# Dry Sorbent Injection for SO<sub>2</sub>/HCI Control Cost Development Methodology

November 2022

Project 13527-002

Eastern Research Group, Inc.

Prepared by



55 East Monroe Street • Chicago, IL 60603 USA • 312-269-2000

#### **LEGAL NOTICE**

This analysis ("Deliverable") was prepared by Sargent & Lundy, L.L.C. ("S&L"), expressly for the sole use of Eastern Research Group, Inc. ("Client") in accordance with the agreement between S&L and Client. This Deliverable was prepared using the degree of skill and care ordinarily exercised by engineers practicing under similar circumstances. Client acknowledges: (1) S&L prepared this Deliverable subject to the particular scope limitations, budgetary and time constraints, and business objectives of the Client; (2) information and data provided by others may not have been independently verified by S&L; and (3) the information and data contained in this Deliverable are time sensitive and changes in the data, applicable codes, standards, and acceptable engineering practices may invalidate the findings of this Deliverable. Any use or reliance upon this Deliverable by third parties shall be at their sole risk.

This work was funded by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) through Eastern Research Group, Inc. (ERG) as a contractor and reviewed by ERG and EPA personnel.



Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

#### **Purpose of Cost Algorithms for the IPM Model**

The primary purpose of the cost algorithms is to provide generic order-of-magnitude costs for various air quality control technologies that can be applied to the electric power generating industry on a system-wide basis, not on an individual unit basis. Cost algorithms developed for the IPM model are based primarily on a statistical evaluation of cost data available from various industry publications as well as Sargent & Lundy's proprietary database and do not take into consideration site-specific cost issues. By necessity, the cost algorithms were designed to require minimal site-specific information and were based only on a limited number of inputs such as unit size, gross heat rate, baseline emissions, removal efficiency, fuel type, and a subjective retrofit factor.

The outputs from these equations represent the "average" costs associated with the "average" project scope for the subset of data utilized in preparing the equations. The IPM cost equations do not account for site-specific factors that can significantly affect costs, such as flue gas volume and temperature, and do not address regional labor productivity, local workforce characteristics, local unemployment and labor availability, project complexity, local climate, and working conditions. In addition, the indirect capital costs included in the IPM cost equations do not account for all project-related indirect costs, such as project contingency, that a facility would incur to install a retrofit control.

In the past five years the industry trend has moved towards installing DSI systems at smaller facilities. This update includes reviews of more recent installation costs and has updated the cost algorithm to capture the more recent trends for installations with lower feed rates. Additionally, since the application of DSI for SO<sub>2</sub> removal in electric power generation, the industry has continued to improve on system performance improvements. These improvements have included (1) the application of Computation Fluid Dynamics (CFD) analysis of injection location ductwork to evaluate the uniformity of the gas flow where the sorbent is injected, (2) improved lance design or injection system design to more widely distribute the sorbent within the duct, and (3) use of enhanced hydrated lime products for improved performance with hydrated lime injection. The model algorithm has been updated based on current availability of higher performing injection system and design methodology.

### **Technology Description**

Dry sorbent injection (DSI) is a viable technology for moderate SO<sub>2</sub>/HCl reduction on coal-fired boilers. Demonstrations and utility testing have shown SO<sub>2</sub>/HCl removals greater than 80% for systems using tronabased sorbents and 98% for sodium bicarbonate sorbent. The most commonly used sodium-based sorbent is Trona, but sodium bicarbonate is also used. However, if the goal is only HCl removal, the amount of



Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

#### **DSI Cost Methodology**

sorbent injection will be significantly lower. In this case, Trona may still be the most commonly used reagent, but hydrated lime also has been employed in some situations. Because of Trona's high reactivity with SO<sub>2</sub>, when this sorbent is used, significant SO<sub>2</sub> removal must occur before high levels of HCl removal can be achieved. Studies show, however, that hydrated lime is quite effective for HCl removal because the need for simultaneous SO<sub>2</sub> removal is much reduced. In either case, actual testing must be carried out before the permanent DSI system for SO<sub>2</sub> or HCl removal is designed.

The level of SO<sub>2</sub> removal for sodium-based sorbents can vary from 0 to 98% depending on the Normalized Stoichiometric Ratio (NSR), type and quality of sorbent, and particulate capture device. NSR is defined as follows:

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \underline{(\textit{moles of Na Injected})}\\ \hline (\textit{moles of SO}_2 \ \textit{in Flue Gas}) \\ \end{array} / \underbrace{(\textit{theoretical moles of Na required})}_{} \\ \end{array}$$

The level of SO<sub>2</sub> removal for calcium-based sorbents can vary from 0 to 50% depending on the Stoichiometric Ratio (SR), quality of sorbent and particulate capture device. SR is defined as follows:

$$\frac{(moles\ of\ Ca\ Injected)}{(moles\ of\ SO_2\ in\ Flue\ Gas)}$$

The required injection rate for alkali sorbents can vary depending on the required removal efficiency, NSR (or SR), and particulate capture device. The costs for an  $SO_2$  mitigation system are primarily dependent on sorbent feed rate. This rate is a function of NSR or SR and the required  $SO_2$  removal (the latter is set by the utility and is not a function of unit size). Therefore, the required  $SO_2$  removal is determined by the user-specified  $SO_2$  emission limit, and the cost estimation is based on sorbent feed rate and not unit size. Because HCl concentrations are low compared with  $SO_2$  concentrations, any unused reagent for  $SO_2$  removal is assumed to be used for HCl removal, resulting in a very small change in the NSR used for  $SO_2$  removal when HCl removal is also a goal.

The sorbent solids can be collected in either an ESP or a baghouse. Baghouses generally achieve greater SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiencies than ESPs because the presence of filter cake on the bags allows for a longer reaction time between the sorbent solids and the flue gas. Thus, for a given removal efficiency, the NSR is reduced when a baghouse is used for particulate capture.

The SO<sub>2</sub> capture ability of the dry-sorbent is also a function of particle surface area. To increase the particle surface area, the trona may be injected into a relatively hot flue gas. Heating the trona produces micropores on the particle surface, which greatly improve the sulfur capture ability. For Trona, the sorbent should be



Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

injected into flue gas at temperatures above 275°F to maximize the micropore structure. However, if the flue gas is too hot (greater than 800°F), the solids may sinter, reducing their surface area and thus lowering the SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency of the sorbent.

