

Community Grants Program

FINAL IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE

2024



Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| Purpose | 2 |
| Background | 2 |
| Eligibility | 2 |
| Technical Corrections | 2 |
| Cost Share Requirements | 3 |
| Waivers to Cost Share Requirements | 6 |
| Grant Administration: Community Grants Lifecycle | 8 |
| Regulations and Requirements | 12 |
| Regional Contacts | 19 |
| Appendix A: Statutory Language | 20 |
| Appendix B: List of EPA Congressionally Directed Spending Community Projects and Funding Levels | 22 |
| Appendix C: Technical Corrections-Procedural Information | 87 |
| Appendix D: Application Forms and Attachments | 90 |
| Appendix E: Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline | 94 |
| Appendix F: Grant Policies and Resources | 97 |

Purpose

Recipients are strongly encouraged to read this guidance document in its entirety. It provides important information and guidelines on how the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will award and administer water infrastructure projects identified as Congressionally Directed Spending (CDS) and Community Project Funding (CPF) items in Appropriations Acts. For Fiscal Year (FY) 2024, the Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 118-42) includes \$1,419,312,172 in the State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) account for 1,022 drinking water, wastewater, stormwater infrastructure, and water quality protection projects.¹ This information includes explaining statutory program requirements and agency grant policies and procedures.

Background

President Biden signed the FY 2024 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 118-42) into law on March 9, 2024. In this law, Congress continued the practice of funding specifically named community infrastructure projects, referred to by the Senate as CDS items and in the House of Representatives as CPF items. [Appendix A](#) of this document provides the pertinent section(s) of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2024, also referred to as EPA's FY 2024 Appropriations Act. Water infrastructure CDS/CPF projects are further referred to as Community Grants projects in this document.

Eligibility

Community Grants projects are designated for the planning, design, and construction of drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater infrastructure and for water quality protection. Eligible Community Grants projects are included in Appropriations Acts. For FY 2024, eligible projects are referenced in the explanatory statement found in [Appendix A](#). [Appendix B](#) lists each project that is eligible for funding under the FY 2024 Appropriations Act and identifies the state, recipient name, purpose, and appropriated funding amount of each project. EPA will use the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) framework to guide implementation of these Community Grants; the [CWSRF](#) and [DWSRF](#) eligibilities should be referred to for development of workplans, project scopes, costs, and sub-awards. Funds appropriated for Community Grants projects may not be awarded solely to repay loans received from SRF programs or to repay other debts unless there are explicit instructions to do so in Appropriations Acts or accompanying explanatory statements and/or committee reports. These funds may not be used for operation and maintenance.

Technical Corrections

Should a Community Grant recipient identified in an Appropriations Act need to modify the type, purpose, or named recipient of the Community Grant, a technical correction will be

¹ In the FY 2024 Consolidated Appropriations Act, EPA received a total of 1039 CDS/CPF projects for \$1,460,035,172. Of this total, 1,022 projects are for water community projects; this document pertains to these projects.

needed. The Agency's FY 2006 Appropriations Act (P.L. 109-54) included a permanent authority that allows EPA to make technical corrections to Community Grants only after consultation with Congress, without the need for additional legislation. For example, if a recipient (*e.g.*, City of Salem) is named in the authorizing language but a different legal entity (*e.g.*, Salem Wastewater Utility) owns the infrastructure, the recipient can request a technical correction. As another example, if the Appropriations Act provides for a specific type of project (*e.g.*, drinking water) when a different type of project (*e.g.*, wastewater) is needed, the recipient can request a technical correction to change the project type.

Appropriate Types of Technical Corrections

A technical correction can be made for all, or part of a project identified in an Appropriations Act to change the recipient, the purpose, or both. The statutory language that provides EPA with the authority to make technical corrections does not limit the extent to which a technical correction can alter the original project, if the new project provides for water quality protection or involves construction² of drinking water, wastewater, or stormwater infrastructure.

Technical corrections cannot, however, be used to change the project purpose to debt repayment, because debt repayment does not meet the statutory terms of the authority. After consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, EPA will generally approve changes in purpose that meet the above criteria or changes in recipient where both the original entity and the new entity to be named concur with the change. Any technical correction request involving a change to both the purpose and the recipient entity must be accompanied by additional documentation explaining:

- The need or reason for the change;
- The relationship between the two entities;
- Who initiated the request; and
- The involvement of any third parties, if known.

Additional information on technical corrections is provided in [Appendix C](#).

Cost Share Requirements

Appropriations Acts require each Community Grant recipient to provide a cost share from non-federal sources unless the recipient is approved for a cost share waiver by EPA. For FY 2024, the cost share amount is 20% of the total grant project cost. All contributions toward cost share should be included in the grant budget and must be categorized in the appropriate grant

² "The term 'construction' means any one or more of the following: preliminary planning to determine the feasibility of treatment works, engineering, architectural, legal, fiscal, or economic investigations or studies, surveys, designs, plans, working drawings, specifications, procedures, field testing of innovative or alternative waste water treatment processes and techniques meeting guidelines promulgated under section 1314(d)(3) of this title, or other necessary actions, erection, building, acquisition, alteration, remodeling, improvement, or extension of treatment works, or the inspection or supervision of any of the foregoing items." (33 U.S.C. § 1292(1)). Since the Safe Drinking Water Act, which applies to the DWSRF program, does not have a definition of "construction," the definition from the CWA is being used for this program with respect to project eligibility.

budget category (see [Appendix D](#) for more information on budget development). The source of the cost share must be included in the workplan and payment requests. EPA may pay 80% of costs shown on approved payment requests up to the approved federal funding amount.

- All grant funds, including a cost share, can be used only for allowable costs in executing the project. All cost sharing funds must have supporting source documents (a record that supports a transaction).
- Services donated to recipients may be furnished by professional and technical personnel and consultants in accordance with [2 CFR 200.434](#). Dollar values must be placed on all donated services in accordance with [2 CFR 200.306](#). All cost sharing funds must be included in the workplan and budget and be part of the grant's total project costs.
- All cost sharing funds must conform to the same laws, regulations, grant conditions, etc., as the federal funds within the grant; recipients may prefer to limit cost sharing to the amount required.

See [Appendix D](#) and [Appendix F](#) for information on general principles of cost allowability.

Sources of Cost Share

Eligible sources of “non-federal” funds to meet the cost share requirement are described below; recipients can use any or a combination of the following eligible sources if the requirements in [2 CFR 200.306](#) are met:

- 1) **Public sources.**³ The following public funding sources can be used to meet the cost share requirement:
 - State appropriations;
 - Local government match to the grant project;
 - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant funds;
 - U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development funds;
 - Appalachian Regional Commission funds;
 - Delta Regional Authority grants; and,
 - The CWSRF and DWSRF programs if those funds are:
 - non-federal funds such as loan repayments, interest earnings, bond proceeds, and fees, or
 - a state contribution to the SRF above the statutorily required 20% match.

Note: EPA has issued a [class deviation document](#) pertaining to CWSRF and a [policy memo](#) pertaining to DWSRF that allow Community Grant recipients to use certain sources of funds from the two SRF programs as the non-federal cost share. The

³ Community Grant recipients can use federal funds from other programs as all, or part, of the cost share only if the statute authorizing those programs specifically allows the funds to be used as match for other federal grants. Additionally, other federal program funding must be allowed to support the planning, design and/or construction of drinking water, wastewater, or stormwater infrastructure projects, and/or water quality protection.

CWSRF class deviation and DWSRF policy documents allow SRF programs to use the non-federal and non-state match share of SRF funds to provide loans that Community Grant recipients can use as the cost share for community projects.

Funding made available to jurisdictions through the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (P. L. 117-2) (ARPA), including ARPA Revenue loss funds, cannot be used to meet the non-federal cost share requirement.

- 2) **Private sources.** These include funding from a business or nonprofit contributing to the project.
- 3) **In-kind services.** These may include the applicant's administrative expenses for managing and overseeing the grant and projects, provided that the expenses are not being reimbursed by the federal share of the grant award. In-kind services contributed by other entities may also be allowable as cost share. Force accounts may be used as in-kind services: personnel costs include salaries, wages, and allowable incentive compensation for recipient employees (i.e., who receive W-2 forms) who spend time working on the project. In-kind (cost share) contributions must be verifiable and documented. For example, if the recipient does not intend to charge the EPA assistance agreement for all time employees spend working on the project, the applicant may include salaries or wages in the personnel category for cost share purposes.

Determining Cost Share Amount

For the purposes of calculating the cost share amount, the amount specified in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act for EPA's contribution represents 80% of the total grant project cost. Grant applications are not required to reflect costs that exceed total grant project costs as calculated below; this is the minimum total grant project cost required to receive the full FY 2024 appropriation amount.

The following example demonstrates how to calculate the cost share amount using \$100,000 as the EPA contribution:

A. Identify the Total Grant Project Cost

Divide the EPA contribution by 0.80 to calculate the total grant project cost:

$$\$100,000 \div 0.80 = \$125,000.$$

\$125,000 is the total grant project cost

B. Multiply the Total Grant Project Cost by 0.20 to determine the cost share amount

Total grant project cost x 0.20 = required cost share amount

$$\$125,000 \times 0.20 = \$25,000$$

\$25,000 is the required 20% cost share amount

C. Confirm

Total grant project cost = EPA Contribution + Cost Share Amount.

$$\text{\$125,000} = \text{\$100,000} + \text{\$25,000}$$

Waivers to Cost Share Requirements

EPA supports waiving required non-federal cost share for projects located in, or that primarily serve and benefit, disadvantaged communities. EPA is using the discretion provided by the FY 2024 Appropriations Act (see [Appendix A](#)) to consider waiving or reducing statutorily required non-federal cost share on Community Grant funds when requested and appropriate.

EPA will consider the Cost Share Waiver Criteria A – E⁴ below, in defining disadvantaged communities for the purposes of Community Grants. Projects identified in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act whose primary benefits are to communities that meet at least one of the criteria below may request a waiver of the non-federal cost share requirement under the Community Grants Program. Systems that serve large service areas with a specific project that will primarily serve a subset of its service area that meets one of these criteria may also request a waiver.

Waivers to the cost share requirement must be approved by the EPA's Assistant Administrator for Water or Regional Administrators or authorized delegated officials, in accordance with EPA's Delegation of Authority 1-102.⁵ Recipients requesting cost share waivers should submit a written request to the Regional EPA [Point of Contact](#) or Project Officer for consideration. Waiver requests should include applicable Cost Share Waiver Criteria and any related supporting documentation including source data retrieved from the websites noted below.

Many of the criteria can be found on the [Census Bureau's](#) website. Recipients can start by entering their community's name in the search bar and viewing the community's profile. Tables

⁴EPA developed Cost Share Waiver Criteria A – E for the purposes of assessing the appropriateness of waiving the cost share requirement for the drinking water, wastewater, stormwater infrastructure, and water quality protection projects identified in the Appropriations Acts, based on the EPA's Memorandum: [Implementation of the Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Provisions of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law](#), March 8, 2022 (see Attachment 1, Appendix E, of the memorandum); the EPA will take these criteria into consideration when assessing the appropriateness of waiving the cost share requirement for any drinking water, wastewater, stormwater infrastructure, and water quality protection projects identified in the FY 2022 Appropriations Act and in subsequent Appropriations Acts.

⁵ EPA's *Delegation of Authority 1-102, Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Water Infrastructure Projects or Other Water Resource Projects from Funds Appropriated for the State and Tribal Assistance Grant Account or the Environmental Programs and Management Account*, authorizes EPA's Assistant Administrator for Water and Regional Administrators, "To approve and administer grants and cooperative agreements for water infrastructure projects or other water resource projects from funds appropriated for the State and Tribal Assistance Grant Account or the Environmental Programs and Management Account or any successor accounts, including a project authorized by Section 510 of the Water Quality Act of 1987, P.L. 100-4, 101 Stat. 7,80, EPA's FY 1991 Appropriations Act (P.L. 101-507), and any subsequent public law; and to perform other activities necessary for the effective administration of those grants and cooperative agreements."

and graphics from the Census Bureau’s website can be downloaded or embedded in a recipient’s cost share waiver request. Recipients should use the most recent data that is collected as multiyear estimates for each of the criteria when available. Specific tables with more detailed information and other publicly available datasets beyond the community profile page for each metric are provided below.

Cost Share Waiver Criteria

A. Community Median Household Income (MHI) is less than 80% of State MHI

- MHI can be found by using the [community’s profile page of the US Census Bureau – use the search function to find your community](#) and click the S1901 link in the Income and Poverty Section to find the MHI based on most recent annual Census American Community Survey (ACS) multiyear data.
- In the few cases where a local jurisdiction’s MHI is not available, the surrounding county’s MHI may be sufficient. The Census Bureau provides annual [5-Year Average Median Household Income data in Table B19013](#). Click on the B19013 Table, select GEOS and search under “most common geographies” select “State” and then select the relevant and enter community name in the search bar.

B. Communities with an Upper Limit of Lowest Quintile Income Less Than or Equal to the Current National Upper Limit of Lowest Quintile Income.

- The current national upper limit of Lowest Quintile Income can be found in tabular format on the [Census Bureau](#) website, by searching for “B19080 HOUSEHOLD INCOME QUINTILE UPPER LIMITS United States.”
- Communities can view their Lowest Quintile Income in tabular format on the [Census Bureau](#) website by searching by community name and “B19080 HOUSEHOLD INCOME QUINTILE UPPER LIMITS”

C. Communities with $\geq 30.9\%$ Population Living Under 200% of Poverty Level

- Community information on the population living under the poverty level can be found on the [Census Bureau website](#); communities may search by entering the community’s name and “S1701”.
- The US Department of Health and Human Services also provides [US Federal Poverty Guidelines](#), including a chart with percentage of poverty levels (i.e., 200%).

D. Communities with Poverty Rates Greater Than or Equal to 20%

- Percent of the poverty rate can be found on [a community’s profile page provided by](#) the Census Bureau. Communities should ensure the most recently available multiyear data is selected.
- More detailed information can be found in [Table S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 months](#).

E. Communities with $\geq 11.7\%$ Population Receiving Food Stamps/SNAP Benefits

- Communities can find the percentage of their population receiving SNAP benefits on the [Census Bureau](#) website. Select “view state and local data” to search by state and then “View Results for a County of City/Town.”

Insular Territories Projects

The non-federal cost share requirement is waived for any community projects located in the U.S. territories. This is consistent with the Omnibus Territories Act of 1977, which authorizes Departments and Agencies to award grants to Insular Territories, such as the U.S. Virgin Islands, without a match requirement.

Tribal Projects

Consistent with the implementation of the Clean Water Indian Set-Aside Grant Program under Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 518 and the Drinking Water Infrastructure Grants Tribal Set-Aside Program under Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Section 1452(i), which do not require tribal cost share, EPA will waive the non-federal cost share for tribal community projects.

Grant Administration: Community Grants Lifecycle

EPA's Community Grant appropriations are STAG infrastructure grants to improve water infrastructure and water quality through funding for drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater projects, and for water quality protection. [Appendix B](#) lists the 1,022 water CDS/CPF projects identified in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act. These 1,022 projects are collectively funded "off the top" at a level of \$787,652,267 from the FY 2024 general CWSRF appropriation and \$631,659,905 from the FY 2024 general DWSRF appropriation.

EPA's Regional Offices will approve and administer Community Grants as authorized under EPA's Delegation of Authority 1-102.⁵ Per EPA's Delegation of Authority 1-14A,⁶ EPA Regional Administrators are authorized to award grants and cooperative agreements, including Community Grants, that were appropriated in FY 2024.

The following sections describe the lifecycle stages of each award. Additional information on grants policies and resources, including on receiving and managing EPA grants, is listed in [Appendix F](#).

