U.S. EPA Area Wide Optimization Program (AWOP): Goals and Operational Guidelines for those Pursuing Optimization

Performance and Monitoring Goals

Category	System/	Treatment	Monitoring		Goa	References	
	Source Water Type	Туре	Location	Parameter(s)	Performance	Monitoring	
Source Water	Subpart H Systems – all public water systems (PWSs) that use surface water (SW) or that use groundwater (GW) under the influence of SW (GWUDI)	All	Raw water (prior to treatment)	Turbidity	 No specific performance goal (source water turbidity is largely beyond the control of the PWS); rather, results of this monitoring inform settled water turbidity goals (described below) 	 Record maximum daily raw water turbidity (as a microbial surrogate) 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2004) ^a
Source Water	Subpart H Systems (SW and GWUDI systems)	Slow Sand Filtration (SSF)	Raw water (prior to treatment)	Total coliforms (TC)	 Results of source water monitoring inform SSF treatment goals (described below) 	 Measure TC by Most-Probable Number or Colony-Forming Units methods at least once per month during normal operation Increase raw water TC monitoring frequency to weekly with significant changes in raw water quality (e.g., after storms, wildfires, changes to the source/watershed, seasonal changes, etc.) 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2024) ^b
Source Water	GW systems	All	Raw water (prior to treatment)	TC	 No TC present (or, alternatively, no indicator organisms) at any wells 	 Conduct microbial monitoring at each well. TC method generally used, or a reliable method for coliphage or other virus indicators can be used as a surrogate Initially monitor all wells monthly and then reduce monitoring (e.g., quarterly) at 	Primacy agency implementation experience

Category	System/	Treatment	Monitoring		Goa	References	
	Source Water Type	Туре	Location	Parameter(s)	Performance	Monitoring	
						wells where there is no indication of microbial contamination	
Treatment Disinfection/ Inactivation	All	All	Finished Water	Disinfection/ CT parameters	 Meet primacy agency's CT requirements plus a system-specific factor of safety (e.g., ≥ 10%) for Giardia and virus inactivation Note: GW systems may only be required to provide virus inactivation, unless concerns exist about microbial contamination in the source 	 Record minimum disinfectant residual, minimum temperature, maximum pH, and maximum flow daily 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2004) ^a Primacy agency implementation experience
Treatment Microbial removal	Subpart H Systems	Rapid Rate Filtration	Sedimentatio n Basin Effluent (all applicable locations)	Turbidity	 95th percentile settled water turbidity ≤ 2.0 NTU when the annual average raw turbidity is > 10 NTU 95th percentile settled water turbidity ≤ 1.0 NTU when the annual average raw turbidity is ≤ 10 NTU 	 Record individual sedimentation basin effluent turbidity readings at intervals of 4-hours or less if taking grab samples, or 15-minutes or less for continuous monitoring. Performance is assessed based on the daily maximum values from all recorded readings. 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2004) ^a
Treatment Microbial removal	Subpart H Systems	Rapid Rate Filtration	Individual Filter Effluent (IFE) and Combined Filter Effluent (CFE)	Turbidity	 95th percentile CFE turbidity ≤ 0.10 NTU 95th percentile IFE turbidity ≤ 0.10 NTU (excluding 15- minute period following filter backwash) Post backwash IFE turbidity for filters without filter-to- waste capability: Maximum IFE turbidity following backwash ≤ 0.30 NTU and achieve ≤ 0.10 NTU within 15 minutes 	 Record IFE and CFE turbidity readings at intervals of 1- minute or less for continuous monitoring Performance is assessed based on the daily maximum values recorded from all readings 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2004) ^a

Category	System/	Treatment	Monitoring		Goa	References	
	Source Water Type	Туре	Location	Parameter(s)	Performance	Monitoring	
					 Post backwash IFE turbidity for filters with filter-to- waste capability: Minimize IFE turbidity during filter-to- waste period and record maximum value. Return the filter to service at ≤ 0.10 NTU 		
Treatment Microbial removal	All	Low pressure membrane filtration (MF/UF)	IFE	Indirect Integrity (turbidity or particle counts)	 95th percentile IFE turbidity ≤ 0.05 NTU OR Particle counts (1.0 – 3.0 μm) ≤ 10 particles/mL 	 Measured continuously (≤ 1- minute intervals) 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2005) ^c
Treatment Microbial removal	All	MF/UF	Log Removal Value (LRV) determined for each membrane unit	Several parameters affect LRV determination, including flow rate, trans- membrane pressure, direct integrity test pressure decay, and water temperature	 LRV_{ambient} ≥ 4.0-log AND LRV_{ambient} ≥ Log Removal Credit awarded by regulating agency 	• LRV is determined after each daily direct integrity test.	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2005) ^c
Treatment Microbial removal	Subpart H Systems	SSF	IFE and CFE	Turbidity	 95th percentile IFE & CFE turbidity ≤ 1.0 NTU AND Maximum daily IFE and CFE turbidity ≤ 5.0 NTU 	• Measure IFE and CFE turbidity at intervals of 1-minute or less for continuous monitoring	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2024) ^b
Treatment Microbial removal	Subpart H Systems	SSF	IFE and CFE	Microbial	 When raw water TC most probable number or colony-forming units≥ 100 / 100 mL, then IFE TC Most Probable Number or colony- forming units ≤ 10 / 100 mL 	 Measure IFE and CFE TC by either Most Probable Number or colony-forming unit methods at least once per month during normal operation. Increase frequency to weekly with significant changes in raw water quality 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2024) ^b