Another way to increase surface area is to mechanically reduce the particle size by grinding the sorbent. Typically, Trona is delivered unmilled. The ore is ground such that the unmilled product has an average particle diameter of approximately 30  $\mu$ m. Commercial testing has shown that the reactivity of the Trona can be increased when the sorbent is ground to produce particles smaller than 30  $\mu$ m. Sodium Bicarbonate when used as the sorbent gains reactivity with in-line mills. In the cost estimation methodology, the Trona and sodium bicarbonate is assumed to be delivered in the unmilled state only. To mill the sorbent, in-line mills are continuously used during the injection process. Therefore, the delivered cost of sorbent will not change; only the reactivity of the sorbent and amount used change when milled.

Ultimately, the NSR required for a given removal is a function of particle size and particulate capture equipment. In the cost program, the user can choose either as-delivered Trona (approximately 30  $\mu$ m average size) or in-line milled Trona (approximately 15  $\mu$ m average size) for injection. When selecting sodium bicarbonate as the sorbent the cost model is based on in-line milling. The average Trona particle size and the type of particulate removal equipment both contribute to the predicted sorbent feed rate.

The SO<sub>2</sub> capture ability of hydrated lime is also a function of particle surface area and temperature. An enhanced lime is available in the market for improved surface area per pound of sorbent. Additionally, the type of particulate control device also influences the SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency. The cost program estimate for SR is based on the use of an enhanced lime product.

When targeting the removal of SO<sub>2</sub> with sorbent injection HCl in the flue gas stream will also react with the sorbent. Typical concentrations of HCl in the coal fired flue gas streams will be much lower than the SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. The SO<sub>2</sub> and HCl gas will compete for reaction with the injected sorbent. However, due to lower concentrations of HCl in the flue gas stream an emission limit will be achieved for the HCl prior to the projected removal efficiency of the SO<sub>2</sub> in most cases. The lower emission limit for HCl is established in the present work to be 0.002 lb/mmBtu based on test data and to meet the Mercury Air Toxics (MATS) regulation. The purpose of the present cost model is based on the SO<sub>2</sub> injection rates; HCl removal rates are provided for information purposes only and are not used as an input into the cost model.



Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

#### **DSI Cost Methodology**

#### **Establishment of the Cost Basis**

For wet or dry FGD systems, sulfur removal is generally specified at the maximum achievable level. With those systems, costs are primarily a function of plant size and target sulfur removal rate. However, DSI systems are quite different. The major cost for the DSI system is the sorbent itself. The sorbent feed rate is a function of sulfur generation rate, particulate collection device, and removal efficiency. To account for all of the variables, the capital cost was established based on a sorbent feed rate, which is calculated from user input variables. Cost data for several DSI systems were reviewed and a relationship was developed for the capital costs of the system on a sorbent feed-rate basis. The data was converted to 2022 dollars based on an escalation factor of 2.5% based on the industry trends over the last ten years (2010-2020) excluding the current market conditions.

#### Methodology

#### Inputs

Several input variables are required in order to predict future retrofit costs. The sulfur feed rate and NSR are the major variables for the cost estimate. The NSR is a function of the following:

- Removal efficiency,
- Sorbent particle size, and
- Particulate capture device.

A retrofit factor that equates to difficulty in construction of the system must be defined. The gross unit size and gross heat rate will factor into the amount of sulfur generated.

Based on commercial testing, removal efficiencies with DSI are limited by the particulate capture device employed and particulate emission limits. Trona, when captured in an ESP, typically removes 40 to 50% of SO<sub>2</sub> without an increase in particulate emissions, whereas hydrated lime may remove an even lower percentage of SO<sub>2</sub> before the ESP performance is impacted. This is related to the electrical resistivity of each of the sorbents. A baghouse used with sorbents generally achieve a higher SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency (50 to 98%) than that of an ESP. DSI technology, however, should not be applied to fuels with sulfur content greater than 2 lb SO<sub>2</sub>/MMBtu.

Units with a baghouse and limited NO<sub>X</sub> control that target a high SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency with sodium sorbents may experience a brown plume resulting from the conversion of NO to NO<sub>2</sub>. The formation of NO<sub>2</sub> would then have to be addressed by adding an adsorbent, such as activated carbon, into the flue gas.



Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

#### **DSI Cost Methodology**

However, many coal-fired units control NO<sub>x</sub> to a sufficiently low level that a brown plume should not be an issue with sodium-based DSI. Therefore, this algorithm does not incorporate any additional costs to control NO<sub>2</sub>.

The equations provided in the cost methodology spreadsheet allow the user to input the required removal efficiency, within the limits of the technology. To simplify the correlation between efficiency and technology, SO<sub>2</sub> removal may be set at 50% with an ESP and 70% with a baghouse. The simplified sorbent NSR would then be calculated as follows:

#### For an ESP at the target 50% removal

Unmilled Trona NSR = 1.68
Milled Trona NSR = 1.26
Sodium Bicarbonate NSR: = 0.93

#### For a baghouse at the target 70% removal

Unmilled Trona NSR = 1.61 Milled Trona NSR = 1.31 Sodium Bicarbonate = 0.90

The algorithm identifies the maximum expected HCl removal based on SO<sub>2</sub> removal. The HCl removal should be limited to achieve 0.002 lb HCl/MBtu to meet the Mercury Air Toxics (MATS) regulation. The hydrated lime algorithm should be used only for the HCl removal requirement. For hydrated lime injection systems, the SO<sub>2</sub> removal should be limited to 20% to achieve maximum HCl removal. The algorithm for the HCl removal is based on the removal rate of SO<sub>2</sub> determined from the sorbent injection rate. The algorithm takes into account a range of HCl inlet concentrations, but the algorithm averages this variability of HCl concentration at the inlet for the estimated HCl removal.

The correlation could be further simplified by assuming that only milled Trona is used. The current trend in the industry is to use in-line milling of the Trona to improve its utilization. For a minor increase in capital, milling can greatly reduce the variable operating expenses, thus it is recommended that only milled Trona be considered in the simplified algorithm.



Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

#### **DSI Cost Methodology**

#### **Outputs**

#### **Total Project Costs (TPC)**

First, the base installed cost for the complete DSI system is calculated (BM). The base installed cost includes the following:

- All equipment,
- Installation.
- Buildings,
- Foundations,
- Electrical, and
- Average retrofit difficulty.

The base module cost is adjusted by the selection of in-line milling equipment. The base installed cost is then increased by the following:

- Engineering and construction management costs at 10% of the BM cost;
- Labor adjustment for 6 x 10-hour shift premium, per diem, etc., at 5% of the BM cost; and
- Contractor profit and fees at 5% of the BM cost.

A capital, engineering, and construction cost subtotal (CECC) is established as the sum of the BM and the additional engineering and construction fees.

Additional costs and financing expenditures for the project are computed based on the CECC. Financing and additional project costs include the following:

- Owner's home office costs (owner's engineering, management, and procurement) are added at 5% of the CECC.
- Allowance for Funds Used During Construction (AFUDC) is not added to the CECC and owner's costs because these projects are expected to be completed in less than a year.

The total project cost is based on a multiple lump-sum contract approach. Should a turnkey engineering procurement construction (EPC) contract be executed, the total project cost could be 10 to 15% higher than what is currently estimated.

Escalation is not included in the estimate. The total project cost (TPC) is the sum of the CECC and the additional costs and financing expenditures.



Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

#### **DSI Cost Methodology**

#### Fixed O&M (FOM)

The fixed operating and maintenance (O&M) cost is a function of the additional operations staff (FOMO), maintenance labor and materials (FOMM), and administrative labor (FOMA) associated with the DSI installation. The FOM is the sum of the FOMO, FOMM, and FOMA.

The following factors and assumptions underlie calculations of the FOM:

- All of the FOM costs are tabulated on a per-kilowatt-year (kW-yr) basis.
- In general, 2 additional operators are required for a DSI system. The FOMO is based on the number of additional operations staff required.
- The fixed maintenance materials and labor is a direct function of the process capital cost (BM).
- The administrative labor is a function of the FOMO and FOMM.

#### Variable O&M (VOM)

Variable O&M is a function of the following:

- · Reagent use and unit costs,
- Waste production and unit disposal costs, and
- Additional power required and unit power cost.

The following factors and assumptions underlie calculations of the VOM:

- All of the VOM costs are tabulated on a per megawatt-hour (MWh) basis.
- The additional power required includes increased fan power to account for the added DSI system and, as applicable, air blowers and transport-air drying equipment for the SO<sub>2</sub> mitigation system.
- The additional power is reported as a percentage of the total unit gross production. In addition, a cost associated with the additional power requirements can be included in the total variable costs.
- The reagent usage is a function of NSR and the required SO<sub>2</sub> removal. The estimated NSR is
  a function of the removal efficiency required. The basis for total reagent rate purity is 95% for
  hydrated lime, 100% for sodium bicarbonate, and 98% for Trona.
- The waste-generation rate, which is based on the reaction of Trona or hydrated lime with SO<sub>2</sub>, is a function of the sorbent feed rate. The waste-generation rate is also adjusted for excess sorbent fed. The reaction products in the waste for hydrated lime and sodium sorbents mainly



Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

#### **DSI Cost Methodology**

contain  $CaSO_4$  and  $Na_2SO_4$  and unreacted dry sorbent such as  $Ca(OH)_2$  and  $Na_2CO_3$ , respectively.

- The user can remove fly ash disposal volume from the waste disposal cost to reflect the situation where the unit has separate particulate capture devices for fly ash and dry sorbent.
- If Trona or sodium bicarbonate is the selected sorbent, the fly ash captured with this sodium sorbent in the same particulate control device must be landfilled. Typical ash content for each fuel is used to calculate a total fly ash production rate. The fly ash production is added to the sorbent waste to account for a total waste stream in the O&M analysis.

Input options are provided for the user to adjust the variable O&M costs per unit. Average default values are included in the base estimate. The variable O&M costs per unit options are as follows:

- Reagent cost in \$/ton.
- Waste disposal costs in \$/ton that should vary with the type of waste being disposed.
- Auxiliary power cost in \$/kWh.
- Operating labor rate (including all benefits) in \$/hr.

The variables that contribute to the overall VOM are:

VOMR = Variable O&M costs for reagent

VOMW = Variable O&M costs for waste disposal

VOMP = Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power

The total VOM is the sum of VOMR, VOMW, and VOMP. The additional auxiliary power requirement is also reported as a percentage of the total gross power of the unit.

#### **Cost Model Examples**

Table 1 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with milled Trona injection ahead of an ESP.

Table 2 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with milled Trona injection ahead of a baghouse.

Table 3 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with unmilled Trona injection ahead of an ESP.



Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

#### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 4 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with unmilled Trona ahead of a baghouse.

Table 5 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with hydrated lime injection ahead of an ESP.

Table 6 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with hydrated lime ahead of a baghouse.

Table 7 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with sodium bicarbonate ahead of an ESP.

Table 8 contains an example of the complete capital and O&M cost estimate worksheet for a DSI installation with sodium bicarbonate ahead of a baghouse.

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 1. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value		Calculation					
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500		< User Input					
Retrofit Factor	В		1		< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)					
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500		< User Input					
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1		< User Input					
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous <b>T</b>		< User Input					
Particulate Capture	F		ESP ▼		< User Input					
Sorbent	G		Milled Trona	,	User Input					
Removal Target	т	(%)	85		Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with a BGH = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%					
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09		A*C*1000					
NSR	к		3.08		Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310*H,0.352e^(0.0313*H))  Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245*H,0.355e^(0.0254*H))  Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196*H,0.296e^(0.0242*H))  Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150*H,0.220e^(0.0255*H))  SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185*H,0.255e^(0.0248*H))  SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0120*H,0.165e^(0.0243*H))  Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34*H*0.51,0.82*EXP(0.031*H))  Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40*H*0.31,0.62*EXP(0.025*H))					
Sorbent Feed Rate	М	(ton/hr)	17.54		Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D					
Estimated HCl Removal (Note 2)	V	(%)	95		Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu					
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	14.11		Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime and 0% for SBC.					
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73		(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV)  For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000  For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400  For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal					
Aux Power Include in VOw?	Q	(%)	0.70		=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A					
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	170		< User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$280)					
			50		< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone					
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)			will be more dificult to dispose =					
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06		< User Input					
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60		< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)					

