distribution of POSAT could change with implementation of pollution controls, the relationship between percentiles of POSAT and HSI could also change, even though the effect of POSAT itself on growth and mortality does not change. However, EPA's models show that if there is a difference, the difference is not apparent (Figure 8, Table 3). A QGAM fitted to the estimates based on both observed and restored dissolved oxygen together (Figure 9, Table 3) achieves improved fit associated with a larger range of POSAT values. EPA believes this relationship to be relevant to the transition from the existing ecological conditions to a future state with improved water quality. Therefore, a combination approach using both observed and restored POSAT data allows for EPA to evaluate QGAMs with the lowest uncertainty and the most relevant ecological conditions for the present and the expected future (Figure 9, Appendix 7).



Figure 9: Relationship between Combined Seasonal Percentiles of Percent Oxygen Saturation and Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) at Chester and Penn's Landing from 2002-2022. Regression models are quantile generalized additive models predicting the median HSI conditioned on either the seasonal 10th percentile or median (50th percentile) percent oxygen saturation. Models are fitted to the combined data including both observed and estimates of restored percent oxygen saturation levels and HSI calculated using the corresponding dissolved oxygen level. Vertical dotted lines and associated labels (%) show the percent oxygen saturation level at which the median regression line intersects HSI=0.

Table 3. Thresholds for Dissolved Oxygen Levels Associated with Median HSI > 0.

	Percent Oxygen Saturation		Concentration (mg/L)	
Data	**10th Percentile**	**Median**	**10th Percentile**	**Median**
Observed	66	77	5.3	6.3
Restored	68	74	5.5	6.2
Combined	66	74	5.4	6.1

For this analysis, EPA followed the approach of Niklitschek and Secor (2005) and defined suitable habitat for juvenile sturgeon growth and survival as habitats with water quality resulting in HSI greater than zero. When HSI is less than or equal to zero, seasonal average mortality rates are greater than or equal to seasonal average growth rates and the overall biomass of the cohort is likely to decrease. This outcome is particularly problematic for juveniles as they enter the overwintering period when feeding is strongly limited by low water temperature.[80] During the winter, juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon rely on energy accumulated during the summer and fall; if juveniles enter the overwintering season in poor condition (e.g., small in size with inadequate energy reserves), then they might be less likely to survive the winter. Conversely, a cohort of juveniles utilizing habitat with HSI greater than zero has the potential to increase its biomass during the *Juvenile Development* season, thus contributing to successful propagation. Therefore, to derive dissolved oxygen criteria protective of the juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon during the *Juvenile Developement* season, and going into the *Overwintering* season, EPA evaluated seasonal percentiles of POSAT to find the lowest value at which the QGAMs predict expected median HSI > 0 as the minimum thresholds for POSAT that, if attained, would provide suitable habitat during that seasonal period.

Given the reliance of predicted HSI outcomes on the distribution of POSAT values throughout the season, EPA selected two percent oxygen saturation percentiles as thresholds at or above which median HSI is expected to be greater than zero to maintain the expected distribution of percent oxygen saturation values. These two percentiles – the 10th percentile and the 50th percentile – characterize the low end and middle of the distribution of dissolved oxygen values that is protective of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon and protect against a detrimental change in the lower half of the distribution that could result in a harmful effect on sturgeon. The 10th percentile is a reliable measure of the frequency of low dissolved oxygen values that may be especially harmful to aquatic life. Empirically, if the criterion for the 10th percentile is attained, then minimum values that would impact the cohort are unlikely. The 50th percentile represents the midpoint of the distribution and ensures that the center of the distribution does not become skewed towards low dissolved oxygen values. If the 10th percentile and the 50th percentile are attained, EPA expects that the dissolved oxygen distribution will be similar to a distribution that results in suitable habitat for juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon. Because the modeling approach for criteria derivation in the *Juvenile Development* season relies on a consistent and predictable dissolved oxygen distribution, EPA incorporated both a 10th percentile and a 50th percentile into the proposed criteria to ensure that a dissolved oxygen distribution consistent with suitable habitat is attained. EPA could have achieved an equivalent result by selecting other percentiles, such as the 15th percentile and the 45th percentile. However, if the percentiles are too similar (e.g., the 20th and 40th percentile), then their independent function is not maintained. Additionally, EPA could have applied its analytical approach to derive criteria for a percentile lower than the 10th percentile or higher than the 50th percentile. Although EPA could have selected a lower percentile to derive a criterion value, such extreme percentiles can be difficult to reliably assess. For percentiles higher than the 50th percentile, available scientific information suggests that the upper half of the seasonal dissolved oxygen distribution, when oxygen is high

---

[80] Niklitschek and Secor (2009a, 2009b)

enough that it neither limits metabolic rates nor causes mortality, is unlikely to have an effect on juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon, as long as the median criterion is attained.

Overall, using the combined approach – which includes both observed and restored POSAT seasonal distributions – median HSI was predicted to be greater than zero when the 10th percentile of POSAT was equal to 66% and the 50th percentile POSAT was equal to 74% (Figure 9).

4.2 Proposed Dissolved Oxygen Criteria

EPA's proposed dissolved oxygen criteria cover three distinct seasons based largely on Atlantic Sturgeon early life stages and are intended to protect all oxygen-sensitive species in the Delaware River, as explained in section 3.2. The *Spawning and Larval Development* season occurs between March 1st and June 30th and captures a comprehensive range of resident aquatic species' spawning periods. The *Juvenile Development* season occurs between July 1st and October 31st and captures critical early life stage growth and development for young-of-the-year Atlantic Sturgeon. The *Overwintering* season occurs between November 1st and February 28th (or 29th, in a leap year), when juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon growth is limited by low water temperatures.

Each season has water quality criteria that each consist of three components: magnitude, duration, and exceedance frequency. The magnitude component indicates the required level of dissolved oxygen in the water, which in this proposal is presented in units of percent oxygen saturation. The duration component specifies the time period over which receiving water concentration is averaged for comparison with criteria magnitude; in this proposal, the duration is a daily average.[81] The exceedance frequency component specifies how often (e.g., percentage of the time) each criterion can be exceeded in each season while still ensuring that the use is protected. For this proposed rule, the exceedance frequency is determined based on the dissolved oxygen percentile from which the magnitude is derived (i.e., the 10th percentile can be exceeded 10% of the time, which for a season consisting of 123 days is 12 cumulative days of exceedance). For dissolved oxygen, an exceedance occurs when the oxygen level in the water is below the criterion value.

In this proposed rule, the *Spawning and Larval Development* and *Overwintering* seasons each have a single, identical dissolved oxygen criterion with a magnitude of 66% oxygen saturation, a daily average duration, and a 10% exceedance frequency (which allows for up to 12 days of cumulative exceedance during each of these two seasons) (Table 4). The *Juvenile Development* season has two dissolved oxygen criteria that together define a protective seasonal distribution of percent oxygen saturation. The first *Juvenile Development* criterion defines the lower end of the distribution of oxygen levels and consists of a magnitude of 66% oxygen saturation, a daily average duration, and a 10% exceedance frequency (which allows for up to 12 days cumulative exceedance during the season). The second *Juvenile Development* criterion defines the center of the distribution and consists of a magnitude of 74% oxygen saturation, a

---

[81] EPA selected a daily average duration because it is a readily measurable indicator of the oxygen levels at a daily timescale. The daily average is protective because variability of dissolved oxygen levels on a single day is small in the Delaware River.

daily average duration, and a 50% exceedance frequency (which allows for up to 61 days cumulative exceedance during the season) (Table 4).

