

Community Grants Program

FINAL IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE

2024



Contents

Purpose.....	2
Background.....	2
Eligibility.....	2
Technical Corrections	2
Cost Share Requirements	3
Waivers to Cost Share Requirements.....	6
Grant Administration: Community Grants Lifecycle	8
Regulations and Requirements	12
Regional Contacts	19
Appendix A: Statutory Language	20
Appendix B: List of EPA Congressionally Directed Spending Community Projects and Funding Levels.....	22
Appendix C: Technical Corrections-Procedural Information.....	87
Appendix D: Application Forms and Attachments	90
Appendix E: Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline.....	94
Appendix F: Grant Policies and Resources	97

Purpose

Recipients are strongly encouraged to read this guidance document in its entirety. It provides important information and guidelines on how the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will award and administer water infrastructure projects identified as Congressionally Directed Spending (CDS) and Community Project Funding (CPF) items in Appropriations Acts. For Fiscal Year (FY) 2024, the Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 118-42) includes \$1,419,312,172 in the State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) account for 1,022 drinking water, wastewater, stormwater infrastructure, and water quality protection projects.¹ This information includes explaining statutory program requirements and agency grant policies and procedures.

Background

President Biden signed the FY 2024 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 118-42) into law on March 9, 2024. In this law, Congress continued the practice of funding specifically named community infrastructure projects, referred to by the Senate as CDS items and in the House of Representatives as CPF items. [Appendix A](#) of this document provides the pertinent section(s) of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2024, also referred to as EPA's FY 2024 Appropriations Act. Water infrastructure CDS/CPF projects are further referred to as Community Grants projects in this document.

Eligibility

Community Grants projects are designated for the planning, design, and construction of drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater infrastructure and for water quality protection. Eligible Community Grants projects are included in Appropriations Acts. For FY 2024, eligible projects are referenced in the explanatory statement found in [Appendix A](#). [Appendix B](#) lists each project that is eligible for funding under the FY 2024 Appropriations Act and identifies the state, recipient name, purpose, and appropriated funding amount of each project. EPA will use the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) framework to guide implementation of these Community Grants; the [CWSRF](#) and [DWSRF](#) eligibilities should be referred to for development of workplans, project scopes, costs, and sub-awards. Funds appropriated for Community Grants projects may not be awarded solely to repay loans received from SRF programs or to repay other debts unless there are explicit instructions to do so in Appropriations Acts or accompanying explanatory statements and/or committee reports. These funds may not be used for operation and maintenance.

Technical Corrections

Should a Community Grant recipient identified in an Appropriations Act need to modify the type, purpose, or named recipient of the Community Grant, a technical correction will be

¹ In the FY 2024 Consolidated Appropriations Act, EPA received a total of 1039 CDS/CPF projects for \$1,460,035,172. Of this total, 1,022 projects are for water community projects; this document pertains to these projects.

needed. The Agency’s FY 2006 Appropriations Act (P.L. 109-54) included a permanent authority that allows EPA to make technical corrections to Community Grants only after consultation with Congress, without the need for additional legislation. For example, if a recipient (*e.g.*, City of Salem) is named in the authorizing language but a different legal entity (*e.g.*, Salem Wastewater Utility) owns the infrastructure, the recipient can request a technical correction. As another example, if the Appropriations Act provides for a specific type of project (*e.g.*, drinking water) when a different type of project (*e.g.*, wastewater) is needed, the recipient can request a technical correction to change the project type.

Appropriate Types of Technical Corrections

A technical correction can be made for all, or part of a project identified in an Appropriations Act to change the recipient, the purpose, or both. The statutory language that provides EPA with the authority to make technical corrections does not limit the extent to which a technical correction can alter the original project, if the new project provides for water quality protection or involves construction² of drinking water, wastewater, or stormwater infrastructure. Technical corrections cannot, however, be used to change the project purpose to debt repayment, because debt repayment does not meet the statutory terms of the authority. After consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, EPA will generally approve changes in purpose that meet the above criteria or changes in recipient where both the original entity and the new entity to be named concur with the change. Any technical correction request involving a change to both the purpose and the recipient entity must be accompanied by additional documentation explaining:

- The need or reason for the change;
- The relationship between the two entities;
- Who initiated the request; and
- The involvement of any third parties, if known.

Additional information on technical corrections is provided in [Appendix C](#).

Cost Share Requirements

Appropriations Acts require each Community Grant recipient to provide a cost share from non-federal sources unless the recipient is approved for a cost share waiver by EPA. For FY 2024, the cost share amount is 20% of the total grant project cost. All contributions toward cost share should be included in the grant budget and must be categorized in the appropriate grant

² “The term ‘construction’ means any one or more of the following: preliminary planning to determine the feasibility of treatment works, engineering, architectural, legal, fiscal, or economic investigations or studies, surveys, designs, plans, working drawings, specifications, procedures, field testing of innovative or alternative waste water treatment processes and techniques meeting guidelines promulgated under section 1314(d)(3) of this title, or other necessary actions, erection, building, acquisition, alteration, remodeling, improvement, or extension of treatment works, or the inspection or supervision of any of the foregoing items.” (33 U.S.C. § 1292(1)). Since the Safe Drinking Water Act, which applies to the DWSRF program, does not have a definition of “construction,” the definition from the CWA is being used for this program with respect to project eligibility.

budget category (see [Appendix D](#) for more information on budget development). The source of the cost share must be included in the workplan and payment requests. EPA may pay 80% of costs shown on approved payment requests up to the approved federal funding amount.

- All grant funds, including a cost share, can be used only for allowable costs in executing the project. All cost sharing funds must have supporting source documents (a record that supports a transaction).
- Services donated to recipients may be furnished by professional and technical personnel and consultants in accordance with [2 CFR 200.434](#). Dollar values must be placed on all donated services in accordance with [2 CFR 200.306](#). All cost sharing funds must be included in the workplan and budget and be part of the grant's total project costs.
- All cost sharing funds must conform to the same laws, regulations, grant conditions, etc., as the federal funds within the grant; recipients may prefer to limit cost sharing to the amount required.

See [Appendix D](#) and [Appendix F](#) for information on general principles of cost allowability.

Sources of Cost Share

Eligible sources of “non-federal” funds to meet the cost share requirement are described below; recipients can use any or a combination of the following eligible sources if the requirements in [2 CFR 200.306](#) are met:

- 1) **Public sources.**³ The following public funding sources can be used to meet the cost share requirement:
 - State appropriations;
 - Local government match to the grant project;
 - U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant funds;
 - U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development funds;
 - Appalachian Regional Commission funds;
 - Delta Regional Authority grants; and,
 - The CWSRF and DWSRF programs if those funds are:
 - non-federal funds such as loan repayments, interest earnings, bond proceeds, and fees, or
 - a state contribution to the SRF above the statutorily required 20% match.

Note: EPA has issued a [class deviation document](#) pertaining to CWSRF and a [policy memo](#) pertaining to DWSRF that allow Community Grant recipients to use certain sources of funds from the two SRF programs as the non-federal cost share. The

³ Community Grant recipients can use federal funds from other programs as all, or part, of the cost share only if the statute authorizing those programs specifically allows the funds to be used as match for other federal grants. Additionally, other federal program funding must be allowed to support the planning, design and/or construction of drinking water, wastewater, or stormwater infrastructure projects, and/or water quality protection.

CWSRF class deviation and DWSRF policy documents allow SRF programs to use the non-federal and non-state match share of SRF funds to provide loans that Community Grant recipients can use as the cost share for community projects.

Funding made available to jurisdictions through the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (P. L. 117-2) (ARPA), including ARPA Revenue loss funds, cannot be used to meet the non-federal cost share requirement.

- 2) **Private sources.** These include funding from a business or nonprofit contributing to the project.
- 3) **In-kind services.** These may include the applicant’s administrative expenses for managing and overseeing the grant and projects, provided that the expenses are not being reimbursed by the federal share of the grant award. In-kind services contributed by other entities may also be allowable as cost share. Force accounts may be used as in-kind services: personnel costs include salaries, wages, and allowable incentive compensation for recipient employees (i.e., who receive W-2 forms) who spend time working on the project. In-kind (cost share) contributions must be verifiable and documented. For example, if the recipient does not intend to charge the EPA assistance agreement for all time employees spend working on the project, the applicant may include salaries or wages in the personnel category for cost share purposes.

Determining Cost Share Amount

For the purposes of calculating the cost share amount, the amount specified in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act for EPA’s contribution represents 80% of the total grant project cost. Grant applications are not required to reflect costs that exceed total grant project costs as calculated below; this is the minimum total grant project cost required to receive the full FY 2024 appropriation amount.

The following example demonstrates how to calculate the cost share amount using \$100,000 as the EPA contribution:

A. Identify the Total Grant Project Cost

Divide the EPA contribution by 0.80 to calculate the total grant project cost:

$$\$100,000 \div 0.80 = \$125,000.$$

\$125,000 is the total grant project cost

B. Multiply the Total Grant Project Cost by 0.20 to determine the cost share amount

Total grant project cost x 0.20 = required cost share amount

$$\$125,000 \times 0.20 = \$25,000$$

\$25,000 is the required 20% cost share amount

C. Confirm

Total grant project cost = EPA Contribution + Cost Share Amount.

$$\$125,000 = \$100,000 + \$25,000$$

Waivers to Cost Share Requirements

EPA supports waiving required non-federal cost share for projects located in, or that primarily serve and benefit, disadvantaged communities. EPA is using the discretion provided by the FY 2024 Appropriations Act (see [Appendix A](#)) to consider waiving or reducing statutorily required non-federal cost share on Community Grant funds when requested and appropriate.

EPA will consider the Cost Share Waiver Criteria A – E⁴ below, in defining disadvantaged communities for the purposes of Community Grants. Projects identified in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act whose primary benefits are to communities that meet at least one of the criteria below may request a waiver of the non-federal cost share requirement under the Community Grants Program. Systems that serve large service areas with a specific project that will primarily serve a subset of its service area that meets one of these criteria may also request a waiver.

Waivers to the cost share requirement must be approved by the EPA's Assistant Administrator for Water or Regional Administrators or authorized delegated officials, in accordance with EPA's Delegation of Authority 1-102.⁵ Recipients requesting cost share waivers should submit a written request to the Regional EPA [Point of Contact](#) or Project Officer for consideration. Waiver requests should include applicable Cost Share Waiver Criteria and any related supporting documentation including source data retrieved from the websites noted below.

Many of the criteria can be found on the [Census Bureau's](#) website. Recipients can start by entering their community's name in the search bar and viewing the community's profile. Tables

⁴EPA developed Cost Share Waiver Criteria A – E for the purposes of assessing the appropriateness of waiving the cost share requirement for the drinking water, wastewater, stormwater infrastructure, and water quality protection projects identified in the Appropriations Acts, based on the EPA's Memorandum: [Implementation of the Clean Water and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund Provisions of the Bipartisan Infrastructure Law](#), March 8, 2022 (see Attachment 1, Appendix E, of the memorandum); the EPA will take these criteria into consideration when assessing the appropriateness of waiving the cost share requirement for any drinking water, wastewater, stormwater infrastructure, and water quality protection projects identified in the FY 2022 Appropriations Act and in subsequent Appropriations Acts.

⁵ EPA's *Delegation of Authority 1-102, Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Water Infrastructure Projects or Other Water Resource Projects from Funds Appropriated for the State and Tribal Assistance Grant Account or the Environmental Programs and Management Account*, authorizes EPA's Assistant Administrator for Water and Regional Administrators, "To approve and administer grants and cooperative agreements for water infrastructure projects or other water resource projects from funds appropriated for the State and Tribal Assistance Grant Account or the Environmental Programs and Management Account or any successor accounts, including a project authorized by Section 510 of the Water Quality Act of 1987, P.L. 100-4, 101 Stat. 7,80, EPA's FY 1991 Appropriations Act (P.L. 101-507), and any subsequent public law; and to perform other activities necessary for the effective administration of those grants and cooperative agreements."

and graphics from the Census Bureau’s website can be downloaded or embedded in a recipient’s cost share waiver request. Recipients should use the most recent data that is collected as multiyear estimates for each of the criteria when available. Specific tables with more detailed information and other publicly available datasets beyond the community profile page for each metric are provided below.

Cost Share Waiver Criteria

A. Community Median Household Income (MHI) is less than 80% of State MHI

- MHI can be found by using the [community’s profile page of the US Census Bureau – use the search function to find your community](#) and click the S1901 link in the Income and Poverty Section to find the MHI based on most recent annual Census American Community Survey (ACS) multiyear data.
- In the few cases where a local jurisdiction’s MHI is not available, the surrounding county’s MHI may be sufficient. The Census Bureau provides annual [5-Year Average Median Household Income data in Table B19013](#). Click on the B19013 Table, select GEOS and search under “most common geographies” select “State” and then select the relevant and enter community name in the search bar.

B. Communities with an Upper Limit of Lowest Quintile Income Less Than or Equal to the Current National Upper Limit of Lowest Quintile Income.

- The current national upper limit of Lowest Quintile Income can be found in tabular format on the [Census Bureau](#) website, by searching for “B19080 HOUSEHOLD INCOME QUINTILE UPPER LIMITS United States.”
- Communities can view their Lowest Quintile Income in tabular format on the [Census Bureau](#) website by searching by community name and “B19080 HOUSEHOLD INCOME QUINTILE UPPER LIMITS”

C. Communities with \geq 30.9% Population Living Under 200% of Poverty Level

- Community information on the population living under the poverty level can be found on the [Census Bureau website](#); communities may search by entering the community’s name and “S1701”.
- The US Department of Health and Human Services also provides [US Federal Poverty Guidelines](#), including a chart with percentage of poverty levels (i.e., 200%).

D. Communities with Poverty Rates Greater Than or Equal to 20%

- Percent of the poverty rate can be found on [a community’s profile page provided by](#) the Census Bureau. Communities should ensure the most recently available multiyear data is selected.
- More detailed information can be found in [Table S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 months](#).

E. Communities with \geq 11.7% Population Receiving Food Stamps/SNAP Benefits

- Communities can find the percentage of their population receiving SNAP benefits on the [Census Bureau](#) website. Select “view state and local data” to search by state and then “View Results for a County of City/Town.”

Insular Territories Projects

The non-federal cost share requirement is waived for any community projects located in the U.S. territories. This is consistent with the Omnibus Territories Act of 1977, which authorizes Departments and Agencies to award grants to Insular Territories, such as the U.S. Virgin Islands, without a match requirement.

Tribal Projects

Consistent with the implementation of the Clean Water Indian Set-Aside Grant Program under Clean Water Act (CWA) Section 518 and the Drinking Water Infrastructure Grants Tribal Set-Aside Program under Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA) Section 1452(i), which do not require tribal cost share, EPA will waive the non-federal cost share for tribal community projects.

Grant Administration: Community Grants Lifecycle

EPA's Community Grant appropriations are STAG infrastructure grants to improve water infrastructure and water quality through funding for drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater projects, and for water quality protection. [Appendix B](#) lists the 1,022 water CDS/CPF projects identified in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act. These 1,022 projects are collectively funded "off the top" at a level of \$787,652,267 from the FY 2024 general CWSRF appropriation and \$631,659,905 from the FY 2024 general DWSRF appropriation.