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 1. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with an ESP (Continued)

#### Costs are all based on 2022 dollars (NOTE 1)

			( -	•
Capital Cost Ca	alculation Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty	Exam	ple	Comments
BM (\$) =	Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (890,000°B*M) else 6,560,000°B*(M*0.378) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (980,000°B*M) else 7,260,000°B*(M*0.378)) Hydrated Lime If(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 6,980,000°B*(M*0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 7,010,000°B*M*0.378)	\$	21,439,894	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
BM (\$/KW	') =		43	Base module cost per kW
Total Project C				
A1 = 10%	of BM	\$	2,144,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 5% o	of BM	\$	1,072,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
A3 = 5% o	of BM	\$	1,072,000	Contractor profit and fees
	- Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	25,727,894 51	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5% o	of CECC	\$	1,286,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
	Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 N) - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	27,013,894 54	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 0% o	of (CECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$) = TPC (\$/kV	CECC + B1 + B2 V) =	\$	27,013,894 54	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixed O&M Cos	st			
	kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000) kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$ \$	0.43 0.02	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
**	N yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.02	Total Fixed O&M costs
••		•	0.00	Total 1 Mod Collin Coole
Variable O&M ( VOMR (\$/N	Cost MWh) = M*R/A	\$	5.97	Variable O&M costs for sorbent Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the
VOMW (\$/	$VOMW (\$/MWh) = (N+P)^*S/A$		3.48	sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
VOMP (\$/I	MWh) =Q*T*10	\$	0.42	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
VOM (\$/M	Wh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	s	9.87	

VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMP \$ 9.87

NOTE 1: Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a steep increase in material prices, longer lead times and shortage of certain materials are expected. While there is no certainty, it is assumed that the price increase incurred during the past year will subside and prices will begin to regulate themselves in the next ~18-24 months. The estimates do not represent the temporary material price increases incurred in the past year.

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 2. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value		Calculation					
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500		< User Input					
Retrofit Factor	В	(10100)	1		< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)					
Gross Heat Rate	C	(Btu/kWh)	9500		Oser Input (All average Terrolit has a factor = 1.0) < User Input					
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1		< User Input					
Type of Coal	E	(,	Bituminous <b>T</b>		< User Input					
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse <b>V</b>		< User Input					
Sorbent	G		Milled Trona		▼ User Input					
Removal Target	н	(%)	90		Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an BGH = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%					
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09		A*C*1000					
NSR	К		2.18		Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310*H,0.352e^(0.0313*H)) Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245*H,0.355e^(0.0254*H)) Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196*H,0.296e^(0.0242*H)) Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150*H,0.220e^(0.0255*H)) SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185*H,0.255e^(0.0248*H)) SBC with an BGH = if (H<60,0.0120*H,0.165e^(0.0243*H)) Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34*H*O.51,0.82*EXP(0.031*H)) Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40*H*O.31, 0.62*EXP(0.025*H))					
Sorbent Feed Rate	М	(ton/hr)	12.46		Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D					
Estimated HCl Removal (Note 2)	V	(%)	95		Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu					
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	10.42		Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime and 0% for SBC.					
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73		(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV)  For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000  For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400  For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal					
Aux Power Include in VOw?	Q	(%)	0.50		=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A					
Include in VOw? Sorbent Cost	R	(C/ton)	170		User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$280)					
		(\$/ton)	50		< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone					
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)			will be more dificult to dispose =					
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06		< User Input					
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60		< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)					

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

# Table 2. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Milled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse (Continued)

#### Costs are all based on 2022 dollars (NOTE 1)

Capital Cost (	Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty	Examp	ile	Comments
BM (\$) =	Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (890,000°B*M) else 6,560,000°B*(M*0.378) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (980,000°B*M) else 7,260,000°B*(M*0.378)) Hydrated Lime If(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 6,980,000°B*(M*0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 7,010,000°B*M*0.378)	\$	18,836,418	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
BM (\$/K\	V) =		38	Base module cost per kW
Total Project				
A1 = 109	o of BM	\$	1,884,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 5%	of BM	\$	942,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
A3 = 5%	of BM	\$	942,000	Contractor profit and fees
	) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 (kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	22,604,418 45	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5%	of CECC	\$	1,130,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
	- Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 :W) - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	23,734,418 47	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 0%	of (CECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$)	= CECC + B1 + B2 W) =	\$	23,734,418 47	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixed O&M Co	ost			
	/kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	$kW \text{ yr} = BM^*0.01/(B^*A^*1000)$ $kW \text{ yr} = 0.03^*(FOMO+0.4^*FOMM)$	\$ \$	0.38 0.02	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs  Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
**	W yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.90	Total Fixed O&M costs
•		¥	0.50	Total Fixed Oxivi costs
Variable O&M VOMR (\$	Cost //MV/h) = M*R/A	\$	4.24	Variable O&M costs for sorbent Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the
VOMW (	$S/MWh) = (N+P)^*S/A$	\$	3.12	variable Own costs for waste disposal trial includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
VOMP (\$	$(MWh) = Q^*T^*10$	\$	0.30	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
VOM (\$/I	/IWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	7.65	

NOTE 1: Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a steep increase in material prices, longer lead times and shortage of certain materials are expected. While there is no certainty, it is assumed that the price increase incurred during the past year will subside and prices will begin to regulate themselves in the next ~18-24 months. The estimates do not represent the temporary material price increases incurred in the past year.

