







Office of Environmental Justice & External Civil Rights



DECEMBER 7, 2023

Alexandra Gallo, Special Implementation Advisor Bruce Binder, Senior Grants Policy Advisor

Agenda

- 1 Community Change Grants Program Overview
- 2 Threshold Eligibility Criteria
- 3 Technical Assistance Overview
- Track I Overview
- 5 Track II Overview
- 6 Application Submission, Evaluation and Selection Process
 - Programmatic and Administrative Requirements
- 8 Closing
- 9 Q&A



Overview of Community Change Grants Program



Introduction

EPA received \$3 billion through the Inflation Reduction Act (IRA) to fund environmental and climate justice activities to benefit disadvantaged communities.

- \$2.8 billion for grants including \$2 billion for the Community Change Grants (CCG) Program
- \$200 million for technical assistance related to the grants
- Grants cannot exceed three years (no extensions)
- All grants must be awarded by September 30, 2026



Goals

Designed with meaningful community, Tribal, and other stakeholder input, the investments EPA makes through the Community Change Grants are intended to achieve the following goals:



Fund community-driven pollution and climate resiliency solutions

Invest in strong cross-sectoral collaborations with partners working with and for communities

Unlock access to more significant resources

Deliver technical assistance and capacity-building support

Strengthen communities' decision-making power

Workgroups

Convened NEJAC IRA Accountability and EPA workgroups for recommendations on approach

Request for Information

60-day public comment period Feb - April 2023

• • •

NOFO PROGRAM DESIGN PROCESS

Community

Held Tribal, Border, Territorial and other program design webinars



Track I

Community-Driven Investments for Change

Funding Available: approx. \$1.96 billion Number of Awards: approx. 150 Per Award Amount: \$10M - \$20M each

Track II

Meaningful Engagement for Equitable Governance

Funding Available: approx. \$40 million Number of Awards: approx. 20 Per Award Amount: \$1M - \$3M each

Technical Assistance

- <u>Community Change Technical Assistance Program</u>
- Equitable Resilience Technical Assistance Program
- Thriving Communities Technical Assistance Centers (TCTACs)





Rolling Applications

Innovative **Evaluation Process**

Expedited Selection **Process**

Indirect Cost Limitations

Overview of Threshold Eligibility Criteria



Eligibility

Applications must meet **all** eligibility criteria, as outlined in Section III of the NOFO, to be considered for funding.



Comply with the content and submission requirements

Meet the eligibility and statutory partnership requirements in Section III.A

Meet threshold criteria in Section III.D, including that the projects will benefit disadvantaged communities

Projects must be completed within three years


Applications to conduct scientific research; assessment, removal, or remediation of Superfund sites; or community relocation are ineligible.


Statutory Partnership

Applicants must either be a partnership of two community-based nonprofit organizations (CBOs) or a partnership between a CBO and a federally recognized Tribe, local government or institution of higher education.

Partnerships must be documented and meet certain legal requirements (see Appendix B).

Additional information on eligibility and partnerships can be found in Section III of the NOFO.






Note: While the CBO does not have to be the "Lead Applicant," all statutory partnerships must include a CBO.

Collaborating Entities

Other entities can be involved in projects as **collaborating entities**.

Collaborating Entities may include entities that legally could be Statutory Partners (CBOs, Federally-recognized Tribes, local governments, and institutions of higher education) and entities that cannot legally be Statutory Partners (e.g., states and territorial governments).



How It Works

FORM A STATUTORY PARTNERSHIP

Statutory


Partner

Lead

Applicant


IDENTIFY COLLABORATING ENTITIES TO LEAD PROJECTS

凬



Disadvantaged Communities

Applicants must demonstrate that the projects in their application will benefit a disadvantaged community. EPA is defining disadvantaged community as one that meets at least one of the following criteria:



A geographically-defined community identified as disadvantaged on the EPA IRA <u>Disadvantaged Communities</u> <u>Map</u>


A farmworker community

OR

A Disadvantaged Unincorporated Community (DUC)

More information can be found in Appendix A of the NOFO.