Pre-Award Phase

While Congress directs Community Grant funds to specified recipients for defined projects, recipients are required to fulfill statutory and regulatory requirements **before** EPA can award grant funding. These requirements include but are not limited to providing necessary information for the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental review, review of any pre-award costs, and submitting a complete grant application package. [Appendix D](#) and [Appendix E](#) provide information on grant application package content and submission.

⁶ EPA's *Delegation of Authority 1-14A, Assistance Agreements*, authorizes Regional Administrators, the Assistant Administrator for Mission Support, and the Chief Financial Officer, "To take all necessary actions to award, obligate and de-obligate funds for, and administer fellowship, grant, cooperative and loan agreements (hereinafter financial assistance), and to make any final determinations required by law or regulations, with eligible recipients."

1. NEPA Environmental Review

As required by EPA's NEPA implementing regulations at [40 CFR Part 6](#), EPA must complete the NEPA review process before awarding Community Grants for water infrastructure and water quality protection projects. EPA's NEPA implementing regulations are to be used in conjunction with the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ's) implementing NEPA regulations at [40 CFR Parts 1500 – 1508](#).

The requirement for a documented environmental review under NEPA generally does not apply to grants solely for planning activities, such as infrastructure assessments, watershed plans, and wastewater capital improvement plans. Applicants should check with their [EPA Regional Contact](#) to determine if a documented environmental review under NEPA applies to a particular Community Grant. See the [Regulations and Requirements](#) section and [Appendix F](#) for additional information about the NEPA Environmental Review.

2. Pre-award Costs and Procurement Review

Costs incurred prior to grant awards may be eligible for reimbursement if the costs are in conformance with applicable federal and EPA statutes and regulations. Incurred costs are financial obligations: costs owed by an entity as a result of a transaction. The costs may have been paid or remain unpaid.

The regulations at [2 CFR 200.458](#) require that pre-award costs be incurred "...directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the Federal award and only with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency. If charged to the award, these costs must be charged to the initial budget period of the award, unless otherwise specified by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity." Additionally, [2 CFR 1500.9](#) further explains that "[a]ll costs incurred before EPA makes the award are at the recipient's risk. EPA is under no obligation to reimburse such costs if for any reason the recipient does not receive a Federal award or if the Federal award is less than anticipated and inadequate to cover such costs."

For Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act, pre-award costs must be incurred on or after October 1, 2023, to be considered for eligibility. Notwithstanding, and consistent with [2 CFR 1500.9](#), all costs incurred before EPA makes the award are at the recipient's risk. EPA shall review the eligibility of such costs on a case-by-case basis prior to approving the project budget and awarding the grant.

A review of pre-award costs includes a review of bidding documents and/or contracts executed prior to award for compliance with applicable procurement requirements as described in the [Regulations and Requirements](#) section below.

3. Application, Forms, Workplan, and Submitting an Application

Upon completion of an environmental review under NEPA, development of a project workplan, and review of any pre-award costs (including any costs related procurement of goods and/or services), applicants should submit a complete grant application package to EPA. The workplan and application must include any pre-award costs. Recipients must ensure that their organizations have registered with the federal government's [System for Award Management \(SAM\)](#). Recipients must have an active registration/record with [SAM.gov](#) and complete the [Grants.gov](#) registration process to apply for any federal funding.

The complete grant application includes several forms, as described in [Appendix D](#). These forms **must** be downloaded from the Community Grant opportunity package on Grants.gov. Generic versions of the standard forms not downloaded from the Grants.gov website will not be accepted. See [Appendix D](#) for instructions on how to navigate to the Funding Opportunity Package and download the standard forms. [Appendix F](#) includes information on budget development and allowability of costs. In addition to the required forms, grant applicants must submit a project workplan that describes the proposed project, the milestone schedule, the need for the project, and the anticipated environmental and public health benefits (outputs and outcomes). See Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline in [Appendix E](#) for more information.

Applicants must submit a complete application package (with all required forms, a workplan, and additional required documentation) for EPA review and approval, through the Grants.gov portal. See additional information in [Appendix D](#).

4. New Recipient Training

If any a recipient is new to receiving EPA grant funding, they need to complete the [EPA Grants Management Training for Applicants and Recipients](#) and the training on [How to Develop a Budget](#). EPA defines new applicants/recipients as an organization that never received an EPA grant before, or if the organization last received an EPA grant prior to FY 2015 (i.e., prior to October 1, 2014). Organizations can check [USA Spending](#) to determine if they are a new applicant/recipient for this requirement by using these [instructions](#). Additional information can be found in [Appendix F](#).

Post-Award Phase

After receiving an award, the recipient is ready to start working on the activities outlined in the approved workplan. Adhering to various grant statutes and regulations and the terms and conditions outlined in the grant agreement are critical to ensuring a successful grant project.

- Recipients submit payment requests to EPA for incurred costs. In some cases, pre-award costs may be included. Once the payment request is approved, the recipient can draw down the requested amount. As required by [2 CFR 200.305\(b\)](#), EPA requires that recipients of EPA financial assistance participate in the [Automated Standard Application for Payments \(ASAP\)](#)

system. Recipients must request payment for the minimum amounts needed for actual and immediate cash requirements of the recipient in carrying out the purpose of the approved project. Recipients will submit a payment request including supporting documentation such as copies of bills (vouchers, invoices, etc.), along with a description of services rendered, time spent, and charges for EPA review and approval. After review and approval, EPA will pay the recipient for the federal share of the allowable costs shown on the payment request. Information on [ASAP](#) is available online.

- EPA grants contain General, Administrative, and Programmatic terms and conditions, which include reporting requirements such as filing an interim (annual) Federal Financial Report (FFR), annual MBE/WBE Reporting, and progress report submission. [EPA's General Terms and Conditions](#) are applicable to all EPA grant awards, and additional terms and conditions for Community Grants awards will be specified in individual award agreements. Recipients should regularly review grant award terms and conditions throughout the life of the project to ensure that the organization remains in compliance with all requirements and must inform EPA if problems arise that jeopardize the completion of the project. EPA Regional Offices perform construction monitoring and oversight.
- Recipients' personnel payroll and records systems must be capable of providing reports on the activities of each employee who works directly on a grant. Charges to federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. Activity reports are typically signed by the individual employee and/or by a responsible supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the activities performed by an employee. The supervisor should be able to certify that the distribution of activity represents a reasonable estimate of the actual work performed by the employee during the periods covered by the reports. [2 CFR 200.430](#) provides additional information on *Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses*.
- Recipients should contact the EPA Project Officer should any changes to the grant agreement (e.g., workplan, milestone schedule, budget) become necessary for the project to succeed, as soon as possible to discuss the changes. In accordance with [2 CFR 200.308](#), most changes must be approved by EPA and may require a formal amendment to the assistance agreement.
- EPA conducts administrative monitoring, including reviewing recipient invoices/payment requests and programmatic reports, and can request access to all records and conduct grant audits. EPA can disallow costs and take enforcement actions if the recipient fails to remain in compliance.

Closeout Phase

Closeout refers to the process EPA uses to determine that a recipient has completed all the required workplan activities under a grant and confirm that all applicable financial and administrative requirements as described in [2 CFR 200.344](#) have been met.

- Recipients must submit the final progress report according to the terms and conditions of the grant agreement and should demonstrate satisfactory completion of all workplan tasks and activities.
- Recipients prepare and submit several reports as part of the grant closeout process. [EPA's Frequently Asked Questions about Closeouts](#) provides information about closeout requirements, procedures, records retention, and associated regulations. EPA provides more information for recipients via the [online course on closing out grants](#).

Regulations and Requirements

Recipients are responsible for compliance with applicable statutes, regulations, and requirements including but not limited to [EPA's general grants policies and regulations](#).

In addition, each grant agreement will specify terms and conditions that establish a legally binding agreement between EPA and the recipient including but not limited to [EPA's General Terms and Conditions](#). Details and information related to several requirements that are of particular importance for recipient compliance prior to receiving grant awards are discussed below. Additional information and resources on these requirements, including recipient responsibilities for compliance, can be found in [Appendix F](#). EPA will review documentation from recipients to assess eligibility of costs incurred in accordance with EPA's General Principles for Cost Allowability, as described in [EPA's Interim General Budget Development Guidance](#).

Environmental Review

NEPA and other relevant applicable statutes and Executive Orders, such as the Endangered Species Act (ESA), apply to Community Grants projects authorized by the Annual Appropriations Acts. The applicable NEPA regulations are the CEQ's implementing regulations at [40 CFR Parts 1500-1508](#) and EPA's NEPA regulations at [40 CFR Part 6](#). In accordance with EPA's NEPA regulations, EPA **must** complete the NEPA process before issuing Community Grants awards for water infrastructure and water quality protection projects.

EPA grant funds cannot be used by grant recipients to prepare the EPA NEPA document (e.g., environmental assessment or environmental impact statement) because these documents are for EPA's direct use in performing a Federal responsibility which cannot be delegated. However, EPA may fund the recipient's development of an Environmental Information Document (EID) and supporting information (e.g., compliance or other analysis for related cross cutting authorities or executive orders in order to provide supporting information). EPA is responsible for independently evaluating the information submitted by the recipient in the EID and, to the extent the EID is integrated into EPA's environmental document, EPA is responsible for its accuracy, scope, and contents. Grant recipients may not prepare the finding of no significant impact or record of decision. EPA has the legal obligation to make the NEPA related

decision, to issue the NEPA documents, to sign NEPA determinations, and to fulfill other related cross-cutting Federal requirements before approving or paying for design and/or construction.

When both EPA and another Federal agency are funding the same project, the agencies may negotiate an agreement for one to be the lead agency for performing grant oversight and management activities pertaining to NEPA and other related cross-cutting Federal requirements. The lead agency can be the one that is providing the most funds for the project or the agency that provided the initial funds for the project. The CEQ NEPA regulations at [40 CFR 1501.7\(c\)](#) provide the factors listed in order of descending importance to determine the lead agency designation. If an EIS is required on a joint or related Federal action, EPA may serve as a co-lead or request to be a cooperating agency. In addition, EPA may adopt another Federal agency's EIS or EA. Note, EPA may adopt another Federal agency's EA and use it as a basis for its Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), provided EPA has independently reviewed the EA and agrees with the analysis and circulates the FONSI and attached EA for the requisite 30-day comment period.

Each federal agency has its own NEPA regulations or procedures pertaining to the NEPA environmental review process. Recipients with projects that have undergone an environmental review by another federal agency may submit documents pertaining to another federal agency's analysis for EPA review. EPA will independently review these documents to determine if the proposed actions are substantially the same and if it meets the standards of an adequate EIS, EA, or Categorical Exclusion (CATEX) determination. If so, EPA may adopt the federal EIS, EA, or CATEX determination, pursuant to [40 CFR 1506.3](#). If EPA is unable to adopt the federal EIS, EA, or CATEX determination, EPA will conduct its own environmental review and incorporate by reference any pertinent part of the other federal agency's environmental document. EPA will request additional information from the recipient if necessary for EPA to conduct its own environmental review. See [Appendix F](#) for additional information on CATEX and EID development.

Recipients with CWSRF or DWSRF co-funded projects for which a State Environmental Review Process (SERP) has been completed can submit the completed state analysis for EPA review. EPA will review the SERP document and will incorporate by reference any pertinent part of that document into EPA's environmental document. EPA will request additional information from the recipient if necessary for EPA to conduct its own environmental analysis.

For design and construction projects for which another federal agency has not completed a NEPA review and projects that CWSRF or DWSRF do not co-fund and/or have not undergone a SERP, recipients may request a CATEX determination from EPA or may prepare and submit an EID in order to proceed with a NEPA review.

Procurement

By statute, Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2024 or prior Appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and

methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance if the recipient has: (1) procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to March 9, 2024; and (2) complied with state and/or local laws governing competition. If you believe your Community Grant project qualifies for this flexibility, please reach out to your [EPA Regional Point of Contact](#) and provide a statement affirming that your contract was entered into prior to March 9, 2024, and that you complied with state and/or local laws governing competition (including laws/policies relating to participation by disadvantaged business enterprises as applicable). The terms and conditions of the grant agreement will require recipients to retain documentation demonstrating compliance with state and/or local laws governing competition in their own files.

For all other Community Grants projects, in general, all procurement transactions for professional engineering services and construction contractors must be conducted in a manner that includes and promotes fair and open competition. Moreover, Community Grant recipients must follow their own procurement procedures, which must be documented and comply with State, local, or tribal laws and regulations as well as Federal laws and regulations, including the Brooks Act ([40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#)) or equivalent State qualifications-based procurement requirement if applicable, procurement standards in [2 CFR Part 200](#) (Uniform Grants Guidance (UGG)) and [2 CFR Part 1500](#) (EPA's Supplement to the UGG), and [40 CFR Part 33](#) (EPA's Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) regulations). Note, EPA cannot waive statutory requirements such as the Brooks Act.

With the exception noted below for projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, State recipients must follow the same policies and procedures they use for procurements from their non-Federal funds. In addition, States must also follow the requirements in 2 CFR [200.321](#) (small and disadvantaged businesses), [200.322](#) (domestic preferences), [200.323](#) (recycled materials), [200.327](#) (contract clauses contained in [Appendix II](#)), and EPA's Participation by DBE regulations.

[2 CFR 200.320](#) details specific methods of procurement to be followed for non-State recipients and the circumstances under which each method can be used. Note, recipients who have projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in 40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq. (i.e., the Brooks Act), or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement as discussed in more detail below.

In accordance with [2 CFR 200.325](#), recipients must provide EPA with technical specifications on proposed procurements in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold when requested by an authorized representative of EPA, including when pre-award costs are being considered for eligibility. In addition, upon request by EPA's Grants Management Officer (GMO) or Award Official under [2 CFR 200.325](#) and/or [2 CFR 200.337](#), grantees must provide procurement documents to EPA for pre-procurement review when EPA is concerned that the grantee's procurement procedures or practices do not comply with federal procurement requirements, including but not limited to procurements that do not comply with competition requirements.

Please note that unless you qualify for the statutory flexibility discussed above **and** the sole source complies with state and/or local law, recipients may not award sole source contracts to consulting, engineering, or other firms assisting applicants with the application solely based on the firm's role in preparing the application or based on an assertion that the individual or firm has "unique qualifications." Moreover, EPA does not accept justifications for sole source contracts for services or products available in the commercial marketplace such as consulting, data analysis, or project management.

Selection of Architects and Engineers (projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities)

Unless the statutory flexibility provided for in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act applies, projects consisting of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#), or an equivalent State requirement. Specifically, and consistent with the CWSRF statute ([33 U.S.C. 1382\(b\)\(14\)](#)), procurements/contracts for "**program management, construction management, feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, design, engineering, surveying, mapping, or architectural related services**" shall be negotiated in the same manner as a contract for architectural and engineering services is negotiated under chapter 11 of title 40 or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement (as determined by the Governor of the State)."

Where equivalent State requirements are complied with, the source of the requirement (e.g., existing State legislation or regulation, etc.) must be stated, and the Governor of the State must provide a certification to accompany the grant application that the State's A/E procurement requirements are equivalent to [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#) In lieu of a certification from the Governor, the Attorney General's certification submitted with each grant application may include the A/E certification.

Subawards

A subaward is a financial assistance transaction between an EPA grant recipient (i.e., pass-through entity) and an eligible subrecipient (or by a subrecipient to a lower subrecipient) to carry out part of a Federal award received by the pass-through entity.

As provided in [2 CFR 200.332\(d\)](#) and the terms of conditions of their EPA award, pass-through entities are responsible for monitoring subrecipient compliance with procurement requirements in 2 CFR Parts [200](#) and [1500](#). EPA's GMO or Award Official may also request that pass-through entities provide EPA with information regarding subrecipient compliance with these requirements. EPA requires pass-through entities to ensure that subrecipients only incur eligible and allowable costs and effectively perform the programmatic activities under their subawards.