EPA's Regional Offices will approve and administer Community Grants as authorized under EPA's Delegation of Authority 1-102.⁵ Per EPA's Delegation of Authority 1-14A,⁶ EPA Regional Administrators are authorized to award grants and cooperative agreements, including Community Grants, that were appropriated in FY 2024.

The following sections describe the lifecycle stages of each award. Additional information on grants policies and resources, including on receiving and managing EPA grants, is listed in [Appendix F](#).

Pre-Award Phase

While Congress directs Community Grant funds to specified recipients for defined projects, recipients are required to fulfill statutory and regulatory requirements **before** EPA can award grant funding. These requirements include but are not limited to providing necessary information for the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental review, review of any pre-award costs, and submitting a complete grant application package. [Appendix D](#) and [Appendix E](#) provide information on grant application package content and submission.

⁶ EPA's *Delegation of Authority 1-14A, Assistance Agreements*, authorizes Regional Administrators, the Assistant Administrator for Mission Support, and the Chief Financial Officer, "To take all necessary actions to award, obligate and de-obligate funds for, and administer fellowship, grant, cooperative and loan agreements (hereinafter financial assistance), and to make any final determinations required by law or regulations, with eligible recipients."

1. NEPA Environmental Review

As required by EPA’s NEPA implementing regulations at [40 CFR Part 6](#), EPA must complete the NEPA review process before awarding Community Grants for water infrastructure and water quality protection projects. EPA’s NEPA implementing regulations are to be used in conjunction with the Council on Environmental Quality’s (CEQ’s) implementing NEPA regulations at [40 CFR Parts 1500 – 1508](#).

The requirement for a documented environmental review under NEPA generally does not apply to grants solely for planning activities, such as infrastructure assessments, watershed plans, and wastewater capital improvement plans. Applicants should check with their [EPA Regional Contact](#) to determine if a documented environmental review under NEPA applies to a particular Community Grant. See the [Regulations and Requirements](#) section and [Appendix F](#) for additional information about the NEPA Environmental Review.

2. Pre-award Costs and Procurement Review

Costs incurred prior to grant awards may be eligible for reimbursement if the costs are in conformance with applicable federal and EPA statutes and regulations. Incurred costs are financial obligations: costs owed by an entity as a result of a transaction. The costs may have been paid or remain unpaid.

The regulations at [2 CFR 200.458](#) require that pre-award costs be incurred “...directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. Such costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the date of the Federal award and only with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency. If charged to the award, these costs must be charged to the initial budget period of the award, unless otherwise specified by the Federal awarding agency or pass-through entity.” Additionally, [2 CFR 1500.9](#) further explains that “[a]ll costs incurred before EPA makes the award are at the recipient’s risk. EPA is under no obligation to reimburse such costs if for any reason the recipient does not receive a Federal award or if the Federal award is less than anticipated and inadequate to cover such costs.”

For Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act, pre-award costs must be incurred on or after October 1, 2023, to be considered for eligibility. Notwithstanding, and consistent with [2 CFR 1500.9](#), all costs incurred before EPA makes the award are at the recipient’s risk. EPA shall review the eligibility of such costs on a case-by-case basis prior to approving the project budget and awarding the grant.

A review of pre-award costs includes a review of bidding documents and/or contracts executed prior to award for compliance with applicable procurement requirements as described in the [Regulations and Requirements](#) section below.

3. Application, Forms, Workplan, and Submitting an Application

Upon completion of an environmental review under NEPA, development of a project workplan, and review of any pre-award costs (including any costs related procurement of goods and/or services), applicants should submit a complete grant application package to EPA. The workplan and application must include any pre-award costs. Recipients must ensure that their organizations have registered with the federal government's [System for Award Management \(SAM\)](#). Recipients must have an active registration/record with [SAM.gov](#) and complete the [Grants.gov](#) registration process to apply for any federal funding.

The complete grant application includes several forms, as described in [Appendix D](#). These forms **must** be downloaded from the Community Grant opportunity package on Grants.gov. Generic versions of the standard forms not downloaded from the Grants.gov website will not be accepted. See [Appendix D](#) for instructions on how to navigate to the Funding Opportunity Package and download the standard forms. [Appendix F](#) includes information on budget development and allowability of costs. In addition to the required forms, grant applicants must submit a project workplan that describes the proposed project, the milestone schedule, the need for the project, and the anticipated environmental and public health benefits (outputs and outcomes). See Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline in [Appendix E](#) for more information.

Applicants must submit a complete application package (with all required forms, a workplan, and additional required documentation) for EPA review and approval, through the Grants.gov portal. See additional information in [Appendix D](#).

4. New Recipient Training

If any a recipient is new to receiving EPA grant funding, they need to complete the [EPA Grants Management Training for Applicants and Recipients](#) and the training on [How to Develop a Budget](#). EPA defines new applicants/recipients as an organization that never received an EPA grant before, or if the organization last received an EPA grant prior to FY 2015 (i.e., prior to October 1, 2014). Organizations can check [USA Spending](#) to determine if they are a new applicant/recipient for this requirement by using these [instructions](#). Additional information can be found in [Appendix F](#).

Post-Award Phase

After receiving an award, the recipient is ready to start working on the activities outlined in the approved workplan. Adhering to various grant statutes and regulations and the terms and conditions outlined in the grant agreement are critical to ensuring a successful grant project.

- Recipients submit payment requests to EPA for incurred costs. In some cases, pre-award costs may be included. Once the payment request is approved, the recipient can draw down the requested amount. As required by [2 CFR 200.305\(b\)](#), EPA requires that recipients of EPA financial assistance participate in the [Automated Standard Application for Payments \(ASAP\)](#)

system. Recipients must request payment for the minimum amounts needed for actual and immediate cash requirements of the recipient in carrying out the purpose of the approved project. Recipients will submit a payment request including supporting documentation such as copies of bills (vouchers, invoices, etc.), along with a description of services rendered, time spent, and charges for EPA review and approval. After review and approval, EPA will pay the recipient for the federal share of the allowable costs shown on the payment request. Information on [ASAP](#) is available online.

- EPA grants contain General, Administrative, and Programmatic terms and conditions, which include reporting requirements such as filing an interim (annual) Federal Financial Report (FFR), annual MBE/WBE Reporting, and progress report submission. [EPA's General Terms and Conditions](#) are applicable to all EPA grant awards, and additional terms and conditions for Community Grants awards will be specified in individual award agreements. Recipients should regularly review grant award terms and conditions throughout the life of the project to ensure that the organization remains in compliance with all requirements and must inform EPA if problems arise that jeopardize the completion of the project. EPA Regional Offices perform construction monitoring and oversight.
- Recipients' personnel payroll and records systems must be capable of providing reports on the activities of each employee who works directly on a grant. Charges to federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. Activity reports are typically signed by the individual employee and/or by a responsible supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the activities performed by an employee. The supervisor should be able to certify that the distribution of activity represents a reasonable estimate of the actual work performed by the employee during the periods covered by the reports. [2 CFR 200.430](#) provides additional information on *Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses*.
- Recipients should contact the EPA Project Officer should any changes to the grant agreement (e.g., workplan, milestone schedule, budget) become necessary for the project to succeed, as soon as possible to discuss the changes. In accordance with [2 CFR 200.308](#), most changes must be approved by EPA and may require a formal amendment to the assistance agreement.
- EPA conducts administrative monitoring, including reviewing recipient invoices/payment requests and programmatic reports, and can request access to all records and conduct grant audits. EPA can disallow costs and take enforcement actions if the recipient fails to remain in compliance.

Closeout Phase

Closeout refers to the process EPA uses to determine that a recipient has completed all the required workplan activities under a grant and confirm that all applicable financial and administrative requirements as described in [2 CFR 200.344](#) have been met.

- Recipients must submit the final progress report according to the terms and conditions of the grant agreement and should demonstrate satisfactory completion of all workplan tasks and activities.
- Recipients prepare and submit several reports as part of the grant closeout process. [EPA's Frequently Asked Questions about Closeouts](#) provides information about closeout requirements, procedures, records retention, and associated regulations. EPA provides more information for recipients via the [online course on closing out grants](#).

Regulations and Requirements

Recipients are responsible for compliance with applicable statutes, regulations, and requirements including but not limited to [EPA's general grants policies and regulations](#).

In addition, each grant agreement will specify terms and conditions that establish a legally binding agreement between EPA and the recipient including but not limited to [EPA's General Terms and Conditions](#). Details and information related to several requirements that are of particular importance for recipient compliance prior to receiving grant awards are discussed below. Additional information and resources on these requirements, including recipient responsibilities for compliance, can be found in [Appendix F](#). EPA will review documentation from recipients to assess eligibility of costs incurred in accordance with EPA's General Principles for Cost Allowability, as described in [EPA's Interim General Budget Development Guidance](#).

Environmental Review

NEPA and other relevant applicable statutes and Executive Orders, such as the Endangered Species Act (ESA), apply to Community Grants projects authorized by the Annual Appropriations Acts. The applicable NEPA regulations are the CEQ's implementing regulations at [40 CFR Parts 1500-1508](#) and EPA's NEPA regulations at [40 CFR Part 6](#). In accordance with EPA's NEPA regulations, EPA **must** complete the NEPA process before issuing Community Grants awards for water infrastructure and water quality protection projects.

EPA grant funds cannot be used by grant recipients to prepare the EPA NEPA document (e.g., environmental assessment or environmental impact statement) because these documents are for EPA's direct use in performing a Federal responsibility which cannot be delegated. However, EPA may fund the recipient's development of an Environmental Information Document (EID) and supporting information (e.g., compliance or other analysis for related cross cutting authorities or executive orders in order to provide supporting information). EPA is responsible for independently evaluating the information submitted by the recipient in the EID and, to the extent the EID is integrated into EPA's environmental document, EPA is responsible for its accuracy, scope, and contents. Grant recipients may not prepare the finding of no significant impact or record of decision. EPA has the legal obligation to make the NEPA related

decision, to issue the NEPA documents, to sign NEPA determinations, and to fulfill other related cross-cutting Federal requirements before approving or paying for design and/or construction.

When both EPA and another Federal agency are funding the same project, the agencies may negotiate an agreement for one to be the lead agency for performing grant oversight and management activities pertaining to NEPA and other related cross-cutting Federal requirements. The lead agency can be the one that is providing the most funds for the project or the agency that provided the initial funds for the project. The CEQ NEPA regulations at [40 CFR 1501.7\(c\)](#) provide the factors listed in order of descending importance to determine the lead agency designation. If an EIS is required on a joint or related Federal action, EPA may serve as a co-lead or request to be a cooperating agency. In addition, EPA may adopt another Federal agency's EIS or EA. Note, EPA may adopt another Federal agency's EA and use it as a basis for its Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI), provided EPA has independently reviewed the EA and agrees with the analysis and circulates the FONSI and attached EA for the requisite 30-day comment period.

Each federal agency has its own NEPA regulations or procedures pertaining to the NEPA environmental review process. Recipients with projects that have undergone an environmental review by another federal agency may submit documents pertaining to another federal agency's analysis for EPA review. EPA will independently review these documents to determine if the proposed actions are substantially the same and if it meets the standards of an adequate EIS, EA, or Categorical Exclusion (CATEX) determination. If so, EPA may adopt the federal EIS, EA, or CATEX determination, pursuant to [40 CFR 1506.3](#). If EPA is unable to adopt the federal EIS, EA, or CATEX determination, EPA will conduct its own environmental review and incorporate by reference any pertinent part of the other federal agency's environmental document. EPA will request additional information from the recipient if necessary for EPA to conduct its own environmental review. See [Appendix F](#) for additional information on CATEX and EID development.

Recipients with CWSRF or DWSRF co-funded projects for which a State Environmental Review Process (SERP) has been completed can submit the completed state analysis for EPA review. EPA will review the SERP document and will incorporate by reference any pertinent part of that document into EPA's environmental document. EPA will request additional information from the recipient if necessary for EPA to conduct its own environmental analysis.

For design and construction projects for which another federal agency has not completed a NEPA review and projects that CWSRF or DWSRF do not co-fund and/or have not undergone a SERP, recipients may request a CATEX determination from EPA or may prepare and submit an EID in order to proceed with a NEPA review.

Procurement

By statute, Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2024 or prior Appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and

methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance if the recipient has: (1) procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to March 9, 2024; and (2) complied with state and/or local laws governing competition. If you believe your Community Grant project qualifies for this flexibility, please reach out to your [EPA Regional Point of Contact](#) and provide a statement affirming that your contract was entered into prior to March 9, 2024, and that you complied with state and/or local laws governing competition (including laws/policies relating to participation by disadvantaged business enterprises as applicable). The terms and conditions of the grant agreement will require recipients to retain documentation demonstrating compliance with state and/or local laws governing competition in their own files.

For all other Community Grants projects, in general, all procurement transactions for professional engineering services and construction contractors must be conducted in a manner that includes and promotes fair and open competition. Moreover, Community Grant recipients must follow their own procurement procedures, which must be documented and comply with State, local, or tribal laws and regulations as well as Federal laws and regulations, including the Brooks Act ([40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#)) or equivalent State qualifications-based procurement requirement if applicable, procurement standards in [2 CFR Part 200](#) (Uniform Grants Guidance (UGG)) and [2 CFR Part 1500](#) (EPA's Supplement to the UGG), and [40 CFR Part 33](#) (EPA's Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) regulations). Note, EPA cannot waive statutory requirements such as the Brooks Act.

With the exception noted below for projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, State recipients must follow the same policies and procedures they use for procurements from their non-Federal funds. In addition, States must also follow the requirements in 2 CFR [200.321](#) (small and disadvantaged businesses), [200.322](#) (domestic preferences), [200.323](#) (recycled materials), [200.327](#) (contract clauses contained in [Appendix II](#)), and EPA's Participation by DBE regulations.

[2 CFR 200.320](#) details specific methods of procurement to be followed for non-State recipients and the circumstances under which each method can be used. Note, recipients who have projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in 40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq. (i.e., the Brooks Act), or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement as discussed in more detail below.

In accordance with [2 CFR 200.325](#), recipients must provide EPA with technical specifications on proposed procurements in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold when requested by an authorized representative of EPA, including when pre-award costs are being considered for eligibility. In addition, upon request by EPA's Grants Management Officer (GMO) or Award Official under [2 CFR 200.325](#) and/or [2 CFR 200.337](#), grantees must provide procurement documents to EPA for pre-procurement review when EPA is concerned that the grantee's procurement procedures or practices do not comply with federal procurement requirements, including but not limited to procurements that do not comply with competition requirements.

Please note that unless you qualify for the statutory flexibility discussed above **and** the sole source complies with state and/or local law, recipients may not award sole source contracts to consulting, engineering, or other firms assisting applicants with the application solely based on the firm’s role in preparing the application or based on an assertion that the individual or firm has “unique qualifications.” Moreover, EPA does not accept justifications for sole source contracts for services or products available in the commercial marketplace such as consulting, data analysis, or project management.