Target Investment Areas



These Track I investment area amounts are approximate and may be adjusted based on the quantity and quality of applications received.





U.S. Territories

Overview of Technical Assistance






CCG TA

IRA provided EPA with \$200M for technical assistance (TA) for eligible entities related to the Environmental and Climate Justice Program, including the Community Change Grants.

Only available to eligible entities for the grants





Purpose

- Technical assistance will be available for:
 - **pre-award** support including but not limited to designing a project, preparing an
- - application, grants.gov support, or facilitating partnerships, and

 - **post-award** support to help grant recipients manage, oversee, perform, and report on the grants.
- applicant.
- Receiving technical assistance does not guarantee that applicants will be selected for funding.
- TA does not include writing an application for an



Environmental Justice

Community Change Grants Technical Assistance Request Form

CONTACT

MB Control No: 2030-0051

tion Date: 05/31/2024

is to express interest in receiving technical assistance inmental and Climate Justice Program's <u>Corr</u> <u>imunity Change Grants</u>).


Types of TA

- Community Change Technical Assistance (CCTA)
 - Broad-based pre-award and post-award TA
 - Submit an on-line <u>TA Request Form</u>
 - Call to make a TA Request or ask questions:
 - 1 (800) 540-8123
 - Email: <u>EJ_TechAssist@epa.gov</u>
- <u>Community Change Equitable Resilience</u>
 <u>Technical Assistance (CCER TA)</u>
 - Submit an on-line <u>TA Request Form</u>
 - More limited and tailored TA focusing on
 - disaster-prone areas

Track I Overview Community-Driven Investments for Change



Community-Driven Investments for Change



Note: Projects must be place-based and include a Project Area Map. They are not intended to be regional or state-wide projects, as described in Appendix A.




Reach priority populations



Maximize integration across projects





Track 1 Requirements



Climate Action Strategy



Readiness Approach



Compliance Plan

- Pollution Reduction Strategy
- Community Engagement and Collaborative Governance Plan
- Community Strength Plan



Climate Action









Microgrid Installation



Community Resilience Hubs



Workforce Development

See Appendix C for project examples

- Applications must address at least one climate action strategy that serves to increase a community's resilience or adaptive capacity:
 - Green Infrastructure & Nature-Based Solutions
 - Mobility & Transportation Options
 - Energy-Efficient, Healthy and Resilient Housing & Buildings
 - Waste Reduction & Management

See Appendix D for project examples

Pollution Reduction

Applications must include at least one pollution reduction strategy that focuses on pollution monitoring, prevention, and remediation of quantifiable and health harming pollutants:



Indoor Air Quality & Community Health



Outdoor Air Quality & Community Health



Clean Water Infrastructure



Hazardous Waste Management



Engagement and Governance Plan

- **Community Engagement:** Applicants should address the following elements:
 - Past community outreach and engagement conducted • Methods for implementation

 - Measures to minimize and mitigate barriers
 - Government involvement
- discuss project implementation.

• Collaborative Governance Structure: The applicant should provide details regarding the roles and responsibilities of the Lead Applicant, Collaborating Entities, and community residents and/or community-selected representatives for implementing, managing, and overseeing the application's project activities, including how they should meet regularly to



Community Strength Plan

- Advancing environmental and climate justice requires bolstering the strength and economic prosperity of a community for the benefit of local residents, while also ensuring those residents can remain within the community and benefit from the investments over the long term. • This plan should describe how the projects are intended to: • maximize the economic benefits of the projects for existing residents in the Project Area, and • avoid unintended consequences for existing residents in the Project Area including the displacement of residents in the Project Area.



Readiness Approach

To ensure projects can begin shortly upon award and be completed within 3 years, applicants must demonstrate: • Government Approvals: Obtained government approval if

- needed.
- projects.
- governmental land use restrictions.
- project.
- award.