Table 4. EPA's Proposed Dissolved Oxygen Criteria

Season	Magnitude (Percent Oxygen Saturation)	Duration	Exceedance Frequency
Spawning and Larval Development *(March 1 – June 30)*	66%	Daily Average	10% *(12 Days Cumulative)*
Juvenile Development *(July 1 – October 31)*	66%	Daily Average	10% *(12 Days Cumulative)*
	74%	Daily Average	50% *(61 Days Cumulative)*
Overwintering *(November 1 – February 28/29)*	66%	Daily Average	10% *(12 Days Cumulative)*

More information on the economic benefits, costs, and attainability of meeting these criteria is available in EPA's economic analysis.[82]

5 Limitations and Uncertainties

5.1 Restored Dissolved Oxygen Condition

EPA computed the Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) in part using estimates of the projected improvement in dissolved oxygen levels that may occur in the future based on additional effluent treatment technologies applied at selected wastewater treatment facilities, as described in section 2.2 and in EPA's associated economic analysis.[83] Because these dissolved oxygen values are derived using simulation results from DRBC's EFDC-WASP model, the values depend on the ability of DRBC's model to accurately project the impact of future water quality management actions on percent oxygen saturation in the Delaware River, including specific assumptions that DRBC made in the formulation of the model. It is possible that percent oxygen values in the future could change by more, or less, than projected.[84]

EPA expects that error or uncertainty in the estimates of the restored dissolved oxygen condition would have a small effect, if any, on EPA's calculated criteria magnitudes for the *Juvenile Development* season. Errors of this type would have a small effect because changes in the restored dissolved oxygen condition would affect both calculated dissolved oxygen percentiles and the computed values of HSI. If percent oxygen saturation were to increase by more than expected under the restored scenario, then the dissolved oxygen percentiles and HSI would both be higher. Conversely, if percent oxygen saturation increased by a smaller amount,

---

[82] *Economic Analysis for the Proposed Rule: Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River*.

[83] *Id.*

[84] *Id.* (see section 2.1)

the corresponding percentiles and computed HSI would both be lower. EPA's modeled relationship between dissolved oxygen percentiles and HSI accommodates both observed and restored oxygen levels without a clear difference in the distribution of observations around the quantile regression line (Figure 8, Figure 9). Therefore, EPA does not anticipate that the regression model would change due to differences in the restored dissolved oxygen condition.

5.2 Climate Change

Air temperature in the Delaware River watershed has increased steadily since the early 1900s and at an accelerated rate during the past 30 years.[85] Given the relationships that have been shown between increasing air temperature and increasing water temperature,[86] along with consideration of global climate trends,[87] it is reasonable to expect that the water temperature in the Delaware River could increase in the future. However, a rigorous estimate of expected changes in water temperature for the Delaware River does not exist.[88] Therefore, when deriving dissolved oxygen criteria, EPA assumed that overall water temperature and the seasonal pattern of water temperature would not change from recent observations.

Evidence shows that increased water temperature during late summer, when water temperature is already stressful, would likely increase mortality rates of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon and increase their sensitivity to low oxygen.[89] Similarly, growth rates already limited by high temperature could be further reduced, especially if oxygen levels limit growth potential, potentially causing growth rates to be negative. On the other hand, if juveniles survive the most stressful period during late summer, increased water temperature during late fall, combined with relatively high oxygen levels, could extend the period of optimal temperature and increase growth rates in late fall. Thus, a credible estimate of the net effect of climate change on oxygen requirements could require additional information on the magnitude and seasonal distribution of water temperature changes.

5.3 Sturgeon Population Dynamics

For this proposed rule, EPA followed Niklitschek and Secor (2005) in defining suitable habitat on a seasonal basis in terms of water quality that potentially allows for positive production potential of the annual juvenile cohort (i.e., HSI > 0).

---

[85] Partnership for the Delaware Estuary. (2022). Technical Report for the Delaware Estuary and Basin. L. Haaf, L. Morgan, and D. Kreeger (eds). PDE Report No. 22-05. 445 pages. https://delawareestuary.s3.amazonaws.com/TREB+2022+Full+Report.pdf

[86] E.g., Hinson, K.E., Friedrichs, M.A.M., St-Laurent, P., Da, F., and Najjar, R.G.. (2022). Extent and Causes of Chesapeake Bay Warming. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 58(6): 805–825. https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12916

[87] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2023). Annual 2022 Global Climate Report. National Centers for Environmental Information, published online January 2023. Retrieved on October 7, 2023. https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/monitoring/monthly-report/global/202213; Zhi, W., Klingler, C., Liu, J., and Li, L. (2023). Widespread deoxygenation in warming rivers. Nature Climate Change, 13, pages 1105–1113 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01793-3

[88] Partnership for the Delaware Estuary (2022)

[89] See section 3.3.

EPA recognizes uncertainty associated with this approach as it relates to preventing extinction and promoting recovery of threatened and endangered populations. Despite having the necessary data to model age-0 juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon growth and survival, EPA lacks an estimate of growth and survival of the adult population and corresponding changes in population size over time. To estimate full recovery of the population, EPA would need additional information such as: (1) estimates of growth and mortality of new recruits after they join the adult population, including during the period that they reside in marine waters, (2) estimates of the frequency at which spawning adults return to the river to spawn, (3) estimates of the magnitude of their reproductive output, (4) estimates of hatching success and larval survival, and (5) estimates of a population size that, if attained, would adequately reduce the risk of population decline or extinction. Absent this information, it is possible that an HSI lower than zero could be protective or that an HSI higher than zero could be required to protect the Atlantic Sturgeon population as a whole and lead to recovery of a stable population.

In 1998, NOAA Fisheries published a recovery plan for the Shortnose Sturgeon, which includes narrative descriptions of population recovery criteria (i.e., criteria that if met would indicate that the species no longer requires ESA protection).[90] A recovery plan is not yet available for the Atlantic Sturgeon, but an outline was published in 2018.[91] However, neither document includes a numeric target population for species recovery. Without a target population for recovery, EPA could not evaluate how attainment of the proposed criteria might contribute to species recovery in the ESA context.

5.4 Atlantic Sturgeon Cohort Model

In addition to unquantified dynamics of the overall Atlantic Sturgeon population, there are sources of uncertainty associated with EPA's cohort model. These include factors that could result in actual growth rates lower than the potential growth rate or mortality rates higher than the minimum mortality rate. Both factors could reduce actual production to less than potential production. Therefore, a higher oxygen level may be required to ensure a seasonal increase in biomass of the cohort.

Following Niklitschek and Secor (2005), EPA quantified growth potential by assuming that fish eat as much food as their physiology permits given ambient water temperature and dissolved oxygen. This has been called "full ration." EPA also assumed that the diet of Atlantic Sturgeon in the Delaware River had an energy density comparable to the rations provided in experimental treatments by Niklitschek and Secor (2009a). However, fish may consume less in the wild than in experimental settings[92] and their diet may have a lower energy density.[93] If

---

[90] National Marine Fisheries Service (1998)

[91] National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2018). Recovery Outline for the Atlantic Sturgeon Distinct Population Segments. March 1, 2018. https://media.fisheries.noaa.gov/dam-migration/ats_recovery_outline.pdf

[92] Hartman, K.J. and Kitchell, J.F. (2008). Bioenergetics Modeling: Progress since the 1992 Symposium. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 137:1, 216-223. https://doi.org/10.1577/T07-040.1

[93] Hartman, K.J. and Brandt, S.B. (1995). Trophic resource partitioning, diets, and growth of sympatric estuarine predators. Transaction of the American Fisheries Society 124:520-537. https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1995)124%3C0520:TRPDAG%3E2.3.CO;2; Hartman, K. (2023). Review of Relevant Literature Pertaining to Atlantic Sturgeon Dissolved Oxygen Criteria for Delaware River. West Virginia University.

actual production potential was lower than the modeled production potential used in EPA's analysis, then a higher dissolved oxygen level might be required to ensure that the biomass of the cohort could increase during the juvenile growth season.

EPA estimated mortality due to low oxygen and high water temperature based on rates observed for laboratory-reared fish exposed in a laboratory setting. In a natural setting, fish may experience additional mortality resulting from the cumulative effect of predation, disease, deprivation, or other causes. If mortality rates were higher than the minimum rate EPA used in the cohort model, then the oxygen level needed to maintain a cohort production rate greater than zero (i.e., HSI > 0) could be higher than EPA estimated.