Selection of Architects and Engineers (projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities)

Unless the statutory flexibility provided for in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act applies, projects consisting of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#), or an equivalent State requirement. Specifically, and consistent with the CWSRF statute ([33 U.S.C. 1382\(b\)\(14\)](#)), procurements/contracts for “**program management, construction management, feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, design, engineering, surveying, mapping, or architectural related services**” shall be negotiated in the same manner as a contract for architectural and engineering services is negotiated under chapter 11 of title 40 or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement (as determined by the Governor of the State).”

Where equivalent State requirements are complied with, the source of the requirement (e.g., existing State legislation or regulation, etc.) must be stated, and the Governor of the State must provide a certification to accompany the grant application that the State’s A/E procurement requirements are equivalent to [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#). In lieu of a certification from the Governor, the Attorney General’s certification submitted with each grant application may include the A/E certification.

Subawards

A subaward is a financial assistance transaction between an EPA grant recipient (i.e., pass-through entity) and an eligible subrecipient (or by a subrecipient to a lower subrecipient) to carry out part of a Federal award received by the pass-through entity.

As provided in [2 CFR 200.332\(d\)](#) and the terms of conditions of their EPA award, pass-through entities are responsible for monitoring subrecipient compliance with procurement requirements in 2 CFR Parts [200](#) and [1500](#). EPA’s GMO or Award Official may also request that pass-through entities provide EPA with information regarding subrecipient compliance with these requirements. EPA requires pass-through entities to ensure that subrecipients only incur eligible and allowable costs and effectively perform the programmatic activities under their subawards.

EPA's Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program

EPA's [DBE Program](#) applies to all EPA Assistance Agreements, with the exception of procurements that meet the statutory flexibility described above, and requires recipients who procure goods and/or services to employ the six good faith efforts, document their efforts, and maintain DBE forms and other documentation from the prime contractor. EPA grant recipients and subrecipients are required to seek and encouraged to utilize DBEs for their procurement needs under grant agreements. Recipients and subrecipients must ensure that their contracts contain the following term and condition:

“The contractor shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in the performance of this contract. The contractor shall carry out applicable requirements of [40 CFR Part 33](#) in the award and administration of contracts awarded under EPA financial assistance agreements. Failure by the contractor to carry out these requirements is a material breach of this contract which may result in termination of this contract or other legally available remedies.”

[EPA's DBE Program](#) and its requirements are contained within [40 CFR Part 33 as modified by various memorandums and exceptions issued over time](#).

Note, consistent with EPA's DBE regulations, Tribal Nations are allowed to “solicit and recruit Indian organizations and Indian-owned economic enterprises and give them preference in the award process prior to undertaking the six good faith efforts.”

Davis Bacon Act (DBA)

The DBA requires that all contractors and subcontractors performing construction, alteration, and repair (including painting and decorating) work under federal contracts in excess of \$2,000, pay their laborers and mechanics not less than the prevailing wage and fringe benefits for the geographic location. DBA requirements may be extended to federal financial assistance programs by the terms of other statutes (referred to as Davis Bacon and Related Acts (DBRA)) establishing or funding the programs. The explanatory statement accompanying the FY 2024 Appropriations Act provides that those federal requirements that would apply to a CWSRF or DWSRF project grant recipient shall apply to a grantee receiving a Community Grant (see [Appendix A](#)). Consequently, the FY 2024 Appropriations Act extends DBRA provisions applicable to state revolving fund projects to the Community Grants program.

[Section 513 of the Clean Water Act](#) (CWA), which applies to the CWSRF program, applies DBA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on projects for treatment works. Section 1452(a)(5) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, which applies to the DWSRF program, applies DBA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on *any* construction project.

DBA requirements apply to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors with job duties that are physical and manual in nature including: laborers and mechanics, watchmen or guards (under certain conditions), and working foremen (under certain conditions). The term laborer or mechanic does not include workers whose duties are primarily administrative, executive, or clerical, rather than manual. Requirements only apply to construction at the “site of the work,” which has generally been defined as the physical place where the construction occurs. Work conducted off-site is generally not covered.

EPA provides [information on requirements and compliance](#) with DBRA online, and the Department of Labor provides information on its website about updates to [DBRA regulations effective October 23, 2023](#) online. Note, if your Community Grant project triggers compliance with Davis Bacon, you **must** incorporate the appropriate wage determination in the bid solicitation document(s) and contract specifications.

Build America, Buy America (BABA) Act

BABA states that: “[N]one of the funds made available for a Federal financial assistance program for infrastructure...may be obligated for a project unless all of the iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project are produced in the United States.” Project means any activity related to the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of infrastructure in the United States. This law applies to all Federal financial assistance as defined in section [2 CFR 200.1](#), whether funded through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) or not. New awards made on or after May 14, 2022, must comply with BABA requirements.

EPA provides [information and guidance](#) on BABA compliance, implementation, and any applicable waivers. Note, recipients are required to ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with BABA requirements as applicable.

American Iron and Steel (AIS) Requirement

The AIS requirement requires recipients to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public water system or treatment works. Compliance with AIS requirements for iron and steel products will satisfy a subset of BABA requirements (e.g., those pertaining to iron and steel); however, BABA also includes requirements pertaining to manufactured goods and construction materials, which must also be met. Projects in compliance with BABA are also in compliance with AIS.

EPA provides [information and guidance](#) on AIS compliance and implementation, any applicable waivers, as well as a step-by-step process for requesting waivers and the circumstances under which waivers may be granted. Note, recipients are required to ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with AIS requirements as applicable.

NOTE: DBA, BABA, and AIS remain applicable to all Community Grants projects, regardless of whether the project is eligible for a waiver from Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement.

Federal Cross-cutting Requirements/Other Applicable Federal Laws

Recipients must comply with Federal cross-cutting requirements as well as other applicable Federal laws. These requirements may include but are not limited to –

- **Environmental Authorities:** Archeological and Historic Preservation Act, Pub. L. 93-291, as amended; Clean Air Act, Pub. L. 95-95, as amended; Clean Water Act, Titles III, IV and V, Pub. L. 92-500, as amended; Coastal Barrier Resources Act, Pub. L. 97-348; Coastal Zone Management Act, Pub. L. 92-583, as amended; Endangered Species Act, Pub. L. 93-205, as amended; Environmental Justice, Executive Orders 12898 and 14096; Flood Plain Management, Executive Order 11988, as amended by Executive Order 12148, and Executive Order 13690, Executive Order 13807, Executive Order 13990, and Executive Order 14030; Protection of Wetlands, Executive Order 11990, as amended by Executive Order 12608; Farmland Protection Policy Act, Pub. L. 97-98; Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, Pub. L. 85-624, as amended; Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act, Pub. L. 94-265; National Environmental Policy Act, Pub. L. 91-190, as amended; National Historic Preservation Act, Pub. L. 89-655, as amended; Safe Drinking Water Act, Pub. L. 93-523, as amended; Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, Pub. L. 90-54, as amended.
- **Economic and Miscellaneous Authorities:** OSHA Worker Health and Safety Standards; Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act, Pub. L. 91-54; Debarment and Suspension, Executive Order 12549; Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act, Pub. L. 89 -754, as amended, and Executive Order 12372; Drug-Free Workplace Act, Pub. L. 100-690; Copeland “Anti-kickback” Act, Pub. L. 73-324; Government Neutrality Toward Contractor’s Labor Relations, Executive Order 13202, as amended by Executive Order 13208; New Restrictions on Lobbying, Section 319 of Pub. L. 101-121; Prohibitions relating to violations of the Clean Water Act or Clean Air Act with respect to Federal contracts, grants, or loans under Section 306 of the Clean Air Act and Section 508 of the Clean Water Act, and Executive Order 11738; Uniform Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act, Pub. L. 91-646, as amended;
- **Civil Rights, Nondiscrimination, Equal Employment Opportunity Authorities:** Age Discrimination Act, Pub. L. 94-135; Equal Employment Opportunity, Executive Order 11246; Section 13 of the Clean Water Act, Pub. L. 92-500; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, Pub. L. 93-112, supplemented by Executive Orders 11914 and 11250; Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, Pub. L. 88-352;

- Under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, EPA has a responsibility to ensure that federal funds are not being used to subsidize discrimination based on race, color, or national origin. This prohibition against discrimination under Title VI has been a statutory mandate since 1964, and EPA has had Title VI regulations since 1973. EPA's nondiscrimination regulations prohibit recipients of EPA financial assistance from taking actions in their programs or activities that are intentionally discriminatory and/or have a discriminatory effect based on race, color, national origin (including limited English proficiency), age, disability, or sex.
- **Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Authorities:** EPA's FY 1993 Appropriations Act, Pub. L. 102-389; Section 129 of the Small Business Administration Reauthorization and Amendment Act, Pub. L. 100-590; Small, Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprises, Executive Orders 11625, 12138, and 12432.

Regional Contacts

For general questions about the Community Grants Program, or for project specific questions that require the assistance of an EPA Regional Office, contact the [EPA Regional Contact](#).

Appendix A: Statutory Language

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2024,⁷ contains the following provisions:

For environmental programs and infrastructure assistance, including capitalization grants for State revolving funds and performance partnership grants, \$4,418,938,000, to remain available until expended, of which—

(1) \$1,638,861,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; and of which \$1,126,101,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act: Provided, That \$787,652,267 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds and \$631,659,905 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds shall be for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection in accordance with the terms and conditions specified for such grants in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act) for projects specified for “STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund” and “STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund” in the table titled “Interior and Environment Incorporation of Community Project Funding Items/Congressionally Directed Spending Items” included for this division in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act), and, for purposes of these grants, each grantee shall contribute not less than 20 percent of the cost of the project unless the grantee is approved for a waiver by the Agency[.]

AND

Provided further, That the funds made available under this heading for Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending grants in this or prior appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance, if a Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending recipient has procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to the date of enactment of this legislation that complied with state and/or local laws governing competition;

AND

SEC. 4. EXPLANATORY STATEMENT.

The explanatory statement regarding this Act, printed in the Senate section of the Congressional Record on or about March 5, 2024, and submitted by the chair of the Committee on

⁷ P.L. 118-42.

Appropriations of the Senate, shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds and implementation of divisions A through F of this Act as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference[.]

The aforementioned “explanatory statement” accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2024, provides:

From within funds provided for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund and the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund, the agreement provides \$787,652,267 from the Clean Water SRF and \$631,659,905 from the Drinking Water SRF for Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending grants for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection.

Infrastructure Projects. – Each project shall provide not less than 20 percent matching funds from non-Federal sources, unless approved for a waiver. Applicable Federal requirements that would apply to a Clean Water State Revolving Fund or Drinking Water State Revolving Fund project grant recipient shall apply to a grantee receiving a Congressionally directed spending grant under this section. The Committees note that the following funds are to be treated as non-Federal funds and can be used to meet the non-Federal matching fund requirement: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant Program; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development Program; Appalachian Regional Commission grants; and Delta Regional Authority grants. Funding made available to jurisdictions through the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (Public Law 117-2) is considered Federal funding and may not be applied towards the non-Federal cost share requirement.

**Appendix B: List of EPA Congressionally Directed Spending Community Projects and Funding Levels
(FY 2024 Consolidated Appropriations Act)**

The list below is organized by: (1) Community projects funded by the Clean Water SRF; and (2) Community projects funded by the Drinking Water SRF. Projects are arranged alphabetically by state within each category. Note that EPA made grammatical changes to remove extra spaces, hyphens, and periods. The [original list of projects](#) should be referred to for technical corrections.

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
Community Projects Funded with Clean Water SRF Appropriations (alphabetical by state)			
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Fire Protection Well	\$1,197,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Kiana for Water and Sewer Upgrades	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Pelican for Sewer and Solid Waste Improvements	\$4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Haines Borough for Water and Sewer Upgrades	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Cullman for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$4,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Priceville for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	Arab Water Works for Drinking Water System Improvements	\$4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Vestavia Hills for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Bono for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$7,700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Camden for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$5,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of North Little Rock for Tulip Farms Water and Sewer Infrastructure Improvements	\$4,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Wilson for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Tempe for Recharge Wells Pipelines	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Tolleson for Wastewater Digester Rehabilitation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Tucson Water for Water Recharge Expansion Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Gilbert for Rehabilitation of Stormwater Pump Station	\$600,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Arvin-Edison Water Storage District for Water Pipeline Project	\$3,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Big Bear Area Regional Wastewater Agency for Water Reuse Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	California Department of Parks and Recreation, Angeles Division for Taylor Yard Stormwater Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Adelanto for Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Compton for Pearl Avenue Sewer Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Daly City for Vista Grande Drainage Basin Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Del Mar for Stormwater and Sewer Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Dinuba for Sewer Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Madera for Sewer Trunk Main Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Madera for Storm Drain Pipeline Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Oakland for Lake Merritt Healthy Lake Initiative	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Pleasanton for South Livermore Sewer Expansion Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Rio Vista for Reclaimed Water System Completion Project (Purple Piping)	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Salinas for Wastewater Treatment Facility Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Diego for Harbor Drive Pump Station 1 and 2 Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Santa Maria for Storm Drainage Improvements Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Stockton for Diamond Sewer Upsizing Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Thousand Oaks for Stormwater Capture and Diversion Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Tulare for Traver Sewer Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Turlock for Sewer Extension Project	\$1,200,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Turlock for Stormwater Infrastructure	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Twentynine Palms for Wastewater Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Watsonville for Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Yucaipa for Stormwater Infrastructure Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Los Angeles for Rio Hondo Restoration Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Orange for Poche Beach Water Reclamation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Culver City for Stormwater Diversion	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District for Purified Water Replenishment Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District for Recycled Water Pipeline Construction	\$638,540
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Goleta County for Wastewater Reuse Project	\$773,870
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Los Angeles County Public Works for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,050,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Mojave Water Agency for Stormwater Capture and Recharge	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Pittsburg Power Company for Water Treatment Plant Fuel Cell Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Port of Redwood City for Storm Water and Clean Water Improvement	\$700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Rainbow Municipal Water District for Lift Station and Sewer Improvements	\$1,596,762
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Sacramento Area Sewer District for Septic to Sewer Collection	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Bernardino County Flood Control District for Stormwater Infrastructure Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Bernardino County Flood Control District for Water Infrastructure Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Bernardino County for Bohnert Sewer Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Bernardino County for Septic-to-Sewer Conversion Project—Phase 1	\$959,757