EPA's Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program

EPA's [DBE Program](#) applies to all EPA Assistance Agreements, with the exception of procurements that meet the statutory flexibility described above, and requires recipients who procure goods and/or services to employ the six good faith efforts, document their efforts, and maintain DBE forms and other documentation from the prime contractor. EPA grant recipients and subrecipients are required to seek and encouraged to utilize DBEs for their procurement needs under grant agreements. Recipients and subrecipients must ensure that their contracts contain the following term and condition:

"The contractor shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in the performance of this contract. The contractor shall carry out applicable requirements of [40 CFR Part 33](#) in the award and administration of contracts awarded under EPA financial assistance agreements. Failure by the contractor to carry out these requirements is a material breach of this contract which may result in termination of this contract or other legally available remedies."

[EPA's DBE Program](#) and its requirements are contained within [40 CFR Part 33 as modified by various memorandums and exceptions issued over time](#).

Note, consistent with EPA's DBE regulations, Tribal Nations are allowed to "solicit and recruit Indian organizations and Indian-owned economic enterprises and give them preference in the award process prior to undertaking the six good faith efforts."

Davis Bacon Act (DBA)

The DBA requires that all contractors and subcontractors performing construction, alteration, and repair (including painting and decorating) work under federal contracts in excess of \$2,000, pay their laborers and mechanics not less than the prevailing wage and fringe benefits for the geographic location. DBA requirements may be extended to federal financial assistance programs by the terms of other statutes (referred to as Davis Bacon and Related Acts (DBRA)) establishing or funding the programs. The explanatory statement accompanying the FY 2024 Appropriations Act provides that those federal requirements that would apply to a CWSRF or DWSRF project grant recipient shall apply to a grantee receiving a Community Grant (see [Appendix A](#)). Consequently, the FY 2024 Appropriations Act extends DBRA provisions applicable to state revolving fund projects to the Community Grants program.

[Section 513 of the Clean Water Act](#) (CWA), which applies to the CWSRF program, applies DBA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on projects for treatment works. Section 1452(a)(5) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, which applies to the DWSRF program, applies DBA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on *any* construction project.

DBA requirements apply to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors with job duties that are physical and manual in nature including: laborers and mechanics, watchmen or guards (under certain conditions), and working foremen (under certain conditions). The term laborer or mechanic does not include workers whose duties are primarily administrative, executive, or clerical, rather than manual. Requirements only apply to construction at the “site of the work,” which has generally been defined as the physical place where the construction occurs. Work conducted off-site is generally not covered.

EPA provides [information on requirements and compliance](#) with DBRA online, and the Department of Labor provides information on its website about updates to [DBRA regulations effective October 23, 2023](#) online. Note, if your Community Grant project triggers compliance with Davis Bacon, you **must** incorporate the appropriate wage determination in the bid solicitation document(s) and contract specifications.

Build America, Buy America (BABA) Act

BABA states that: “[N]one of the funds made available for a Federal financial assistance program for infrastructure...may be obligated for a project unless all of the iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project are produced in the United States.” Project means any activity related to the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of infrastructure in the United States. This law applies to all Federal financial assistance as defined in section [2 CFR 200.1](#), whether funded through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) or not. New awards made on or after May 14, 2022, must comply with BABA requirements.

EPA provides [information and guidance](#) on BABA compliance, implementation, and any applicable waivers. Note, recipients are required to ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with BABA requirements as applicable.

American Iron and Steel (AIS) Requirement

The AIS requirement requires recipients to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public water system or treatment works. Compliance with AIS requirements for iron and steel products will satisfy a subset of BABA requirements (e.g., those pertaining to iron and steel); however, BABA also includes requirements pertaining to manufactured goods and construction materials, which must also be met. Projects in compliance with BABA are also in compliance with AIS.

EPA provides [information and guidance](#) on AIS compliance and implementation, any applicable waivers, as well as a step-by-step process for requesting waivers and the circumstances under which waivers may be granted. Note, recipients are required to ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with AIS requirements as applicable.

NOTE: DBA, BABA, and AIS remain applicable to all Community Grants projects, regardless of whether the project is eligible for a waiver from Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement.

Federal Cross-cutting Requirements/Other Applicable Federal Laws

Recipients must comply with Federal cross-cutting requirements as well as other applicable Federal laws. These requirements may include but are not limited to –

- **Environmental Authorities:** Archeological and Historic Preservation Act, Pub. L. 93-291, as amended; Clean Air Act, Pub. L. 95-95, as amended; Clean Water Act, Titles III, IV and V, Pub. L. 92-500, as amended; Coastal Barrier Resources Act, Pub. L. 97-348; Coastal Zone Management Act, Pub. L. 92-583, as amended; Endangered Species Act, Pub. L. 93-205, as amended; Environmental Justice, Executive Orders 12898 and 14096; Flood Plain Management, Executive Order 11988, as amended by Executive Order 12148, and Executive Order 13690, Executive Order 13807, Executive Order 13990, and Executive Order 14030; Protection of Wetlands, Executive Order 11990, as amended by Executive Order 12608; Farmland Protection Policy Act, Pub. L. 97-98; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Pub. L. 85-624, as amended; Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, Pub. L. 94-265; National Environmental Policy Act, Pub. L. 91-190, as amended; National Historic Preservation Act, Pub. L. 89-655, as amended; Safe Drinking Water Act, Pub. L. 93-523, as amended; Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, Pub. L. 90-54, as amended.
- **Economic and Miscellaneous Authorities:** OSHA Worker Health and Safety Standards; Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act, Pub. L. 91-54; Debarment and Suspension, Executive Order 12549; Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act, Pub. L. 89 -754, as amended, and Executive Order 12372; Drug-Free Workplace Act, Pub. L. 100-690; Copeland “Anti-kickback” Act, Pub. L. 73-324; Government Neutrality Toward Contractor’s Labor Relations, Executive Order 13202, as amended by Executive Order 13208; New Restrictions on Lobbying, Section 319 of Pub. L. 101-121; Prohibitions relating to violations of the Clean Water Act or Clean Air Act with respect to Federal contracts, grants, or loans under Section 306 of the Clean Air Act and Section 508 of the Clean Water Act, and Executive Order 11738; Uniform Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act, Pub. L. 91-646, as amended;
- **Civil Rights, Nondiscrimination, Equal Employment Opportunity Authorities:** Age Discrimination Act, Pub. L. 94-135; Equal Employment Opportunity, Executive Order 11246; Section 13 of the Clean Water Act, Pub. L. 92-500; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, Pub. L. 93-112, supplemented by Executive Orders 11914 and 11250; Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, Pub. L. 88-352;

- Under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, EPA has a responsibility to ensure that federal funds are not being used to subsidize discrimination based on race, color, or national origin. This prohibition against discrimination under Title VI has been a statutory mandate since 1964, and EPA has had Title VI regulations since 1973. EPA's nondiscrimination regulations prohibit recipients of EPA financial assistance from taking actions in their programs or activities that are intentionally discriminatory and/or have a discriminatory effect based on race, color, national origin (including limited English proficiency), age, disability, or sex.
- **Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Authorities:** EPA's FY 1993 Appropriations Act, Pub. L. 102-389; Section 129 of the Small Business Administration Reauthorization and Amendment Act, Pub. L. 100-590; Small, Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprises, Executive Orders 11625, 12138, and 12432.

Regional Contacts

For general questions about the Community Grants Program, or for project specific questions that require the assistance of an EPA Regional Office, contact the [EPA Regional Contact](#).

Appendix A: Statutory Language

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2024,⁷ contains the following provisions:

For environmental programs and infrastructure assistance, including capitalization grants for State revolving funds and performance partnership grants, \$4,418,938,000, to remain available until expended, of which—

(1) \$1,638,861,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; and of which \$1,126,101,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act: Provided, That \$787,652,267 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds and \$631,659,905 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds shall be for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection in accordance with the terms and conditions specified for such grants in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act) for projects specified for “STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund” and “STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund” in the table titled “Interior and Environment Incorporation of Community Project Funding Items/Congressionally Directed Spending Items” included for this division in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act), and, for purposes of these grants, each grantee shall contribute not less than 20 percent of the cost of the project unless the grantee is approved for a waiver by the Agency[.]

AND

Provided further, That the funds made available under this heading for Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending grants in this or prior appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance, if a Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending recipient has procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to the date of enactment of this legislation that complied with state and/or local laws governing competition;

AND

SEC. 4. EXPLANATORY STATEMENT.

The explanatory statement regarding this Act, printed in the Senate section of the Congressional Record on or about March 5, 2024, and submitted by the chair of the Committee on

⁷ P.L. 118-42.

Appropriations of the Senate, shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and implementation of divisions A through F of this Act as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference[.]

The aforementioned “explanatory statement” accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2024, provides:

From within funds provided for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund and the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund, the agreement provides \$787,652,267 from the Clean Water SRF and \$631,659,905 from the Drinking Water SRF for Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending grants for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection.

Infrastructure Projects. – Each project shall provide not less than 20 percent matching funds from non-Federal sources, unless approved for a waiver. Applicable Federal requirements that would apply to a Clean Water State Revolving Fund or Drinking Water State Revolving Fund project grant recipient shall apply to a grantee receiving a Congressionally directed spending grant under this section. The Committees note that the following funds are to be treated as non-Federal funds and can be used to meet the non-Federal matching fund requirement: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant Program; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development Program; Appalachian Regional Commission grants; and Delta Regional Authority grants. Funding made available to jurisdictions through the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (Public Law 117-2) is considered Federal funding and may not be applied towards the non-Federal cost share requirement.

**Appendix B: List of EPA Congressionally Directed Spending Community Projects and
Funding Levels
(FY 2024 Consolidated Appropriations Act)**

The list below is organized by: (1) Community projects funded by the Clean Water SRF; and (2) Community projects funded by the Drinking Water SRF. Projects are arranged alphabetically by state within each category. Note that EPA made grammatical changes to remove extra spaces, hyphens, and periods. The [original list of projects](#) should be referred to for technical corrections.