6 Criteria Development Alternatives

During the criteria derivation process, EPA made several decisions based on the best available sound scientific information to ensure the dissolved oxygen criteria would be protective of the applicable and proposed aquatic life designated uses. In this section, EPA presents three alternative options the Agency considered. For each alternative, EPA examined information currently available at the time of this proposal. EPA has concerns about whether each alternative would be protective of the applicable and proposed aquatic life designated uses that include propagation; therefore, EPA did not include any of these alternatives as part of its lead proposed criteria. However, EPA requests comment and additional information on whether and how one or more of the alternatives could protect the applicable and proposed aquatic life designated uses in the specified zones of the Delaware River and if so, what anticipated benefits would be associated with the alternative compared to EPA's proposed criteria.

6.1 Alternative 1: Dissolved Oxygen Criteria Expressed as Concentration (mg/L)

EPA's proposed dissolved oxygen criteria are expressed as percent oxygen saturation, as described in section 2.1. However, EPA recognizes that some stakeholders might be more familiar with dissolved oxygen criteria expressed as concentration or might have other reasons for preferring criteria expressed as concentration. EPA is seeking comment on whether dissolved oxygen criteria expressed as concentration (mg/L) would be protective of oxygen-sensitive species during each season.

To calculate *Juvenile Development* season criteria expressed as concentration (mg/L), EPA followed an analogous approach to the method used for determining criteria as percent oxygen saturation, as explained in section 3.3. EPA used quantile generalized additive models relating seasonal percentiles of dissolved oxygen concentration to the expected median HSI. Graphics illustrating the relationships between dissolved oxygen concentration and HSI are included in Appendix 7. EPA selected as the alternative criteria values the dissolved oxygen concentration for which the expected median HSI is zero (Table 5).

To calculate dissolved oxygen criteria expressed as concentration for the *Spawning and Larval Development* and *Overwintering* seasons, EPA started with the criteria computed as percent oxygen saturation (Table 4) and converted each of these to a concentration using each of the following two approaches, which differed based on water temperature assumptions.[94] EPA's first approach uses the 90th percentile of water temperatures in each season, whereas the second approach uses the average water temperature in each season.[95] The 90th percentile approximates the highest water temperature in each season, which corresponds to when dissolved oxygen levels are generally at their lowest and therefore impacts to aquatic life are most likely to occur. In the Delaware River, the highest temperatures in the *Spawning and Larval Development* season occur in late June and the highest temperatures in the *Overwintering* season occur in early November (section 2.1, Figure 2). On the other hand, EPA's second approach using an average water temperature results in the concentration that minimizes the magnitude of deviations in either direction from the protective level across the season. Because the average water temperature is lower than the 90th percentile water temperature, EPA's second approach resulted in higher dissolved oxygen concentrations than the first approach (Table 5).

In Table 5 below, EPA leads with alternative criteria based on the 90th percentile water temperatures because existing dissolved oxygen criteria guidance and criteria derivation efforts in other states have commonly focused on the warmest conditions that occur, which are the most critical for mitigating impacts to aquatic life due to low oxygen.[96] For consideration, EPA presents alternative criteria based on average water temperatures in parentheses.

Table 5. Alternative 1: Dissolved Oxygen Criteria Expressed as Concentration (mg/L).

Season	Water Temperature (°C)	Magnitude (mg/L)	Duration	Exceedance Frequency
Spawning and Larval Development *(March 1 – June 30)*	23.3 (14.7)*	5.6 (6.7)*	Daily Average	10% *(12 Days Cumulative)*
Juvenile Development *(July 1 – October 31)*	N/A+	5.4	Daily Average	10% *(12 Days Cumulative)*
	N/A+	6.1	Daily Average	50% *(61 Days Cumulative)*
Overwintering *(November 1 – February 28/29)*	12.4 (5.6)*	7.0 (8.3)*	Daily Average	10% *(12 Days Cumulative)*

* The 90th percentile of seasonal water temperature and corresponding criterion is used for the main estimate, while the average water temperature and corresponding criterion is shown in parentheses.

+ Water temperature is not applicable during the *Juvenile Development* season because the criteria magnitudes are derived from EPA's Atlantic Sturgeon cohort model, described in section IV(C)(i).

---

[94] EPA assumed salinity = 0 for each conversion from percent oxygen saturation to concentration in the *Spawning and Larval Development* and *Overwintering* seasons.

[95] Seasonal 90th percentile and mean water temperature was calculated using the daily climatology computed for Chester for March 1, 2012 – June 30th, 2022, for the *Spawning and Larval Development* season and November 1, 2011 – February 28, 2022, for the *Overwintering* season.

[96] United States Environmental Protection Agency (2000); Batiuk, R.A., Breitburg, D.L., Diaz, R.J., Cronin, T.M., Secor, D.H., and Thursby, G. (2009). Derivation of habitat-specific dissolved oxygen criteria for Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 381: S204-S215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2009.07.023

Concentration-based criteria derived using EPA's first approach (based on the 90th percentile water temperatures) would be equivalent to EPA's proposed 66% oxygen saturation when water temperature is near the 90th percentile temperature and oxygen is near the lowest point in each season. However, during periods in each season when water temperature is lower than the 90th percentile temperature, the concentration-based criteria would be below the level that is equivalent to EPA's proposed 66% oxygen saturation level. For example, when water temperature is 2°C in mid-winter, oxygen saturation is 66% when the dissolved oxygen concentration is 9.1 mg/L. Similar to the first approach, the concentration derived using EPA's second approach (average water temperature) is also below the level that is equivalent to 66% oxygen saturation when water temperature is below the seasonal average. During periods in each season when the water temperature is warmer than the average, concentrations calculated using EPA's second approach would result in an oxygen saturation higher than 66%.[97]

EPA provided the concentrations in Table 5 that result from the methods described above to help facilitate public comment. EPA also requests public input and supporting information about other ways the Agency could develop dissolved oxygen criteria expressed as concentration – particularly for the *Spawning and Larval Development* and *Overwintering* seasons – to protect the relevant aquatic life uses in accordance with the Clean Water Act.

6.2 Alternative 2: Single Dissolved Oxygen Criterion During the *Juvenile Development* Season with a 10% Exceedance Frequency.

EPA's proposed dissolved oxygen criteria for the critical *Juvenile Development* season consist of two values – one that may be exceeded 10% of the time and one that may be exceeded 50% of the time – that must both be met during the season, as explained in section 4. However, EPA recognizes that some stakeholders might prefer the simpler criteria framework a single criterion would afford or may have other reasons for preferring a single value.

EPA is seeking comment and supporting information on applying a single dissolved oxygen criterion with a 10% exceedance frequency during the *Juvenile Development* season, including whether criteria expressed with a single criterion would protect the applicable and proposed aquatic life designated uses. This could mean applying a single criterion of 66% oxygen saturation (or 5.4 mg/L, if expressed as concentration) with a 10% exceedance frequency for the *Juvenile Development* season. The *Overwintering* and *Spawning and Larval Development* seasons are unaffected by this alternative.

EPA also requests public input and supporting information about other potential options the Agency could consider for dissolved oxygen criteria in the form of a single criterion to protect the aquatic life uses in accordance with the Clean Water Act.

---

[97] More information on dissolved oxygen trends in the specified zones of the Delaware river is available in the associated rule document, *Economic Analysis for the Proposed Rule: Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River*

6.3 Alternative 3: Inclusion of a 1-in-3-Year Interannual Exceedance Frequency.

EPA's proposed criteria do not include an interannual exceedance frequency and therefore would need to be met every year. However, EPA recognizes that some stakeholders might prefer criteria with an interannual exceedance frequency to help accommodate the impact of environmental variability on dissolved oxygen conditions in the specified zones of the Delaware River. EPA is seeking comment and supporting information on the addition of a 1-in-3-year interannual exceedance frequency as part of the dissolved oxygen criteria, including whether this approach would protect the applicable and current aquatic life uses.

If a 1-in-3-year interannual exceedance frequency were included as part of the dissolved oxygen criteria, it would mean that in any three-year period, all criteria would need to be attained in at least two years. An exceedance would occur in any year where one or more of the criteria were not attained. The following two examples describe how a 1-in-3-year interannual exceedance frequency could function.