Category	System/	Treatment	Monitoring		Goa	References	
	Source Water Type	Туре	Location	Parameter(s)	Performance	Monitoring	
					 When raw water TC Most Probable Number or colony- forming units < 100 / 100 mL, then IFE TC ≤ 5 / 100 mL 	(e.g., after storms, wildfires, changes to the source/watershed, seasonal changes, etc.)	
Treatment Microbial removal	Subpart H Systems	SSF	Plant Effluent	Microbial	 TC absent, whenever IFE or CFE turbidity > 1.0 NTU 	 Measure TC (presence/ absence) weekly when IFE or CFE turbidity > 1.0 NTU 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2024) ^b
Treatment Disinfection Byproduct (DBP) Control	All	All	Untreated raw water and treated water	Total Organic Carbon (TOC) Removal	 Meet Stage 1 Disinfection/ Disinfection Byproducts (D/DBP) Rule TOC removal requirements for enhanced coagulation, which are based on source water alkalinity and TOC levels, or an alternative compliance criterion, as a running annual average (RAA) of the performance ratio (PR, actual/required removal) plus a factor of safety of 10% (or PR ≥ 1.1) 	 Collect monthly raw and treated water TOC samples Collect source water alkalinity 	Stage 1 D/DBP Rule (1998) ^d Primacy agency implementation experience
Treatment DBP Control	All	All	Plant Effluent	DBPs	 Adopt system specific goals for DBPs which are either a discrete value or range, based on an RAA. Suggested goals may be 30% to 50% of long term locational running annual average (LRAA) goals (e.g., 20-30 ppb for total trihalomethanes (TTHM), 15- 20 ppb for haloacetic acids (HAA5)). 	• Collect quarterly TTHM and HAA5 samples at the plant effluent on the same day as distribution system (DS) compliance sites.	Water Research Foundation (2010) ^e
Treatment Disinfectant Residual Stability	All	Chloramine Systems	Plant Effluent	Free Ammonia	 Maintain a detectable free ammonia residual in the plant effluent ≤ 0.10 mg/L as NH₃-N 	 Monitor free ammonia in the plant effluent on a routine basis (daily, at a minimum; more frequently if needed) 	American Water Works Association (2013) ^f

Category	System/	Treatment	nt Monitoring		Goa	References	
	Source Water Type	Туре	Location	Parameter(s)	Performance	Monitoring	
Distribution System (DS)	All	All	DS	Disinfectant Residual	 At all monitoring sites: 95th percentile ≥ 0.20 mg/L free chlorine residual in systems that use free chlorine as a secondary disinfectant OR 95th percentile ≥ 1.50 mg/L monochloramine residual in systems that use chloramines as a secondary disinfectant 	 Monitor disinfectant residual at bacteriological and DBP compliance sites, all active EPTDS, all storage tanks (preferably while draining), and at a minimum of four critical sites (one in each quadrant of the system) identified by investigative sampling. Conduct monitoring at least monthly and more frequently during elevated water temperature. 	American Water Works Association (2013) ^f American Water Works Association (2017) ^g
Distribution System (DS)	All	All	DS	DBPs	 Individual Site Goal: Quarterly maximum LRAA TTHM/HAA5 values not to exceed 70/50 ppb Long-Term System Goal: Average of maximum LRAA TTHM/HAA5 values not to exceed 60/40 ppb (the average of the last 8 quarters cannot exceed 60/40 ppb) 	 Collect quarterly DBP samples at all compliance locations at systems in compliance with the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule Collect monthly DBP samples at all compliance locations at system not in compliance with the Stage 2 D/DBP Rule 	Stage 2 D/DBP Rule (2006) ^h U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006) ⁱ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2019) ^j