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|-------|---|-------------|
| Community Projects Funded with Clean Water SRF Appropriations (alphabetical by state) | | | |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AK | Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Fire Protection Well | \$1,197,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AK | City of Kiana for Water and Sewer Upgrades | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AK | City of Pelican for Sewer and Solid Waste Improvements | \$4,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AK | Haines Borough for Water and Sewer Upgrades | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AL | City of Cullman for Sanitary Sewer Improvements | \$4,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AL | City of Priceville for Sanitary Sewer Improvements | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AL | Arab Water Works for Drinking Water System Improvements | \$4,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AL | City of Vestavia Hills for Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AR | City of Bono for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades | \$7,700,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AR | City of Camden for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$5,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AR | City of North Little Rock for Tulip Farms Water and Sewer Infrastructure Improvements | \$4,800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AR | City of Wilson for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$3,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Tempe for Recharge Wells Pipelines | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Tolleson for Wastewater Digester Rehabilitation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | Tucson Water for Water Recharge Expansion Project | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | Town of Gilbert for Rehabilitation of Stormwater Pump Station | \$600,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Arvin-Edison Water Storage District for Water Pipeline Project | \$3,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency for Water Reuse Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | California Department of Parks and Recreation, Angeles Division for Taylor Yard Stormwater Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Adelanto for Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Compton for Pearl Avenue Sewer Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Daly City for Vista Grande Drainage Basin Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Del Mar for Stormwater and Sewer Infrastructure Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Dinuba for Sewer Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Madera for Sewer Trunk Main Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Madera for Storm Drain Pipeline Replacement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Oakland for Lake Merritt Healthy Lake Initiative | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Pleasanton for South Livermore Sewer Expansion Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Rio Vista for Reclaimed Water System Completion Project (Purple Piping) | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Salinas for Wastewater Treatment Facility Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of San Diego for Harbor Drive Pump Station 1 and 2 Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Santa Maria for Storm Drainage Improvements Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Stockton for Diamond Sewer Upsizing Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Thousand Oaks for Stormwater Capture and Diversion Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Tulare for Traver Sewer Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Turlock for Sewer Extension Project | \$1,200,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Turlock for Stormwater Infrastructure | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Twentynine Palms for Wastewater Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Watsonville for Wastewater Treatment Facility | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Yucaipa for Stormwater Infrastructure Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | County of Los Angeles for Rio Hondo Restoration Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | County of Orange for Poche Beach Water Reclamation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Culver City for Stormwater Diversion | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Eastern Municipal Water District for Purified Water Replenishment Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Eastern Municipal Water District for Recycled Water Pipeline Construction | \$638,540 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Goleta County for Wastewater Reuse Project | \$773,870 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Los Angeles County Public Works for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,050,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Mojave Water Agency for Stormwater Capture and Recharge | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Pittsburg Power Company for Water Treatment Plant Fuel Cell Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Port of Redwood City for Storm Water and Clean Water Improvement | \$700,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Rainbow Municipal Water District for Lift Station and Sewer Improvements | \$1,596,762 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Sacramento Area Sewer District for Septic to Sewer Collection | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | San Bernardino County Flood Control District for Stormwater Infrastructure Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | San Bernardino County Flood Control District for Water Infrastructure Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | San Bernardino County for Bohnert Sewer Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | San Bernardino County for Septic-to-Sewer Conversion Project—Phase 1 | \$959,757 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Santa Margarita Water District for Brine Line Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Sewerage Commission, Oroville Region for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Town of Paradise for Sewer Regionalization Connection | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | University of California, Davis for In-Lake Water Treatment System at Clear Lake | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Western Riverside County Regional Wastewater Authority for Recycled Water Booster Station and Reservoir Project | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Maywood for Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades | \$1,216,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Monterey County for Pajaro County Sanitation District Sewer System Improvements | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Town of Gypsum for Wastewater Infrastructure Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CO | City of Lamar for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements | \$1,800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Huerfano County for Wastewater System Improvements | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Three Lakes Water and Sanitation District for Septic System Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CT | City of New Britain for Fresh Line Upgrades for Streets and Houses | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CT | City of Norwalk for Lockwood & Heather Lane Drainage Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CT | City of Stamford for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CT | Plymouth Water Pollution Control Authority for a Phosphorous Reduction Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CT | Town of Suffield for Stony Brook Interceptor Rehabilitation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CT | City of Bridgeport Water Pollution Control Authority (WPCA) for Design of the West Side Wastewater Treatment Plant Project | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CT | Naugatuck Valley Council of Governments for Sewer Siphon Relocation Associated with Kinneytown Dam Removal | \$3,422,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | CT | Town of Sprague for Water Pollution Control Facility Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | DE | City of Wilmington for Wastewater Collection System Separation | \$6,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | DE | Delaware Center for the Inland Bays for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$225,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Brevard County for Septic System Upgrades | \$1,440,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Brevard County for Water Restoration Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Citrus County for Sanitary Sewer System Construction | \$1,266,667 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Cape Coral for Septic to Sewer Conversion and Utilities Extension | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Clewiston for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements and Expansion | \$2,094,724 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Cooper City for Gravity Sewer Line Rehabilitation / Inflow and Infiltration Correction Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Gulfport for Sanitary Sewer Repair Project | \$2,545,457 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Inverness for Master Lift Station Resiliency Project | \$1,266,667 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Orlando for Stormwater Management Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Orlando for Ventura and Lake Roper Stormwater Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Port St. Lucie for Water Quality Improvement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Sanford for Watermain and Forcemain Installation Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of South Miami for Sanitary Sewer Extension Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Tampa for Manhattan Avenue Flood Mitigation and Stormwater Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Fort Pierce Utilities Authority for Wastewater Treatment Facility Relocation | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Highlands County for Watershed Culvert Improvements | \$213,528 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Hillsborough County for Septic-to-Sewer Conversion Project | \$1,750,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Hillsborough County for Town 'N Country Rocky Creek Septic-to-Sewer Phase 2 Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Lee County Board of County Commissioners for Water Management Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Leon County for Stormwater Treatment and Flow Attenuation | \$800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Miami Dade County for Water Pollution Prevention Project | \$593,600 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Miami Dade County Seaport for Seaport Stormwater Management Master Plan | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Polk County for Water Quality Treatment Project | \$1,921,749 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Seminole County for Septic to Sewer Conversion Project | \$1,600,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Town of Cutler Bay for Stormwater Quality Improvement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Town of Davie for Drainage Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Village of Pinecrest for Stormwater Improvement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Darien for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Liburn for Camp Creek Bank Stabilization Project | \$907,047 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Marietta for Sewer Improvement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Suwanee for Sewer Pipes and Stormwater Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Cobb County Board of Commissioners for Pump Station Rehabilitation | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Effingham County Board of Commissioners for Sewer Force Main Construction | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Rockdale County for Almand Branch Waste Water Treatment Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Rockdale County for Honey and Scott Creek Pump Stations and Force Mains Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Rockdale County for River Street Water and Sewer Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Rockdale County for Salem Lake Pump Station Replacement Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Whitfield County Board of Commissioners for Sewer Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Baldwin County Board of Commissioners for Extending Sewer Services to Sibley Smith Industrial Park | \$1,100,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Bulloch County Board of Commissioners for Wastewater Construction and Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Cochran for Sewer Improvements | \$700,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Dahlonega for Sewer Upgrade | \$340,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Savannah for Regional Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of St. Marys for Wastewater Infrastructure | \$510,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | GA | McDuffie County Board of Commissioners for Sewer Service | \$1,100,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | HI | City and County of Honolulu Department of Environmental Services for Patsy Mink Central Oahu Regional Park Recycled Water | \$800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | HI | County of Hawaii Department of Environmental Management for Onekahakaha Sewage Pump Station Renovation | \$2,035,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | HI | County of Hawaii Department of Environmental Management for Wailoa Sewage Pump Station Renovation | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | HI | Ma'alaea Village Association for Ma'alaea Regional Wastewater Reclamation System | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IA | City of Fort Madison for Combined Sewer Separation Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IA | City of Morning Sun for Wastewater Treatment Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IA | City of Winfield for Wastewater Treatment Plant | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ID | City of Pocatello for Septic Municipal Connection | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ID | City of Rexburg for Wastewater System Improvements | \$5,000,543 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Bloomington-Normal Water Reclamation District for Pump Station and Force Main Project | \$1,260,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Aurora for Combined Storm and Sanitary Sewer Infrastructure Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Bloomington for Sewer Separation | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Centralia for Water Treatment Plant Replacement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Des Plaines for Sewer Lining Program | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Elmhurst for Waste Reclamation Facility | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Lake Forest for Seminary Ravine Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Rolling Meadows for Park Street Storm Sewer Improvements | \$810,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Staunton for Force Main Project | \$800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Wilmington for South Water and Sewer Main Extension Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Lake County for New Century Town Wastewater Treatment Plant Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Bolingbrook for Water Reclamation Plant #3 Expansion Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Dieterich for Sanitary Sewer Relining | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Hanover Park for Sanitary Sewer Lift Station Rehabilitation Project | \$800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Pingree Grove for Waste Water Treatment Plant Upgrades Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Romeoville for Spangler Sanitary Lift Station Replacement | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Rosemont for Gravelle Lift Station Enhancement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Teutopolis for Watermain Replacement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Wonder Lake for East Side Water System | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Cahokia Heights for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$500,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IN | City of La Porte for Combined Sewer Separation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | IN | City of Valparaiso for Stormwater Quality and Detention Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KS | City of Edgerton for Sewer Extension Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KS | Johnson County Wastewater for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,509,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Caveland Environmental Authority for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Frankfort for Sewer Separation Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Hodgenville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Wet Weather Storage | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Louisa for Wastewater Treatment Plant Replacement Project | \$2,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Manchester for Raw Water Pump System | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Stanton for Water and Sewer Improvements | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Estill County Fiscal Court for Sanitary Sewer Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Franklin County Fiscal Court for Interceptor Sewer System | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District for Western Outfall Rehabilitation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Rowan County Fiscal Court for Water Treatment Plant Expansion Project | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Scott County Fiscal Court for Sewer Facilities Improvements | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | LA | City of Central for Stormwater System Improvements | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | LA | Town of Tullos for Wastewater Collection System Rehabilitation | \$400,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Easthampton for Water Infrastructure Upgrade | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Fall River for Ferry Street Sewer Pump Station Rehabilitation Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Methuen for Infrastructure and Drainage Improvements at Old Ferry Road Industrial Area | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Salem for Trunk Sewer Upgrades | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Pioneer Valley Planning Commission for Town of Belchertown Water Project | \$928,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Arlington for Stormwater Management Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Barnstable for Centerville Village Sewer Expansion Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Foxborough for Walnut Street Sewer Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Norfolk for Town Center Package Sewer Plant | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Rockport for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Spencer for Sewer Collection System Upgrades | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Swansea for Construction of Route 6 Corridor Sewer Collection System | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Westport for Trunk Sewer and Water Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Tyngsborough Sewer Commission for Sewer Capacity Project | \$480,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Gloucester for Water Pollution Control Facility | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Springfield Water and Sewer Commission for New West Parish Filters Water Treatment Plant | \$4,642,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Hull for Regional Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan | \$700,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Charles County for Bryans Road Interceptor (Phase II) Project | \$450,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Charles County for Hughesville Collection Sewer System Project | \$600,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Charles County for Lower Mattawoman Interceptor Project | \$400,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | City of Rockville for Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades | \$500,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission for Utility Services Project | \$1,600,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Worcester County for Wastewater Upgrade and Rehabilitation | \$2,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Baltimore City for the Patapasco Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks Improvements | \$4,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Howard County for Hauled Grease Acceptance Facility | \$1,440,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Town of Cottage City for Stormwater Management | \$670,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Village of Burkittsville for East Main Street Stormwater Management | \$385,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Washington County for SCADA Upgrade | \$200,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Worcester County for Riddle Farm Wastewater Treatment Plant Bypass Force Main Interconnect | \$1,090,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Rumford-Mexico Sewerage District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Castine for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,760,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Livermore Falls for Livermore Falls & Jay Waste Water Treatment Facility Upgrades | \$7,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Lubec for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Old Orchard Beach for WWTF & Pump Stations Upgrades: Phase 2 | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Winterport Water District for Winterport Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | York Sewer District for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | City of Bath for Commercial Street Wastewater Infrastructure Upgrades | \$1,673,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | City of Eastport for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$148,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Corinna Sewer District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,640,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Fort Fairfield Utilities District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,592,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Houlton Water Company for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,763,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Maine School Administrative District #52 for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$600,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Mechanic Falls Sanitary District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Ogunquit Sewer District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Presque Isle Utilities District for Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$2,430,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Southwest Harbor Water & Sewer District for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Baileyville Sewer Department for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Fort Kent for Meadow Lane Improvements | \$640,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Hartland for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Winslow for Water and Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Wiscasset for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Charter Township of Chesterfield for Interceptor Construction Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Charter Township of East China for Sewer Extension Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Charter Township of Orion for Public Sewer Separation Project | \$988,800 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Charter Township of Oxford for Sanitary Sewer Extension | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Dearborn Heights for Ecorse Creek Flood Mitigation | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Flushing for Improving Clean Water Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Grand Ledge for Wastewater Treatment Plant and Sanitary Sewer System Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Grand Rapids for Butterworth Street Stormwater Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Mackinac Island Department of Public Works for Sewer Asset Management Plan | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Richmond for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements | \$750,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Saline for Wastewater Treatment Rehabilitation | \$1,800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | East Bay Township for Sewer Force Main Replacement and Improvement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Gerrish Lyon Utility Authority for Sewer System Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Great Lakes Water Authority for Oakwood District Intercommunity Relief Sewer Modification Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Great Lakes Water Authority for Wastewater System Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Ingham County for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements at Red Cedar River | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Macomb County Public Works for Combined Sewer Overflow Elimination Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan for Wastewater Treatment Plant | \$1,681,600 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | South Huron Valley Utility Authority for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Tuscarora Township for Sewer Expansion and Modernization | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Village of Lake Orion for Sanitary Sewer Pump Station Improvement | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Village of Peck for Extension and Watermain Replacement | \$762,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Delta Charter Township for Water Resource Recovery Facility Reconstruction | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Oakland County Clinton River Water Resource Recovery Facility Drainage District for Water Optimization Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Albert Lea for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Alpha for Wastewater Treatment and Collection Facilities | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Braham for Water and Wastewater Treatment Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Fairmont for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Mankato for Regional Wastewater Disinfection System Upgrade | \$3,000,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of New Germany for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements | \$2,238,806 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of St. Michael for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$2,238,806 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Waseca for Sewer System Upgrades | \$2,100,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | Northern Township for Wastewater System Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Brewster for Wastewater Treatment Facilities Improvements | \$2,850,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of St. Cloud for Sewer Replacement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MN | Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe for Isle City Water Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MO | City of Macon for Sewer Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MO | City of New Bloomfield for Wastewater Regionalization Improvement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MP | Commonwealth Utilities Corporation for Sadog Tasi Wastewater Treatment Plant Underground Storage Tank Remediation | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MP | Commonwealth Utilities Corporation for Sewer Line Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MS | Cities of Clinton, Bolton, and Raymond for Regional Wastewater Improvements | \$7,700,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MS | City of Greenville for Wastewater System Rehabilitation | \$13,200,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MS | City of Natchez for Stormwater System Replacement | \$1,093,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | MS | Tunica County for Sanitary Sewer Upgrades | \$6,405,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Brunswick County for Sewer Pump Stations Rehabilitation Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | City of Gastonia for Apple Creek Sewer Upgrade | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | City of Lowell for Lynn Street Pump Station Project | \$800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | City of Lumberton for Wastewater System Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | City of Lumberton for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$750,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | City of Oxford for Wastewater Treatment Plant Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | City of Raleigh for Raleigh’s Cottages of Idlewild Stormwater Infrastructure | \$560,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Durham County for Lift Station Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Granville County for North Granville Water & Sewer Treatment Extension Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Apex for Sewer Interceptor (Middle Creek Outfall) Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Apex for Sewer Interceptor Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Canton for Wastewater Treatment Plant Construction | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Cary for Walnut Creek Stormwater Management Program Phase 2 | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Cary for Walnut Creek Stormwater Management Project Phase 1 | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Hope Mills for Stormwater Flume Restoration Project | \$350,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Nashville for Regency & Essex Sewer Extension | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Surf City for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Beatrice for Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Beatrice for Sewage Treatment Plant Upgrade | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Falls City for Water Main Replacement | \$462,240 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Hickman for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Seward for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Sarpy County and Cities Wastewater Agency for Wastewater Lift Station Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Cambridge for Rehabilitation of Wastewater Treatment Plant | \$148,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Henderson for Sanitary Sewer Improvements | \$480,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Lexington for Biosolid Sludge Facility | \$2,400,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of York for Wastewater Capacity Expansion | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Village of Alda for Lift Station Project | \$320,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Village of Platte Center for Collection System Improvements | \$200,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Village of Taylor for Sewer Collection System Project | \$80,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NH | Town of Lancaster for Summer Street Infrastructure Upgrade Completion | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NH | City of Manchester for Bass Island Stormwater Control & Erosion Mitigation | \$91,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NH | City of Portsmouth for Bellamy Reservoir Project | \$714,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NH | Lake Winnepesaukee Association for Lake Kanasatka Water Quality Improvement | \$150,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Berkeley Heights Township for Sewer and Stormwater Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Bordentown City for Blacks Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant Improvement Project | \$1,803,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Dumont for Dixon Avenue Improvement Project | \$808,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Emerson for Drainage Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Fairview for Storm Water and Sanitary Sewer Improvements Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Leonia for Sewer System Infiltration Inflow Rehabilitation Project | \$720,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Lodi for Sewer Improvements | \$844,376 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of New Milford for Sewer Pipe Replacement | \$365,395 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Sayreville for Melrose Sanitary Sewer Pump Station Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Englewood for Stormwater Drainage Improvement | \$1,440,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Garfield for Drainage Improvement Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Manchester for Christian Brook Sewer Separation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Fort Lee Borough for Sewer Improvements | \$248,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Mount Olive Township for Stormwater Management Improvement | \$600,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | North Bergen Township for Liberty Avenue Sewer and Drainage Improvements project | \$2,380,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Ocean County Utility Authority for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Town of Guttenberg for Combined Sewer Overflow Pipe Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Township of Burlington for Sludge Dewatering Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Township of Maplewood for Stormwater Resiliency Upgrades | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Township of Pemberton for Lakehurst Road Sewer Interceptor Relining Project | \$910,431 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Township of Wayne for Mountain View Pump Station Rehabilitation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Township of West Orange for Pump Stations Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Village of Ridgefield Park for Sewer Infrastructure Project | \$976,031 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Washington Township for Ward Lake and Spring Lake Stormwater Improvements | \$696,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Edgewater for River Road Sewer Outfall Project | \$880,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Hoboken for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$2,738,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Woodbury for Storm Water Sewer Infrastructure Reconstruction | \$531,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Township of Teaneck for Old New Bridge Road Sewer Project | \$560,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NM | City of Bayard for Wastewater Systems Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NM | City of Lovington for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Pueblo of Tesuque for Wastewater Treatment Plant Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Village of Los Lunas for a Water Extension Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority for Sewer Collection System | \$1,200,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NM | City of Portales for Drainage Improvements | \$120,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NM | City of Portales for Sewer System Improvements | \$430,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Village of Chama for Water and Sewer Infrastructure | \$600,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Village of Ruidoso for Pinecliff Water and Sewer Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NV | Humboldt County for Wastewater Treatment Facility Design and Engineering | \$2,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NV | Southern Nevada Water Authority for Septic Conversion Program Phase 1 | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NV | Southern Nevada Water Authority for Septic Conversion Program Phase 2 | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NV | Storey County for Sewer Collection System Replacement | \$2,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NV | Washoe County for Stormwater Management Project | \$1,932,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NV | City of Ely for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Project | \$4,815,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NV | City of Reno for Sewer Upgrades | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | City of Hornell for Water Pollution Control Plant Improvements | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | City of Norwich for Sanitary Sewer System Repairs | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Fulton County for Consolidated Regional Sewer System Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | New York City for Greenpoint Stormwater Infrastructure Project | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | New York City for Sewer Construction, Sanitary Sewer Replacement, and Water Main Work Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | New York City for Storm Sewer Build Out | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | New York City for Stormwater Filtration Project | \$650,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | North Chautauqua Lake Sewer District for Sanitary Sewer System Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Rensselaer County for Sewer Service and Infrastructure Expansion | \$2,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Rockland County Sewer District No. 1 for Sewer Treatment Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Southampton Village for Sewer System Installation | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Suffolk County for Clean Water Septic Systems | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Suffolk County for Sewer Expansion Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Suffolk County for Wyandanch/Wheatley Heights Sewers | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Sullivan County for Water Infrastructure Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Bolton for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvement | \$381,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Brookhaven for Sewer Treatment Facility Expansion | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Carmel for Clean Water Infrastructure Improvement Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Chenango for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Constantia for Municipal Sewer Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Cortlandt for Central Sewer Construction Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Huntington for Microturbine Installation | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Huntington for Sewer Line Extensions | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Islip for Sewer Connection of Sewage Collection | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Lenox for Sanitary Sewer Project | \$260,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Oswego for Commercial Sewer Collection System Construction Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Pawling for Municipal Sanitary Sewer Service | \$1,000,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Putnam Valley for Pump Station Replacement | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Shelter Island for Wastewater Treatment System | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Smithtown for Kings Park Stormwater Infrastructure Project | \$384,800 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Smithtown for Stormwater Infrastructure Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Athens for Water Treatment Plan Rehabilitation | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Gowanda for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Millerton for Wastewater System Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Rye Brook for Sewer Rehabilitation Initiative | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Sag Harbor for Sewer Service Area Expansion | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of South Dayton for Stormwater System Improvements | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Whitehall for Sanitary Sewer Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Onondaga County Department of Water Environment Protection for White Pines Industrial Park Municipal Pump Station | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Hempstead for Stormwater Treatment & Drainage Improvements | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of North Hempstead for Harbor Links Stormwater Recycling & Water Conservation Project | \$3,005,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Ashtabula County for Sanitary Sewer Improvements | \$2,200,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Bay Village for Sanitary Sewer Overflows Elimination Project | \$866,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Campbell for Brownfield Redevelopment Project | \$640,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Chillicothe for Lift Station Replacement Project | \$2,800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Circleville for Sewer Service Extension Project | \$975,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Cleveland for East 115 Street Sewer Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Cleveland for West 70 Street Sewer Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Columbiana for Sewer Lift Station Replacement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Columbus, Department of Public Utilities for Wastewater Treatment Plant Capacity Expansion | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Elyria for Infrastructure Development Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Fairview Park for Sewer System Upgrade | \$1,152,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Lakewood for Storm and Wastewater Pollution Prevention Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Middleburg Heights for Sanitary Relief Project | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of New Franklin for Water and Sewer Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of North Olmsted for Sanitary Force Main Project | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Parma for Septic Abatement Project | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Rocky River for Sewer Rehabilitation Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Steubenville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Replacements and Upgrades | \$1,034,652 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Youngstown for Interceptor Sewer Replacement and Combined Sewer Overflow Elimination | \$1,284,652 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Fulton County for Pleasant View Wastewater Treatment Plant Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Geauga County, Department of Water Resources for Wastewater Treatment Plant Renovation and Upgrade | \$2,700,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Guernsey County Sewer Department for Sanitary Sewer Extension | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Holmes County Commissioners for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Lorain County Commissioners for Sewer Line Project | \$750,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for Stormwater Management Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Northwestern Water and Sewer District for Sanitary Sewer Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Ottawa County for Portage-Catawba Island Wastewater Infrastructure Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Pike County Board of Commissioners for Sanitary Sewer Extension | \$975,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Tri-Cities North Regional Wastewater Authority for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement and Expansion | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Geneva-on-the-Lake for Main Sanitary Sewer Trunkline Replacement | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Grafton for Sewer Connector and System Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Seville for Wastewater Treatment Program Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Silver Lake for Sewer Separation Project | \$904,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Thornville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Walnut Township for Stormwater Infrastructure Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Washington County Board of Commissioners for Water and Sewer Infrastructure Project | \$1,034,652 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Toledo for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$880,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OK | City of Bethany for Sanitary Sewer Lift Station Replacement | \$484,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OK | City of Chickasha for Flood Mitigation Regional Detention Facility Construction | \$1,980,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OK | City of Moore for Sewage Lift Station Construction | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OK | City of Norman for Water Treatment Plant Centrifuge Replacement | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OK | City of Yukon for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$560,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OK | Lawton Public Utilities Authority for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements | \$1,125,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Albany, Department of Public Works for Interceptor Replacement | \$1,250,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Gresham for Wastewater Treatment Plant Nitrification for Ammonia Treatment Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Redmond, Department of Public Works for Interceptor Construction | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | Clean Water Services for Western Washington County for Inflow and Infiltration Rehabilitation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | Benton County for Rural Sewer Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,160,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Clatskanie for Wastewater Treatment Plant | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Estacada for Wastewater Plant Upgrade | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Grass Valley for Municipal Wastewater System Development | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Prineville for Water and Wastewater Extension Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation for Wastewater Infrastructure Upgrades | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | OR | Tumalo Irrigation District for System Modernization Project | \$3,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Allegheny County Sanitary Authority Storm Sewer Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | County of Venango for Wastewater Treatment Facility | \$639,280 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Borough of Kennett Square for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Borough of Sharpsburg for Stormwater Infrastructure | \$132,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Broad Top Township for Wastewater Treatment System | \$797,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Carroll Township Authority for Sewer Service Expansion | \$2,189,443 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | City of Coatesville for Ash Park Master Plan Implementation Phase 2 | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | City of Erie for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Darby Borough for Sanitary Sewer Improvements | \$657,026 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | East Lansdowne Borough for Replacement of Sanitary Sewer Collection System | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Lackawanna River Basin Sewer Authority for Clinton Township Wastewater Treatment Plant Clarifier Replacement Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Sandy Township for Sewer Extension Project | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Wyoming Valley Sanitary Authority for East Interceptor Rehabilitation Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Borough of Lehigh for Rehabilitation of Sewage Pipes | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Brighton Township for New Stormwater Detention Basin | \$308,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Capital Region Water for Paxton Creek Restoration Planning Project | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | County of Bedford for Sanitary Sewer System Replacement | \$440,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Donegal Township for Community Wastewater Treatment Plant | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Port Royal Municipal Authority for Sewer Rehabilitation and Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade | \$486,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Sewickley Borough for Sewage Treatment Plant Improvements | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | The Borough of Waynesburg for Woodland Avenue Sewer Rehabilitation | \$400,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Township of Falls Authority for Sanitary Sewer Lining | \$320,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | RI | Town of Warren for Pump Station Upgrades | \$2,240,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | RI | West Warwick Regional Wastewater Treatment Facility for Wastewater Treatment Collection System Inspection Project | \$1,576,031 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | RI | Town of Charlestown for Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Upgrades | \$600,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | RI | Town of Coventry for Sewer Connection | \$3,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | RI | Town of Lincoln for Sewer Replacement | \$1,200,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | RI | Town of Westerly for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades | \$2,000,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | SC | Chester County for Wastewater Recovery | \$10,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | SC | City of Aiken for Croft Mill Sewer Basin Improvements | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | SC | City of Cayce for Pump Station Project | \$540,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | SC | City of Rockhill for Wastewater Discharge Project | \$1,200,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | SD | Sioux Metro Growth Alliance for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$23,600,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TN | Tipton County Tennessee for Regional Utility Infrastructure Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Aledo for Wastewater Expansion Project | \$808,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Austin for Buttermilk Creek Water Quality Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Beaumont for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Gatesville for Wastewater Main Replacement | \$466,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Huntsville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Rehabilitation | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Jersey Village for Wastewater Treatment Facility Repairs and Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Kyle for Reclaimed Water Master Plan | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Lampasas for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Lancaster for Wastewater Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Marble Falls for Wastewater Treatment Plant Construction | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Pharr for Lift Station Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Runaway Bay for Wastewater Infrastructure Updates | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of San Antonio for Generators for Pump Stations Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Sugar Land for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,000,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Temple for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Von Ormy for Sewer Infrastructure Construction Project | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Waco for Water Reuse Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Harris County Flood Control District for Goose Creek Channel Conveyance Improvements | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Harris County Flood Control District for Stormwater Infrastructure Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Harris County Flood Control District for Taylor Gulley Channel Conveyance Improvements | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Harris County Flood Control District for Willow Creek Channel Conveyance Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Harris County for Keegans Bayou Drainage Improvements near Old Richmond Road | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Harris County Municipal Utility District Number 365 for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Town of Anthony for Waste Water Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Travis County for Arroyo Doble Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | UT | City of Ephraim for Wastewater Treatment Facility Expansion | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Manassas for Stream Restoration Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Petersburg for Poor Creek Force Main Capacity Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Portsmouth for Race Street and Douglas Avenue Pump Station Upgrades | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Richmond for Combined Sewer Overflow Waste Water Treatment Pumping Station | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Suffolk for Potable Water Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Hampton Roads Sanitation District for Sewer Improvements | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Town of Lovettsville for Transite Sewer Line Replacement | \$375,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Town of Occoquan for Stormwater Remediation Project | \$920,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Newport News for Oak Avenue Sanitary Sewer Replacement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Norfolk for St. Paul's Stormwater Improvements Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Richmond for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | James City Service Authority for Toano Water and Sewer Improvements | \$2,490,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Town of Clifton Forge for Sanitary Sewer System Improvements | \$1,840,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VA | York County for Whites-Faulkner Sewer Extension | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VT | City of Burlington Stormwater Treatment Project | \$1,200,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VT | City of South Burlington for Bartlett Bay Wastewater Facility Improvements | \$700,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VT | Town of Berlin for Replacement of Pump Station | \$865,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VT | Town of Fairfax for Wastewater Treatment Facility | \$825,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | VT | Williston Woods Cooperative Housing Corporation for Stormwater Infrastructure Upgrades | \$490,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Bothell for Woodcrest Utility Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Granite Falls for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Long Beach for Oregon Avenue Sewer Main Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Palouse for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$1,096,835 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Renton for Monroe Avenue Northeast Stormwater Quality Treatment and Infiltration Facility | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Ritzville for Sewer Collection System Improvement | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Tacoma for James Center North (Aviva Crossing) Stormwater Infrastructure and Sustainability | \$959,757 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Washougal for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Discovery Clean Water Alliance for Salmon Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Grant County Port District No. 3, Port of Mattawa for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements | \$2,250,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Lewis County for Packwood Sewer Easements and Treatment Facility | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Port of Shelton for Sewer Extension | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Port of Skamania County for Wastewater Infrastructure Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Snohomish County for Chinook Marsh Construction Phase 1 | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Town of Washtucna for Water Supply Project | \$965,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Leavenworth for Ski Hill Drive Combined Sewer Separation | \$1,800,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Seattle for South Park Drainage Improvements | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Soap Lake for Lift Stations and Forced Mains Replacement | \$3,440,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe for Water and Wastewater System Upgrades | \$1,490,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Snoqualmie Pass Utility District for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements— Phase 2 | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WI | City of Waukesha Water Utility for Water Supply Program and Monitoring Project | \$4,029,621 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WI | City of Hillsboro for Water/Sewer Investigation and Rehabilitation | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WI | Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewage District for Sewer Rehabilitation Program | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WI | Town of Farmington for Sanitary District Lift Station Replacement | \$350,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WI | Village of Loganville for Main Street Sewer & Water Upgrade | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Northern Jackson County Public Service District for Water Service Extension Project | \$1,000,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|---|-------|--|--------------|
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Salt Rock Sewer Public Service District for Pre-Treatment Facility Construction | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Town of Wayne for Wastewater Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | City of Sistersville for Virginia Terrace Sewer Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | City of Vienna for Main Sewer Line Replacement to Parkersburg | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Clay County Public Service District for Fola Water Infrastructure | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Putnam Public Service District for Fraziers Bottom Sewer Line Extension Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Red Sulphur Public Service District for Sewer Project | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Town of Alderson for Sewer System Improvements | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Town of Ansted for Wastewater Extension to New River Gorge National Park & Preserve | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Town of West Union for Wastewater Treatment Plant and System Upgrades | \$10,022,000 |
| STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Village of Barboursville for Wastewater System Upgrades and Lagoon Decommissioning Project | \$5,000,000 |
| Community Projects Funded with Drinking Water SRF Appropriations (alphabetical by state) | | | |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AK | Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Anchorage Terraces Subdivision Fire Protection Well | \$3,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AK | Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Emergency Water Fill Stations | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AK | Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Public Drinking Water Access | \$300,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AK | Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Public Drinking Water Access | \$5,300,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AK | City and Borough of Wrangell for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$2,500,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AK | City of Craig for Water System Upgrades | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AK | Ketchikan Gateway Borough for Water Distribution Main Improvements | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AK | Matanuska-Susitna Borough for South Talkeetna Water Line Replacement | \$5,100,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AL | City of Auburn for Groundwater Improvement Project | \$7,500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AL | Mobile County Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection Authority for Water Pipe Replacement | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AL | Wilcox County for Ben Thompson Road Drinking Water Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AL | City of Ashford for New Well to Meet Existing Drinking Water Needs | \$1,629,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AL | City of Lincoln for Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$3,440,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AR | City of Mountain Home for Water Intake, Water Transmission Main, and Water Treatment Plant Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AR | City of Fort Smith for Water Transmission Expansion | \$12,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Glendale for Groundwater Treatment System Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Globe for Waterline Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Mesa for Smart Meters Project | \$800,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Mesa for Water Main Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Phoenix for Water Service Lines | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Tolleson for Water Storage Tank Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | Town of Cave Creek for Phoenix Interconnect Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | Tucson Water for Well and Treatment Facility for PFAS Removal | \$2,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Glendale for Well Rehabilitation Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | City of Mesa for Desert Well Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | Town of Camp Verde for Arsenic Removal System | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | Town of Clarkdale for Groundwater Well and Treatment Plan Design | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | AZ | Town of Prescott Valley for PFAS Treatment and Recharge Well Project | \$2,100,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Alameda County for Chain of Lakes PFAS Treatment Facility Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Borrego Water District for Water Infrastructure Replacement Project | \$3,608,800 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Carmichael Water District for Garfield Ave. Transmission Pipeline Replacement Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Beverly Hills for Water Resiliency Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Buena Park for Municipal Water Well Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of California City for Water Infrastructure Replacement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Fullerton for Well 7A Construction Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Lindsay for Self-Sustainable and Clean Drinking Water | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Loyalton for Main Water Line Replacement | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Oxnard for Water Pipeline Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Pasadena for Water Treatment Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Riverside for PFAS Treatment Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Sacramento for Groundwater Well #168 Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Sacramento for Groundwater Well #169 Phase 1 Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Santa Cruz for Newell Creek Pipeline Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Santa Paula for Mesa Tanks Replacement Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of South Gate for Hawkins and South Gate Park Reservoir Wells PFOA Treatment Facilities | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of St. Helena Water for Treatment Plant SCADA/Microgrid | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of Visalia for Groundwater Basin Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | County of Monterey for San Lucas Clean Drinking Water Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | County of Sacramento for Walnut Grove Water Supply Reliability Project | \$725,600 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | East County AWP Joint Powers Authority for Water District Cybersecurity and Information Technology Project | \$690,898 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | East Orange County Water District for Advanced Metering Infrastructure Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Eastern Municipal Water District for Cactus II Feeder Phase 2 Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Eastern Municipal Water District for Water Booster Plant Improvements | \$2,300,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District for Water Treatment Facility | \$4,700,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Fallbrook Public Utility District for Primary Pipeline Replacement | \$250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Georgetown Divide Public Utility District for Water Reliability and Storage Tank Construction | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Los Angeles County Public Works for Regional Water Resilience Project | \$350,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Monte Vista Water District for State Street Pipeline Replacement Project (Phase II) | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Sweetwater Authority for National City Wells Iron and Manganese Removal System Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | North Tahoe Public Utility District for Water Improvement Project | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Olivenhain Municipal Water District for San Dieguito Valley Brackish Groundwater Desalination Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Orange County Water District for PFAS Treatment Plants Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Rancho California Water District for Water Infrastructure Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | San Juan Water District for Reservoir Replacement | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | San Lorenzo Valley Water District for Tank Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency for PFAS Treatment and Disinfection Facilities | \$2,100,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Santa Margarita Water District for Ranch Water North Open Space Well PFAS Treatment Facility | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Serrano Water District for Pump Station Upgrades | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | South Tahoe Public Utility District for Critical Water Infrastructure Replacements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Valley Center Municipal Water District for Pipeline Replacement | \$715,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | West Valley Water District for Bunker Hills Wells Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | Western Municipal Water District for Water Infrastructure Backflow Upgrades Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | City of San Diego for Pure Water San Diego Phase 2 Demonstration Facility | \$600,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CA | County of San Joaquin for Lincoln Village Maintenance District Water System Improvements—Phase 1 | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | City of Aurora for Pressure Regulating Valve Relocation | \$900,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | City of Brighton for Core City Water Line Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | City of Evans for Waterline Replacement Project | \$677,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | City of Gunnison for Water Treatment Plant Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | City of Westminster for Lowell Boulevard Watermain Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | City of Westminster for New Water Treatment Facility | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Idledale Water and Sanitation District for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Lookout Mountain Water District for Tar Lined Pipe Replacement Phase 1 | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | South Adams County Water and Sanitation District for PFAS Drinking Water Treatment Plant Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Teller County Water & Sanitation District 1 for Radium Mitigation | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Town of Silt for Water Plant Renovations | \$2,053,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | City of Aurora for Water System Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Deer Creek Water District for Water Meter Upgrades | \$80,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Town of Dolores for Water Distribution System Replacement Phase 2 | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CO | Town of Kremmling for Water Tank Repair | \$96,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CT | City of Norwalk for First Taxing District Water Department PFAS Treatment Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CT | Town of Prospect for Coachlight Water Main Installation | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | CT | City of Meriden for Repair of Dike Leak at Merimere Public Drinking Water Supply Reservoir | \$3,240,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Crystal River for Secondary Well Replacement | \$1,200,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Delray Beach for Water System Improvements | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Fort Myers for Raw Water Supply Improvement | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Hallandale Beach for Water Main Rehabilitation Project: NE 7th Street | \$959,757 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Miami for Water Main Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Miramar for Water Main Infrastructure Improvements | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of Monticello for Water Line Replacement | \$350,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | City of West Miami for Potable Water System Replacement Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority for Critical Water Supply Repairs and Construction | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Lee County Board of County Commissioners for Water Transmission Pipeline Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Miami-Dade County for Stormwater Quality Improvement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Palm Beach County for Water Purification System | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Tampa Bay Water for Surface Water Treatment Plant Expansion | \$1,016,667 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Tampa Bay Water for Wellfield Improvement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | FL | Town of Lantana for a Water Main Repair Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Baconton for Water System Improvements | \$864,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of College Park for Water System Improvements Projects | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Stockbridge for Water Reclamation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Clay County for Water Storage Tank Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Cobb County Board of Commissioners for Water Main Replacement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Dade County Water and Sewer Authority for Water Intake Screen | \$1,499,520 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | GA | City of Blackshear for School Drinking Water Improvements Project | \$1,600,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Town of Waverly Hall for Water Infrastructure | \$150,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | GA | Upper Oconee Basin Water Authority for Drinking Water Treatment Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | HI | County of Maui for Haiku-Kauhikoa Water Tank Modernization | \$1,526,031 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | HI | Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources for Deep Monitoring Wells in Waialae East and Waianae | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | HI | Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources for Water Security Audit and Repairs | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | HI | Honolulu Board of Water Supply for Kahilina Place and Aiea Heights Drive Area Water System Improvements | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | HI | Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources Commission on Water Resource Management