> Example 1: If, in a given year, the dissolved oxygen during the *Juvenile Development* season fell below 66% saturation more than 10% of the time, then that year would not meet the *Juvenile Development* 10th percentile criterion. Therefore, that year would count as one year of exceedance towards the 1-in-3-year interannual exceedance frequency. If another criterion, for example the *Spawning and Larval Development* criterion, was not met in that same year, then it would still only count as one year of exceedance despite the fact that two criteria were not met that year (Table 6).

Table 6. Example Scenario Where Dissolved Oxygen Criteria with the 1-in-3-year Interannual Exceedance Frequency are Met.

	Was the Seasonal Criterion Met?		
Season	**Year 1**	**Year 2**	**Year 3**
Spawning and Larval Development	**No**	Yes	Yes
Juvenile Development – 10th Percentile	**No**	Yes	Yes
Juvenile Development – 50th Percentile	Yes	Yes	Yes
Overwintering	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the Full Year Meet Criteria?	**No**	Yes	Yes

> Example 2: If, in a given year, the dissolved oxygen during the *Juvenile Development* season fell below 66% saturation more than 10% of the time, then that year would not meet the *Juvenile Development* 10th percentile criterion. If the following year, the *Juvenile Development* season fell below 74% saturation more than 50% of the time, then that year would not meet the *Juvenile Development* 50th percentile criterion (Table 7). In this scenario, the first and second year in the three-year period both did not meet the criteria; therefore, the interannual exceedance frequency was not met.

Table 7. Example Scenario Where Dissolved Oxygen Criteria with the 1-in-3-year Interannual Exceedance Frequency are Not Met.

	Was the Seasonal Criterion Met?		
Season	**Year 1**	**Year 2**	**Year 3**
Spawning and Larval Development	Yes	Yes	Yes
Juvenile Development – 10th Percentile	**No**	Yes	Yes
Juvenile Development – 50th Percentile	Yes	**No**	Yes
Overwintering	Yes	Yes	Yes
Does the Full Year Meet Criteria?	**No**	**No**	Yes

EPA has historically considered it appropriate to apply a 1-in-3-year exceedance frequency in the context of aquatic life criteria for toxic pollutants, based on the ability of aquatic ecosystems to recover from criteria exceedances and natural variations in flow and the concentrations of the pollutant in a waterbody.[98] However, EPA does not typically apply this construct to criteria for conventional water quality parameters like dissolved oxygen due to inherent differences between these parameters and toxic pollutants. For example, dissolved oxygen is typically not directly regulated in the same manner as toxic pollutants because low dissolved oxygen conditions (such as hypoxia) are a symptom of a related issue, such as nutrient or ammonia pollution.[99] EPA also requests public input and supporting information regarding any scientific approaches that can be used to predict the impact of periodic low oxygen levels on populations of aquatic organisms.

7 References

Atlantic States Marine Fisheries Commission. (1981). Interstate Fisheries Management Plan for the Striped Bass. http://www.asmfc.org/uploads/file/1981FMP.pdf

Atlantic Sturgeon Bycatch Working Group. (2022). Action Plan to Reduce Atlantic Sturgeon Bycatch in Federal Large Mesh Gillnet Fisheries. NOAA National Marine Fisheries Service. https://media.fisheries.noaa.gov/2022-09/Final-Action-Plan-to-Reduce-Atlantic-Sturgeon-Bycatch.pdf

Batiuk, R.A., Breitburg, D.L., Diaz, R.J., Cronin, T.M., Secor, D.H., and Thursby, G. (2009). Derivation of habitat-specific dissolved oxygen criteria for Chesapeake Bay and its tidal tributaries. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 381: S204-S215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2009.07.023

---

[98] Stephen et al. (1985); United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2023c). Proceedings from the EPA Frequency and Duration Experts Workshop: September 11-12, 2019. Document ID: EPA-820-R-23-002. February 2023. https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-02/proceedings-frequency-duration-workshop.pdf

[99] United States Environmental Protection Agency (2000)

Brown, S.K., Buja, K.R., Jury, S.H., Monaco, M.E., and Banner, A. (2000). Habitat Suitability Index Models for Eight Fish and Invertebrate Species in Casco and Sheepscot Bays, Maine. North American Journal of Fisheries Management, 20(2): 408-435, https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8675(2000)020%3C0408:HSIMFE%3E2.3.CO;2

Campbell, J., and Goodman, L. (2004). Acute sensitivity of juvenile shortnose sturgeon to low dissolved oxygen concentrations. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 133:722-776. https://doi.org/10.1577/T02-070.1

Collier, J.J., Chiotti, J.A., Boase, J., Mayer, C.M., Vandergoot, C.S., and Bossenbroek, J.M. (2022). Assessing habitat for lake sturgeon (Acipenser fulvescens) reintroduction to the Maumee River, Ohio using habitat suitability index models. Journal of Great Lakes Research. 48(1): 219-228. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2021.11.006

Delaware River Basin Commission. (2015). Existing Use Evaluation for Zones 3, 4, & 5 of the Delaware Estuary Based on Spawning and Rearing of Resident and Anadromous Fishes. September 30, 2015. https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/ExistingUseRpt_zones3-5_sept2015.pdf

Delaware River Basin Commission. (2022a). Analysis of Attainability: Improving Dissolved Oxygen and Aquatic Life Uses in the Delaware River Estuary. September 2022 Draft. https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/AnalysisAttainability/AnalysisAttainability_DRAFTsept2022.pdf

Delaware River Basin Commission. (2022b). Modeling Eutrophication Processes in the Delaware River Estuary – Three-Dimensional Water Quality Model. https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/AnalysisAttainability/WQModelCalibrationRpt_DRAFTsept2022.pdf

Delaware River Basin Commission. (2022c). Linking Aquatic Life Uses with Dissolved Oxygen Conditions in the Delaware River Estuary. November 2022. Draft. https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/AnalysisAttainability/LinkingALDU-DO_DRAFTnov2022.pdf

Dunton, K.J., Jordaan, A., Conover, D.O., McKown, K.A., Bonacci, L.A., and Frisk, M.G. (2015). Marine Distribution and Habitat Use of Atlantic Sturgeon in New York Lead to Fisheries Interactions and Bycatch. Marine and Coastal Fisheries 7:18-32. https://doi.org/10.1080/19425120.2014.986348

Fasiolo, M., Wood, S.N., Zaffran, M., Nedellec, R., and Goude, Y. (2020). Fast Calibrated Additive Quantile Regression. Journal of the American Statistical Association 116:1402-1412. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2020.1725521

Fasiolo, M., Wood, S.N., Zaffran, M., Nedellec, R., and Goude, Y. (2021). qgam: Bayesian Nonparametric Quantile Regression Modeling in R. Journal of Statistical Software 100. https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v100.i09

Federal Register, Vol. 32, No. 48. March 11, 1967. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/s3//2022-12/4000-4002.pdf

Federal Register, Vol. 77, No. 24. February 6, 2012. 77 FR 5879. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2012/02/06/2012-1946/endangered-and-threatened-wildlife-and-plants-threatened-and-endangered-status-for-distinct

Federal Register, Vol. 82, No. 158. August 17, 2017. 50 CFR Part 226. https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/08/17/2017-17207/endangered-and-threatened-species-designation-of-critical-habitat-for-the-endangered-new-york-bight

Hardy, C. A. (1999). Fish or Foul: A History of the Delaware River Basin Through the Perspective of the American Shad, 1682 to the Present. Pennsylvania History, 66(4), 506-534. https://digitalcommons.wcupa.edu/hist_facpub/13

Hartman, K. (2023). Review of Relevant Literature Pertaining to Atlantic Sturgeon Dissolved Oxygen Criteria for Delaware River. West Virginia University.