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 3. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation				
Unit Size (Gross)	Α	(MW)	500	< User Input				
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)				
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input				
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input				
Type of Coal	Е		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input				
Particulate Capture	F		ESP ▼	< User Input				
Sorbent	G		Unmilled Trona	▼ User Input				
Removal Target	н	(%)	70	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an ESP = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with an BGH = 50%				
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000				
NSR	К		3.15	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310*H,0.352e^(0.0313*H))   Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245*H,0.355e^(0.0254*H))   Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196*H,0.296e^(0.0242*H))   Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150*H,0.290e^(0.0255*H))   SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185*H,0.255e^(0.0248*H))   SBC with a BGH = if (H<60,0.0120*H,0.165e^(0.0243*H))   Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34*H^0.51,0.82*EXP(0.031*H))   Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40*H^0.31, 0.62*EXP(0.025*H))				
Sorbent Feed Rate	М	(ton/hr)	17.96	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D				
Estimated HCl Removal (Note 2)	V	(%)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu				
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	14.22	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime and 0% for SBC.				
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal* (1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV)  For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000  For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400  For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal				
Aux Power Include in VOw?	Q	(%)	0.65	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A				
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	170	< User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$280)				
			50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone				
Waste Disposal Cost Aux Power Cost	S T	(\$/ton)	0.06	will be more dificult to dispose =  < User Input				
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/kWh)	60	· · ·				
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)				

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 3. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with an ESP (Continued)

#### Costs are all based on 2022 dollars (NOTE 1)

Capital Cost Includes	- Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty	Examp	ble	Comments
BM (\$) =	Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (890,000°B*M) else 6,560,000°B*(M*0.378) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (980,000°B*M) else 7,260,000°B*(M*0.378)) Hydrated Lime If(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 6,980,000°B*(M*0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 7,010,000°B*M*0.378)	\$	19,545,640	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
BM (\$/K	W) =		39	Base module cost per kW
Total Project				
A1 = 10	% of BM	\$	1,955,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 5%	of BM	\$	977,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
A3 = 5%	of BM	\$	977,000	Contractor profit and fees
	s) - Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 //kW) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	23,454,640 47	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5%	of CECC	\$	1,173,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
	- Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 kW) - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	24,627,640 49	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 0%	of (CECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$) TPC (\$/	= CECC + B1 + B2 (W) =	\$	24,627,640 49	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixed O&M C	ost			
	S/kW yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	\$/kW yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000) \$/kW yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$ \$	0.39 0.02	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs  Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
,	kW yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.02	Total Fixed O&M costs
POW (\$/	KW yr) - FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	ð	0.91	Total Fixed Oxivi costs
Variable O&N VOMR (	1 Cost //MWh) = M*R/A	\$	6.11	Variable O&M costs for sorbent Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the
VOMW	$(N+P)^*S/A$	\$	3.49	variable Own costs for waste disposal trial includes boil the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
VOMP (	S/MWh) =Q*T*10	\$	0.39	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
VOM (\$/	MWh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	9.99	

NOTE 1: Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a steep increase in material prices, longer lead times and shortage of certain materials are expected. While there is no certainty, it is assumed that the price increase incurred during the past year will subside and prices will begin to regulate themselves in the next ~18-24 months. The estimates do not represent the temporary material price increases incurred in the past year.

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

#### Table 4. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value		Calculation				
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500		< User Input				
Retrofit Factor	В	()	1		< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)				
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500		< User Input				
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1		< User Input				
Type of Coal	Е		Bituminous 🔻		< User Input				
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse 🔻		< User Input				
Sorbent	G		Unmilled Trona	٠,	User Input				
Removal Target	н	(%)	85		Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an ESP = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%				
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09		A*C*1000				
NSR	К		2.32		Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310*H,0.352e^(0.0313*H))   Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245*H,0.355e^(0.0254*H))   Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196*H,0.296e^(0.0242*H))   Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150*H,0.220e^(0.0255*H))   SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185*H,0.255e^(0.0248*H))   SBC with a BGH = if (H<60,0.0120*H,0.165e^(0.0243*H))   Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34*H^0.51,0.82*EXP(0.031*H))   Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40*H^0.31, 0.62*EXP(0.025*H))				
Sorbent Feed Rate	М	(ton/hr)	13.21		Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D				
Estimated HCl Removal (Note 2)	V	(%)	95		Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu				
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	10.91		Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime and 0% for SBC.				
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73		(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV)  For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000  For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400  For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal				
Aux Power Include in VOw?	Q	(%)	0.48		=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A				
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	170		< User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$280)				
			50		< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone				
Waste Disposal Cost Aux Power Cost	S T	(\$/ton)	0.00		will be more dificult to dispose =				
	U	(\$/kWh)	0.06		< User Input				
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60		< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)				

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 4. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for an Unmilled Trona DSI System with a Baghouse (Continued)

#### Costs are all based on 2022 dollars (NOTE 1)

				(	-1
Cap	ital Cost Calcu		Examp	le	Comments
	Includes - Equ	uipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty			
	BM (\$) =	Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (890,000°B*M) else 6,560,000°B*(M*0.378)  Milled Trona if (M>25 then (980,000°B*M) else 7,260,000°B*(M*0.378))  Hydrated Lime If(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 6,980,000°B*(M*0.378))  SBC if(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 7,010,000°B*M*0.378)	\$	17,402,320	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
	BM (\$/KW) =			35	Base module cost per kW
Tot	al Project Cost	t .			
	A1 = 10% of E	BM	\$	1,740,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
	A2 = 5% of B	M	\$	870,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
	A3 = 5% of B	M	\$	870,000	Contractor profit and fees
		xcludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 ) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	20,882,320 42	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
	B1 = 5% of C	ECC	\$	1,044,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
		cludes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	21,926,320 44	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
	B2 = 0% of (0	CECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
		CC + B1 + B2	\$	21,926,320	Total project cost
	TPC (\$/kW) =	•		44	Total project cost per kW
Fixe	ed O&M Cost				
	FOMO (\$/kW	yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	FOMM (\$/kW	yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000)	\$	0.35	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
	FOMA (\$/kW	yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$	0.02	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
	FOM (\$/kW y	rr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.87	Total Fixed O&M costs
Var	iable O&M Cos	st			
	VOMR (\$/MW	h) = M*R/A	\$	4.49	Variable O&M costs for sorbent Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the
	VOMW ( $\$/MWh$ ) = (N+P)*S/A			3.16	sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
	VOMP (\$/MW	/h) =Q*T*10	\$	0.29	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOM (\$/MWh	n) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	7.94	

VOM (\$/MWh) = VOMR + VOMP \$ 7.94

NOTE 1: Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a steep increase in material prices, longer lead times and shortage of certain materials are expected. While there is no certainty, it is assumed that the price increase incurred during the past year will subside and prices will begin to regulate themselves in the next ~18-24 months. The estimates do not represent the temporary material price increases incurred in the past year.