• Federal Requirements for Construction: Complies with any statutory and EO requirements that apply to construction

• Alignment with Existing Plans: Project is consistent with

• Site Control: Owns, controls or has legal access to the site of a

• Operations and Maintenance: Operations and maintenance of the investment will be assured during and after the grant



Compliance Plan

describes how they will:

conditions, including:

- Financial management: <u>2 CFR § 200.302(b)</u> • Internal controls: <u>2 CFR § 200.303</u>
- Requirements for pass-through entities: <u>2 CFR §</u> 200.332

Applicants must submit a Compliance Plan that

1. Ensure compliance with the grant's terms and

2. Manage broader legal and compliance risks

Track II Overview Meaningful Engagement for Equitable Governance





Objectives


• To build the capacity of communities to evaluate and redress environmental and climate injustices • To give disadvantaged communities a meaningful voice in government decision-making processes



Project Examples

- Educational and Training Programs • Environmental Advisory Boards (EABs) • Collaborative Governance Activities • Participation in Governmental Funding and **Budgeting Processes**

Overview of Application Submission, Evaluation and Selection Process



ROLLING APPLICATION



- Applications will be accepted, reviewed, and awarded on a rolling basis to provide flexibility to the maximum extent practicable.
- Lead Applicants may submit a maximum of two applications and receive up to two awards, as described in Section II.C of the NOFO.



ROLLING APPLICATION

- Application packages will be accepted until November 21, 2024, at 11:59 PM (Eastern Time) through grants.gov.
- EPA cannot guarantee that funding will be available through the end of the application period as funding could be exhausted before the conclusion of the application period.
- Note the differences in application submission requirements and the evaluation process for Track I and Track II applications in Sections IV.B and V of the NOFO.
- Resubmission option under certain conditions, as noted in Section II.C of the NOFO.

November 21, 2024


Applications Close

Application Submission

Follow the instructions and requirements set forth in Section IV of the NOFO

> Make note of page limits

> > Ensure readability



Register with SAM.gov and grants.gov ASAP

Project narrative MUST include executive summary and project workplan



Required Forms

Mandatory Documents and Forms for Track I and II Applications

- Application for Federal Assistance (SF-424)
- Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs (SF-424A)
- Project Narrative Attachment Form • Attachment A: Program Budget Template • Attachment B: Partnership Agreement • Attachment C: Any other documents or information not listed above, such as an Indirect Cost Rate Agreement

- EPA Key Contacts Form 5700-54 • EPA Pre-award Compliance Review Report Form 4700-4



Required Forms

Mandatory Attachments for Track I Applications ONLY

- Attachment D: Project Area Map
- Governance Plan
- Attachment F: Community Strength Plan
- Attachment H: Compliance Plan

• Attachment E: Community Engagement and Collaborative

• Attachment G: Readiness Approach Information

Evaluation Criteria Track I



Community Driven Investments for Change (80 pts)

Program Management, Capability, and Capacity (35 pts)

Readiness to Proceed, Feasibility, and Sustainability (40 pts)

Oral Presentations (45 pts)

Track I **SELECTION PROCESS**



Eligibility

Applications reviewed for threshold eligibility

Written Evaluation

Written applications that receive a score of 110 or higher (out of 155) will be invited to give an oral presentation

Final Consideration Oral Presentations

Worth a maximum of 45 points

Applications with a combined score of 170 or more, based on written and oral, move to final selection consideration

Applications receiving a combined score of between 110 and 169 will be ranked and reviewed approximately monthly for final selection consideration

Evaluation Criteria Track II



Program Objectives (35 pts)

Project Collaboration and Participation (20 pts)

Project Linkages (4 pts)

Budget (8 pts)


Environmental Results (6 pts)

CBO Experience and Commitment (5 pts)

Programmatic and Managerial Capability and Resources (16 pts)

Past Performance (6 pts)

Track II **SELECTION PROCESS**




Eligibility

Applications reviewed for threshold eligibility

Written Evaluation

Written applications that receive a score of 85 or higher (out of 100) will move to final selection consideration