Hartman, K.J. and Brandt, S.B. (1995). Trophic resource partitioning, diets, and growth of sympatric estuarine predators. Transaction of the American Fisheries Society 124:520-537. https://doi.org/10.1577/1548-8659(1995)124%3C0520:TRPDAG%3E2.3.CO;2

Hartman, K.J. and Kitchell, J.F. (2008). Bioenergetics Modeling: Progress since the 1992 Symposium. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 137:1, 216-223. https://doi.org/10.1577/T07-040.1

Hinson, K.E., Friedrichs, M.A.M., St-Laurent, P., Da, F., and Najjar, R.G.. (2022). Extent and Causes of Chesapeake Bay Warming. Journal of the American Water Resources Association 58(6): 805–825. https://doi.org/10.1111/1752-1688.12916

Jassby, A., Cloern, J., and Stachelek, J. wql: Exploring Water Quality Monitoring Data. R package version 1.0.0. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/wql/index.html

Kraus, R.T., Secor, D.H., and Wingate, R.L. (2015). Testing the thermal-niche oxygen-squeeze hypothesis for estuarine striped bass. Environmental Biology of Fishes 98:2083-2092. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10641-015-0431-3

Miskewitz, R. and Uchrin, C. (2013). In-Stream Dissolved Oxygen Impacts and Sediment Oxygen Demand Resulting from Combined Sewer Overflow Discharges. Journal of Environmental Engineering, 139(10). https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0000739

Moberg, T. and DeLucia. M. (2016). Potential Impacts of Dissolved Oxygen, Salinity and Flow on the Successful Recruitment of Atlantic Sturgeon in the Delaware River. The Nature Conservancy. https://conservationgateway.org/ConservationPractices/Freshwater/HabitatProtectionandRestoration/Documents/DelawareAtlanticSturgeonReport_TNC5172016.pdf

National Marine Fisheries Service. (1998). Recovery Plan for the Shortnose Sturgeon (Acipenser brevirostrum). Prepared by the Shortnose Sturgeon Recovery Team for the National Marine Fisheries Service, Silver Spring, Maryland. 104 pages. https://repository.library.noaa.gov/view/noaa/15971

National Marine Fisheries Service. (2022). New York Bight Distinct Population Segment of Atlantic Sturgeon (*Acipenser oxyrinchus oxyrinchus*), 5-Year Review: Summary and Evaluation. February 17, 2022. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/resource/document/new-york-bight-distinct-population-segment-atlantic-sturgeon-5-year-review

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2018). Recovery Outline for the Atlantic Sturgeon Distinct Population Segments. March 1, 2018. https://media.fisheries.noaa.gov/dam-migration/ats_recovery_outline.pdf

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration. (2023). Annual 2022 Global Climate Report. National Centers for Environmental Information, published online January 2023. Retrieved on October 7, 2023. https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/access/monitoring/monthly-report/global/202213

Niklitschek, E. (2001). Bioenergetics modeling and assessment of suitable habitat for juvenile Atlantic and shortnose sturgeons (*Acipenser oxyrinchus and A. brevirostrum*) in the Chesapeake Bay. University of Maryland at College Park.

Niklitschek, E. J., and Secor, D.H. (2005). Modeling spatial and temporal variation of suitable nursery habitats for Atlantic sturgeon in the Chesapeake Bay. Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science 64:135-148. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecss.2005.02.012

Niklitschek, E., and D. Secor. (2009a). Dissolved oxygen, temperature and salinity effects on the ecophysiology and survival of juvenile Atlantic sturgeon in estuarine waters: I. Laboratory results. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 381:S150-S160. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2009.07.018

Niklitschek, E.J., and Secor, D.H. (2009b). Dissolved oxygen, temperature and salinity effects on the ecophysiology and survival of juvenile Atlantic sturgeon in estuarine waters: II. Model development and testing. Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology 381:S161-S172. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jembe.2009.07.019

NOAA Fisheries. (2023a). Shortnose Sturgeon – Overview. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/species/shortnose-sturgeon

NOAA Fisheries. (2023b). Shortnose Sturgeon – Populations. https://www.fisheries.noaa.gov/species/shortnose-sturgeon#populations

Park, Ian. (2020). Final Report. Section 6 Species Recovery Grants Program. Award Number: NA16NMF4720072. Conservation and Recovery of Juvenile Atlantic Sturgeons in the Delaware River. Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control. Division of Fish and Wildlife.

Partnership for the Delaware Estuary. (2022). Technical Report for the Delaware Estuary and Basin. L. Haaf, L. Morgan, and D. Kreeger (eds). PDE Report No. 22-05. 445 pages. https://delawareestuary.s3.amazonaws.com/TREB+2022+Full+Report.pdf

Philadelphia Water Department. (2022). Combined Sewer Management Program Annual Report. Stormwater Management Program Annual Report. https://water.phila.gov/pool/files/fy22-npdes-annual-report.pdf

Portner, H.O., and Knust, R. (2007). Climate change affects marine fishes through the oxygen limitation of thermal tolerance. Science 315:95-97. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1135471

Secor, D., and T. Gunderson. (1998). Effects of hypoxia and temperature on survival, growth, and respiration of juvenile Atlantic sturgeon, *Acipenser oxyrinchus*. Fishery Bulletin 96:603-613.

Secor, D.H. and Niklitschek, E.J. (2001). Hypoxia and Sturgeons: Report to the Chesapeake Bay Program Dissolved Oxygen Criteria Team. March 29, 2001. Reference Number: [UMCES] CBL 01-0080. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277065759_Hypoxia_and_Sturgeons_report_to_the_Chesapeake_Bay_Program_Dissolved_Oxygen_Criteria_Team

Secor, D.H. and Waldman, J. (1999). Historical abundance of Delaware Bay Atlantic sturgeon and potential rate of recovery. American Fisheries Society Symposium. 23. 203-216. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291783957_Historical_abundance_of_Delaware_Bay_Atlantic_sturgeon_and_potential_rate_of_recovery

Sharp, J. (2010). Estuarine oxygen dynamics: What can we learn about hypoxia from long-time records in the Delaware estuary? Limnology and Oceanography, 55(2), 535-548.

Shoda, M.E., and Murphy, J.C. (2022). Water-quality trends in the Delaware River Basin calculated using multisource data and two methods for trend periods ending in 2018. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2022–5097. https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20225097

Smith, T.I.J., & Clugston, J.P. (1997) Status and management of Atlantic sturgeon, Acipenser oxyrinchus, in North America. Environmental Biology of Fishes 48, 335–346. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1007307507468

Soetaert, K., Petzoldt, T., Meysman, F., Meire, L. marelac: Tools for Aquatic Sciences. R package version 2.1.10. https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/marelac/index.html

Stephen, C.E., Mount, D.I., Hansen, D.J., Gentile, J.R., Chapman, G.A., and Brungs, W.A. (1985). Guidelines for Deriving Numerical National Water Quality Criteria for the Protection of Aquatic Organisms and Their Uses. United States Environmental Protection Agency. Document ID: PB85-227049. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2016-02/documents/guidelines-water-quality-criteria.pdf

Stoklosa, A.M., Keller, D.H., Marano, R., and Horwitz, R.J. (2018). "A Review of Dissolved Oxygen Requirements for Key Sensitive Species in the Delaware Estuary." Academy of Natural Sciences of Drexel University. November 2018. https://www.nj.gov/drbc/library/documents/Review_DOreq_KeySensSpecies_DelEstuary_ANStoDRBCnov2018.pdf

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (1986). Quality Criteria for Water 1986. Document ID: EPA 440/5-86-001. May 1, 1986. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2018-10/documents/quality-criteria-water-1986.pdf

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2000). Ambient Aquatic Life Water Quality Criteria for Dissolved Oxygen (Saltwater): Cape Cod to Cape Hatteras. Document ID: EPA-822-R-00-012. November 2000. https://www.epa.gov/sites/default/files/2018-10/documents/ambient-al-wqc-dissolved-oxygen-cape-code.pdf

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2003). Ambient Water Quality Criteria for Dissolved Oxygen, Water Clarity and Chlorophyll a for the Chesapeake Bay and its Tidal Tributaries. April 2003. Document ID: EPA 903-R-03-002. https://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100YKPQ.PDF?Dockey=P100YKPQ.PDF

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2021). Factsheet on Water Quality Parameters: Dissolved Oxygen. July 2021. Document ID: EPA 841F21007B. https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2021-07/parameter-factsheet_do.pdf

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2023a). Indicators: Dissolved Oxygen. June 9, 2023. https://www.epa.gov/national-aquatic-resource-surveys/indicators-dissolved-oxygen

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2023b). Ammonia. https://www.epa.gov/caddis-vol2/ammonia

United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2023c). Proceedings from the EPA Frequency and Duration Experts Workshop: September 11-12, 2019. Document ID: EPA-820-R-23-002. February 2023. https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2023-02/proceedings-frequency-duration-workshop.pdf

United States Environmental Protection Agency. Integrated Compliance Information System (ICIS). Database. Retrieved June 29, 2023.