for Water Aquifer Upgrades | \$2,400,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IA | City of Grinnell for Water Treatment Facility Construction | \$3,000,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IA | City of Mason City for Water Infrastructure Improvement and Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IA | City of Peosta for Water Systems Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ID | City of Island Park for Water System Consolidation | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ID | City of Preston for Water Tank Construction | \$3,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Aurora for Lead Water Service Line Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Chicago for Lead Service Line Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Crest Hill for Corrosion Control Treatment Study | \$200,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Elgin for Lead Service Line Replacement Program | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Freeport for Freeport Well and Well House No. 12 Improvements Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Highland Park for George B. Prindle Water Treatment Plant Intake Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Lanark for Water Main Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Moline for Lead Water Service Line Replacements | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Monmouth for Lead Service Lines Replacement | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Waukegan for Lead Service Line Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Wood Dale for Water Distribution Master Plan | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Lake County for Wildwood Watermain Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | North Moraine Wastewater Reclamation District for Multi-Phase Holiday Hills Wastewater Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of East Alton for Water Treatment Facility Upgrades | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Bellwood for Drinking Water Improvement Program | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Evergreen Park for Lead Service Line Replacement Project | \$800,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Franklin Park for Schiller Boulevard Improvements Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Franklin Park for Washington Street Improvements Plan Project | \$601,439 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Long Grove for Lake Michigan Water Connection | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Maywood for First Avenue Water Main Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Melrose Park for 25th Avenue Water System Improvements Project | \$490,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Village of Peoria Heights for Route 29 (Galena Road) Water Main Replacement Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | Burnside Water District for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Amboy for Lead Service Line Replacement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Joliet for the Grand Prairie Water Commission Development | \$300,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Polo for Lead Service Line Replacement Project | \$400,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IL | City of Quincy for Lead Service Line Replacement | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | IN | City of Indianapolis Department of Public Utilities for Lead Service Line Replacement Program | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KS | City of De Soto for Water Treatment Plant Expansion | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KS | City of Garnett for Water Treatment Facility Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KS | City of Olathe for Water Treatment Plant No. 2 Electrical Modifications and Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Beech Grove Water Association for Water System Storage Tank Addition | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Bourbon County Fiscal Court for Elevated Water Storage Tank Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Ashland for Water Treatment Plant | \$4,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Clay City for Potable Water Storage Facility Construction | \$750,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Greensburg for Water Infrastructure Updates | \$800,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Hardinsburg for Water Treatment Plant Expansion and Distribution Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | City of Mount Sterling for Water and Treatment Plant Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Crittenden Livingston Counties Water District for Water Plant Capacity Expansion Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Ohio County Water District for Water Intake Line Rebuilding | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | KY | Southern Water and Sewer District for Water Treatment Plant and Intake Structure Improvement Project | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | LA | City of Gretna for Wastewater Upgrades | \$3,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | LA | Lafayette Consolidated Government for the North Lafayette Galvanized Water Line Replacement Project | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | LA | The Town of Livingston for Drinking Water Storage and Distribution Systems Improvements | \$720,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | LA | Town of Pollock for Water and Wastewater System Improvements | \$2,500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | LA | Jeff Davis Parish for Drinking Water Improvements | \$2,778,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | LA | West Sterlington Water System, Inc. for Drinking Water Improvements | \$520,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Amesbury for Water Main Upgrades | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Attleboro for Cleaning and Lining Large Watermain near Wading River Water Treatment Plant | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Gardner for James Street Pump Station Upgrades | \$922,832 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of New Bedford for Quittacas Water Treatment Plant Upgrades Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Woburn for Horn Pond Treatment Plant PFAS Removal Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Bellingham for PFAS Treatment Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Groveland for Water Main Replacements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Manchester-By-The-Sea for PFAS Filtration System Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of North Attleborough for McKeon PFAS Treatment Facility | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Randolph for Tri-Town Water Treatment Plant Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Scituate for Scituate Water Treatment Plant Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Uxbridge for Elevated Water Storage Tank and Booster Pumping Station Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Wilmington for Water System Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Wrentham for Water Quality Improvements | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | City of Newburyport for Reservoir Protection | \$883,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Holbrook for Tri-Town Water Treatment Plant | \$975,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MA | Town of Pepperell for Groton Dunstable regional PFAS filtration | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Anne Arundel County for Lead Service Line Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MD | City of Rockville for Water Main Rehabilitation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Town Council of Centreville for Water and Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Town of North East for Water Control and Telemetry Updates | \$636,668 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Town of Rock Hall for Water Treatment Plant Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MD | City of Bowie for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$840,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MD | Town of Hurlock for New Well Construction | \$405,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Richmond Utilities District for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$400,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Stonington Water Company for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$731,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Brunswick for Bay Bridge Community Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,940,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Boothbay Region Water District for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$3,878,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ME | City of Brewer for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,006,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ME | City of Calais for Calais Drinking Water Upgrades | \$3,036,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ME | City of Ellsworth for Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Monmouth Water Association for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | ME | Town of Pittsfield for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$1,056,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Burtchville Township for Waterline Extension | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Clawson for Water Main Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Dearborn Heights for Lead Service and Water Meter Replacement | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Detroit for Lead Service Line Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Escanaba for Water Service Reconstruction Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Jackson for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Lathrup Village for Water Main Infrastructure Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Mackinac Island Department of Public Works for Drinking Water Asset Management Plan | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Southgate for South Pressure Relief Valves Project | \$900,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Wayne for Lead Line Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Clay Township for Water System Interconnect Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Genesee County for Drinking Water Infrastructure Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Oakland County for Royal Oak Township Drinking Water Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan for Water Treatment Plant Project | \$1,480,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Standish Township for Water Area System Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Village of Beverly Hills for Water Main and Service Line Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Village of Marcellus for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Village of Michiana for Water Main Replacement | \$620,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Hamtramck for Lead Service Line Replacement Project | \$2,400,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | City of Romulus for Lead Service Line Removal Program | \$1,200,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MI | Village of Hesperia for PFAS Relief | \$600,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Alpha for Water Treatment Plant and Distribution System Design and Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Bloomington for Water Supply Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Columbia Heights for Sanitary Sewer Lining Project | \$400,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Excelsior for Drinking Water Treatment Plant Rehabilitation Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Felton for Transmission Line for Arsenic Mitigation | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Gem Lake for Municipal Water Supply Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Le Sueur for Water Treatment Facility Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Medicine Lake for Public Water System Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Mound for Manganese Removal Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Otsego for Drinking Water Treatment Facility Improvements | \$1,522,388 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Red Wing for Reservoir Rehabilitation | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Silver Bay for Water Treatment Facility Improvements | \$1,750,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of the Village of Minnetonka Beach for PFAS Treatment System Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | City of Waseca for Water Storage Tank Construction | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | Norman County for Mainline Completion for Arsenic Mitigation | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | Red Rock Rural Water System for Tank Installation | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MN | Rock County Rural Water District for Water Tower Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MO | City of Richmond for Water Treatment Plant | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MP | Commonwealth Utilities Corporation for PFAS Remediation System Granular Activated Carbon Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MS | City of McComb for Well Construction | \$841,035 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MS | Oktibbeha County for Water Main Replacement | \$1,908,965 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MS | City of Moss Point for Potable Water System Improvements | \$3,600,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MS | City of Vicksburg for Drinking Water Improvements | \$6,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | MS | Copiah Water Association for Potable Water System Improvements | \$3,772,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NC | City of High Point for Advanced Water Metering Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NC | City of Mount Holly for Riverbend Water Storage Tank | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Pasquotank County for Water Plant Improvement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Benson for Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Dallas for West Trade Street Water Line Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Fuquay-Varina for 1.0 MG Elevated Tank Storage Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Holly Springs for Water Conveyance System Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NC | Town of Weaverville for Water Treatment Plant Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Milford for Water Treatment Plant Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Santee Sioux Nation for Drinking Water Access Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Village of Milligan for Water Tower Improvements | \$236,640 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NE | City of Hebron for Well and Water Tower Improvements | \$176,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Lewis and Clark Natural Resources District for the Cedar Knox Rural Water Project | \$10,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Metropolitan Utilities District for Lead Service Line Replacement | \$4,000,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Village of Denton for Public Water System Improvements | \$360,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Village of Malcolm for Water Meters Project | \$72,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NE | Village of Staplehurst for Water Tower Replacement | \$720,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NH | Town of Milford for Nashua Street Water Main Replacement | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Aberdeen Township for Water Main Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Collingswood for Comly Ave Water Treatment Plant Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Lakehurst for Water Treatment Plant Expansion | \$2,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Borough of Red Bank for Lead Pipe Removal and Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Cape May for Water Desalination Facility Improvements | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Elizabeth for Lead Service Line Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Hoboken for Water Metering Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Rahway for Lead Service Line Replacements | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Florence Township for Water Main Extension Potts Mill Road to Rt 130 | \$783,382 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Town of Kearny for Lead Pipe Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Township of Belleville for Lead Line Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | Township of Lyndhurst for Lead Service Line Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NJ | City of Orange Township for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$600,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority for Aquifer Storage and Recovery Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NM | City of Carlsbad for Double Eagle Waterline Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NM | City of Gallup for Water Line Replacements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NM | City of Santa Rosa for Water and Wastewater Improvements | \$300,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Lower Rio Grande Public Water Works Authority for South Valley Regional Water System Improvements Project | \$325,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Puerto de Luna Mutual Domestic Water Community/Co-op Association for Agua Negra Water Infrastructure | \$700,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Rio Lucio Mutual Domestic Water Consumer Association for Water Line Replacement | \$850,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NM | Village of Questa for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$1,450,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NV | Lyon County for Water Main Replacement | \$2,584,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NV | City of Carlin for Arthur Spring Main Water Line Replacement | \$602,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NV | City of West Wendover for 3 Mile Reservoir Rehabilitation | \$209,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | City of Canandaigua for Drinking Water Plant Improvements | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | City of Middletown for Watermain Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | City of Oneida for Pump Station Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | City of Roxbury for Water System Improvements | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | City of Watertown for Water Treatment Plant Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Development Authority of the North Country for Pipeline Replacement | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Erie County Water Authority for Union Road Water Main Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Genesee County for Water Transmission Main Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Livingston County Water and Sewer Authority for Water and Wastewater Cybersecurity Improvement Project | \$700,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Suffolk County Water Authority for Water Main Extension | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of East Fishkill for Emerging Contaminants Water Supply Mitigation Project | \$2,000,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Friendship for Water System Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Kinderhook for Drinking Water System Improvement | \$1,200,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Lowville for Water District Expansion | \$2,700,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Massena for Water Service Expansion Project | \$1,857,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Ossining for Water Main Replacement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Poestenkill for Water Supply Improvement Project | \$1,693,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Porter for Waterline Improvement Project | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Riverhead for Public Water Main Project | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Skaneateles for Elevated Water Storage Tank and Pump Station Project | \$1,858,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Somers for Water Main Construction | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Sullivan for Drinking Water System Connection Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Ulster for Water District Tank Replacement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town of Wappinger for Safe Drinking Water Project | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Cornwall-on-Hudson for Water Main Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Hamilton for Water Main Replacement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Kiryas Joel for Water Treatment Facility Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Lewiston for Water System Resiliency Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Monticello for Water Treatment Plant Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Portville for Water System Improvements | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Ravena for Drinking Water System Improvements | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Rouses Point for Water Treatment Plant Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Village of Warwick for Clean Drinking Water Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | West Seneca for Water Line Repairs | \$1,229,360 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | City of Yonkers for Water Monitoring Stations | \$1,595,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | NY | Town Of East Fishkill for iPark Water District | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Ashtabula County for Waterline Extension and Water Tower Construction | \$2,400,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Burr Oak Regional Water District for Water Expansion Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Cincinnati for Lead Service Line Replacement Project | \$910,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Coshocton for Waterline Extension | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Dayton for Upgrades to the Dayton Water Quality Laboratory | \$4,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Franklin for 12 ² Waterline Connection Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Hudson for Nicholson Drive Water Transmission Line Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Loveland for Cherokee Drive Water Main Replacement Project | \$745,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Napoleon for Service Line Replacement Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of North Canton for Arrowhead Water Well Project | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Sandusky for MacArthur Park Neighborhood Drinking Water Infrastructure Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Harrison Township for Wellfield Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Madison County Sanitary Sewer and Water District for Lafayette Water & Sewer Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Muskingum County Water Department for Watermain Extension | \$1,750,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Northern Perry County Water and Wastewater for Water System Expansion | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Tuscarawas County Metropolitan Sewer District for Emergency Supply Transmission Line | \$896,044 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Bloomingburg for Water Treatment Plant Replacement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Greenwich for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Hiram for Water Treatment Facility Upgrades | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Lordstown for Water Transmission and Distribution System Improvements | \$4,200,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Malta for Water Well Installation | \$340,800 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Pomeroy for Water System Improvements | \$1,731,280 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Terrace Park for Elm Street Water Line | \$400,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Martins Ferry for Water Main Extension Project | \$684,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | City of Youngstown for Lead Service Line Replacement Program | \$1,200,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OH | Village of Greenfield for Water Storage Tank Rehabilitation | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OK | City of Ada for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion | \$1,125,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OK | City of Mustang for Waterline Extension | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OK | City of Stillwater for Drinking Water Improvement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OK | Edmond City for Water Treatment Plant Capacity Expansion | \$2,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OK | Waurika Public Works Authority for Water Treatment Plant Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Independence for Corvallis Road Waterline Project | \$880,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of West Linn for Waterline Replacement | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Astoria for Irving Avenue Waterline Resiliency Project | \$1,895,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Hillsboro for Upper System Pipeline Mitigation | \$2,240,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Mosier for Water Infrastructure Improvements | \$525,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Myrtle Creek for Water Infrastructure Improvement Project | \$2,380,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OR | City of Sumpter for Water Main and Water Meter Replacement | \$1,365,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | OR | Morrow County for Drinking Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$1,320,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Beaver Falls Municipal Authority for Public Water Drinking Infrastructure in Darlington Township | \$3,661,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Borough of Ambler for Wells 2, 6, 7 PFAS Treatment Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Borough of Coraopolis for Waterline Replacement | \$800,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Bradford City Water Authority for Water Transmission Main Project | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Brockway Borough Municipal Authority for Watermain Replacement | \$621,181 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Cranberry Township for Waterline Replacement | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Lansford-Coaldale Joint Water Authority for Water Service Connection Improvements Project | \$375,200 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Martinsburg Municipal Authority for Elevated Storage Tank Installation | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Martinsburg Municipal Authority for Well Transmission Line Project | \$701,440 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County for Drinking Water Infrastructure Project | \$1,991,357 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | National Pike Water Authority for Water Storage Tank and Pump Station Construction Project | \$1,499,562 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Seven Springs Municipal Authority for Waterline Replacement Project | \$275,637 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Summit Township Water Authority for Water Booster Station Infrastructure Improvements | \$250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Blairsville Municipal Authority for Water Treatment Plant Renovations | \$500,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Borough of Oxford for Clean Water Infrastructure, Facilities, and Equipment | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Clinton County Government for Cottage Lane Water Line | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Driftwood Borough for Water Infrastructure Upgrade Project | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Indian Creek Valley Water Authority for Water Line Installation | \$942,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Kittanning Suburban Joint Water Authority for Adrian Road Water Line Extension | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Millerstown Borough for Secondary Water Supply Source Project | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Schuylkill County Municipal Authority for Redundant Water Main | \$440,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | St. Clairsville Area Water Company for Water Infrastructure Upgrades | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | The Municipal Authority of the Borough of Edgeworth for Water Line Replacement | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | The Municipal Authority of the Township of Washington for Water System Improvements | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Tunkhannock Borough Municipal Authority for Hill Reservoir Cover and Liner | \$500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | PA | Williamsport Municipal Water Authority for Tank Replacement | \$1,440,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | RI | Quonochontaug Central Beach Fire District for Water System Upgrades | \$1,000,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | RI | Town of Smithfield for a Water Main Extension | \$1,100,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | SC | City of Columbia for Riverview Terrace Water Main Replacement | \$575,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | SC | City of Florence for Water Treatment Plant Expansion and Upgrades | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | SC | Startex-Jackson-Wellford Duncan Water District for Water Storage Improvements | \$10,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | SC | Town of Cheraw for Water Treatment Plant Construction | \$4,440,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TN | Campbell County for Water Infrastructure Project | \$1,388,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TN | City of Oak Ridge for Potable Water Storage Tank Rehabilitation | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TN | First Tennessee Development District for Waterline Extension | \$624,624 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TN | Glen Hills Utility District for Water Infrastructure Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Brazosport Water Authority for Waterline Construction | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Alice for Elevated Water Storage Tanks Repair and Replacement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Galena Park for Water System Rehabilitation | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Georgetown for Aquifer Storage and Recovery Project | \$750,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Hamilton for Water Distribution and Wastewater Collection System Improvements | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Jacinto City for North Side Water Lines Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Keller for Water Line Replacement Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of San Benito for Ground Storage Tank and SCADA System Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | City of Woodway for Water Capacity Enhancement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Jim Wells County for Municipal Utility District Creation | \$1,200,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Red River Authority of Texas for Pump Station and Tank Renovations | \$476,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Red River Authority of Texas for Water Systems Improvements | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | San Antonio Water System for Generators for Critical Infrastructure Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | TX | Town of Anthony for Arsenic Treatment Plant and Associated Raw and Treated Water Pipelines Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility for Treatment Facility Upgrade | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | City of Kanab for Spring Redevelopment Project | \$1,123,704 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | City of South Jordan for Water Tank Construction | \$1,750,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | Clinton City for Waterline Replacement | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District for Flow Control Vault Retrofit and Replacement | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | Kearns Improvement District for Water Supply Repair and Resiliency Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | Magna Water District for Drinking Water Sustainability and Drought Resiliency Project | \$1,311,577 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | Salt Lake City Corporation for Transmission Line Replacement | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | Salt Lake City Corporation for Water Treatment Plant Rebuild | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | Sevier County for Water System Improvements | \$3,092,560 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UT | Taylorsville-Bennion Improvement District for Waterline Replacement | \$1,600,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Colonial Heights for Waterline Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | County of Pulaski for Waterline Replacement | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Fauquier County for Opal Water System Project | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Isle of Wight County for Elevated Storage Tank | \$1,250,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Prince George County for Water Transmission Main Appomattox River Crossing | \$959,752 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Scott County Public Service Authority for Waterline Construction | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Surry County for Water System Upgrade Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Town of Lovettsville for Transite Drinking Water Line Replacement | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | City of Williamsburg for Colonial Parkway Drinking Water Main Replacement | \$1,440,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Stafford County for Lake Mooney Water Treatment Plant Addition | \$1,360,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VA | Town of Cedar Bluff for Drinking Water and Wastewater Systems Replacement | \$570,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VT | Cooperative Development Institute for North Avenue Co-op Infrastructure Upgrades | \$1,800,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VT | Town of Franklin for Water System Alternate Source Project | \$595,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VT | Town of Waterbury for Extension of Water Line to East Wind Mobile Home Park | \$2,240,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | VT | Tri-Town Water District 1 for Water System Upgrades | \$1,200,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Redmond for AC Water Main Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Republic for Water Tank Replacement Project | \$1,096,835 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation for Inchelium Community Water System Upgrade Project | \$1,720,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|---|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Lakewood Water District for PFAS Mitigation Wells Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Public Utility District No. 1 of Thurston County for Water Mainline Relocation— Green Cover Creek Culvert | \$875,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Skokomish Tribe for Waterline Extension | \$959,757 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Wahkiakum Public Utility District for Puget Island Alternate Water System Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of DuPont for PFAS Treatment at Water Wells | \$545,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | City of Mattawa for Water System Improvements | \$5,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WA | Lake Whatcom Water & Sewer District for Division 7 Water Reservoir Replacement Project | \$220,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WI | City of Eau Claire for Water Treatment Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WI | Lake Altoona Rehabilitation and Protection District for Infrastructure Project | \$800,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WI | Town of Campbell for Water Utility Project | \$2,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WI | Village of Shorewood for Lead Service Line Replacement | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WI | Village of West Milwaukee for Water Main and Lead Lateral Replacement Project | \$959,752 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WI | City of Elkhorn for Safe Drinking Water | \$3,300,000 |