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Santa Margarita Water District for Brine Line Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Sewerage Commission, Oroville Region for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Town of Paradise for Sewer Regionalization Connection	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	University of California, Davis for In-Lake Water Treatment System at Clear Lake	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Western Riverside County Regional Wastewater Authority for Recycled Water Booster Station and Reservoir Project	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Maywood for Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,216,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Monterey County for Pajaro County Sanitation District Sewer System Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Gypsum for Wastewater Infrastructure Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Lamar for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Huerfano County for Wastewater System Improvements	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Three Lakes Water and Sanitation District for Septic System Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of New Britain for Fresh Line Upgrades for Streets and Houses	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of Norwalk for Lockwood & Heather Lane Drainage Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of Stamford for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Plymouth Water Pollution Control Authority for a Phosphorous Reduction Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Suffield for Stony Brook Interceptor Rehabilitation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of Bridgeport Water Pollution Control Authority (WPCA) for Design of the West Side Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Naugatuck Valley Council of Governments for Sewer Siphon Relocation Associated with Kinneytown Dam Removal	\$3,422,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Sprague for Water Pollution Control Facility Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	City of Wilmington for Wastewater Collection System Separation	\$6,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	Delaware Center for the Inland Bays for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$225,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Brevard County for Septic System Upgrades	\$1,440,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Brevard County for Water Restoration Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Citrus County for Sanitary Sewer System Construction	\$1,266,667
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Cape Coral for Septic to Sewer Conversion and Utilities Extension	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Clewiston for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements and Expansion	\$2,094,724
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Cooper City for Gravity Sewer Line Rehabilitation / Inflow and Infiltration Correction Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Gulfport for Sanitary Sewer Repair Project	\$2,545,457
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Inverness for Master Lift Station Resiliency Project	\$1,266,667
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Orlando for Stormwater Management Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Orlando for Ventura and Lake Roper Stormwater Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Port St. Lucie for Water Quality Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Sanford for Watermain and Forcemain Installation Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of South Miami for Sanitary Sewer Extension Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Tampa for Manhattan Avenue Flood Mitigation and Stormwater Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Fort Pierce Utilities Authority for Wastewater Treatment Facility Relocation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Highlands County for Watershed Culvert Improvements	\$213,528
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Hillsborough County for Septic-to-Sewer Conversion Project	\$1,750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Hillsborough County for Town 'N Country Rocky Creek Septic-to-Sewer Phase 2 Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Lee County Board of County Commissioners for Water Management Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Leon County for Stormwater Treatment and Flow Attenuation	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Miami Dade County for Water Pollution Prevention Project	\$593,600
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Miami Dade County Seaport for Seaport Stormwater Management Master Plan	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Polk County for Water Quality Treatment Project	\$1,921,749
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Seminole County for Septic to Sewer Conversion Project	\$1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Cutler Bay for Stormwater Quality Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Davie for Drainage Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Village of Pinecrest for Stormwater Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Darien for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Liburn for Camp Creek Bank Stabilization Project	\$907,047
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Marietta for Sewer Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Suwanee for Sewer Pipes and Stormwater Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Cobb County Board of Commissioners for Pump Station Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Effingham County Board of Commissioners for Sewer Force Main Construction	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Rockdale County for Almand Branch Waste Water Treatment Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Rockdale County for Honey and Scott Creek Pump Stations and Force Mains Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Rockdale County for River Street Water and Sewer Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Rockdale County for Salem Lake Pump Station Replacement Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Whitfield County Board of Commissioners for Sewer Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Baldwin County Board of Commissioners for Extending Sewer Services to Sibley Smith Industrial Park	\$1,100,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Bulloch County Board of Commissioners for Wastewater Construction and Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Cochran for Sewer Improvements	\$700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Dahlonega for Sewer Upgrade	\$340,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Savannah for Regional Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of St. Marys for Wastewater Infrastructure	\$510,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	McDuffie County Board of Commissioners for Sewer Service	\$1,100,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	HI	City and County of Honolulu Department of Environmental Services for Patsy Mink Central Oahu Regional Park Recycled Water	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	HI	County of Hawaii Department of Environmental Management for Onekahakaha Sewage Pump Station Renovation	\$2,035,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	HI	County of Hawaii Department of Environmental Management for Wailoa Sewage Pump Station Renovation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	HI	Ma’alaea Village Association for Ma’alaea Regional Wastewater Reclamation System	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Fort Madison for Combined Sewer Separation Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Morning Sun for Wastewater Treatment Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Winfield for Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ID	City of Pocatello for Septic Municipal Connection	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ID	City of Rexburg for Wastewater System Improvements	\$5,000,543

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Bloomington-Normal Water Reclamation District for Pump Station and Force Main Project	\$1,260,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Aurora for Combined Storm and Sanitary Sewer Infrastructure Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Bloomington for Sewer Separation	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Centralia for Water Treatment Plant Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Des Plaines for Sewer Lining Program	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Elmhurst for Waste Reclamation Facility	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Lake Forest for Seminary Ravine Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Rolling Meadows for Park Street Storm Sewer Improvements	\$810,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Staunton for Force Main Project	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Wilmington for South Water and Sewer Main Extension Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Lake County for New Century Town Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Bolingbrook for Water Reclamation Plant #3 Expansion Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Dieterich for Sanitary Sewer Relining	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Hanover Park for Sanitary Sewer Lift Station Rehabilitation Project	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Pingree Grove for Waste Water Treatment Plant Upgrades Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Romeoville for Spangler Sanitary Lift Station Replacement	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Rosemont for Graville Lift Station Enhancement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Teutopolis for Watermain Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Wonder Lake for East Side Water System	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Cahokia Heights for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$500,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IN	City of La Porte for Combined Sewer Separation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IN	City of Valparaiso for Stormwater Quality and Detention Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of Edgerton for Sewer Extension Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KS	Johnson County Wastewater for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,509,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Caveland Environmental Authority for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Frankfort for Sewer Separation Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Hodgenville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade and Wet Weather Storage	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Louisa for Wastewater Treatment Plant Replacement Project	\$2,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Manchester for Raw Water Pump System	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Stanton for Water and Sewer Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Estill County Fiscal Court for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Franklin County Fiscal Court for Interceptor Sewer System	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District for Western Outfall Rehabilitation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Rowan County Fiscal Court for Water Treatment Plant Expansion Project	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Scott County Fiscal Court for Sewer Facilities Improvements	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	City of Central for Stormwater System Improvements	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Town of Tullos for Wastewater Collection System Rehabilitation	\$400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Easthampton for Water Infrastructure Upgrade	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Fall River for Ferry Street Sewer Pump Station Rehabilitation Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Methuen for Infrastructure and Drainage Improvements at Old Ferry Road Industrial Area	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Salem for Trunk Sewer Upgrades	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Pioneer Valley Planning Commission for Town of Belchertown Water Project	\$928,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Arlington for Stormwater Management Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Barnstable for Centerville Village Sewer Expansion Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Foxborough for Walnut Street Sewer Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Norfolk for Town Center Package Sewer Plant	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Rockport for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Spencer for Sewer Collection System Upgrades	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Swansea for Construction of Route 6 Corridor Sewer Collection System	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Westport for Trunk Sewer and Water Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Tyngsborough Sewer Commission for Sewer Capacity Project	\$480,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Gloucester for Water Pollution Control Facility	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Springfield Water and Sewer Commission for New West Parish Filters Water Treatment Plant	\$4,642,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Hull for Regional Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan	\$700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Charles County for Bryans Road Interceptor (Phase II) Project	\$450,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Charles County for Hughesville Collection Sewer System Project	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Charles County for Lower Mattawoman Interceptor Project	\$400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Rockville for Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades	\$500,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission for Utility Services Project	\$1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Worcester County for Wastewater Upgrade and Rehabilitation	\$2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Baltimore City for the Patapasco Wastewater Treatment Plant Headworks Improvements	\$4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Howard County for Hauled Grease Acceptance Facility	\$1,440,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Cottage City for Stormwater Management	\$670,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Village of Burkittsville for East Main Street Stormwater Management	\$385,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Washington County for SCADA Upgrade	\$200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Worcester County for Riddle Farm Wastewater Treatment Plant Bypass Force Main Interconnect	\$1,090,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Rumford-Mexico Sewerage District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Castine for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,760,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Livermore Falls for Livermore Falls & Jay Waste Water Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$7,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Lubec for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Old Orchard Beach for WWTF & Pump Stations Upgrades: Phase 2	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Winterport Water District for Winterport Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	York Sewer District for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Bath for Commercial Street Wastewater Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,673,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Eastport for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$148,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Corinna Sewer District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,640,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Fort Fairfield Utilities District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,592,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Houlton Water Company for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,763,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Maine School Administrative District #52 for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Mechanic Falls Sanitary District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Ogunquit Sewer District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Presque Isle Utilities District for Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,430,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Southwest Harbor Water & Sewer District for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Baileyville Sewer Department for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Fort Kent for Meadow Lane Improvements	\$640,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Hartland for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Winslow for Water and Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Wiscasset for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of Chesterfield for Interceptor Construction Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of East China for Sewer Extension Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of Orion for Public Sewer Separation Project	\$988,800
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of Oxford for Sanitary Sewer Extension	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Dearborn Heights for Ecorse Creek Flood Mitigation	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Flushing for Improving Clean Water Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Grand Ledge for Wastewater Treatment Plant and Sanitary Sewer System Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Grand Rapids for Butterworth Street Stormwater Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Mackinac Island Department of Public Works for Sewer Asset Management Plan	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Richmond for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Saline for Wastewater Treatment Rehabilitation	\$1,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	East Bay Township for Sewer Force Main Replacement and Improvement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Gerrish Lyon Utility Authority for Sewer System Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Great Lakes Water Authority for Oakwood District Intercommunity Relief Sewer Modification Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Great Lakes Water Authority for Wastewater System Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Ingham County for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements at Red Cedar River	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Macomb County Public Works for Combined Sewer Overflow Elimination Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan for Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,681,600
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	South Huron Valley Utility Authority for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Tuscarora Township for Sewer Expansion and Modernization	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Lake Orion for Sanitary Sewer Pump Station Improvement	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Peck for Extension and Watermain Replacement	\$762,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Delta Charter Township for Water Resource Recovery Facility Reconstruction	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Oakland County Clinton River Water Resource Recovery Facility Drainage District for Water Optimization Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Albert Lea for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Alpha for Wastewater Treatment and Collection Facilities	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Braham for Water and Wastewater Treatment Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Fairmont for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Mankato for Regional Wastewater Disinfection System Upgrade	\$3,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of New Germany for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements	\$2,238,806
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of St. Michael for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$2,238,806
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Waseca for Sewer System Upgrades	\$2,100,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Northern Township for Wastewater System Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Brewster for Wastewater Treatment Facilities Improvements	\$2,850,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of St. Cloud for Sewer Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Mille Lacs Band of Ojibwe for Isle City Water Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of Macon for Sewer Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of New Bloomfield for Wastewater Regionalization Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MP	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation for Sadog Tasi Wastewater Treatment Plant Underground Storage Tank Remediation	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MP	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation for Sewer Line Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Cities of Clinton, Bolton, and Raymond for Regional Wastewater Improvements	\$7,700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Greenville for Wastewater System Rehabilitation	\$13,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Natchez for Stormwater System Replacement	\$1,093,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Tunica County for Sanitary Sewer Upgrades	\$6,405,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Brunswick County for Sewer Pump Stations Rehabilitation Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Gastonia for Apple Creek Sewer Upgrade	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Lowell for Lynn Street Pump Station Project	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Lumberton for Wastewater System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Lumberton for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Oxford for Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Raleigh for Raleigh’s Cottages of Idlewild Stormwater Infrastructure	\$560,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Durham County for Lift Station Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Granville County for North Granville Water & Sewer Treatment Extension Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Apex for Sewer Interceptor (Middle Creek Outfall) Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Apex for Sewer Interceptor Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Canton for Wastewater Treatment Plant Construction	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Cary for Walnut Creek Stormwater Management Program Phase 2	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Cary for Walnut Creek Stormwater Management Project Phase 1	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Hope Mills for Stormwater Flume Restoration Project	\$350,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Nashville for Regency & Essex Sewer Extension	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Surf City for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Beatrice for Municipal Sewage Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Beatrice for Sewage Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Falls City for Water Main Replacement	\$462,240
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Hickman for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Seward for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Sarpy County and Cities Wastewater Agency for Wastewater Lift Station Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Cambridge for Rehabilitation of Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$148,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Henderson for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$480,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Lexington for Biosolid Sludge Facility	\$2,400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of York for Wastewater Capacity Expansion	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Alda for Lift Station Project	\$320,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Platte Center for Collection System Improvements	\$200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Taylor for Sewer Collection System Project	\$80,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Lancaster for Summer Street Infrastructure Upgrade Completion	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	City of Manchester for Bass Island Stormwater Control & Erosion Mitigation	\$91,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	City of Portsmouth for Bellamy Reservoir Project	\$714,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Lake Winnepesaukee Association for Lake Kanasatka Water Quality Improvement	\$150,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Berkeley Heights Township for Sewer and Stormwater Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Bordentown City for Blacks Creek Waste Water Treatment Plant Improvement Project	\$1,803,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Dumont for Dixon Avenue Improvement Project	\$808,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Emerson for Drainage Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Fairview for Storm Water and Sanitary Sewer Improvements Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Leonia for Sewer System Infiltration Inflow Rehabilitation Project	\$720,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Lodi for Sewer Improvements	\$844,376
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of New Milford for Sewer Pipe Replacement	\$365,395
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Sayreville for Melrose Sanitary Sewer Pump Station Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Englewood for Stormwater Drainage Improvement	\$1,440,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Garfield for Drainage Improvement Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Manchester for Christian Brook Sewer Separation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Fort Lee Borough for Sewer Improvements	\$248,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Mount Olive Township for Stormwater Management Improvement	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	North Bergen Township for Liberty Avenue Sewer and Drainage Improvements project	\$2,380,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Ocean County Utility Authority for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Guttenberg for Combined Sewer Overflow Pipe Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Burlington for Sludge Dewatering Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Maplewood for Stormwater Resiliency Upgrades	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Pemberton for Lakehurst Road Sewer Interceptor Relining Project	\$910,431
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Wayne for Mountain View Pump Station Rehabilitation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of West Orange for Pump Stations Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Village of Ridgefield Park for Sewer Infrastructure Project	\$976,031
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Washington Township for Ward Lake and Spring Lake Stormwater Improvements	\$696,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Edgewater for River Road Sewer Outfall Project	\$880,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Hoboken for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$2,738,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Woodbury for Storm Water Sewer Infrastructure Reconstruction	\$531,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Teaneck for Old New Bridge Road Sewer Project	\$560,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Bayard for Wastewater Systems Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Lovington for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Pueblo of Tesuque for Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Village of Los Lunas for a Water Extension Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority for Sewer Collection System	\$1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Portales for Drainage Improvements	\$120,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Portales for Sewer System Improvements	\$430,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Village of Chama for Water and Sewer Infrastructure	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Village of Ruidoso for Pinecliff Water and Sewer Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Humboldt County for Wastewater Treatment Facility Design and Engineering	\$2,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Southern Nevada Water Authority for Septic Conversion Program Phase 1	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Southern Nevada Water Authority for Septic Conversion Program Phase 2	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Storey County for Sewer Collection System Replacement	\$2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Washoe County for Stormwater Management Project	\$1,932,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Ely for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Project	\$4,815,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Reno for Sewer Upgrades	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Hornell for Water Pollution Control Plant Improvements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Norwich for Sanitary Sewer System Repairs	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Fulton County for Consolidated Regional Sewer System Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	New York City for Greenpoint Stormwater Infrastructure Project	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	New York City for Sewer Construction, Sanitary Sewer Replacement, and Water Main Work Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	New York City for Storm Sewer Build Out	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	New York City for Stormwater Filtration Project	\$650,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	North Chautauqua Lake Sewer District for Sanitary Sewer System Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Rensselaer County for Sewer Service and Infrastructure Expansion	\$2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Rockland County Sewer District No. 1 for Sewer Treatment Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Southampton Village for Sewer System Installation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County for Clean Water Septic Systems	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County for Sewer Expansion Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County for Wyandanch/Wheatley Heights Sewers	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Sullivan County for Water Infrastructure Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Bolton for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvement	\$381,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Brookhaven for Sewer Treatment Facility Expansion	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Carmel for Clean Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Chenango for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Constantia for Municipal Sewer Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Cortlandt for Central Sewer Construction Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Huntington for Microturbine Installation	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Huntington for Sewer Line Extensions	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Islip for Sewer Connection of Sewage Collection	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Lenox for Sanitary Sewer Project	\$260,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Oswego for Commercial Sewer Collection System Construction Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Pawling for Municipal Sanitary Sewer Service	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Putnam Valley for Pump Station Replacement	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Shelter Island for Wastewater Treatment System	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Smithtown for Kings Park Stormwater Infrastructure Project	\$384,800
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Smithtown for Stormwater Infrastructure Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Athens for Water Treatment Plan Rehabilitation	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Gowanda for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Millerton for Wastewater System Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Rye Brook for Sewer Rehabilitation Initiative	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Sag Harbor for Sewer Service Area Expansion	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of South Dayton for Stormwater System Improvements	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Whitehall for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Onondaga County Department of Water Environment Protection for White Pines Industrial Park Municipal Pump Station	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Hempstead for Stormwater Treatment & Drainage Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of North Hempstead for Harbor Links Stormwater Recycling & Water Conservation Project	\$3,005,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Ashtabula County for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$2,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Bay Village for Sanitary Sewer Overflows Elimination Project	\$866,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Campbell for Brownfield Redevelopment Project	\$640,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Chillicothe for Lift Station Replacement Project	\$2,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Circleville for Sewer Service Extension Project	\$975,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Cleveland for East 115 Street Sewer Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Cleveland for West 70 Street Sewer Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Columbiana for Sewer Lift Station Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Columbus, Department of Public Utilities for Wastewater Treatment Plant Capacity Expansion	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Elyria for Infrastructure Development Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Fairview Park for Sewer System Upgrade	\$1,152,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Lakewood for Storm and Wastewater Pollution Prevention Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Middleburg Heights for Sanitary Relief Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of New Franklin for Water and Sewer Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of North Olmsted for Sanitary Force Main Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Parma for Septic Abatement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Rocky River for Sewer Rehabilitation Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Steubenville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Replacements and Upgrades	\$1,034,652
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Youngstown for Interceptor Sewer Replacement and Combined Sewer Overflow Elimination	\$1,284,652
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Fulton County for Pleasant View Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Geauga County, Department of Water Resources for Wastewater Treatment Plant Renovation and Upgrade	\$2,700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Guernsey County Sewer Department for Sanitary Sewer Extension	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Holmes County Commissioners for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Lorain County Commissioners for Sewer Line Project	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for Stormwater Management Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Northwestern Water and Sewer District for Sanitary Sewer Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Ottawa County for Portage-Catawba Island Wastewater Infrastructure Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Pike County Board of Commissioners for Sanitary Sewer Extension	\$975,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Tri-Cities North Regional Wastewater Authority for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement and Expansion	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Geneva-on-the-Lake for Main Sanitary Sewer Trunkline Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Grafton for Sewer Connector and System Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Seville for Wastewater Treatment Program Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Silver Lake for Sewer Separation Project	\$904,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Thornville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Walnut Township for Stormwater Infrastructure Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Washington County Board of Commissioners for Water and Sewer Infrastructure Project	\$1,034,652
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Toledo for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$880,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Bethany for Sanitary Sewer Lift Station Replacement	\$484,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Chickasha for Flood Mitigation Regional Detention Facility Construction	\$1,980,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Moore for Sewage Lift Station Construction	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Norman for Water Treatment Plant Centrifuge Replacement	\$5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Yukon for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$560,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	Lawton Public Utilities Authority for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,125,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Albany, Department of Public Works for Interceptor Replacement	\$1,250,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Gresham for Wastewater Treatment Plant Nitrification for Ammonia Treatment Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Redmond, Department of Public Works for Interceptor Construction	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Clean Water Services for Western Washington County for Inflow and Infiltration Rehabilitation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Benton County for Rural Sewer Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,160,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Clatskanie for Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Estacada for Wastewater Plant Upgrade	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Grass Valley for Municipal Wastewater System Development	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Prineville for Water and Wastewater Extension Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Confederated Tribes of the Umatilla Indian Reservation for Wastewater Infrastructure Upgrades	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Tumalo Irrigation District for System Modernization Project	\$3,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Allegheny County Sanitary Authority Storm Sewer Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	County of Venango for Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$639,280
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Borough of Kennett Square for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Borough of Sharpsburg for Stormwater Infrastructure	\$132,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Broad Top Township for Wastewater Treatment System	\$797,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Carroll Township Authority for Sewer Service Expansion	\$2,189,443
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	City of Coatesville for Ash Park Master Plan Implementation Phase 2	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	City of Erie for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Darby Borough for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$657,026