USGS 01467200 Delaware River at Penn's Landing, Philadelphia, PA. Retrieved March 9, 2023. https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/inventory/?site_no=01467200&agency_cd=USGS

USGS 01477050 Delaware River at Chester PA. Retrieved January 31, 2023. https://waterdata.usgs.gov/nwis/inventory?agency_code=USGS&site_no=01477050

White, S.L., Sard, N.M., Brundage, H.M., Johnson, R.L., Lubinski, B.A., Eackles, M.S., Park, I.A., Fox, D.A., and Kazyak, D.C. (2022). Evaluating Sources of Bias in Pedigree-Based Estimates of Breeding Population Size. Ecological Applications 32(5): e2602. https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2602

Woodland, R.J., Secor, D.H., and Niklitschek, E.J. (2009). Past and Future Habitat Suitability for the Hudson River Population of Shortnose Sturgeon: A Bioenergetic Approach to Modeling Habitat Suitability for an Endangered Species. American Fisheries Society Symposium 69: 589-604

Zhi, W., Klingler, C., Liu, J., and Li, L. (2023). Widespread deoxygenation in warming rivers. Nature Climate Change, 13, pages 1105–1113 https://doi.org/10.1038/s41558-023-01793-3

Appendix 1: Percent Oxygen Saturation Time Series for the *Juvenile Development* Season

Time series plots in Figures A1-1 and A1-2 show the available dissolved oxygen and water temperature data during the *Juvenile Development* season from July 1 – October 31. Gaps in the record are apparent in all years from 2002 through 2022.



Figure A1-1: Daily Average Percent Oxygen Saturation and Water Temperature for 2002-2022 at Penn's Landing During the *Juvenile Development* season. Gaps indicate where data are not available during the July 1 to October 31 period in each year.



Figure A1-2: Daily Average Percent Oxygen Saturation and Water Temperature for 2002-2022 at Chester During the *Juvenile Development* season. Gaps indicate where data are not available during the July 1 to October 31 period in each year.

Appendix 2: Modeling Restored Dissolved Oxygen Time Series

This appendix provides statistical details related to the generalized additive models (GAMs) relating observed dissolved oxygen time series at the Penn's Landing and Chester water quality monitoring stations to simulation model results for the same dates and locations from DRBC's Environmental Fluid Dynamics Code – Water Analysis Simulation Program (EFDC-WASP) model predicting dissolved oxygen levels under a "restored" scenario.[100] The restored scenario predicts water quality responses to implementation of specified remedial actions, including reducing concentrations of ammonia nitrogen discharged by specified wastewater treatment plants, increasing effluent dissolved oxygen levels, and completion of combined sewer overflow long-term control plans.[101] Model simulations of the restored scenario are available for two years with relatively low river flow rates and dissolved oxygen levels (2012 and 2019) and one year with relatively high river flow rates and dissolved oxygen levels (2018).

Figures A2-1 and A2-3 show the relationship between observed dissolved oxygen and simulated dissolved oxygen in the restored scenario.



Figure A2-1: Relationship between Observed and Modeled Restored Dissolved Oxygen at the Penn's Landing (left) and Chester (right) Monitoring Stations. The modeled dissolved oxygen are results from DRBC's EFDC-WASP model predicting restored conditions after a series of pollution controls. The black dashed line running diagonal through the plots is a 1:1 line.

---

[100] Delaware River Basin Commission (2022b)

[101] More information is available in the associated rule document, *Economic Analysis for the Proposed Rule: Water Quality Standards to Protect Aquatic Life in the Delaware River*.

```
Family: gaussian                                        Penn’s Landing
Link function: identity

Formula:
do.HADO ~ s(do.obs, k = 3) + s(Q, k = 4)

Parametric coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  7.30265    0.02554   285.9   <2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1

Approximate significance of smooth terms:
          edf Ref.df        F p-value
s(do.obs)   1      1 2989.518  <2e-16 ***
s(Q)        1      1    4.643  0.0316 *
---
Signif. codes:  0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1

R-sq.(adj) =  0.889   Deviance explained = 88.9%
GCV = 0.36019  Scale est. = 0.35823   n = 549
```

```
Family: gaussian                                           Chester
Link function: identity

Formula:
do.HADO ~ s(do.obs, k = 3) + s(Q, k = 4)

Parametric coefficients:
            Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept)  7.42631    0.02647   280.6   <2e-16 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1

Approximate significance of smooth terms:
            edf Ref.df      F  p-value
s(do.obs) 1.804  1.960 599.43  < 2e-16 ***
s(Q)      2.642  2.907  13.48 1.62e-06 ***
---
Signif. codes:  0 ‘***’ 0.001 ‘**’ 0.01 ‘*’ 0.05 ‘.’ 0.1 ‘ ’ 1

R-sq.(adj) =  0.774   Deviance explained = 77.6%
GCV = 0.38847  Scale est. = 0.38461   n = 549
```

Figure A2-2: Statistical details for the GAM Relating Observed Dissolved Oxygen at Penn’s Landing (top) and Chester (bottom) to EFDC-WASP Simulated Predictions for the Restored Scenario. In the code, “do.HADO” is the restored dissolved oxygen, “do.obs” is the observed dissolved oxygen, and “Q” is the discharge (river flow).

Penn's Landing



Chester



Figure A2-3: Partial Plots for GAMs Relating Observed Dissolved Oxygen at Penn's Landing (top) and Chester (bottom) to EFDC-WASP Simulated Predictions for the Restored Scenario Showing the Partial Effect of Observed Dissolved Oxygen (left) and Daily Discharge of the Delaware River Upstream at Trenton, NJ (right). Tick marks at the bottom of each graph show the distribution of observations; darker bars indicate a higher density of observations.

Appendix 3: Juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon Abundance Survey Data

The Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control (DNREC) has conducted surveys of juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon abundance in the Delaware River since 1991.[102] The number of sampling days, hours of sampling, and the area of the net that was fished varied among years. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) is a measure of the relative abundance of juvenile Atlantic sturgeon in each year. Surveys were conducted throughout the Delaware River in most years, but in some years was confined to the vicinity of the Marcus Hook anchorage. Data are reported separately for juvenile abundance (Table A3-1 and A3-2) and young-of-the-year juvenile abundance (Table A3-3 and A3-4). Additionally, data are reported for the juvenile abundance surveys river-wide (Table A3-1 and A3-3) and separately for the vicinity of Marcus Hook (Table A3-2 and A3-4). In 2016 and 2017, a project was undertaken to capture and relocate Atlantic Sturgeon away from the area of the Marcus Hook anchorage prior to implementing a project to deepen the anchorage, part of a larger channel deepening project in the Delaware River.[103] Abundance estimates are reported but may have been impacted by these activities.

Table A3-1. Data from Juvenile Atlantic Sturgeon River-wide Abundance Survey in the Delaware River, 2009 – 2021.