Operational Guidelines

Туре	System/	Treatment	M	onitoring	Goal Type	References	
	Source Water Type	Туре	Location	Parameter(s)	Performance	Monitoring	
Source	All	All	Raw Water	Assess potential oxidant demand	 Results of monitoring informs disinfection practices and related goals (below) 	 Measure parameters that may exert an oxidant demand (e.g., manganese, iron, free ammonia, natural organic matter). May be done on a routine basis (e.g., weekly), or seasonally, as appropriate for the source. 	Primacy agency implementation experience
Treatment	All	MF/UF	Filter influent and IFE	Recovery after cleaning as indicated by post clean-in-place (CIP) normalized permeability	 Post-CIP normalized permeability (or specific flux, M20) ≥ 90% of the Reference Permeability 	 Determined after each CIP 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2005) ^c
Treatment	Subpart H Systems	SSF	IFE	Ripening as indicated by IFE TC, <i>E. coli</i> , and turbidity	 Filter-to-waste at least 24 hours AND until sampling demonstrates the following: IFE TC Most Probable Number or colony-forming units ≤ 5 / 100 mL IFE E. coli Most Probable Number or colony-forming unit absent (0/100 mL) IFE turbidity ≤ 1.0 NTU 	• Sample no earlier than 24 hours after the start of filtering to waste	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2024) ^b
Treatment	All	Chloramine Systems	Plant Effluent	Chlorine dose and residual, ammonia concentration	 Maintain a chlorine-to-nitrogen mass ratio between 4.5:1 and 5.0:1 (or chlorine-to-ammonia mass ratio between 3.7:1 and 4.1:1), which should result in a detectable free ammonia in the plant effluent that is ≤ 0.10 mg/L as NH3-N. 	 Monitor applicable parameters on a daily (at a minimum) basis to ensure this is met 	American Water Works Association (2013) ^f
Distribution System (DS)	All	Chloramine Systems	EPTDS and DS	Nitrification Indicators	 Maintain ≥ 1.50 mg/L monochloramine residual at all monitoring sites 	 At a minimum, monitor at all active EPTDS and in the DS (see Disinfectant Residual Monitoring 	American Water Works Association (2013) ^f

Туре	System/	Treatment	M	onitoring	Goal Type	References	
	Source Water Type	Туре	Location	Parameter(s)	Performance	Monitoring	
						 Goals for locations and frequency) for: Monochloramine and free ammonia at sample locations where monochloramine is ≤ 1.50 mg/L; nitrate may also be monitored to further assess nitrification. Consider additional Nitrification Monitoring Plan requirements 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2023) ^k See examples from Primacy agency implementation experience (refer to Illinois ¹ , Louisiana ^m , Pennsylvania ⁿ , and Texas ^o)
Distribution System (DS)	Consecutive Systems	All	EPTDS	Disinfectant Residual and DBPs	 Establish disinfectant residual and DBP goals at EPTDS for consecutive systems, which provide water quality at levels that could meet disinfectant residual goals and the Long-Term System Goals for TTHM and HAA5. 	 On a routine basis, monitor appropriate disinfectant residuals (e.g., free chlorine; or monochloramine and free ammonia for chloramine systems) and collect DBP samples. Refer to the Disinfectant Monitoring Goals and DBP Monitoring Goals for additional guidance. 	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2019) ^j Primacy agency implementation experience
Distribution System (DS)	All	All	Storage tanks	Storage Tank level data, generally from PWS's SCADA	 Maintain an average turnover time < 5 days; or establish and maintain an acceptable water turnover rate at each storage facility to maintain water quality. Maintain good mixing (i.e., PR* ≥ 1) at all times; for tanks where the PR cannot be calculated, adequate mixing (i.e., uniform water quality) should be confirmed by alternate means (e.g., tank profiling/water quality sampling). 	• Establish a baseline and then assess tank turnover and mixing PR. Additional assessments should be done when tank demand changes, which may impact the frequency or span (i.e., minimum and maximum tank levels).	American Water Works Association Research Foundation (2000) ^p American Water Works Association Research Foundation (1999) ^q

Туре	System/	Treatment	M	onitoring	Goal Type	2	References
	Source Water Type	Туре	Location	Parameter(s)	Performance	Monitoring	
Distribution System	All	All	Distribution System	Pressure Management	 Minimum pressure: 20 psi for 99.5% of daily minimum daily readings Maximum pressure: less that utility-set maximum for 95% of measurements Pressure fluctuation range: less than utility-set pressure fluctuation range for 95% of measurements 	 Permanent continuous pressure monitors should be installed at minimum and maximum pressure locations within each pressure zone. 	Water Research Foundation (2010) ^e
Distribution System	All	All	Distribution System	Main Breaks Frequency	 ≤ 15 breaks and/or leaks per 100 miles of distribution system mains per year (excluding service lines and private mains). Systems not meeting the ≤ 15 breaks per 100 miles of main per year are considered optimized if they have a 5-year declining trend. 	 Maintain and track main break data to assess performance. 	Water Research Foundation (2010) ^e