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	East Lansdowne Borough for Replacement of Sanitary Sewer Collection System	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Lackawanna River Basin Sewer Authority for Clinton Township Wastewater Treatment Plant Clarifier Replacement Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Sandy Township for Sewer Extension Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Wyoming Valley Sanitary Authority for East Interceptor Rehabilitation Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Borough of Lehigh for Rehabilitation of Sewage Pipes	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Brighton Township for New Stormwater Detention Basin	\$308,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Capital Region Water for Paxton Creek Restoration Planning Project	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	County of Bedford for Sanitary Sewer System Replacement	\$440,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Donegal Township for Community Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Port Royal Municipal Authority for Sewer Rehabilitation and Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$486,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Sewickley Borough for Sewage Treatment Plant Improvements	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	The Borough of Waynesburg for Woodland Avenue Sewer Rehabilitation	\$400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Township of Falls Authority for Sanitary Sewer Lining	\$320,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Warren for Pump Station Upgrades	\$2,240,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	West Warwick Regional Wastewater Treatment Facility for Wastewater Treatment Collection System Inspection Project	\$1,576,031
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Charlestown for Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Upgrades	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Coventry for Sewer Connection	\$3,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Lincoln for Sewer Replacement	\$1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Westerly for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$2,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Chester County for Wastewater Recovery	\$10,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Aiken for Croft Mill Sewer Basin Improvements	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Cayce for Pump Station Project	\$540,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Rockhill for Wastewater Discharge Project	\$1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SD	Sioux Metro Growth Alliance for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$23,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Tipton County Tennessee for Regional Utility Infrastructure Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Aledo for Wastewater Expansion Project	\$808,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Austin for Buttermilk Creek Water Quality Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Beaumont for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Gatesville for Wastewater Main Replacement	\$466,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Huntsville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Jersey Village for Wastewater Treatment Facility Repairs and Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Kyle for Reclaimed Water Master Plan	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Lampasas for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Lancaster for Wastewater Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Marble Falls for Wastewater Treatment Plant Construction	\$5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Pharr for Lift Station Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Runaway Bay for Wastewater Infrastructure Updates	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of San Antonio for Generators for Pump Stations Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Sugar Land for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Temple for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Von Ormy for Sewer Infrastructure Construction Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Waco for Water Reuse Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for Goose Creek Channel Conveyance Improvements	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for Stormwater Infrastructure Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for Taylor Gulley Channel Conveyance Improvements	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for Willow Creek Channel Conveyance Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County for Keegans Bayou Drainage Improvements near Old Richmond Road	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Municipal Utility District Number 365 for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Town of Anthony for Waste Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Travis County for Arroyo Doble Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	City of Ephraim for Wastewater Treatment Facility Expansion	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Manassas for Stream Restoration Project	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Petersburg for Poor Creek Force Main Capacity Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Portsmouth for Race Street and Douglas Avenue Pump Station Upgrades	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Richmond for Combined Sewer Overflow Waste Water Treatment Pumping Station	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Suffolk for Potable Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Hampton Roads Sanitation District for Sewer Improvements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Lovettsville for Transite Sewer Line Replacement	\$375,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Occoquan for Stormwater Remediation Project	\$920,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Newport News for Oak Avenue Sanitary Sewer Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Norfolk for St. Paul’s Stormwater Improvements Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Richmond for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	James City Service Authority for Toano Water and Sewer Improvements	\$2,490,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Clifton Forge for Sanitary Sewer System Improvements	\$1,840,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	York County for Whites-Faulkner Sewer Extension	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	City of Burlington Stormwater Treatment Project	\$1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	City of South Burlington for Bartlett Bay Wastewater Facility Improvements	\$700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Berlin for Replacement of Pump Station	\$865,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Fairfax for Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$825,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Williston Woods Cooperative Housing Corporation for Stormwater Infrastructure Upgrades	\$490,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Bothell for Woodcrest Utility Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Granite Falls for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Long Beach for Oregon Avenue Sewer Main Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Palouse for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,096,835
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Renton for Monroe Avenue Northeast Stormwater Quality Treatment and Infiltration Facility	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Ritzville for Sewer Collection System Improvement	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Tacoma for James Center North (Aviva Crossing) Stormwater Infrastructure and Sustainability	\$959,757

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Washougal for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Discovery Clean Water Alliance for Salmon Creek Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Grant County Port District No. 3, Port of Mattawa for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Lewis County for Packwood Sewer Easements and Treatment Facility	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Port of Shelton for Sewer Extension	\$959,757
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Port of Skamania County for Wastewater Infrastructure Project	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Snohomish County for Chinook Marsh Construction Phase 1	\$959,752
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Town of Washtucna for Water Supply Project	\$965,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Leavenworth for Ski Hill Drive Combined Sewer Separation	\$1,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Seattle for South Park Drainage Improvements	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Soap Lake for Lift Stations and Forced Mains Replacement	\$3,440,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Shoalwater Bay Indian Tribe for Water and Wastewater System Upgrades	\$1,490,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Snoqualmie Pass Utility District for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements— Phase 2	\$5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Waukesha Water Utility for Water Supply Program and Monitoring Project	\$4,029,621
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Hillsboro for Water/Sewer Investigation and Rehabilitation	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewage District for Sewer Rehabilitation Program	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Town of Farmington for Sanitary District Lift Station Replacement	\$350,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Village of Loganville for Main Street Sewer & Water Upgrade	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Northern Jackson County Public Service District for Water Service Extension Project	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Salt Rock Sewer Public Service District for Pre-Treatment Facility Construction	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Wayne for Wastewater Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	City of Sistersville for Virginia Terrace Sewer Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	City of Vienna for Main Sewer Line Replacement to Parkersburg	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Clay County Public Service District for Fola Water Infrastructure	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Putnam Public Service District for Fraziers Bottom Sewer Line Extension Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Red Sulphur Public Service District for Sewer Project	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Alderson for Sewer System Improvements	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Ansted for Wastewater Extension to New River Gorge National Park & Preserve	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of West Union for Wastewater Treatment Plant and System Upgrades	\$10,022,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Village of Barboursville for Wastewater System Upgrades and Lagoon Decommissioning Project	\$5,000,000
Community Projects Funded with Drinking Water SRF Appropriations (alphabetical by state)			
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Anchorage Terraces Subdivision Fire Protection Well	\$3,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Emergency Water Fill Stations	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Public Drinking Water Access	\$300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Anchorage Water and Wastewater Utility for Public Drinking Water Access	\$5,300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City and Borough of Wrangell for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$2,500,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Craig for Water System Upgrades	\$3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Ketchikan Gateway Borough for Water Distribution Main Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Matanuska-Susitna Borough for South Talkeetna Water Line Replacement	\$5,100,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Auburn for Groundwater Improvement Project	\$7,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	Mobile County Water, Sewer, and Fire Protection Authority for Water Pipe Replacement	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	Wilcox County for Ben Thompson Road Drinking Water Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Ashford for New Well to Meet Existing Drinking Water Needs	\$1,629,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Lincoln for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,440,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Mountain Home for Water Intake, Water Transmission Main, and Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Fort Smith for Water Transmission Expansion	\$12,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Glendale for Groundwater Treatment System Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Globe for Waterline Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Mesa for Smart Meters Project	\$800,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Mesa for Water Main Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Phoenix for Water Service Lines	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Tolleson for Water Storage Tank Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Cave Creek for Phoenix Interconnect Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Tucson Water for Well and Treatment Facility for PFAS Removal	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Glendale for Well Rehabilitation Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Mesa for Desert Well Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Camp Verde for Arsenic Removal System	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Clarkdale for Groundwater Well and Treatment Plan Design	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Prescott Valley for PFAS Treatment and Recharge Well Project	\$2,100,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Alameda County for Chain of Lakes PFAS Treatment Facility Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Borrego Water District for Water Infrastructure Replacement Project	\$3,608,800
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Carmichael Water District for Garfield Ave. Transmission Pipeline Replacement Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Beverly Hills for Water Resiliency Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Buena Park for Municipal Water Well Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of California City for Water Infrastructure Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Fullerton for Well 7A Construction Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Lindsay for Self-Sustainable and Clean Drinking Water	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Loyalton for Main Water Line Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Oxnard for Water Pipeline Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Pasadena for Water Treatment Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Riverside for PFAS Treatment Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Sacramento for Groundwater Well #168 Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Sacramento for Groundwater Well #169 Phase 1 Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Santa Cruz for Newell Creek Pipeline Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Santa Paula for Mesa Tanks Replacement Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of South Gate for Hawkins and South Gate Park Reservoir Wells PFOA Treatment Facilities	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of St. Helena Water for Treatment Plant SCADA/Microgrid	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Visalia for Groundwater Basin Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Monterey for San Lucas Clean Drinking Water Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Sacramento for Walnut Grove Water Supply Reliability Project	\$725,600
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	East County AWP Joint Powers Authority for Water District Cybersecurity and Information Technology Project	\$690,898
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	East Orange County Water District for Advanced Metering Infrastructure Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District for Cactus II Feeder Phase 2 Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District for Water Booster Plant Improvements	\$2,300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District for Water Treatment Facility	\$4,700,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Fallbrook Public Utility District for Primary Pipeline Replacement	\$250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Georgetown Divide Public Utility District for Water Reliability and Storage Tank Construction	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Los Angeles County Public Works for Regional Water Resilience Project	\$350,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Monte Vista Water District for State Street Pipeline Replacement Project (Phase II)	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Sweetwater Authority for National City Wells Iron and Manganese Removal System Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	North Tahoe Public Utility District for Water Improvement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Olivenhain Municipal Water District for San Dieguito Valley Brackish Groundwater Desalination Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Orange County Water District for PFAS Treatment Plants Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Rancho California Water District for Water Infrastructure Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Juan Water District for Reservoir Replacement	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Lorenzo Valley Water District for Tank Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency for PFAS Treatment and Disinfection Facilities	\$2,100,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Santa Margarita Water District for Ranch Water North Open Space Well PFAS Treatment Facility	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Serrano Water District for Pump Station Upgrades	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	South Tahoe Public Utility District for Critical Water Infrastructure Replacements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Valley Center Municipal Water District for Pipeline Replacement	\$715,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	West Valley Water District for Bunker Hills Wells Project	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Western Municipal Water District for Water Infrastructure Backflow Upgrades Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Diego for Pure Water San Diego Phase 2 Demonstration Facility	\$600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of San Joaquin for Lincoln Village Maintenance District Water System Improvements—Phase 1	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Aurora for Pressure Regulating Valve Relocation	\$900,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Brighton for Core City Water Line Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Evans for Waterline Replacement Project	\$677,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Gunnison for Water Treatment Plant Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Westminster for Lowell Boulevard Watermain Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Westminster for New Water Treatment Facility	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Idledale Water and Sanitation District for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Lookout Mountain Water District for Tar Lined Pipe Replacement Phase 1	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	South Adams County Water and Sanitation District for PFAS Drinking Water Treatment Plant Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Teller County Water & Sanitation District 1 for Radium Mitigation	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Silt for Water Plant Renovations	\$2,053,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Aurora for Water System Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Deer Creek Water District for Water Meter Upgrades	\$80,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Dolores for Water Distribution System Replacement Phase 2	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Kremmling for Water Tank Repair	\$96,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of Norwalk for First Taxing District Water Department PFAS Treatment Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Prospect for Coachlight Water Main Installation	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of Meriden for Repair of Dike Leak at Merimere Public Drinking Water Supply Reservoir	\$3,240,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Crystal River for Secondary Well Replacement	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Delray Beach for Water System Improvements	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Fort Myers for Raw Water Supply Improvement	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Hallandale Beach for Water Main Rehabilitation Project: NE 7th Street	\$959,757