Oral Presentations





Final Consideration

Applications receiving a score below 85 will be ranked and reviewed approximately monthly for final selection consideration



Additional Selection Factors

Selection Official may also consider:

- Geographic diversity
- How the application supports and advances EPA and OEJECR's goals and priorities
- Organizational diversity (i.e., applicant type and size)
- Participation in a federal capacity-building program
- Whether the projects support, advance, or complement funding related to Community Disaster Resilience Zones (CDRZs) as designated by FEMA
- Capacity and capabilities of Lead Applicants to manage two awards, if applicable
- Ability to leverage additional resources
- Duplicate funding considerations
- Availability of funds



Debriefings and Disputes

Applicants who are deemed ineligible or who are not selected for funding may request a debriefing.

For more information on the debriefing and dispute process, see Section VI of the NOFO.



Resubmission

Applicants who are deemed eligible but are not selected for funding may, after timely requesting and receiving a debriefing on the application, resubmit.

For more information see Section II.C of the NOFO.

Note: Applicants who EPA finds are ineligible under section 138(b)(3) of the Clean Air Act should not resubmit their applications unless the statutory deficiency has been cured.

Overview of

Programmatic and Administrative Requirements



Programmatic Performance

In accordance with 2 CFR § 200.329, each grantee will be subject to program performance reporting requirements. Each grantee will be subject to financial and administrative reporting requirements, which will be included in the grant agreement's terms and conditions.

Pieces from the former for events from the second for the debrors of the debrors of the debrors of the following t

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

Financial and Administrative





Indirect Cost Limitation

- the Federal award.
- consistent with <u>2 CFR 200.414(c)(3)</u>.


• Per the indirect costs deviation process in <u>2 CFR</u> <u>200.414(c)</u>, there will be a limit on the indirect costs charged against any CCG award or subaward to 20% of

• This is allowable through the publicly available waiver provisions in section 9.0.a. of <u>EPA's Indirect Cost Policy</u>,

• EPA may grant waivers to the requirements in the policy where the waiver is based on circumstances of compelling urgency, unique programmatic considerations,

extraordinary compliance burdens on recipients, or where a waiver would otherwise be in the public interest

• EPA believes that the 20% limit is justified by unique programmatic considerations and is in the public interest.




Fraud, Abuse

Fraud. False representation of a material fact; intentional deception to deprive the U.S. or EPA of something of value; obtaining an undeserved benefit or privilege

Waste. Taxpayers not receiving reasonable value for money; inappropriate act or omission; mismanagement, inappropriate actions, inadequate oversight

Abuse. Deficient or improper behavior compared to reasonable and necessary business practices; misuse of authority for personal gain; not necessarily a violation of laws, regulations, or grant provisions

Fraud, Waste and




Abuse

Indicators of Fraud, Waste, and Abuse can be found on the **EPA Office of Inspector General** website.

Report suspected fraud, waste, and/or abuse to: • EPA Project Officer for the award • EPA Office of Inspector General

OIG Hotline: 1 (888) 546-8740

Fraud, Waste and




Davis Bacon Act

- supply."
- prevailing wage requirements.

• Applies to contractors and subcontractors performing work on construction contracts in excess of \$2,000 • "Construction" is defined as "... erection, alteration, or repair (including dredging, excavating, and painting) of buildings, structures, or other improvements to real property, and activities in response to a release or a threat of a release of a hazardous substance into the environment, or activities to prevent the introduction of a hazardous substance into a water

• Applicants must demonstrate that they have systems in place, or a plan to have such systems in place immediately after the grant award, to comply with Davis-Bacon and Related Acts



Build America, Buy America Act (BABA)

- Requires all iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in federally funded infrastructure projects to be produced in the United States
- "Infrastructure" is defined broadly to include structures, facilities, and equipment that are permanently affixed and serve a public function, including:
 - buildings and real property; water systems; energy generation, transport, and distribution; roads, highways, and bridges; public transportation; dams, ports, harbors, and other maritime facilities; intercity passenger and freight railroads; broadband infrastructure