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 5. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value		Calculation				
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500		< User Input				
Retrofit Factor	В		1		< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)				
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500		< User Input				
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1		< User Input				
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous <b>T</b>		< User Input				
Particulate Capture	F		ESP ▼		< User Input				
Sorbent	G		Hydrated Lime	-	User Input				
Removal Target	н	(%)	40		Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an ESP = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with an BGH = 50%				
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09		A*C*1000				
NSR	к		2.83		Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310*H,0.352e $^{(0.0313*H)}$ ) Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245*H,0.355e $^{(0.0254*H)}$ ) Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196*H,0.296e $^{(0.0242*H)}$ ) Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150*H,0.290e $^{(0.0242*H)}$ ) SBC with an ESP =if(H<60,0.0185*H,0.255e $^{(0.0248*H)}$ ) SBC with an BGH = if (H<60,0.0120*H,0.165e $^{(0.0243*H)}$ ) Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34*H $^{(0.0343*H)}$ ) Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40*H $^{(0.0343*H)}$ 0.62*EXP(0.025*H))				
Sorbent Feed Rate	М	(ton/hr)	8.08		Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D				
Estimated HCl Removal (Note 2)	V	(%)	95		Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H^0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H^0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H^0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H^0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H+99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu				
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	8.97		Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime and 0% for SBC.				
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73		(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV)  For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000  For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400  For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal				
Aux Power Include in VOw?	Q	(%)	0.29		=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A				
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	150		< User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$280)				
			50		< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone				
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)			will be more dificult to dispose =				
Aux Power Cost	T U	(\$/kWh)	0.06		< User Input				
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60		< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)				

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

# Table 5. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with an ESP (Continued)

#### Costs are all based on 2022 dollars (NOTE 1)

Сар	oital Cost Calci Includes - Eq	ulation uipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (890,000°B*M) else 6,560,000°B*(M*0.378)	Exam	ole	Comments
	BM (\$) =	Milled Trona if (M>25 then (980,000°B*M) else 7,300,000°B (M°0.376) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (980,000°B*M) else 7,260,000°B*(M°0.378)) Hydrated Lime If(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 6,980,000°B*(M°0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 7,010,000°B*M°0.378)	\$	15,378,761	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
	BM (\$/KW) =			31	Base module cost per kW
Tot	al Project Cos	t			
	A1 = 10% of	BM	\$	1,538,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
	A2 = 5% of B	SM .	\$	769,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
	A3 = 5% of B	M	\$	769,000	Contractor profit and fees
	( )	excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 ) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	18,454,761 37	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
	B1 = 5% of C	DECC	\$	923,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
		cludes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	19,377,761 39	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
	B2 = 0% of (	CECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
	TPC (\$) = CE TPC (\$/kW) :	ECC + B1 + B2 =	\$	19,377,761 39	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixe	ed O&M Cost				
		yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
		$yr$ ) = $BM^*0.01/(B^*A^*1000)$ $yr$ ) = $0.03^*(FOMO+0.4^*FOMM)$	\$ \$	0.31 0.02	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
		r) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.83	Total Fixed O&M costs
			•		
var	iable O&M Cos VOMR (\$/MW		\$	2.43	Variable O&M costs for sorbent Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the
	VOMW (\$/MV	$Nh) = (N+P)^*S/A$	\$	2.97	sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
	VOMP (\$/MV	/h) =Q*T*10	\$	0.17	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOM (\$/MWI	n) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	5.57	

\* 5.57

NOTE 1: Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a steep increase in material prices, longer lead times and shortage of certain materials are expected. While there is no certainty, it is assumed that the price increase incurred during the past year will subside and prices will begin to regulate themselves in the next ~18-24 months. The estimates do not represent the temporary material price increases incurred in the past year.

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 6. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation				
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	< User Input				
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)				
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input				
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input				
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input				
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse $\blacktriangledown$	< User Input				
Sorbent	G		Hydrated Lime	▼ User Input				
Removal Target	н	(%)	50	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an BGH = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%				
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000				
NSR	К		2.16	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310*H,0.352e^(0.0313*H))   Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245*H,0.355e^(0.0254*H))   Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196*H,0.296e^(0.0242*H))   Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150*H,0.220e^(0.0255*H))   SBC with an ESP =if(H<60,0.0185*H,0.255e^(0.0248*H))   SBC with a BGH = if (H<60,0.0120*H,0.165e^(0.0243*H))   Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34*H^0.51,0.82*EXP(0.031*H))   Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40*H^0.31, 0.62*EXP(0.025*H))				
Sorbent Feed Rate	М	(ton/hr)	6.17	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D				
Estimated HCl Removal (Note 2)	V	(%)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H*0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H*0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H*0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H*0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H*0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H*99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu				
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	7.28	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydrated Lime and 0% for SBC.				
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV)  For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000  For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400  For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal				
Aux Power Include in VOw?	Q	(%)	0.22	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A				
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	150	< User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$280)				
		``	50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone				
Waste Disposal Cost	S T	(\$/ton)	0.00	will be more dificult to dispose =				
Aux Power Cost Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/kWh) (\$/hr)	0.06 60	< User Input < User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)				
Operating Labor Rate	U	(ψ/III <i>)</i>	00	Oser imput (Labor cost including all benefits)				

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

# Table 6. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Hydrated Lime DSI System with a Baghouse (Continued)

#### Costs are all based on 2022 dollars (NOTE 1)

				•	,
Cap	pital Cost Calcu Includes - Equ	ulation uipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (890,000*B*M) else 6,560,000*B*(M*0.378)	Exam	ole	Comments
	BM (\$) =	Offinitied Intria in (m²-25 then (980,000°B M) less 6,350,000°B (M°0.376) Milled Trona if (M>25 then (980,000°B*M) else 7,260,000°B°(M°0.378)) Hydrated Lime If(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 6,880,000°B°(M°0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (950,000°B*M) else 7,010,000°B*M°0.378)	\$	13,888,821	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
	BM (\$/KW) =			28	Base module cost per kW
Tot	al Project Cost				
	A1 = 10% of E	ВМ	\$	1,389,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
	A2 = 5% of B	M	\$	694,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
	A3 = 5% of B	M	\$	694,000	Contractor profit and fees
		xcludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	16,665,821 33	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
	B1 = 5% of C	ECC	\$	833,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
		cludes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	17,498,821 35	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
	B2 = 0% of (0	DECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
	TPC (\$) = CE TPC (\$/kW) =	CCC + B1 + B2	\$	17,498,821 35	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fix	ed O&M Cost				
		yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
		yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000) yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$ \$	0.28 0.02	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
	**	r) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.80	Total Fixed O&M costs
			•	0.00	Total Fixed Odivi costs
Var	riable O&M Cos VOMR (\$/MW		\$	1.85	Variable O&M costs for sorbent Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the
	VOMW (\$/MV	$Vh$ ) = $(N+P)^*S/A$	\$	2.80	sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
	VOMP (\$/MW	'h) =Q*T*10	\$	0.13	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOM (\$/MWh	) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	4.79	

NOTE 1: Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a steep increase in material prices, longer lead times and shortage of certain materials are expected. While there is no certainty, it is assumed that the price increase incurred during the past year will subside and prices will begin to regulate themselves in the next ~18-24 months. The estimates do not represent the temporary material price increases incurred in the past year.