| STAG Account | State | Project (Recipient Name and Purpose) | Amount (\$) |
|--|--------------|--|--------------------|
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WI | Village of Rothschild for PFAS Water Treatment | \$3,300,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WV | City of Williamson for Water System Infrastructure Project | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Clay-Roane Public Service District for Water System Improvement Project | \$1,000,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Mason County Public Service District for Water Treatment Plant Improvement Project | \$1,500,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Town of Davy for Sewer Construction Project | \$1,750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Town of Pineville for Waterline Extension | \$750,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Cool Ridge Flat Top Public Service District for Water System Improvements | \$80,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Frankfort Public Service District for Water Improvement Project | \$192,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Mountain Top Public Service District for Radio-Read Water Metering System Project | \$400,000 |
| STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | WV | Town of Harpers Ferry for Water Distribution Improvement Project | \$500,000 |

Appendix C: Technical Corrections-Procedural Information

Examples of Acceptable Technical Corrections

Below are three common types of technical corrections that are generally acceptable. All examples assume concurrence from the original recipient and the new recipient to be named, if applicable.

| Original Language | Purpose (P) or Recipient (R)? | New Language |
|--|-------------------------------|--|
| Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements | P | Anytown for water infrastructure improvements |
| Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements | R | Greater Anytown-Area Regional Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements |
| Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements | P, R | Greater Anytown-Area Regional Water Authority for drinking water infrastructure improvements |

Technical corrections cannot: 1) change the purpose to a non-construction project unless it otherwise provides for water quality protection; 2) change the purpose to construction of infrastructure that is not drinking, waste, or stormwater-related unless it otherwise provides for water quality protection; 3) change the purpose to debt repayment; or 4) transfer funds to another Federal Agency.

Who Can Request a Technical Correction?

Technical correction requests must be in writing and, for a change in purpose, must be originated by the original recipient. A request involving a change in recipient can be initiated by either the original recipient or the new entity to be named, but such a request requires the written concurrence of the other party. Requests involving a change in both recipient and purpose can also be initiated by either entity with concurrence from the other; however, the original Community Grant recipient must specifically acknowledge both the change in purpose and the change in recipient in their concurrence. Any request for technical corrections from parties other than the original recipient or the new entity will generally not be considered.

Procedure for Making a Technical Correction

Recipients requesting a technical correction should first consult their [EPA Regional Contact](#) to discuss the need for, and evaluate the appropriateness of, a technical correction. When appropriate and necessary, the EPA Regional Office will submit technical corrections to EPA Headquarters for consultation with and resolution by Congress.

EPA Regional Offices provide all written requests that are consistent with this Guidance to EPA Headquarters for review and submission to the Agency's Liaison to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations ("Appropriations Liaison"). The Appropriations Liaison initiates consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. EPA approves proposed corrections after the Appropriations Liaison transmits confirmation of consultation with the Committees.⁸ EPA may then proceed with administering projects within the scope of approved corrected language.

When a Technical Correction is Unnecessary

All changes in the project purpose require a technical correction. All changes in the recipient require a technical correction unless the intended recipient is an agency of the original recipient or is wholly owned or controlled by the recipient (e.g., the recipient is listed as Anytown, USA, but the intended recipient is the Anytown Department of Water Quality). In such cases, a grant may be made to the intended recipient without a technical correction.

Withdrawing or Reversing a Technical Correction

Technical correction requests that have been approved by EPA can be reversed (i.e., reverted to the original appropriation language). Reversing a technical correction requires a new technical correction following the procedures outlined above. Technical correction requests that have not been approved by EPA Headquarters can be withdrawn. Withdrawal procedures depend on how far along the request is in the Congressional consultation process.

- If the request has not yet been provided to Congress for consultation, the request will simply be removed from the submission list. Upon confirmation from EPA Headquarters that the request was removed, the Region can proceed under the scope of the original language.
- If the request has been sent to Congress for consultation, but not yet returned, EPA Headquarters will request its removal from consideration and will notify the Region when they can proceed under the scope of the original language.

⁸ If the circumstances surrounding a technical correction for a particular project change after consultation with the Committees and EPA decides not to approve the request, EPA will notify the Committees in a subsequent request.

- If the consultation process was already completed, EPA will send the language reversal back to Congress in a subsequent request.

Administering a Technically Corrected Project

Technical corrections made under the technical corrections authority should be administered in accordance with the guidance document from the fiscal year of appropriation.

Appendix D: Application Forms and Attachments

The following registration steps must be completed prior to submitting an application package:

☐ **Registration in [SAM.gov](https://sam.gov).** Unique Entity Identifiers (UEIs) are assigned during the SAM.gov registration process. Recipients with active SAM.gov registrations prior to April 2022 automatically have a UEI but may need to complete entity validation within SAM.gov. Recipients must be registered in SAM.gov. Recipients may refer to the [Entity Registration Checklist](#) and obtain SAM.gov assistance via the Federal Service Desk at 1-866-606-8220 or fsd.gov (M-F 8am-8pm ET).

☐ **Registration in [Grants.gov](https://grants.gov).** Once registered in SAM.gov, recipients who have a SAM.gov registration can then [register with Grants.gov](#) and assign Grants.gov Roles. Please note that only an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) can submit an application on behalf of the recipient.

☐ **[Grants.gov instructions](#), and [Training Resources & Videos](#) are available online.** Grants.gov assistance is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week at 1-800-518-4726 or support@grants.gov (closed on federal holidays).

- The Funding Opportunity Number (FON) for the Community Grants Program is **EPA-CEP-01**.
- Community Grants Program is included in Assistance Listing Number 66.202 (the Assistance Listing Number is also referred to as “CFDA” in [Grants.gov](https://grants.gov))
- The Community Grants Program’s Opportunity Package ID Number is **PKG00214047**.

Note: Prior to accessing the application package in Grants.gov, recipients should Register with SAM.gov, ensure the SAM.gov registration is not expired, obtain a UEI number through the SAM.gov registration process and establish an EBiz Contact. Recipients may refer to the [Entity Registration Checklist](#) and obtain SAM.gov assistance via the Federal Service Desk at 1-866-606-8220 or fsd.gov (M-F 8am-8pm ET).

Additional information on [accessing the Community Grants Application Package](#) is available [online](#).

Complete application packages must be submitted through [Grants.gov](https://grants.gov) and must include the following:

1. ☐ **Application for Federal Assistance (SF 424)** with authorized signatures submitted.
2. ☐ **Additional information for SF424, Block #19 (if applicable):** [Is](#)

[application subject to review by State under Executive Order 12372 Process?](#)

Select the appropriate box. If box “a” is selected, enter the date the application was submitted to the State SPOC (generally, applicants must submit the SF424 or summary thereof to the State SPOC to meet the requirements under Executive Order 12372).

- California. All EPA programs and activities subject to Intergovernmental Review have been selected for [State Single Point of Contact](#) (SPOC) review. Community Grant Applications for projects in California should be submitted to the California SPOC at state.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov.
- Utah. Only applications for EPA financial assistance subject to Intergovernmental Review submitted by Utah state agencies have been selected for SPOC review. Applications by local governments, nonprofit organizations and other entities are not reviewed by the Utah SPOC. Utah state agencies are to submit their Community Grant applications to stategrants@utah.gov.

No other SPOCs have selected EPA programs and activities subject to Intergovernmental Review for SPOC review, however there may be requirements for submission of Federal grant applications to SPOCs or other state agencies based on state law that are independent of [40 CFR Part 29](#). EPA encourages applicants to comply with state requirements but does not enforce those requirements.

- [Intergovernmental Review SPOC List](#) provides contact information for each SPOC.
- [Fact sheet for Applicants Intergovernmental Review Process](#) provides additional information on Intergovernmental Review.

3. ☐ **Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs (SF 424A).**

☐ **Budget Detail-Breakdown by Object Class Categories.**

[RAIN-2019-G02](#) at <https://www.epa.gov/grants/rain-2019-g02>.

- Costs for hiring construction contractors would be reflected in **SF 424A Category g “Construction.”**
- Costs for building or repairing facilities and related demolition and site preparation work or for remediating contamination are to be classified as **SF424A Category g “Construction.”**
- Costs for hiring Architectural and Engineering firms for design/project management services would be categorized in **SF 424A Category f “Contractual.”**

- Construction activities carried out by the applicant's own employees ("force account") are to be classified as **SF 424A Category a "Personnel."**
- Information on cost allowability is provided in [2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E](#). Recipients may review EPA's [training course](#) on budget development. EPA reviews costs included in project budgets as part of the application/pre award process to ensure they conform with general principles of cost allowability:
 - A cost is eligible if it is permitted by statute, program guidance, and/or regulations.
 - A cost is reasonable if it does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the cost.
 - Costs must be allocable: costs must be incurred either directly or indirectly to carry out the project and must be charged proportionately across all benefitting cost centers.
 - Costs must be necessary for the project being funded.