Year	Sample Days	Number Taken	Gill Net Hours	Net Area (m2)	CPUE (catch/hour/m2)	CPUE*1000
2009	13	34	37.41	7878	0.00012	0.11536
2010	9	0	25.13	8324	0.00000	0.00000
2011	16	50	47.16	15756	0.00007	0.06729
2012	8	1	28.61	8250	0.00000	0.00424
2013	0	0	0	0	0.00000	0.00000
2014(1)	15	184	52.67	13332	0.00026	0.26174
2015(1)	22	61	108.08	23998	0.00002	0.02352
2016	23	6	114.48	30219	0.00000	0.00173
2017	26	139	124.75	34663	0.00003	0.03214
2018	22	240	106.23	29330	0.00007	0.07703
2019	23	18	101.18	30664	0.00000	0.00580
2020(1)	16	69	79.5	21331	0.00004	0.04069
2021	16	107	79.61	20887	0.00006	0.06435

[1] Data only from Marcus Hook Sampling
CPUE = Catch Per Unit Effort

---

[102] DNREC survey data for 2009 - 2021 were provided to EPA by DRBC on February 9, 2023. Data prior to 2009 is not directly comparable to recent years due to differences in sampling method and locations. More information is available in: Park, Ian. (2020). Final Report. Section 6 Species Recovery Grants Program. Award Number: NA16NMF4720072. Conservation and Recovery of Juvenile Atlantic Sturgeons in the Delaware River. Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control. Division of Fish and Wildlife.

[103] More information is available at https://www.nap.usace.army.mil/Missions/Civil-Works/Delaware-River-Main-Channel-Deepening/

Table A3-2. Data from Atlantic Sturgeon Juvenile Abundance Surveys near Marcus Hook, PA, 2009 – 2021.

Year	Sample Days	Number Taken	Gill Net Hours	Net Area (m^2)	CPUE (catch/hour/m^2)	CPUE*1000
2009	9	33	30.77	6317	0.00017	0.16977
2010	1	0	1.92	1041	0.00000	0.00000
2011	9	50	26.95	10331	0.00018	0.17959
2012	6	1	21.43	6466	0.00001	0.00722
2013	0	0	0	0	0.00000	0.00000
2014	15	184	52.67	13332	0.00027	0.26677
2015	22	61	108.08	23998	0.00002	0.02352
2016	11	2	51.42	14221	0.00001	0.00274
2017(1)	9	88	43.77	11999	0.00017	0.16755
2017(2)	18	135	87.68	23998	0.00006	0.06416
2018	15	221	75.66	19998	0.00014	0.14606
2019	16	11	70.42	21331	0.00001	0.00732
2020	16	69	79.5	21331	0.00004	0.04069
2021	16	107	79.61	20887	0.00006	0.06435

[1] Data prior to relocation trawling efforts.

[2] After trawling commenced, DNREC sampled the anchorage an additional nine days and captured 47 sturgeon resulting in a CPUE*1000 of only .09 during that period.

CPUE = Catch Per Unit Effort

Table A3-3. Data from Young of the Year (YOY) Atlantic Sturgeon Abundance Surveys in the Delaware River, 2009 – 2021.

Year	Sample Days	Number Taken	Gill Net Hours	Net Area (m^2)	CPUE (catch/hour/ m^2)	CPUE*1000
2009	13	34	37.41	7878	0.00012	0.11536
2010	9	0	25.13	8324	0.00000	0.00000
2011	16	50	47.16	15756	0.00007	0.06729
2012	8	1	28.61	8250	0.00000	0.00424
2013	0	0	0	0	0.00000	0.00000
2014(1)	15	182	52.67	13332	0.00026	0.25919
2015(1)	22	20	108.08	23998	0.00001	0.00771
2016(2)	23	3	114.48	30219	0.00000	0.00087
2017(2)	26	129	124.75	34663	0.00003	0.02983
2018	22	230	106.23	29330	0.00007	0.07382
2019	23	8	101.18	30664	0.00000	0.00258
2020(1)	16	18	79.5	21331	0.00001	0.01061
2021(3)	16	105	79.61	20887	0.00006	0.06435

[1] Data only from Marcus Hook sampling

[2] Relocation project overlap

[3] Anchorage dredged during sampling season

CPUE = Catch Per Unit Effort

Table A3-4. Data from Young of the Year (YOY) Atlantic Sturgeon Abundance Surveys near Marcus Hook, PA, 2009 – 2021.

Year	Sample Days	Number Taken	Gill Net Hours	Net Area (m^2)	CPUE (catch/hour/m^2)	CPUE*1000
2009	9	33	30.77	6317	0.00017	0.16978
2010	1	0	1.92	1041	0.00000	0.00000
2011	9	50	26.95	10331	0.00018	0.17958
2012	6	1	21.43	6466	0.00001	0.00722
2013	0	0	0	0	0.00000	0.00000
2014	15	182	52.67	13332	0.00026	0.25919
2015	22	20	108.08	23998	0.00001	0.00771
2016(1)	11	1	51.42	14221	0.00000	0.00137
2017(1)	18	126	87.68	23998	0.00006	0.05988
2018	15	215	75.66	19998	0.00014	0.14210
2019	16	6	70.42	21331	0.00000	0.00399
2020	16	18	79.5	21331	0.00001	0.01061
2021(2)	16	105	79.61	20887	0.00006	0.06435
2022	16	9	87.85	21331	0.00003	0.00480

[1] Relocation project overlap

[2] Anchorage dredged during sampling season

CPUE = Catch Per Unit Effort

Appendix 4: Atlantic Sturgeon Bioenergetics Model

Table A4-1: Parameter Estimates for the Atlantic Sturgeon Bioenergetics Model

Column headers: (a) estimates from Niklitschek and Secor 2009a and Niklitschek 2001 experimental results or otherwise as reported in the supplemental information of Niklitschek and Secor 2009b, including the standard error (s.e.); (b) estimates in the unaltered SAS and R code provided to EPA by Niklitschek and Secor; and (c) estimates used in EPA's analysis following partial re-optimization of parameters to fit results shown in Niklitschek and Secor 2009b. Appendix S2 in Niklitschek and Secor 2009b contains the bioenergetics sub-model equations.

Parameter	**Definition**	**(a) (± s.e.)**	**(b)**	**(c)**
Routine Metabolism (RM)				
a_{RM}	Allometric intercept (scaling coefficient)	0.52 ± 0.092	0.522	0.500
b_{RM}	Allometric slope	-0.17 ± 0.022	-0.17	-0.159
TK1RM	Reaction rate multiplier at the lowest tested temperature (6°C)	0.14 ± 0.017	0.141	0.140
TL4RM	Reaction rate multiplier at the highest tested temperature (28°C)		0.796	0.796
TL98RM	Lower temperature threshold where f(T)RM ≥ 0.98	0.38 ± 0.094		
c_{RM}	Dissolved oxygen response shape parameter	1 ± 0.26	1.0	1.00
d_{RM}	Proportionally constant for reaction rate at lowest DOSAT	0.75 ± 0.097	1.048	0.991
g_{RM}	Proportionally constant for DOCRM	0.27 ± 0.051	0.748	0.6
h_{RM}	Hiperosmotic response coefficient	0.4 ± 0.14	0.268	0.268(1)
i_{RM}	Hiposmotic response coefficient	9 ± 3.2(2)	0.352	0.352(1)
SALMIN	Salinity at which minimum osmoregulation cost is predicted	0.52 ± 0.092(2)	9.166	9.166(1)
b1	Specific gill surface area	-0.17 ± 0.022	-0.158	-0.158
Food Consumption (FC)				
a_{FC}	Allometric intercept (scaling coefficient)	1 ± 0.1	1.028	0.977
b_{FC}	Allometric exponent	-0.2 ± 0.019	-0.197	-0.213
TK1FC	Reaction rate multiplier at the lowest tested temperature (6°C)	0.2 ± 0.035	0.195	0.119
TK4FC	Reaction rate multiplier at the highest tested temperature (28°C)	0.6 ± 0.12	0.556	0.243
TL98FC	Lower temperature threshold where f(T)FC ≥ 0.98	2.61 ± 0.088	26.09	25.5
c_{FC}	Dissolved oxygen response shape parameter	1	1	1.15
d_{FC}	Proportionally constant for reaction rate at lowest DOSAT	2.5 ± 0.46	2.516	3.14