Build America, Buy America Act (BABA)

- **Applying.** Applications should reflect items that are made in the U.S. in the budget and project timelines for any infrastructure projects.
- **Complying.** Recipients will be responsible for ensuring that products used in their projects follow BABA requirements.
- **Waivers.** Recipients may request waivers for items not made in the U.S.
- **Questions.** For help finding products made in the U.S. or other questions about BABA waivers, please contact <u>EPA_BABA_Waiver@epa.gov</u>.
- More information. h buy-america-baba
- More information. https://www.epa.gov/cwsrf/build-america-



Additional Provisions

Applicants must review the additional provisions that apply to the NOFO and/or awards made under the NOFO. This includes those related to federal civil rights responsibilities.

See <u>EPA's Sc</u> information.

See <u>EPA's Solicitation Clauses</u> for more



Subawards and Contracts

Prior to naming a contractor (including consultants) or subrecipient in your application as a "partner," carefully review EPA's Solicitation Clauses.

- states, territories, etc.

• Collaborative Entities. Lead Applicant enters into subaward with Collaborative Entities, who are critical to project performance and may be CBOs, local governments, institutions of higher education, Tribes,

• **Contractors.** Selected in accordance with competitive procurement regulations and are *not* considered Collaborative Entities under the NOFO.

Subaward vs. Procurement Contract

	Subaward	Procurement Contract	Transactio individual
For-profit			procurem Two exam
Individual Consultant			1.Grant r for-pro
Non-profit			owned that wi
Institution of Higher Education			purpos 2.Grant r to a for
Federal Agency	\checkmark		the cos the cor
Federally Funded Research & Development Center		\mathbf{V}	be part compa be a pr

ons with for-profit companies and I consultants are considered nent contracts with few exceptions. nples include:

recipient provides a subaward to a ofit company to upgrade equipment d by the company (the subrecipient) vill be used to carry out the grant ose.

recipient provides a rebate or subsidy or-profit company for all or a portion of osts of the equipment purchased by ompany. The rebate or subsidy would rticipant support costs and the any (owner of the equipment) would orogram beneficiary.



Subawards and Contracts

- All eligible recipients must comply with the procurement requirements in 2 CFR Part 200 with regard to competition.
- Competition best practices include:
 - Make good faith efforts to solicit quotes from **Disadvantaged Business Enterprises,**

- required by 40 CFR Part 33.
- Publicly advertise the competition and keep it
 - open for bidding for at least 30 day



Subawards and Contracts

EPA has posted a <u>Best Practice Guide for Procuring</u> <u>Services, Supplies, and Equipment Under EPA</u> <u>Assistance Agreements</u> as guidance for other recipients and subrecipients of states. It includes advice on how to comply with EPA's 40 CFR Part 33 rule on Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises in United States Environmental Protection Agency Programs.

Simplified Acquisition

should obtain prices/quotes from at least 3 sources

Micro Purchase

may be made without competition (generally, for purchases of supplies) Up to \$250,000


Up to \$10,000

PROCUREMENT THRESHOLDS

Formal Competitive Requirements

subject to formal competitive requirements and must obtain Competitive Sealed Bids or Competitive Proposals





Sole Source

circumstances apply:

- The item is available only from a single source;
- Public exigency or emergency;
- The Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity expressly authorizes noncompetitive proposals in response to a written request; or
- After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate.

As provided at <u>2 CFR 200.320(c)</u>, there are specific circumstances in which noncompetitive procurement can be used, including when one or more of the following



Sole Source

- will not be accepted by EPA.

• EPA's position is that "sole source" procurements are justified by copyrights, patents, equipment maintenance agreements with manufacturers or similar arrangements.