Allowable costs are:

- Adequately documented.
- Conform to limitations of laws, regulations, etc. and grant terms and conditions.
- Consistent with recipient's policies/procedures – same factors apply to both federal and non-federal activities.
- Accorded consistent treatment – a cost may not be assigned as direct if a similar cost incurred for the same purpose has been allocated as an indirect cost.
- Not included as a cost or used to meet a matching requirement for any other federal grant.
- Consistent with generally accepted accounting principles

4. ☐ **Use the Project Narrative Attachment Form to submit the Workplan.** The workplan should include tasks, milestones, and expected environmental results or outcomes (See [Appendix E](#)).
5. ☐ **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Current Indirect Cost Rate Negotiation Agreement.** If applicable, include Rate and Signature pages (i.e., Sections 1 and 3) of the approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement with application. **EPA Policy on Indirect Rate Costs (IDC) effective 10/1/2018, [RAIN-2018-G02](#).** Prior to drawing down EPA funds for IDCs, and/or using unrecovered IDCs as cost-share, recipients must have an approved rate and an EPA- approved budget that includes IDCs.
 - IDCs are those that are not readily identifiable with a particular activity but are necessary to the general operation of the recipient organization and the conduct of the proposed project (such as general administration expenses).
6. ☐ **EPA Key Contacts Form (EPA Form 5700-4).**

7. ☐ **Pre-Award Compliance Review Report (EPA Form 4700-4)**, current form with authorized signature. See [Tips for Completing EPA Form 4700-4](#).
8. ☐ **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Certification Regarding Lobbying (EPA Form 6600-06)** with authorized signature. All applicants, including Tribes, are required to submit this certification if the total federal dollar awarded to the applicant/recipient is greater than \$100,000 for the life of the grant.
9. ☐ **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (Form SF-LLL)**, with authorized signature attached with the grant application package. For all other applicants, form is required for reporting entity, whether subawardee or prime federal recipient, at the initiation or receipt of a covered federal action, or a material change to a previous filing, pursuant to Title 31 U.S.C. Section 1352. Used by applicants to disclose lobbying activities that have been secured to influence the outcome of a federal grant action.

Appendix E: Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline

Name of Applicant and Project Title

Project Objective(s) and Need

Narrative, how project will resolve need/purpose.

Project Description

Narrative, maps, photographs, relevant design parameters, etc. Clearly defined scope of work, outlining all activities to be performed under the grant; detailed description of the proposed project, summary of deliverables. Framework for managing the project, explanation of the approach, procedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grant funds will be expended in a timely and efficient manner, evaluating performance and reporting progress toward achieving the expected outputs and outcomes. How tasks/activities will be undertaken. The scope of work must be in conformance with the project description. Budget narrative that links the budget to workplan tasks activities and includes source(s) of non-federal cost share.

Milestone Schedule

Narrative or tabular depiction of each grant activity's estimated start and end dates, interim milestones, deliverables, and project completion. The length of the grant award project period should be consistent with the milestone schedule.

Environmental Results/Benefits

Narrative or tabular linkage of each grant activity with the applicable [EPA Strategic Plan goal and objective](#) (i.e., EPA's FY2022 – FY2026 Strategic Plan Goal 5: Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities, Objective 5.1: Ensure Safe Drinking Water and Reliable Water Infrastructure), anticipated environmental results, anticipated environmental outputs, and anticipated environmental outcomes.

- Outputs: environmental activities, efforts, and/or associated work products related to environmental goals or objectives, that will be produced or provided over a period of time or by a specified date. Outputs should be well-defined and may be quantitative or qualitative but must be measurable during an assistance agreement funding period.
- Outcomes: the results, effects or consequences that will occur from carrying out an environmental program or activity that is related to an environmental or programmatic goal or objective. Outcomes should be well-defined to the maximum extent practicable, and may be environmental, behavioral, health-related, or programmatic in nature, must be quantitative, and may not necessarily be achievable within an assistance agreement funding period.

Workplan Requirements for Identifying Contractors

- Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2024 or prior Appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance, if the recipient: 1) procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to March 9, 2024; and 2) complied with state and/or local laws governing competition.
- For all other Community Grants projects, contractual selection must comply with the competitive Procurement Standards set forth in [2 CFR 200.317 – 2 CFR 200.327](#).
- Additionally, projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#) (i.e., the Brooks Act), or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement.
- Except for when the Brooks Act applies, States follow their own procurement procedures consistent with 2 CFR 200.317. Further, [2 CFR 200.320](#) discusses specific methods of procurement to be followed for non-State recipients and the circumstances under which each method can be used.
- Prior to naming a contractor (including consultants) in your application as a “partner,” please carefully review Section IV.D. of [EPA’s Solicitation Clauses](#) discussed in relevant part here. Recipients must compete contracts for services and products, including consultant contracts, and conduct cost and price analyses, to the extent required by the procurement provisions of the regulations at 2 CFR Part 200. Recipients are not required to identify subrecipients and/or contractors (including consultants) in their grant application. However, if they do, the fact that a recipient has named a specific subrecipient, contractor, or consultant in the application does not relieve the recipient of its obligations to comply with subaward and/or competitive procurement requirements as described in Section IV.D. of EPA’s Solicitation Clauses.
- The [Best Practice Guide for Procuring Services, Supplies, and Equipment Under EPA Assistance Agreements](#) can assist Community Grant recipients in complying with procurement requirements. Additionally, the [Community Grants: Reminders for Preparing Solicitation Documents](#) discusses Community Grant specific procurement requirements.
- In general, all procurement transactions for professional engineering services and construction contractors must be conducted in a manner that promotes fair and open competition.
- Please note that unless you qualify for the statutory flexibility discussed above **and** the sole source complies with state and/or local law, recipients may not award sole source contracts to consulting, engineering, or other firms assisting applicants with the application solely based on the firm’s role in preparing the application or based on an assertion that the individual or firm has “unique qualifications.” Moreover, the Agency does not accept justifications for sole source contracts for services or

products available in the commercial marketplace such as consulting, data analysis, or project management.

Workplan Requirements for Identifying Subrecipients

- Any proposed subawards must comply with regulatory standards as implemented in [EPA's Subaward Policy](#).
- In almost all cases, for-profit firms and individual consultants are not proper subrecipients. For-profit firms and individual consultants would more likely be considered contractors.
- Prior to naming a subrecipient in your application as a "partner," please carefully review Section IV.D. of [EPA's Solicitation Clauses](#)

Appendix F: Grant Policies and Resources

Grants Management Training for Applicants and Recipients

- [EPA's online training courses](#) are free and are designed to introduce potential EPA grant recipients to key aspects of the entire grant lifecycle, from preparation of a grant application through grant closeout.
- Details about EPA's New Recipient Training Requirement are available in EPA's Recipient/Applicant Information Notice (RAIN) 2024-G01, see [EPA's Grants Policy Resources](#) page.
- Information on EPA Form 4700-4 is available online, see [Tips for Completing EPA Form 4700-4](#).

EPA Grant Policies and Information

- [EPA grant policies](#) may affect how recipients manage and administer EPA assistance agreements.
- EPA's [Grants Update Listserv](#) provides periodic updates on trainings, changes in grants management requirements, and other general grants information.

Budget Development

- Community Grant recipients may refer to [Interim General Budget Development Guidance for Applicants and Recipients of EPA Financial Assistance](#) to learn more about cost eligibility and preparation of the budget component of the application package.

Selected Items of Cost

- EPA POs and grant specialists review costs included in project budgets as part of the application.
- Allowability of costs is based on several factors specified in [2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E](#).
- EPA's [Guidance on Selected Items of Cost for Recipients](#) provides more information on the allowability of specific costs.

Environmental Review

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires evaluation of how federal grant actions may affect the quality of the environment. EPA's NEPA implementing regulations can be found at 40 CFR Part 6. EPA's NEPA implementing regulations supplement the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) regulations at [40 CFR Parts 1500 – 1508](#) implementing NEPA and are to be used in conjunction with the CEQ Regulations. Under NEPA, environmental impacts must be considered before EPA can award the grant.

- The [Categorical Exclusion \(CATEX\) Checklist](#) provides information on assessing whether a project may be considered for a CATEX. EPA's list of actions that can be categorically excluded is contained within [40 CFR Part 6 Subpart B](#). EPA makes CATEX determinations based on its own regulations and can use information collected as part of another federal agency's NEPA process. Recipients having a CATEX determination resulting from

another agency's NEPA review of their project may provide that information to EPA as part of any request for a CATEX.

The following tools may be used to support development of Environmental Information Documents (EIDs).

- The sample [EID Outline](#) provides optional format and content on what to include in an EID.
- The [Infrastructure Task Force Preliminary Engineering Report](#) provides a recommended format for preliminary engineering reports (PER) for use when planning drinking water and wastewater infrastructure.

Procurement

- [EPA's Best Practice Guide for Procuring Services, Supplies and Equipment Under EPA Assistance Agreements](#) describes the financial transactions covered by the competitive procurement requirements and other rules you must follow when awarding and administering EPA funded contracts.
- Additionally, the [Community Grants: Reminders for Preparing Solicitation Documents](#) discusses Community Grant specific procurement requirements.
- Community Grants Projects identified in the FY 2024 or prior Appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance, **if** the recipient has: (1) procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to March 9, 2024; and (2) complied with state and/or local laws governing competition.
- All other Community Grant recipients must follow their own procurement procedures, which must be documented and comply with State, local, or tribal laws and regulations as well as Federal laws and regulations, including the procurement standards in [2 CFR Part 200](#) (Uniform Grants Guidance (UGG)) and [2 CFR Part 1500](#) (EPA's Supplement to the UGG).
 - With the exception noted below for projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, State recipients must follow the same policies and procedures they use for procurements from their non-Federal funds. In addition, States must also follow the requirements in 2 CFR [200.321](#) (small and disadvantaged businesses), [200.322](#) (domestic preferences), [200.323](#) (recycled materials), [200.327](#) (contract clauses contained in [Appendix II](#)), and EPA's Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises Rule at 40 CFR Part 33.
- Additionally, projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#) (i.e., the Brooks Act), or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement. Specifically, and consistent with the CWSRF statute ([33 U.S.C. 1382\(b\)\(14\)](#)), procurements/contracts for "**program management, construction management, feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, design, engineering, surveying, mapping, or architectural related services**" shall be negotiated in the same manner as a contract for architectural and engineering services is negotiated under chapter 11 of title 40 or

an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement (as determined by the Governor of the State).”

- Please note that unless you qualify for the statutory flexibility discussed above **and** the sole source complies with state and/or local law, recipients may not award sole source contracts to consulting, engineering, or other firms assisting applicants with the application solely based on the firm’s role in preparing the application or based on an assertion that the individual or firm has “unique qualifications.” Moreover, the Agency does not accept justifications for sole source contracts for services or products available in the commercial marketplace such as consulting, data analysis, or project management.

Subawards

- A subaward is a financial assistance transaction between an EPA grant recipient (i.e., pass-through entity) and an eligible subrecipient (or by a subrecipient to a lower subrecipient) to carry out part of a Federal award received by the pass-through entity.
 - It does not include payments to a contractor or payments to an individual that is a beneficiary of a Federal program.
 - A subaward may be provided through any form of legal agreement, including an agreement that the pass-through entity considers a contract.
- The [EPA Subaward Policy](#) implements UGG regulatory requirements for subawards and has several appendices including distinguishing between procurement contracts and subawards and an optional Subaward Agreement Template.
- Additionally, EPA posted an [EPA Subaward Policy Frequently Asked Questions](#).

Davis Bacon

- The [Davis-Bacon Act](#) requires that all contractors and subcontractors performing construction, alteration, and repair (including painting and decorating) work under federal contracts in excess of \$2,000, pay their laborers and mechanics not less than the prevailing wage and fringe benefits for the geographic location. Personnel costs include salaries, wages, and allowable incentive compensation for recipient employees (i.e., who receive W-2 forms) who spend time working on the project and are not subject to Davis Bacon.
- Section 513 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), which applies to the CWSRF program, applies DBA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on projects for treatment works.
- Section 1452(a)(5) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), which applies to the DWSRF program, applies DBA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on *any* construction project.
- Note, if your Community Grant project triggers compliance with Davis Bacon, you **must** incorporate the appropriate wage determination in the bid solicitation document(s) and contract specifications.

EPA’s Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program

- EPA’s Participation by DBEs regulations can be found at [40 CFR Part 33](#).

- EPA's [DBE Program](#) applies to all procurements under EPA Assistance Agreements, with the exception of Community Grants projects that qualify for the statutory flexibility provided for in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act, and requires recipients who procure goods and/or services to: employ the six good faith efforts; document their efforts; maintain DBE forms and other documentation from the prime contractor; and [report their procurement and DBE activities](#) even if there is not anything to report.
- Consistent with EPA's DBE regulations, Tribal Nations are allowed to "solicit and recruit Indian organizations and Indian-owned economic enterprises and give them preference in the award process prior to undertaking the six good faith efforts."

Build America, Buy America (BABA)

- BABA established a domestic content procurement preference for all Federal financial assistance obligated for *infrastructure* projects after May 14, 2022.
- BABA requires that all iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in covered infrastructure projects be produced in the United States.
- Recipients must ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with [BABA](#) requirements as applicable to the project.

American Iron and Steel (AIS) Requirement

- The [AIS provision](#) requires recipients to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public water system or treatment works.
- Compliance with AIS requirements corresponding to iron and steel products will satisfy a subset of BABA requirements (e.g., those pertaining to iron and steel), however BABA also includes requirements pertaining to manufactured goods and construction materials, which must also be met. Projects that are compliant with BABA are also in compliance with AIS.
- Recipients must ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with AIS requirements as applicable to the project.

EPA Community Grants Program

- The [EPA Community Grants](#) webpage contains many helpful resources, including a list of frequent questions, regional points of contact, and training presentations and recordings. The website will be updated as new information becomes available. Technical Assistance (TA) may be available for Community Grants application support; recipients may contact [Regional Points of Contact](#) to inquire.

Reporting Waste, Fraud, Abuse, Mismanagement, or Other Suspected Violations of Law

- The EPA Office of Inspector General is an independent oversight office charged with preventing and detecting [waste, fraud, and abuse](#) by EPA and U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigations Board employees, grantees, contractors, and others. It does this through [audits](#) and [investigations](#) of Agency programs and operations, often in response to complaints submitted to the [OIG Hotline](#) regarding alleged violations of law, needless spending, or intentional deception.

- Suspected waste, fraud, abuse, or other violations of law can be reported anonymously or confidentially to the [OIG Hotline](#) via phone at (888) 546-8740, [email](#), or online [form](#).
 - Listen to this podcast to learn more about the [hotline](#).

Whistleblower Protection

- A [whistleblower](#) is a federal employee, an employee of a federal contractor, subcontractor, grantee, or subgrantee or personal services contractor who discloses what the individual believes to be evidence of a gross waste of federal funds, a substantial danger to public health or safety, or any of the following related to a federal contract or grant: gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, or other violation of law, rule, or regulation.
- Because of the important public service these individuals perform when they come forward, [whistleblower protection](#) laws prohibit reprisal against them, such as firing, demotion, or other discrimination, and protect the identities of those who make anonymous or confidential disclosures, such as via the [OIG Hotline](#).
- Learn more about Whistleblower Protection [here](#).

Commonly Used Acronyms and Abbreviations

| | | | |
|-------|---|--------------|--------------------------------------|
| AIS | American Iron and Steel | EBiz Contact | E-Business Point of Contact |
| AOR | Authorized Organization Representative | EID | Environmental Information Document |
| ASAP | Automated Standard Application for Payments | EIS | Environmental Impact Statement |
| BABA | Build America, Buy America | EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| CATEX | Categorical Exclusion | FONSI | Finding of No Significant Impact |
| CDS | Congressionally Directed Spending | FY | Fiscal Year |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations | MHI | Median Household Income |
| CPF | Community Project Funding | NEPA | National Environmental Policy Act |
| CWA | Clean Water Act | PO | Project Officer |
| CWSRF | Clean Water Act State Revolving Fund | SDWA | Safe Drinking Water Act |
| DBA | Davis-Bacon Act | SERP | State Environmental Review Process |
| DBE | Disadvantaged Business Enterprise | SF | Standard Form |
| DWSRF | Drinking Water State Revolving Fund | UEI | Unique Entity Identifier |
| EA | Environmental Assessment | UGG | Uniform Grant Guidance |