Parameter	Definition	(a) (± s.e.)	(b)	(c)
g_{FC}	Proportionally constant for DOCRM	0.73 ± 0.072	0.733	0.6
j_{FC}	Size-dependent intercept for reaction rate at the lowest salinity	0.358 ± 0.0087	0.359	0.359(1)
k_{FC}	Size-dependent intercept for reaction rate at the highest salinity	0.25 ± 0.045	0.247	0.247(1)
Postprandial Metabolism (SDA)				
a_{SDA}	Proportionality constant (to assimilated energy)	0.157 ± 0.0093	0.1657	0.1657
Active Metabolism (ACT)				
a_{ACT}	Proportionality constant (to food consumption)	0.29 ± 0.041	0.29	0.29
Egestion (EG)				
a_{EG}	Scale parameter for egestion	0.3 ± 0.12	0.335	0.2937
c_{EG}	Dissolved oxygen effect exponent	-0.8 ± 0.27	-0.75	-0.733
d_{EG}	Temperature effect exponent	-0.6 ± 0.24	-0.62	-0.484
g_{EG}	Ration size effect exponent	0	0	0
Excretion (U)				
a_{EX}	RNE, scaling factor	0.0557	0.0557	0.836
b_{EX}	RNE, exponent	-0.29	-0.29	-0.29
c_{EX}	XNE, FC proportionality coefficient	0.0392	0.0392	0.0588
Model Constants				
rt1	Lowest water temperature tested (°C)	6	6	6
rt4	Highest water temperature tested (°C)	28	28	28
s4	Highest salinity tested (ppt)	29	29	29
s1	Lowest salinity tested (ppt)	1	1	1
ox	Oxycalorific coefficient (kJ g-O_2^{-1})	13.55	13.55	13.55

[1] These parameters were not optimized because the optimization considered data with only one salinity value.

[2] EPA assumed that these values were reported in the incorrect row in the table.



Figure A4-1: (Top) The relationship between routine metabolism calculated using the bioenergetics model from this study and percent oxygen saturation and water temperature. Points show mean rates from Niklitschek and Secor (2009b). **(Bottom) The relationship between routine metabolism predicted by the bioenergetics model and measured routine metabolism.**



Figure A4-2: (Top) The relationship between food consumption calculated using the bioenergetics model from this study and percent oxygen saturation and water temperature. Points show mean rates from Niklitschek and Secor (2009b). **(Bottom) The relationship between food consumption predicted by the bioenergetics model and measured food consumption.**



Figure A4-3: (Top) The relationship between egestion ratio calculated using the bioenergetics model from this study and water temperature at two levels of percent oxygen saturation. Points show mean ratios from Niklitschek and Secor (2009b). **(Bottom) The relationship between egestion ratio and percent oxygen saturation predicted by the bioenergetics model at three water temperatures.**



Figure A4-4: (Top) The relationship between specific dynamic action (SDA) (i.e., postprandial metabolism) and water temperature at two levels of percent oxygen saturation. Points show means of measurements as reported by Niklitschek and Secor (2009b). **(Bottom) The relationship between predicted and observed SDA.**



Figure A4-5: (Top) The relationship between instantaneous growth rate and percent oxygen saturation at three different temperatures. Points show means of measurements from Niklitschek and Secor (2009b). **(Bottom) The relationship between predicted and observed growth rates.**

Appendix 5: Statistical Summary for Mortality Model

This appendix contains a summary report for the linear model relating recoded and log-transformed instantaneous mortality rates to water temperature and percent oxygen saturation in experimental tests. A linear regression was fitted to the data in Table 2 using the *lm* function in *R*, which produced an object of class “lm” (i.e., linear model). The summary was produced using the summary function applied to the object.

```
Call:
lm(formula = log(Z + 0.001) ~ posat + t + posat * t, data = df)

Residuals:
     Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
-2.37982 -0.41531  0.08754  0.80422  1.20570

Coefficients:
             Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) -7.868755   5.753160  -1.368    0.209
posat        0.008751   0.091078   0.096    0.926
t            0.366523   0.238039   1.540    0.162
posat:t     -0.003584   0.003777  -0.949    0.370


Residual standard error: 1.19 on 8 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared:  0.8171,     Adjusted R-squared:  0.7484
F-statistic: 11.91 on 3 and 8 DF,  p-value: 0.002547
```

Figure A5-1: Statistical Summary for Linear Regression Relating Instantaneous Mortality Rates to Percent Oxygen Saturation and Water Temperature. In the code, “Z” is the instantaneous mortality rate, “posat” is the percent oxygen saturation, and “t” is the water temperature.



Figure A5-2: Predicted Instantaneous Mortality Rate Due to Low Dissolved Oxygen and Varying Water Temperature. Whiskers (thin lines) show the 95% confidence limits. The upper 95% confidence limit for 30% and 40% oxygen saturation at 30°C extend off the scale and are instead shown by the indicated values.

Appendix 6: Estimates of Seasonal Change in Cohort Biomass

In section 4.1.3, Figure 7 shows computed values of HSI for each year from 2002-2022, excluding 2010. EPA defined HSI as the daily instantaneous production of the cohort (φ_{pp}). Following equation 4 ($P = e^{(G_{max} - Z_{min})t} = e^{\varphi_{pp}t}$), production of the cohort during the 123 day *Juvenile Development* season from July 1 to October 31 is $e^{123\times\varphi_{pp}}$ and accordingly, the seasonal percent change in biomass is $100 \times (e^{123\times\varphi_{pp}} - 1)$. Whereas Figure 7 best illustrates the negative range of production rate, Figure A6-1 illustrates how exponential growth contributes to clear distinctions between years when the cohort either fails almost entirely or is successful (i.e., biomass is maintained or increased by the end of the season).



Figure A6-1: Seasonal Percent Change in Biomass for 2002-2022 using Observed Dissolved Oxygen or Estimates of Restored Dissolved Oxygen at the Penn's Landing and Chester Monitoring Stations. Due to missing water quality data, estimates were not computed for 2010 at either site. The dashed line at 100% indicates that biomass is maintained from July 1 to October 31 and corresponds to HSI = 0. Values below 100% biomass indicate that the cohort has lost biomass by the end of the season. Values greater than 100% indicate that the cohort has gained biomass by the end of the season.

Appendix 7: QGAM Relationships between Dissolved Oxygen Concentrations and the Habitat Suitability Index

In section 4.1.3, EPA presented figures showing the relationships between annual percentiles of percent oxygen saturation and the habitat suitability index (Figure 8 and Figure 9). In this appendix, EPA presents the relationship between dissolved oxygen concentration and the habitat suitability index used to calculate the alternative *Juvenile Development* criteria presented in section 6.1. EPA used the same analytical approach to create figures A7-1 and A7-2 as was used for Figures 8 and 9, but with percentiles of dissolved oxygen concentration substituted for percentiles of percent oxygen saturation.



Figure A7-1: Relationships between Seasonal Percentiles of Dissolved Oxygen Concentration and Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) at Chester and Penn's Landing from 2002-2022. Regression models are quantile generalized additive models predicting the median HSI conditioned on either the seasonal 10th percentile or median dissolved oxygen concentration. Upper vs. lower panels show relationships between observed or restored dissolved oxygen concentrations and HSI calculated using the corresponding dissolved oxygen concentrations. Vertical dashed lines and associated labels show the dissolved oxygen concentration at which the median regression line intersects HSI=0.



Figure A7-2: Relationship between Seasonal Percentiles of Dissolved Oxygen Concentration and the Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) at Chester and Penn's Landing from 2002-2022. Regression models are quantile generalized additive models predicting the median HSI conditioned on either the seasonal 10th percentile or median dissolved oxygen concentration. Models are fitted to the combined data including observed dissolved oxygen and estimates of restored dissolved oxygen concentrations and HSI calculated using the corresponding dissolved oxygen concentration. Vertical dashed lines and associated labels show the dissolved oxygen concentration at which the median regression line intersects HSI=0.