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Miami for Water Main Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Miramar for Water Main Infrastructure Improvements	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Monticello for Water Line Replacement	\$350,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of West Miami for Potable Water System Replacement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority for Critical Water Supply Repairs and Construction	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Lee County Board of County Commissioners for Water Transmission Pipeline Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Miami-Dade County for Stormwater Quality Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Palm Beach County for Water Purification System	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Tampa Bay Water for Surface Water Treatment Plant Expansion	\$1,016,667
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Tampa Bay Water for Wellfield Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Lantana for a Water Main Repair Project	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Baconton for Water System Improvements	\$864,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of College Park for Water System Improvements Projects	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Stockbridge for Water Reclamation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Clay County for Water Storage Tank Project	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Cobb County Board of Commissioners for Water Main Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Dade County Water and Sewer Authority for Water Intake Screen	\$1,499,520
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Blackshear for School Drinking Water Improvements Project	\$1,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Town of Waverly Hall for Water Infrastructure	\$150,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Upper Oconee Basin Water Authority for Drinking Water Treatment Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	County of Maui for Haiku-Kauhikoa Water Tank Modernization	\$1,526,031
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources for Deep Monitoring Wells in Waialae East and Waianae	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources for Water Security Audit and Repairs	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	Honolulu Board of Water Supply for Kahilina Place and Aiea Heights Drive Area Water System Improvements	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	Hawaii Department of Land and Natural Resources Commission on Water Resource Management for Water Aquifer Upgrades	\$2,400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Grinnell for Water Treatment Facility Construction	\$3,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Mason City for Water Infrastructure Improvement and Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Peosta for Water Systems Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ID	City of Island Park for Water System Consolidation	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ID	City of Preston for Water Tank Construction	\$3,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Aurora for Lead Water Service Line Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Chicago for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Crest Hill for Corrosion Control Treatment Study	\$200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Elgin for Lead Service Line Replacement Program	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Freeport for Freeport Well and Well House No. 12 Improvements Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Highland Park for George B. Prindle Water Treatment Plant Intake Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Lanark for Water Main Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Moline for Lead Water Service Line Replacements	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Monmouth for Lead Service Lines Replacement	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Waukegan for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Wood Dale for Water Distribution Master Plan	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Lake County for Wildwood Watermain Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	North Moraine Wastewater Reclamation District for Multi-Phase Holiday Hills Wastewater Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of East Alton for Water Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Bellwood for Drinking Water Improvement Program	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Evergreen Park for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Franklin Park for Schiller Boulevard Improvements Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Franklin Park for Washington Street Improvements Plan Project	\$601,439
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Long Grove for Lake Michigan Water Connection	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Maywood for First Avenue Water Main Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Melrose Park for 25th Avenue Water System Improvements Project	\$490,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Peoria Heights for Route 29 (Galena Road) Water Main Replacement Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Burnside Water District for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Amboy for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Joliet for the Grand Prairie Water Commission Development	\$300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Polo for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Quincy for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IN	City of Indianapolis Department of Public Utilities for Lead Service Line Replacement Program	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of De Soto for Water Treatment Plant Expansion	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of Garnett for Water Treatment Facility Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of Olathe for Water Treatment Plant No. 2 Electrical Modifications and Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Beech Grove Water Association for Water System Storage Tank Addition	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Bourbon County Fiscal Court for Elevated Water Storage Tank Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Ashland for Water Treatment Plant	\$4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Clay City for Potable Water Storage Facility Construction	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Greensburg for Water Infrastructure Updates	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Hardinsburg for Water Treatment Plant Expansion and Distribution Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Mount Sterling for Water and Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Crittenden Livingston Counties Water District for Water Plant Capacity Expansion Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Ohio County Water District for Water Intake Line Rebuilding	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Southern Water and Sewer District for Water Treatment Plant and Intake Structure Improvement Project	\$3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	City of Gretna for Wastewater Upgrades	\$3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Lafayette Consolidated Government for the North Lafayette Galvanized Water Line Replacement Project	\$5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	The Town of Livingston for Drinking Water Storage and Distribution Systems Improvements	\$720,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Town of Pollock for Water and Wastewater System Improvements	\$2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Jeff Davis Parish for Drinking Water Improvements	\$2,778,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	West Sterlington Water System, Inc. for Drinking Water Improvements	\$520,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Amesbury for Water Main Upgrades	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Attleboro for Cleaning and Lining Large Watermain near Wading River Water Treatment Plant	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Gardner for James Street Pump Station Upgrades	\$922,832
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of New Bedford for Quittacas Water Treatment Plant Upgrades Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Woburn for Horn Pond Treatment Plant PFAS Removal Project	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Bellingham for PFAS Treatment Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Groveland for Water Main Replacements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Manchester-By-The-Sea for PFAS Filtration System Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of North Attleborough for McKeon PFAS Treatment Facility	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Randolph for Tri-Town Water Treatment Plant Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Scituate for Scituate Water Treatment Plant Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Uxbridge for Elevated Water Storage Tank and Booster Pumping Station Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Wilmington for Water System Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Wrentham for Water Quality Improvements	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Newburyport for Reservoir Protection	\$883,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Holbrook for Tri-Town Water Treatment Plant	\$975,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Pepperell for Groton Dunstable regional PFAS filtration	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Anne Arundel County for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Rockville for Water Main Rehabilitation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town Council of Centreville for Water and Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of North East for Water Control and Telemetry Updates	\$636,668
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Rock Hall for Water Treatment Plant Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Bowie for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$840,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Hurlock for New Well Construction	\$405,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Richmond Utilities District for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Stonington Water Company for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$731,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Brunswick for Bay Bridge Community Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,940,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Boothbay Region Water District for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,878,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Brewer for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,006,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Calais for Calais Drinking Water Upgrades	\$3,036,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Ellsworth for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Monmouth Water Association for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Pittsfield for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,056,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Burtchville Township for Waterline Extension	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Clawson for Water Main Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Dearborn Heights for Lead Service and Water Meter Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Detroit for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Escanaba for Water Service Reconstruction Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Jackson for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Lathrup Village for Water Main Infrastructure Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Mackinac Island Department of Public Works for Drinking Water Asset Management Plan	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Southgate for South Pressure Relief Valves Project	\$900,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Wayne for Lead Line Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Clay Township for Water System Interconnect Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Genesee County for Drinking Water Infrastructure Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Oakland County for Royal Oak Township Drinking Water Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Saginaw Chippewa Indian Tribe of Michigan for Water Treatment Plant Project	\$1,480,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Standish Township for Water Area System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Beverly Hills for Water Main and Service Line Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Marcellus for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Michiana for Water Main Replacement	\$620,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Hamtramck for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$2,400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Romulus for Lead Service Line Removal Program	\$1,200,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Hesperia for PFAS Relief	\$600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Alpha for Water Treatment Plant and Distribution System Design and Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Bloomington for Water Supply Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Columbia Heights for Sanitary Sewer Lining Project	\$400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Excelsior for Drinking Water Treatment Plant Rehabilitation Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Felton for Transmission Line for Arsenic Mitigation	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Gem Lake for Municipal Water Supply Project	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Le Sueur for Water Treatment Facility Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Medicine Lake for Public Water System Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Mound for Manganese Removal Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Otsego for Drinking Water Treatment Facility Improvements	\$1,522,388
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Red Wing for Reservoir Rehabilitation	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Silver Bay for Water Treatment Facility Improvements	\$1,750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of the Village of Minnetonka Beach for PFAS Treatment System Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Waseca for Water Storage Tank Construction	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Norman County for Mainline Completion for Arsenic Mitigation	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Red Rock Rural Water System for Tank Installation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Rock County Rural Water District for Water Tower Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MO	City of Richmond for Water Treatment Plant	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MP	Commonwealth Utilities Corporation for PFAS Remediation System Granular Activated Carbon Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of McComb for Well Construction	\$841,035
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Oktibbeha County for Water Main Replacement	\$1,908,965
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Moss Point for Potable Water System Improvements	\$3,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Vicksburg for Drinking Water Improvements	\$6,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Copiah Water Association for Potable Water System Improvements	\$3,772,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of High Point for Advanced Water Metering Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Mount Holly for Riverbend Water Storage Tank	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Pasquotank County for Water Plant Improvement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Benson for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Dallas for West Trade Street Water Line Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Fuquay-Varina for 1.0 MG Elevated Tank Storage Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Holly Springs for Water Conveyance System Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Weaverville for Water Treatment Plant Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Milford for Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Santee Sioux Nation for Drinking Water Access Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Milligan for Water Tower Improvements	\$236,640
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Hebron for Well and Water Tower Improvements	\$176,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Lewis and Clark Natural Resources District for the Cedar Knox Rural Water Project	\$10,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Metropolitan Utilities District for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$4,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Denton for Public Water System Improvements	\$360,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Malcolm for Water Meters Project	\$72,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Staplehurst for Water Tower Replacement	\$720,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Milford for Nashua Street Water Main Replacement	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Aberdeen Township for Water Main Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Collingswood for Comly Ave Water Treatment Plant Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Lakehurst for Water Treatment Plant Expansion	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Red Bank for Lead Pipe Removal and Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Cape May for Water Desalination Facility Improvements	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Elizabeth for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Hoboken for Water Metering Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Rahway for Lead Service Line Replacements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Florence Township for Water Main Extension Potts Mill Road to Rt 130	\$783,382

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Kearny for Lead Pipe Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Belleville for Lead Line Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Lyndhurst for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Orange Township for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority for Aquifer Storage and Recovery Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Carlsbad for Double Eagle Waterline Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Gallup for Water Line Replacements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Santa Rosa for Water and Wastewater Improvements	\$300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Lower Rio Grande Public Water Works Authority for South Valley Regional Water System Improvements Project	\$325,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Puerto de Luna Mutual Domestic Water Community/Co-op Association for Agua Negra Water Infrastructure	\$700,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Rio Lucio Mutual Domestic Water Consumer Association for Water Line Replacement	\$850,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Village of Questa for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,450,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Lyon County for Water Main Replacement	\$2,584,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Carlin for Arthur Spring Main Water Line Replacement	\$602,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of West Wendover for 3 Mile Reservoir Rehabilitation	\$209,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Canandaigua for Drinking Water Plant Improvements	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Middletown for Watermain Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Oneida for Pump Station Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Roxbury for Water System Improvements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Watertown for Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Development Authority of the North Country for Pipeline Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Erie County Water Authority for Union Road Water Main Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Genesee County for Water Transmission Main Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Livingston County Water and Sewer Authority for Water and Wastewater Cybersecurity Improvement Project	\$700,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County Water Authority for Water Main Extension	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of East Fishkill for Emerging Contaminants Water Supply Mitigation Project	\$2,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Friendship for Water System Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Kinderhook for Drinking Water System Improvement	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Lowville for Water District Expansion	\$2,700,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Massena for Water Service Expansion Project	\$1,857,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Ossining for Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Poestenkill for Water Supply Improvement Project	\$1,693,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Porter for Waterline Improvement Project	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Riverhead for Public Water Main Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Skaneateles for Elevated Water Storage Tank and Pump Station Project	\$1,858,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Somers for Water Main Construction	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Sullivan for Drinking Water System Connection Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Ulster for Water District Tank Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Wappinger for Safe Drinking Water Project	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Cornwall-on-Hudson for Water Main Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Hamilton for Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Kiryas Joel for Water Treatment Facility Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Lewiston for Water System Resiliency Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Monticello for Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Portville for Water System Improvements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Ravena for Drinking Water System Improvements	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Rouses Point for Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Warwick for Clean Drinking Water Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	West Seneca for Water Line Repairs	\$1,229,360
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Yonkers for Water Monitoring Stations	\$1,595,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town Of East Fishkill for iPark Water District	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Ashtabula County for Waterline Extension and Water Tower Construction	\$2,400,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Burr Oak Regional Water District for Water Expansion Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Cincinnati for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$910,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Coshocton for Waterline Extension	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Dayton for Upgrades to the Dayton Water Quality Laboratory	\$4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Franklin for 12 ² Waterline Connection Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Hudson for Nicholson Drive Water Transmission Line Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Loveland for Cherokee Drive Water Main Replacement Project	\$745,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Napoleon for Service Line Replacement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of North Canton for Arrowhead Water Well Project	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Sandusky for MacArthur Park Neighborhood Drinking Water Infrastructure Project	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Harrison Township for Wellfield Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Madison County Sanitary Sewer and Water District for Lafayette Water & Sewer Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Muskingum County Water Department for Watermain Extension	\$1,750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Northern Perry County Water and Wastewater for Water System Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Tuscarawas County Metropolitan Sewer District for Emergency Supply Transmission Line	\$896,044
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Bloomingburg for Water Treatment Plant Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Greenwich for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Hiram for Water Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Lordstown for Water Transmission and Distribution System Improvements	\$4,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Malta for Water Well Installation	\$340,800
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Pomeroy for Water System Improvements	\$1,731,280
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Terrace Park for Elm Street Water Line	\$400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Martins Ferry for Water Main Extension Project	\$684,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Youngstown for Lead Service Line Replacement Program	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Greenfield for Water Storage Tank Rehabilitation	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Ada for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$1,125,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Mustang for Waterline Extension	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Stillwater for Drinking Water Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	Edmond City for Water Treatment Plant Capacity Expansion	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	Waurika Public Works Authority for Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Independence for Corvallis Road Waterline Project	\$880,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of West Linn for Waterline Replacement	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Astoria for Irving Avenue Waterline Resiliency Project	\$1,895,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Hillsboro for Upper System Pipeline Mitigation	\$2,240,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Mosier for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$525,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Myrtle Creek for Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$2,380,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Sumpter for Water Main and Water Meter Replacement	\$1,365,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Morrow County for Drinking Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,320,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Beaver Falls Municipal Authority for Public Water Drinking Infrastructure in Darlington Township	\$3,661,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Borough of Ambler for Wells 2, 6, 7 PFAS Treatment Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Borough of Coraopolis for Waterline Replacement	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Bradford City Water Authority for Water Transmission Main Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Brockway Borough Municipal Authority for Watermain Replacement	\$621,181
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Cranberry Township for Waterline Replacement	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Lansford-Coaldale Joint Water Authority for Water Service Connection Improvements Project	\$375,200
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Martinsburg Municipal Authority for Elevated Storage Tank Installation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Martinsburg Municipal Authority for Well Transmission Line Project	\$701,440
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County for Drinking Water Infrastructure Project	\$1,991,357
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	National Pike Water Authority for Water Storage Tank and Pump Station Construction Project	\$1,499,562
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Seven Springs Municipal Authority for Waterline Replacement Project	\$275,637
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Summit Township Water Authority for Water Booster Station Infrastructure Improvements	\$250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Blairsville Municipal Authority for Water Treatment Plant Renovations	\$500,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Borough of Oxford for Clean Water Infrastructure, Facilities, and Equipment	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Clinton County Government for Cottage Lane Water Line	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Driftwood Borough for Water Infrastructure Upgrade Project	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Indian Creek Valley Water Authority for Water Line Installation	\$942,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Kittanning Suburban Joint Water Authority for Adrian Road Water Line Extension	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Millerstown Borough for Secondary Water Supply Source Project	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Schuylkill County Municipal Authority for Redundant Water Main	\$440,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	St. Clairsville Area Water Company for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	The Municipal Authority of the Borough of Edgeworth for Water Line Replacement	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	The Municipal Authority of the Township of Washington for Water System Improvements	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Tunkhannock Borough Municipal Authority for Hill Reservoir Cover and Liner	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Williamsport Municipal Water Authority for Tank Replacement	\$1,440,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Quonochontaug Central Beach Fire District for Water System Upgrades	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Smithfield for a Water Main Extension	\$1,100,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Columbia for Riverview Terrace Water Main Replacement	\$575,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Florence for Water Treatment Plant Expansion and Upgrades	\$5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Startex-Jackson-Wellford Duncan Water District for Water Storage Improvements	\$10,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Town of Cheraw for Water Treatment Plant Construction	\$4,440,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Campbell County for Water Infrastructure Project	\$1,388,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	City of Oak Ridge for Potable Water Storage Tank Rehabilitation	\$5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	First Tennessee Development District for Waterline Extension	\$624,624
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Glen Hills Utility District for Water Infrastructure Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Brazosport Water Authority for Waterline Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Alice for Elevated Water Storage Tanks Repair and Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Galena Park for Water System Rehabilitation	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Georgetown for Aquifer Storage and Recovery Project	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Hamilton for Water Distribution and Wastewater Collection System Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Jacinto City for North Side Water Lines Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Keller for Water Line Replacement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of San Benito for Ground Storage Tank and SCADA System Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Woodway for Water Capacity Enhancement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Jim Wells County for Municipal Utility District Creation	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Red River Authority of Texas for Pump Station and Tank Renovations	\$476,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Red River Authority of Texas for Water Systems Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	San Antonio Water System for Generators for Critical Infrastructure Project	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Town of Anthony for Arsenic Treatment Plant and Associated Raw and Treated Water Pipelines Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility for Treatment Facility Upgrade	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	City of Kanab for Spring Redevelopment Project	\$1,123,704
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	City of South Jordan for Water Tank Construction	\$1,750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Clinton City for Waterline Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Jordan Valley Water Conservancy District for Flow Control Vault Retrofit and Replacement	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Kearns Improvement District for Water Supply Repair and Resiliency Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Magna Water District for Drinking Water Sustainability and Drought Resiliency Project	\$1,311,577
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Salt Lake City Corporation for Transmission Line Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Salt Lake City Corporation for Water Treatment Plant Rebuild	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Sevier County for Water System Improvements	\$3,092,560
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Taylorville-Bennion Improvement District for Waterline Replacement	\$1,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Colonial Heights for Waterline Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	County of Pulaski for Waterline Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Fauquier County for Opal Water System Project	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Isle of Wight County for Elevated Storage Tank	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Prince George County for Water Transmission Main Appomattox River Crossing	\$959,752