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 7. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Sodium Bicarbonate DSI System with an ESP

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation		
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	< User Input		
Retrofit Factor	В		1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)		
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input		
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input		
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous 🔻	< User Input		
Particulate Capture	F		ESP ▼	< User Input		
Sorbent	G		Sodium Bicarbonate	User Input		
Removal Target	н	(%)	95	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with a BGH = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%		
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000		
NSR	К		2.69	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310*H,0.352e^(0.0313*H))   Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245*H,0.355e^(0.0254*H))   Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196*H,0.296e^(0.0242*H))   Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150*H,0.220e^(0.0255*H))   SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185*H,0.255e^(0.0248*H))   SBC with an BGH = if (H<60,0.0120*H,0.165e^(0.0243*H))   Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if(H<10,0.34*H^0.51,0.82*EXP(0.031*H))   Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if(H<10,0.40*H^0.31, 0.62*EXP(0.025*H))		
Sorbent Feed Rate	М	(ton/hr)	16.77	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D		
Estimated HCl Removal (Note 2)	V	(%)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H*\0.1081, or 0.002 lb\/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H*\0.0346 or 0.002 lb\/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H*\0.1081, or 0.002 lb\/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H*\0.0346, or 0.002 lb\/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H*\0.197 or 0.002 lb\/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H*\99.12 or 0.002 lb\/MBtu		
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	11.85	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hy Lime and 0% for SBC.		
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV)  For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000  For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400  For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal		
Aux Power Include in VOw?	O	(%)	0.67	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A		
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	280	< User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$280)		
			50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone		
Waste Disposal Cost	S T	(\$/ton)	0.00	will be more dificult to dispose =		
Aux Power Cost Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/kWh) (\$/hr)	0.06 60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)		
operating Labor Nate	U	(ψ/111)	00	OSCI ITIPAT (LADOI COSTITICIAMITY All DETICITIS)		

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 7. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Sodium Bicarbonate DSI System with an ESP (Continued)

#### Costs are all based on 2022 dollars (NOTE 1)

		00010 410 411 54004 011 2022	aona.	0 (110 I E	'/
Сар	ital Cost Calcu	ulation	Example		Comments
	Includes - Equ	uipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (890,000*B*M) else 6,560,000*B*(M^0.378)			
	BM (\$) =	Milled Trona if (M>25 then (980,000°B°M) else 7,260,000°B°(M°0.378)) Hydrated Lime If(M>25 then (950,000°B°M) else 6,980,000°B°(M°0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (950,000°B°M) else 7,010,000°B°M°0.378)	\$	20,351,232	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
	BM (\$/KW) =			41	Base module cost per kW
Tota	al Project Cost				
	A1 = 10% of E	BM	\$	2,035,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
	A2 = 5% of BI	M	\$	1,018,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
	A3 = 5% of BI	M	\$	1,018,000	Contractor profit and fees
		xcludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	24,422,232 49	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
	B1 = 5% of C	ECC	\$	1,221,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
		cludes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	25,643,232 51	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
	B2 = 0% of (C	DECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
	TPC (\$) = CE TPC (\$/kW) =	CCC + B1 + B2	\$	25,643,232 51	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixe	d O&M Cost				
	FOMO (\$/kW	yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	FOMM ( $\$/kW yr$ ) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000)			0.41	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs
	FOMA (\$/kW	yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$	0.02	Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
	FOM (\$/kW y	r) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.93	Total Fixed O&M costs
Vari	able O&M Cos	ıt			
	VOMR (\$/MW	$h'(A) = M^*R/A$	\$	9.39	Variable O&M costs for sorbent Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the
VOMW (\$/MWh		$Vh) = (N+P)^*S/A$	\$	3.26	variable Oxivi costs for waste disposal trail includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
	VOMP (\$/MW	h) =Q*T*10	\$	0.40	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
	VOM (\$/MWh	) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	13.05	

NOTE 1: Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a steep increase in material prices, longer lead times and shortage of certain materials are expected. While there is no certainty, it is assumed that the price increase incurred during the past year will subside and prices will begin to regulate themselves in the next ~18-24 months. The estimates do not represent the temporary material price increases incurred in the past year.

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 8. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Sodium Bicarbonate DSI System with a Baghouse