• Arguments for sole source procurements for services such as consulting, project management, information technology support, or other things that may be procured in the commercial marketplace from multiple sources based on a proposed contractor's "unique qualifications"

Improper Procurement Practices

Using sample language or templates from contractors planning to bid on the services

> Making noncompetitive awards to consultants that are on retainer contracts

> > Imposing unreasonable competition requirements



Discouraging other contractors from submitting an offer by naming firms

Accepting any assistance from a contractor if that contractor plans to compete for the resultant contract



Consultant Fees

- employee.

• 2 CFR 1500.10 caps the amount of compensation for individual consultants that a recipient may charge to EPA agreements to Level IV of the Federal Executive Level.

• When the cap applies depends on whether the recipient selects, directs, or controls the consultant along the same lines as an




Participant **Support Costs**

- programs.

• Common examples include travel assistance and stipends for trainees and incentives to participate in community meetings (including childcare).

• • EPA expanded the definition of participant support costs in <u>2 CFR 1500.1</u> to include rebates and subsidies for the purchase of pollution control equipment (e.g. low-emission wood stoves, indoor air filters) as well as subsidies to promote participation in environmental stewardship



Requesting Payments

- flow.

• EPA makes payments for grants through the Department of Treasury's <u>Automated Standard</u> <u>Application for Payments (ASAP)</u> electronic payment system.

• Drawdowns should be based on actual costs incurred (e.g., payroll, payment of contractor invoices) rather than estimates or "even" amounts each month or week based on anticipated cash





EPA's General Terms and Conditions require that recipients disburse substantially all funds they have received in response to a payment request within 5 business days of EPA's ASAP transfer of funds to the recipient's bank account.

Recipients do not have to pay staff, contractors, or subrecipients before drawing down.

Requesting Payments

Closing



Key Points

Check for Eligibility

- We know the NOFO is long but read it carefully!
- Make sure you meet the partnership eligibility requirements (Section III.A)
- Make sure you meet all the applicable threshold eligibility requirements or your application may be deemed ineligible (Section III.D)

Understand the Requirements for Different Tracks

- There are different requirements and evaluation criteria for Track I and II applications (Sections IV.B and V)
- Note the readiness requirements for Track I so you can begin performance quickly upon award
- Alaska Tribal and U.S. Southern Border target investment areas have unique requirements (Section II.B and Appendix H)
- Understand the post-award and other requirements (Section VI)



Key Points

Triple Check Your Application


- Make sure you do not exceed the page limits for the Project Narrative portion of the application package and other attachments (Section IV.B)
- Include all applicable attachments and forms to the application package (Section IV.B)
- Make sure your project narrative addresses all the evaluation criteria, depending on if you are applying for Track I or II (Section V)



Key Dates


Date	Event
November 2023	Applications Open
March 2024	Initial Award Selections
May/June 2024	Anticipated Start of Period of Performance for
November 2024	Applications Close

Contact us at CCGP@epa.gov with any questions. Please also check the <u>website</u> for additional webinar information and frequently asked questions.





NOFO Application Development



Find partners and build coalition

Identify meaningful transformative projects to address environmental and climate justice concerns that can be completed within 3 years (Track I) (Track II) Identify governmental policies and programs that can be improved with community voices



Register on SAM. gov and Grants.gov now (this process can take up to a month)

G	G	ς		•	G	G
s	м	т	w	т	F	s
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
8	9	10	11	12	13	14
15	16	17	18	19	20	21
22	23	24	25	26	27	28
29	30	31				

You can follow up with questions at **CCGP@epa.gov**

Stay up to date on webinars by signing up for EPA EJ listserv by sending a blank email to **join-epa-ej@lists.epa.gov**

(a)

NOFO Appendices:

A. Definition of Disadvantaged Communities and Mapping Requirements B. Partnership Agreement between the Lead Applicant and Statutory Partner C. Climate Action Strategies and Associated Project Activities D. Pollution Reduction Strategies and Associated Project Activities E. Job Quality & Equitable Employment Opportunities F. Track I & II Outputs and Outcome Examples G. Program Budget Template H. Alaska Tribal Lands Target Investment Area

Questions?





For more information, please contact <u>CCGP@epa.gov</u>.