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Scott County Public Service Authority for Waterline Construction	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Surry County for Water System Upgrade Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Lovettsville for Transite Drinking Water Line Replacement	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Williamsburg for Colonial Parkway Drinking Water Main Replacement	\$1,440,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Stafford County for Lake Mooney Water Treatment Plant Addition	\$1,360,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Cedar Bluff for Drinking Water and Wastewater Systems Replacement	\$570,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Cooperative Development Institute for North Avenue Co-op Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Franklin for Water System Alternate Source Project	\$595,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Waterbury for Extension of Water Line to East Wind Mobile Home Park	\$2,240,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Tri-Town Water District 1 for Water System Upgrades	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Redmond for AC Water Main Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Republic for Water Tank Replacement Project	\$1,096,835
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Confederated Tribes of the Colville Reservation for Inchelium Community Water System Upgrade Project	\$1,720,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Lakewood Water District for PFAS Mitigation Wells Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Public Utility District No. 1 of Thurston County for Water Mainline Relocation— Green Cover Creek Culvert	\$875,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Skokomish Tribe for Waterline Extension	\$959,757
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Wahkiakum Public Utility District for Puget Island Alternate Water System Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of DuPont for PFAS Treatment at Water Wells	\$545,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Mattawa for Water System Improvements	\$5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Lake Whatcom Water & Sewer District for Division 7 Water Reservoir Replacement Project	\$220,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Eau Claire for Water Treatment Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Lake Altoona Rehabilitation and Protection District for Infrastructure Project	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Town of Campbell for Water Utility Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Village of Shorewood for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Village of West Milwaukee for Water Main and Lead Lateral Replacement Project	\$959,752
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Elkhorn for Safe Drinking Water	\$3,300,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Village of Rothschild for PFAS Water Treatment	\$3,300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	City of Williamson for Water System Infrastructure Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Clay-Roane Public Service District for Water System Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Mason County Public Service District for Water Treatment Plant Improvement Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Davy for Sewer Construction Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Pineville for Waterline Extension	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Cool Ridge Flat Top Public Service District for Water System Improvements	\$80,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Frankfort Public Service District for Water Improvement Project	\$192,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Mountain Top Public Service District for Radio-Read Water Metering System Project	\$400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of Harpers Ferry for Water Distribution Improvement Project	\$500,000

Appendix C: Technical Corrections-Procedural Information

Examples of Acceptable Technical Corrections

Below are three common types of technical corrections that are generally acceptable. All examples assume concurrence from the original recipient and the new recipient to be named, if applicable.

Original Language	Purpose (P) or Recipient (R)?	New Language
Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements	P	Anytown for water infrastructure improvements
Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements	R	Greater Anytown-Area Regional Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements
Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements	P, R	Greater Anytown-Area Regional Water Authority for drinking water infrastructure improvements

Technical corrections cannot: 1) change the purpose to a non-construction project unless it otherwise provides for water quality protection; 2) change the purpose to construction of infrastructure that is not drinking, waste, or stormwater-related unless it otherwise provides for water quality protection; 3) change the purpose to debt repayment; or 4) transfer funds to another Federal Agency.

Who Can Request a Technical Correction?

Technical correction requests must be in writing and, for a change in purpose, must be originated by the original recipient. A request involving a change in recipient can be initiated by either the original recipient or the new entity to be named, but such a request requires the written concurrence of the other party. Requests involving a change in both recipient and purpose can also be initiated by either entity with concurrence from the other; however, the original Community Grant recipient must specifically acknowledge both the change in purpose and the change in recipient in their concurrence. Any request for technical corrections from parties other than the original recipient or the new entity will generally not be considered.

Procedure for Making a Technical Correction

Recipients requesting a technical correction should first consult their [EPA Regional Contact](#) to discuss the need for, and evaluate the appropriateness of, a technical correction. When appropriate and necessary, the EPA Regional Office will submit technical corrections to EPA Headquarters for consultation with and resolution by Congress.

EPA Regional Offices provide all written requests that are consistent with this Guidance to EPA Headquarters for review and submission to the Agency's Liaison to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations ("Appropriations Liaison"). The Appropriations Liaison initiates consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. EPA approves proposed corrections after the Appropriations Liaison transmits confirmation of consultation with the Committees.⁸ EPA may then proceed with administering projects within the scope of approved corrected language.

When a Technical Correction is Unnecessary

All changes in the project purpose require a technical correction. All changes in the recipient require a technical correction unless the intended recipient is an agency of the original recipient or is wholly owned or controlled by the recipient (e.g., the recipient is listed as Anytown, USA, but the intended recipient is the Anytown Department of Water Quality). In such cases, a grant may be made to the intended recipient without a technical correction.

Withdrawing or Reversing a Technical Correction

Technical correction requests that have been approved by EPA can be reversed (i.e., reverted to the original appropriation language). Reversing a technical correction requires a new technical correction following the procedures outlined above. Technical correction requests that have not been approved by EPA Headquarters can be withdrawn. Withdrawal procedures depend on how far along the request is in the Congressional consultation process.

- If the request has not yet been provided to Congress for consultation, the request will simply be removed from the submission list. Upon confirmation from EPA Headquarters that the request was removed, the Region can proceed under the scope of the original language.
- If the request has been sent to Congress for consultation, but not yet returned, EPA Headquarters will request its removal from consideration and will notify the Region when they can proceed under the scope of the original language.

⁸ If the circumstances surrounding a technical correction for a particular project change after consultation with the Committees and EPA decides not to approve the request, EPA will notify the Committees in a subsequent request.

- If the consultation process was already completed, EPA will send the language reversal back to Congress in a subsequent request.

Administering a Technically Corrected Project

Technical corrections made under the technical corrections authority should be administered in accordance with the guidance document from the fiscal year of appropriation.

Appendix D: Application Forms and Attachments

The following registration steps must be completed prior to submitting an application package:

Registration in [SAM.gov](#). Unique Entity Identifiers (UEIs) are assigned during the SAM.gov registration process. Recipients with active SAM.gov registrations prior to April 2022 automatically have a UEI but may need to complete entity validation within SAM.gov. Recipients must be registered in SAM.gov. Recipients may refer to the [Entity Registration Checklist](#) and obtain SAM.gov assistance via the Federal Service Desk at 1-866-606-8220 or [fsd.gov](#) (M-F 8am-8pm ET).

Registration in [Grants.gov](#). Once registered in SAM.gov, recipients who have a SAM.gov registration can then [register with Grants.gov](#) and assign Grants.gov Roles. Please note that only an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) can submit an application on behalf of the recipient.

[Grants.gov instructions](#), and [Training Resources & Videos](#) are available online.

Grants.gov assistance is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week at 1-800-518-4726 or support@grants.gov (closed on federal holidays).

- The Funding Opportunity Number (FON) for the Community Grants Program is **EPA-CEP-01**.
- Community Grants Program is included in Assistance Listing Number 66.202 (the Assistance Listing Number is also referred to as “CFDA” in [Grants.gov](#))
- The Community Grants Program’s Opportunity Package ID Number is **PKG00214047**.

Note: Prior to accessing the application package in Grants.gov, recipients should Register with SAM.gov, ensure the SAM.gov registration is not expired, obtain a UEI number through the SAM.gov registration process and establish an EBiz Contact. Recipients may refer to the [Entity Registration Checklist](#) and obtain SAM.gov assistance via the Federal Service Desk at 1-866-606-8220 or [fsd.gov](#) (M-F 8am-8pm ET).

Additional information on [accessing the Community Grants Application Package](#) is available [online](#).

Complete application packages must be submitted through [Grants.gov](#) and must include the following:

1. **Application for Federal Assistance (SF 424)** with authorized signatures submitted.
2. **Additional information for SF424, Block #19 (if applicable):** [ls](#)

[application subject to review by State under Executive Order 12372 Process?](#)

Select the appropriate box. If box “a” is selected, enter the date the application was submitted to the State SPOC (generally, applicants must submit the SF424 or summary thereof to the State SPOC to meet the requirements under Executive Order 12372).

- California. All EPA programs and activities subject to Intergovernmental Review have been selected for [State Single Point of Contact](#) (SPOC) review. Community Grant Applications for projects in California should be submitted to the California SPOC at state.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov.
- Utah. Only applications for EPA financial assistance subject to Intergovernmental Review submitted by Utah state agencies have been selected for SPOC review. Applications by local governments, nonprofit organizations and other entities are not reviewed by the Utah SPOC. Utah state agencies are to submit their Community Grant applications to stategrants@utah.gov.

No other SPOCs have selected EPA programs and activities subject to Intergovernmental Review for SPOC review, however there may be requirements for submission of Federal grant applications to SPOCs or other state agencies based on state law that are independent of [40 CFR Part 29](#). EPA encourages applicants to comply with state requirements but does not enforce those requirements.

- [Intergovernmental Review SPOC List](#) provides contact information for each SPOC.
- [Fact sheet for Applicants Intergovernmental Review Process](#) provides additional information on Intergovernmental Review.

3. **Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs (SF 424A).**

Budget Detail-Breakdown by Object Class Categories.

[RAIN-2019-G02](#) at <https://www.epa.gov/grants/rain-2019-g02>.

- Costs for hiring construction contractors would be reflected in **SF 424A Category g “Construction.”**
- Costs for building or repairing facilities and related demolition and site preparation work or for remediating contamination are to be classified as **SF424A Category g “Construction.”**
- Costs for hiring Architectural and Engineering firms for design/project management services would be categorized in **SF 424A Category f “Contractual.”**

- Construction activities carried out by the applicant’s own employees (“force account”) are to be classified as **SF 424A Category a “Personnel.”**
- Information on cost allowability is provided in [2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E](#). Recipients may review EPA’s [training course](#) on budget development. EPA reviews costs included in project budgets as part of the application/pre award process to ensure they conform with general principles of cost allowability:
 - A cost is eligible if it is permitted by statute, program guidance, and/or regulations.
 - A cost is reasonable if it does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the cost.
 - Costs must be allocable: costs must be incurred either directly or indirectly to carry out the project and must be charged proportionately across all benefitting cost centers.
 - Costs must be necessary for the project being funded.

Allowable costs are:

- Adequately documented.
- Conform to limitations of laws, regulations, etc. and grant terms and conditions.
- Consistent with recipient’s policies/procedures – same factors apply to both federal and non-federal activities.
- Accorded consistent treatment – a cost may not be assigned as direct if a similar cost incurred for the same purpose has been allocated as an indirect cost.
- Not included as a cost or used to meet a matching requirement for any other federal grant.
- Consistent with generally accepted accounting principles

4. **Use the Project Narrative Attachment Form to submit the Workplan.** The workplan should include tasks, milestones, and expected environmental results or outcomes (See [Appendix E](#)).
5. **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Current Indirect Cost Rate Negotiation Agreement.** If applicable, include Rate and Signature pages (i.e., Sections 1 and 3) of the approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement with application. **EPA Policy on Indirect Rate Costs (IDC) effective 10/1/2018, [RAIN-2018-G02](#).** Prior to drawing down EPA funds for IDCs, and/or using unrecovered IDCs as cost-share, recipients must have an approved rate and an EPA- approved budget that includes IDCs.
 - IDCs are those that are not readily identifiable with a particular activity but are necessary to the general operation of the recipient organization and the conduct of the proposed project (such as general administration expenses).
6. **EPA Key Contacts Form (EPA Form 5700-4).**

7. **Pre-Award Compliance Review Report (EPA Form 4700-4)**, current form with authorized signature. See [Tips for Completing EPA Form 4700-4](#).