Variable	Designation	Units	Value	Calculation			
Unit Size (Gross)	A	(MW)	500	< User Input			
Retrofit Factor	В	, ,	1	< User Input (An "average" retrofit has a factor = 1.0)			
Gross Heat Rate	С	(Btu/kWh)	9500	< User Input			
SO2 Rate	D	(lb/MMBtu)	1	< User Input			
Type of Coal	E		Bituminous	< User Input			
Particulate Capture	F		Baghouse 🔻	< User Input			
Sorbent	G		Sodium Bicarbonate	▼ User Input			
Removal Target	н	(%)	98	Maximum Removal Targets: Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 70% Milled Trona with an ESP = 85% Unmilled Trona with an BGH = 85% Milled Trona with an BGH = 90% SBC with an ESP = 95% SBC with an BGH = 98% Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 40% Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 50%			
Heat Input	J	(Btu/hr)	4.75E+09	A*C*1000			
NSR	К		1.79	Unmilled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0310*H,0.352e^(0.0313*H)) Milled Trona with an ESP = if (H<40,0.0245*H,0.355e^(0.0254*H)) Unmilled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0196*H,0.296e^(0.0242*H)) Milled Trona with a BGH = if (H<40,0.0150*H,0.220e^(0.0255*H)) SBC with an ESP = if(H<60,0.0185*H,0.255e^(0.0248*H)) SBC with an ESP = if (H<60,0.0120*H,0.165e^(0.0248*H)) Hydrated Lime with an ESP = if (H<10,0.34*H*0.51,0.82*EXP(0.031*H)) Hydrated Lime with a BGH = if (H<10,0.40*H*0.31,0.62*EXP(0.025*H))			
Sorbent Feed Rate	М	(ton/hr)	11.13	Trona = (1.2011 x 10^-06)*K*A*C*D Hydrated Lime = (6.0055 x 10^-07)*K*A*C*D SBC = (1.3125 x 10^-6)*K*A*C*D			
Estimated HCl Removal (Note 2)	V	(%)	95	Milled or Unmilled Trona with an ESP = 60.86*H*\0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Milled or Unmilled Trona with a BGH =84.598*H*\0.0346 or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with an ESP = 60.86*H*\0.1081, or 0.002 lb/MBtu SBC with a BGH = 84.598*H*\0.0346, or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with an ESP = 54.92*H*\0.197 or 0.002 lb/MBtu Hydrated Lime with a BGH = 0.0085*H*\0.99.12 or 0.002 lb/MBtu			
Sorbent Waste Rate	N	(ton/hr)	8.33	Trona = (0.7387 + 0.002372*H/K)*M Lime = (1.00 + 0.00777*H/K)*M SBC = (0.6310 + 0.002143 *H/K)*M Waste product adjusted for a maximum inert content of 5% for Trona and 2% for Hydr Lime and 0% for SBC.			
Fly Ash Waste Rate Include in VOM?	Р	(ton/hr)	20.73	(A*C)*Ash in Coal*(1-Boiler Ash Removal)/(2*HHV) For Bituminous Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.12; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 11000 For PRB Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.06; Boiler Ash Removal = 0.2; HHV = 8400 For Lignite Coal: Ash in Coal = 0.08; Boiler Ash Removal			
Aux Power Include in VOw?	Q	(%)	0.45	=if Milled Trona or SBC M*20/A else M*18/A			
Sorbent Cost	R	(\$/ton)	280	< User Input (Trona = \$170, Hydrated Lime = \$150, Sodium Bicarbonate = \$280)			
		\.`.	50	< User Input (Disposal cost with fly ash = \$50. Without fly ash, the sorbent waste alone			
Waste Disposal Cost	S	(\$/ton)		will be more dificult to dispose =			
Aux Power Cost	T	(\$/kWh)	0.06	< User Input			
Operating Labor Rate	U	(\$/hr)	60	< User Input (Labor cost including all benefits)			

Project No. 13527-002 November 2022

### **DSI Cost Methodology**

Table 8. Example of a Complete Cost Estimate for a Sodium Bicarbonate DSI System with a Baghouse (Continued)

#### Costs are all based on 2022 dollars (NOTE 1)

Capital Cost Calculation			ole `	Comments
	Includes - Equipment, installation, buildings, foundations, electrical, and retrofit difficulty  Unmilled Trona if (M>25 then (890.000°B*M) else 6,560.000°B*(M^0.378)			Comments
BM (\$) =	Milled Trona if (M>25 tien (980,000 'B'M) else 7,260,000 'B'(M*0.378)) Hydrated Lime If(M>25 then (980,000 'B'M) else 6,980,000 'B'(M*0.378)) SBC if(M>25 then (950,000 'B'M) else 7,010,000 'B'M*0.378))	\$	17,429,992	Base module for unmilled sorbent includes all equipment from unloading to injection, including dehumification system
BM (\$/KW)	) =		35	Base module cost per kW
Total Project Co	ost			
A1 = 10% (	of BM	\$	1,743,000	Engineering and Construction Management costs
A2 = 5% of	f BM	\$	871,000	Labor adjustment for 6 x 10 hour shift premium, per diem, etc
A3 = 5% of	f BM	\$	871,000	Contractor profit and fees
	- Excludes Owner's Costs = BM+A1+A2+A3 W) - Excludes Owner's Costs =	\$	20,914,992 42	Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal Capital, engineering and construction cost subtotal per kW
B1 = 5% of	r CECC	\$	1,046,000	Owners costs including all "home office" costs (owners engineering, management, and procurement activities)
	Includes Owner's Costs = CECC + B1 V) - Includes Owner's Costs =	\$	21,960,992 44	Total project cost without AFUDC Total project cost per kW without AFUDC
B2 = 0% of	f (CECC + B1)	\$	-	AFUDC (Zero for less than 1 year engineering and construction cycle)
TPC (\$) = 1 TPC (\$/kW	CECC + B1 + B2 /) =	\$	21,960,992 44	Total project cost Total project cost per kW
Fixed O&M Cos	t			
	W yr) = (2 additional operator)*2080*U/(A*1000)	\$	0.50	Fixed O&M additional operating labor costs
	W yr) = BM*0.01/(B*A*1000) W yr) = 0.03*(FOMO+0.4*FOMM)	\$ \$	0.35 0.02	Fixed O&M additional maintenance material and labor costs Fixed O&M additional administrative labor costs
**	V yr) = FOMO + FOMM + FOMA	\$	0.87	Total Fixed O&M costs
•		•	0.07	Total Tixed Odivi costs
Variable O&M C VOMR (\$/N	cost MVh) = M*R/A	\$	6.23	Variable O&M costs for sorbent Variable O&M costs for waste disposal that includes both the
VOMW (\$/I	$MWh) = (N+P)^*S/A$	\$	2.91	variable Own costs for waste disposal that includes both the sorbent and the fly ash waste not removed prior to the sorbent injection
VOMP (\$/N	MWh) =Q*T*10	\$	0.27	Variable O&M costs for additional auxiliary power required (Refer to Aux Power % above)
VOM (\$/MV	Nh) = VOMR + VOMW + VOMP	\$	9.41	

NOTE 1: Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, there has been a steep increase in material prices, longer lead times and shortage of certain materials are expected. While there is no certainty, it is assumed that the price increase incurred during the past year will subside and prices will begin to regulate themselves in the next ~18-24 months. The estimates do not represent the temporary material price increases incurred in the past year.