Acronym List (listed by appearance)

AWOP – Area-Wide Optimization Program	DBP – disinfection byproduct
PWS – public water system	TOC – total organic carbon
SW – surface water	D/DBP – Disinfection/Disinfection Byproduct
GW – groundwater	RAA – running annual average
GWUDI – groundwater under the influence of surface water	PR – performance ratio
SSF – slow sand filtration	LRAA – locational running annual average
TC – total coliform	TTHM – total trihalomethanes
IFE – individual filter effluent	HAA5 – haloacetic acids
CFE – combined filter effluent	DS – distribution system
MF/UF – low pressure membrane filtration	EPTDS – entry point to the distribution system
LRV – log removal value	CIP – clean-in-place

References

^a U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2004). Optimizing Water Treatment Plant Performance Using the Composite Correction Program. Cincinnati, Ohio: U.S. EPA. doi:EPA/625/6-91-027.

^b U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2024). U.S. EPA Area-Wide Optimization Program (AWOP) Water Quality Goals and Operational Criteria for Optimization of Slow Sand Filtration. Cincinnati, Ohio: U.S. EPA. doi:EPA 815-B-24-011.

^c U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2005). Membrane Filtration Guidance Manual. Cincinnati, Ohio: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. doi:EPA 815-R-06-009

^d National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Stage 1 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule (Stage 1 DBPR) 63 FR 69390, December 16, 1998, Vol. 63, No. 241.

^e Friedman, M., Kirmeyer, G., Lemieux, J., LeChevallier, M., Seidl, S., & Routt, J. (2010). *Criteria for Optimized Distribution Systems*. Denver, Colorado: Water Research Foundation Project #4109. doi:978-1-60573-108-7

- ^f American Water Works Association. (2013). Manual of Water Supply Practices M56: Nitrification Prevention and Control in Drinking Water (2nd ed.). Denver, Colorado: AWWA. doi:978-1-58321-935-5
- American Water Works Association. (2017). Manual of Water Supply Practices M68: Water Quality in Distribution Systems (1st ed.). Denver, Colorado: AWWA. doi:978-1-62576-226-9

^h National Primary Drinking Water Regulations: Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule (Stage 2 DBPR) 71 FR 388, January 4,2006, Vol. 71, No. 2.

¹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2006). *Explanation of DBP Optimization Goals*. Cincinnati, Ohio: U.S. EPA. Internal Document: unpublished.

¹ U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2019). Stage 2 Disinfectants and Disinfection Byproducts Rule (DBPR) and Consecutive System In-Depth Analysis. Washington, D.C.: U.S. EPA. doi:EPA 815-R-19-001

^kU.S. Environmental Protection Agency. (2023). *Distribution System Water Quality: Protecting Distribution System Water Quality through Control of Nitrification* [Fact sheet]. Washington, D.C.: U.S. EPA. doi:EPA 815-F-22-009.

Illinois Environmental Protection Agency. Nitrification Action Plan. Sample Collector's Handbook. 2019.

^mLouisiana Department of Health (2017). Nitrification Action Plan. Retrieved from Louisiana Department of Health Website: https://ldh.la.gov/assets/oph/Center-

EH/engineering/Disinfection/Nitrification_Plans.pdf

ⁿ Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (2018). *Nitrification Control Plan for Chloraminated Distribution Systems Instructions*. Retrieved from State of Pennsylvania Website: <u>https://files.dep.state.pa.us/publicparticipation/Advisory%20Committees/AdvCommPortalFiles/TAC/2019/Jan31/Form%202%20Instructions_DRAFT.PDF</u>

• Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (2015). Fact Sheet on Chloramine Requirements. Retrieved from Texas Commission on Environmental Quality Website:

https://www.tceq.texas.gov/downloads/drinking-water/disinfectant-report/chloramine-fact-sheet.pdf

^p Grayman, W. M., Rossman, L. A., Arnold, C., Deininger, R. A., Smith, C., Smith, J. F., & Schnipke, R. (2000). Water Quality Modeling of Distribution System Storage Facilities. Denver, Colorado: American Water Works Association Research Foundation. Project #260. doi:1-58321-006-7

^q Kirmeyer, G. J., Kirby, L., Murphy, B. M., Noran, P. F., Martel, K. D., Lund, T. W., . . . Medhurst, R. (1999). *Maintaining Water Quality in Finished Water Storage Facilities*. Denver, Colorado: American Water Works Association Research Foundation Project #254. doi:0-89867-983-4