8. **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Certification Regarding Lobbying (EPA Form 6600-06)** with authorized signature. All applicants, including Tribes, are required to submit this certification if the total federal dollar awarded to the applicant/recipient is greater than \$100,000 for the life of the grant.

9. **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (Form SF-LLL)**, with authorized signature attached with the grant application package. For all other applicants, form is required for reporting entity, whether subawardee or prime federal recipient, at the initiation or receipt of a covered federal action, or a material change to a previous filing, pursuant to Title 31 U.S.C. Section 1352. Used by applicants to disclose lobbying activities that have been secured to influence the outcome of a federal grant action.

Appendix E: Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline

Name of Applicant and Project Title

Project Objective(s) and Need

Narrative, how project will resolve need/purpose.

Project Description

Narrative, maps, photographs, relevant design parameters, etc. Clearly defined scope of work, outlining all activities to be performed under the grant; detailed description of the proposed project, summary of deliverables. Framework for managing the project, explanation of the approach, procedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grant funds will be expended in a timely and efficient manner, evaluating performance and reporting progress toward achieving the expected outputs and outcomes. How tasks/activities will be undertaken. The scope of work must be in conformance with the project description. Budget narrative that links the budget to workplan tasks activities and includes source(s) of non-federal cost share.

Milestone Schedule

Narrative or tabular depiction of each grant activity's estimated start and end dates, interim milestones, deliverables, and project completion. The length of the grant award project period should be consistent with the milestone schedule.

Environmental Results/Benefits

Narrative or tabular linkage of each grant activity with the applicable [EPA Strategic Plan goal and objective](#) (i.e., EPA's FY2022 – FY2026 Strategic Plan Goal 5: Ensure Clean and Safe Water for All Communities, Objective 5.1: Ensure Safe Drinking Water and Reliable Water Infrastructure), anticipated environmental results, anticipated environmental outputs, and anticipated environmental outcomes.

- **Outputs:** environmental activities, efforts, and/or associated work products related to environmental goals or objectives, that will be produced or provided over a period of time or by a specified date. Outputs should be well-defined and may be quantitative or qualitative but must be measurable during an assistance agreement funding period.
- **Outcomes:** the results, effects or consequences that will occur from carrying out an environmental program or activity that is related to an environmental or programmatic goal or objective. Outcomes should be well-defined to the maximum extent practicable, and may be environmental, behavioral, health-related, or programmatic in nature, must be quantitative, and may not necessarily be achievable within an assistance agreement funding period.

Workplan Requirements for Identifying Contractors

- Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2024 or prior Appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance, if the recipient: 1) procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to March 9, 2024; and 2) complied with state and/or local laws governing competition.
- For all other Community Grants projects, contractual selection must comply with the competitive Procurement Standards set forth in [2 CFR 200.317 – 2 CFR 200.327](#).
- Additionally, projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#) (i.e., the Brooks Act), or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement.
- Except for when the Brooks Act applies, States follow their own procurement procedures consistent with 2 CFR 200.317. Further, [2 CFR 200.320](#) discusses specific methods of procurement to be followed for non-State recipients and the circumstances under which each method can be used.
- Prior to naming a contractor (including consultants) in your application as a “partner,” please carefully review Section IV.D. of [EPA’s Solicitation Clauses](#) discussed in relevant part here. Recipients must compete contracts for services and products, including consultant contracts, and conduct cost and price analyses, to the extent required by the procurement provisions of the regulations at 2 CFR Part 200. Recipients are not required to identify subrecipients and/or contractors (including consultants) in their grant application. However, if they do, the fact that a recipient has named a specific subrecipient, contractor, or consultant in the application does not relieve the recipient of its obligations to comply with subaward and/or competitive procurement requirements as described in Section IV.D. of EPA’s Solicitation Clauses.
- The [Best Practice Guide for Procuring Services, Supplies, and Equipment Under EPA Assistance Agreements](#) can assist Community Grant recipients in complying with procurement requirements. Additionally, the [Community Grants: Reminders for Preparing Solicitation Documents](#) discusses Community Grant specific procurement requirements.
- In general, all procurement transactions for professional engineering services and construction contractors must be conducted in a manner that promotes fair and open competition.
- Please note that unless you qualify for the statutory flexibility discussed above **and** the sole source complies with state and/or local law, recipients may not award sole source contracts to consulting, engineering, or other firms assisting applicants with the application solely based on the firm’s role in preparing the application or based on an assertion that the individual or firm has “unique qualifications.” Moreover, the Agency does not accept justifications for sole source contracts for services or

products available in the commercial marketplace such as consulting, data analysis, or project management.

Workplan Requirements for Identifying Subrecipients

- Any proposed subawards must comply with regulatory standards as implemented in [EPA’s Subaward Policy](#).
- In almost all cases, for-profit firms and individual consultants are not proper subrecipients. For-profit firms and individual consultants would more likely be considered contractors.
- Prior to naming a subrecipient in your application as a “partner,” please carefully review Section IV.D. of [EPA’s Solicitation Clauses](#)

Appendix F: Grant Policies and Resources

Grants Management Training for Applicants and Recipients

- [EPA's online training courses](#) are free and are designed to introduce potential EPA grant recipients to key aspects of the entire grant lifecycle, from preparation of a grant application through grant closeout.
- Details about EPA's New Recipient Training Requirement are available in EPA's Recipient/Applicant Information Notice (RAIN) 2024-G01, see [EPA's Grants Policy Resources](#) page.
- Information on EPA Form 4700-4 is available online, see [Tips for Completing EPA Form 4700-4](#).

EPA Grant Policies and Information

- [EPA grant policies](#) may affect how recipients manage and administer EPA assistance agreements.
- EPA's [Grants Update Listserv](#) provides periodic updates on trainings, changes in grants management requirements, and other general grants information.

Budget Development

- Community Grant recipients may refer to [Interim General Budget Development Guidance for Applicants and Recipients of EPA Financial Assistance](#) to learn more about cost eligibility and preparation of the budget component of the application package.

Selected Items of Cost

- EPA POs and grant specialists review costs included in project budgets as part of the application.
- Allowability of costs is based on several factors specified in [2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E](#).
- EPA's [Guidance on Selected Items of Cost for Recipients](#) provides more information on the allowability of specific costs.

Environmental Review

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires evaluation of how federal grant actions may affect the quality of the environment. EPA's NEPA implementing regulations can be found at 40 CFR Part 6. EPA's NEPA implementing regulations supplement the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ) regulations at [40 CFR Parts 1500 – 1508](#) implementing NEPA and are to be used in conjunction with the CEQ Regulations. Under NEPA, environmental impacts must be considered before EPA can award the grant.

- The [Categorical Exclusion \(CATEX\) Checklist](#) provides information on assessing whether a project may be considered for a CATEX. EPA's list of actions that can be categorically excluded is contained within [40 CFR Part 6 Subpart B](#). EPA makes CATEX determinations based on its own regulations and can use information collected as part of another federal agency's NEPA process. Recipients having a CATEX determination resulting from

another agency's NEPA review of their project may provide that information to EPA as part of any request for a CATEX.

The following tools may be used to support development of Environmental Information Documents (EIDs).

- The sample [EID Outline](#) provides optional format and content on what to include in an EID.
- The [Infrastructure Task Force Preliminary Engineering Report](#) provides a recommended format for preliminary engineering reports (PER) for use when planning drinking water and wastewater infrastructure.

Procurement

- [EPA's Best Practice Guide for Procuring Services, Supplies and Equipment Under EPA Assistance Agreements](#) describes the financial transactions covered by the competitive procurement requirements and other rules you must follow when awarding and administering EPA funded contracts.
- Additionally, the [Community Grants: Reminders for Preparing Solicitation Documents](#) discusses Community Grant specific procurement requirements.
- Community Grants Projects identified in the FY 2024 or prior Appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance, **if** the recipient has: (1) procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to March 9, 2024; and (2) complied with state and/or local laws governing competition.
- All other Community Grant recipients must follow their own procurement procedures, which must be documented and comply with State, local, or tribal laws and regulations as well as Federal laws and regulations, including the procurement standards in [2 CFR Part 200](#) (Uniform Grants Guidance (UGG)) and [2 CFR Part 1500](#) (EPA's Supplement to the UGG).
 - With the exception noted below for projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, State recipients must follow the same policies and procedures they use for procurements from their non-Federal funds. In addition, States must also follow the requirements in 2 CFR [200.321](#) (small and disadvantaged businesses), [200.322](#) (domestic preferences), [200.323](#) (recycled materials), [200.327](#) (contract clauses contained in [Appendix II](#)), and EPA's Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises Rule at 40 CFR Part 33.
- Additionally, projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#) (i.e., the Brooks Act), or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement. Specifically, and consistent with the CWSRF statute ([33 U.S.C. 1382\(b\)\(14\)](#)), procurements/contracts for "**program management, construction management, feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, design, engineering, surveying, mapping, or architectural related services**" shall be negotiated in the same manner as a contract for architectural and engineering services is negotiated under chapter 11 of title 40 or

an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement (as determined by the Governor of the State).”

- Please note that unless you qualify for the statutory flexibility discussed above **and** the sole source complies with state and/or local law, recipients may not award sole source contracts to consulting, engineering, or other firms assisting applicants with the application solely based on the firm’s role in preparing the application or based on an assertion that the individual or firm has “unique qualifications.” Moreover, the Agency does not accept justifications for sole source contracts for services or products available in the commercial marketplace such as consulting, data analysis, or project management.

Subawards

- A subaward is a financial assistance transaction between an EPA grant recipient (i.e., pass-through entity) and an eligible subrecipient (or by a subrecipient to a lower subrecipient) to carry out part of a Federal award received by the pass-through entity.
 - It does not include payments to a contractor or payments to an individual that is a beneficiary of a Federal program.
 - A subaward may be provided through any form of legal agreement, including an agreement that the pass-through entity considers a contract.
- The [EPA Subaward Policy](#) implements UGG regulatory requirements for subawards and has several appendices including distinguishing between procurement contracts and subawards and an optional Subaward Agreement Template.
- Additionally, EPA posted an [EPA Subaward Policy Frequently Asked Questions](#).

Davis Bacon

- The [Davis-Bacon Act](#) requires that all contractors and subcontractors performing construction, alteration, and repair (including painting and decorating) work under federal contracts in excess of \$2,000, pay their laborers and mechanics not less than the prevailing wage and fringe benefits for the geographic location. Personnel costs include salaries, wages, and allowable incentive compensation for recipient employees (i.e., who receive W-2 forms) who spend time working on the project and are not subject to Davis Bacon.
- Section 513 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), which applies to the CWSRF program, applies DBA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on projects for treatment works.
- Section 1452(a)(5) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), which applies to the DWSRF program, applies DBA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on *any* construction project.
- Note, if your Community Grant project triggers compliance with Davis Bacon, you **must** incorporate the appropriate wage determination in the bid solicitation document(s) and contract specifications.

EPA’s Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program

- EPA’s Participation by DBEs regulations can be found at [40 CFR Part 33](#).

- EPA’s [DBE Program](#) applies to all procurements under EPA Assistance Agreements, with the exception of Community Grants projects that qualify for the statutory flexibility provided for in the FY 2024 Appropriations Act, and requires recipients who procure goods and/or services to: employ the six good faith efforts; document their efforts; maintain DBE forms and other documentation from the prime contractor; and [report their procurement and DBE activities](#) even if there is not anything to report.
- Consistent with EPA’s DBE regulations, Tribal Nations are allowed to “solicit and recruit Indian organizations and Indian-owned economic enterprises and give them preference in the award process prior to undertaking the six good faith efforts.”

Build America, Buy America (BABA)

- BABA established a domestic content procurement preference for all Federal financial assistance obligated for *infrastructure* projects after May 14, 2022.
- BABA requires that all iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in covered infrastructure projects be produced in the United States.
- Recipients must ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with [BABA](#) requirements as applicable to the project.

American Iron and Steel (AIS) Requirement

- The [AIS provision](#) requires recipients to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public water system or treatment works.
- Compliance with AIS requirements corresponding to iron and steel products will satisfy a subset of BABA requirements (e.g., those pertaining to iron and steel), however BABA also includes requirements pertaining to manufactured goods and construction materials, which must also be met. Projects that are compliant with BABA are also in compliance with AIS.
- Recipients must ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with AIS requirements as applicable to the project.

EPA Community Grants Program

- The [EPA Community Grants](#) webpage contains many helpful resources, including a list of frequent questions, regional points of contact, and training presentations and recordings. The website will be updated as new information becomes available. Technical Assistance (TA) may be available for Community Grants application support; recipients may contact [Regional Points of Contact](#) to inquire.

Reporting Waste, Fraud, Abuse, Mismanagement, or Other Suspected Violations of Law

- The EPA Office of Inspector General is an independent oversight office charged with preventing and detecting [waste, fraud, and abuse](#) by EPA and U.S. Chemical Safety and Hazard Investigations Board employees, grantees, contractors, and others. It does this through [audits](#) and [investigations](#) of Agency programs and operations, often in response to complaints submitted to the [OIG Hotline](#) regarding alleged violations of law, needless spending, or intentional deception.

- Suspected waste, fraud, abuse, or other violations of law can be reported anonymously or confidentially to the [OIG Hotline](#) via phone at (888) 546-8740, [email](#), or online [form](#).
 - Listen to this podcast to learn more about the [hotline](#).

Whistleblower Protection

- A [whistleblower](#) is a federal employee, an employee of a federal contractor, subcontractor, grantee, or subgrantee or personal services contractor who discloses what the individual believes to be evidence of a gross waste of federal funds, a substantial danger to public health or safety, or any of the following related to a federal contract or grant: gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, or other violation of law, rule, or regulation.
- Because of the important public service these individuals perform when they come forward, [whistleblower protection](#) laws prohibit reprisal against them, such as firing, demotion, or other discrimination, and protect the identities of those who make anonymous or confidential disclosures, such as via the [OIG Hotline](#).
- Learn more about Whistleblower Protection [here](#).

Commonly Used Acronyms and Abbreviations

AIS	American Iron and Steel	EBiz Contact	E-Business Point of Contact
AOR	Authorized Organization Representative	EID	Environmental Information Document
ASAP	Automated Standard Application for Payments	EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
BABA	Build America, Buy America	EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
CATEX	Categorical Exclusion	FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
CDS	Congressionally Directed Spending	FY	Fiscal Year
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	MHI	Median Household Income
CPF	Community Project Funding	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
CWA	Clean Water Act	PO	Project Officer
CWSRF	Clean Water Act State Revolving Fund	SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
DBA	Davis-Bacon Act	SERP	State Environmental Review Process
DBE	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise	SF	Standard Form
DWSRF	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UEI	Unique Entity Identifier
EA	Environmental Assessment	UGG	Uniform Grant Guidance