### IAG COVER SHEET

FILE NAME: Navydump.pdf

Title:

OLD NAVY DUMP/MANCHESTER LABORATORY (USEPA/NOAA)

Subject:

Region 10, X

Author:

DoD, Army, Environmental Protection Agency, EPA

Keywords:

07/30/97, 1997, FY97

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION 10 AND THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

IN THE MATTER OF:

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

28

The U.S. Department of the Army, Old Navy Dump, Manchester Annex Manchester, Washington INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT UNDER CERCLA SECTION 120, 42 U.S.C. §9620

Administrative Docket No.: CERCLA 1094-06-12-120

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

17	•		
	Part	Title	Page
18			_
\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \	I.	Jurisdiction	3
19	II.	Definitions	4
	III.	Purpose	
20	IV.	Parties Bound	11
	٧.	RCRA-CERCLA Integration	12
21	VI.	Findings of Fact	14
Į	VII.	Regulatory Determinations	17
22	VIII.	Scope of Agreement	18
- 1	· ·	A. Work to be Performed	18
23		B. Interim Remedial Actions	19
1		C. Remedial Investigations	19
24	.,	D. Feasibility Studies	20
		E. Remedial Actions	20
25		F. Compliance with the Off-Site Rule	. 21
j		G. Implementation of Remedial Actions	21
26	IX.	Project Managers	21
·	X.	Access	24
27	XI.	Sampling and Data/Document Availability	26

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

PAGE 1 of 65

- 11			
1	XII.		27
2	XIII. XIV.		27 29
_	XV.	Permits	<del>2</del> 9
3	XVI.		30
Ĭ	XVII.	Public Participation and Administrative Record .	30
4	XVIII.		32
_	XIX.	Five Year Review	34
5	XX.		35
6			35
٥١		B. General Process for RI/FS and RD/RA Documents C. Primary Documents	36
7	<b>.</b>		۶٥ 38
. 1	•	E. Meetings of the Project Managers on	-
8		Development of Documents	38
- [		F. Identification and Determination of	
9		Potential ARARs	39
		G. Review and Comment on Draft Documents	39
10		H. Availability of Dispute Resolution	
_			42 42
11		<b></b>	42 43
12	XXI.		44
12	XXII.	Enforceability	48
13	XXIII.		50
}	XXIV.	Deadlines	52
14	XXV.	Extensions	53
ļ	XXVI.		56
15	XXVII.		57
ا م	XXVIII.		59 59
16	XXIX.	Other Claims	60
17	XXXI.		61
	XXXII.	Modification/Amendment of Agreement	61
18	XXXIII.	Severability	62
· <sup>-,</sup>	XXXIV.		62
19	XXXV.	Reservation of Rights	63
_ `1	XXXVI.	Effective Date	63
20	,		
			-
21	Attachment	<u>s</u> .	
22	Α.	Implementation Plan	
	В.	RI/FS Management Plan	
23	c.	RD/RA SOW (pursuant to paragraph 8.5)	
	D.	RA Work Plan (pursuant to paragraph 8.5)	
.24			
~-			
25	<b>.</b>		
26			
20			-
27			
- v .			•
~~ '	,		

Based on the information available to the Parties on the effective date of this Interagency Agreement ("Agreement"), and without trial or adjudication of any issues of fact or law, the Parties agree as follows:

#### I. JURISDICTION

Each Party is entering into this Agreement pursuant to the following authorities:

- Agency ("U.S. EPA"), Region 10, enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to the Remedial Investigation/
  Feasibility Study ("RI/FS") for the Site pursuant to Section 120(e)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response,
  Compensation, and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), 42 U.S.C.
  § 9620(e)(1), as amended by the Superfund Amendments and
  Reauthorization Act of 1986 ("SARA"), Pub. L. 99-499 (hereinafter jointly referred to as "CERCLA") and Executive Order 12580;
- 1.2 U.S. EPA, Region 10, enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to interim actions and final remedial actions for the Site pursuant to Section 120(e)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9620(e)(2); and Executive Order 12580;
- The Department of the Army enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to the RI/FS for the Site pursuant to Sections 101, and 120(e)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601, and 9620(e)(1); the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP), 10 U.S.C. §2701 et. seq.; Executive Order 12580; and the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. §4321;

1.4

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

The Department of the Army enters into those portions of this Agreement for the Site that relate to interim actions and final remedial actions pursuant to Sections 101 and 120(e)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601 and 9620(e)(2); the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP); and Executive Order 12580.

#### II. DEFINITIONS

- The terms used in this Agreement shall have 2.1 the same meaning as defined in Section 101 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601; the NCP, 40 C.F.R. §300.5; and Section 1004 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §6903. In addition:
- "Agreement" shall mean this document and (a) shall include all Attachments to this document. All such Attachments are incorporated by reference and are an integral and enforceable part of this document;
- (b) "ARAR" or "Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirement shall mean any standard, requirement, criterion, or limitation as provided in Section 121(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d)(2), and the NCP;
- "Army" or "DA" shall mean the United States (c) Department of the Army and, to the extent necessary to effectuate the terms of this Agreement (including appropriations and congressional reporting requirements), its employees, agents, successors, assigns, and authorized representatives. By letter dated June 29, 1994 DA transmitted to USACE the current FUDS Charter. This letter references the DOD delegation to DA in an

25

26

"DERP" shall mean the Defense Environmental

Restoration Account as established under 10 U.S.C. §2703;

Restoration Program, 10 U.S.C. §2701 et seq., which is the

Secretary of Defense's program of environmental restoration; the DERP program includes Formerly Used Defense Sites (FUDS);

- (k) "DOD" shall mean the United States
  Department of Defense;
- (1) "Early Action Options Report" shall mean the document, and its supplements, identifying and describing actions (if any) that will be taken to protect human health or the environment prior to the implementation of the Remedial Action.
- (m) "Ecology" shall mean the State of
  Washington, as represented by the Department of Ecology, its
  employees, agents, and authorized representatives;
- (n) "FUDS" shall mean Formerly Used Defense
  Site. Formerly Used Defense Sites are facilities or Sites within
  the meaning of the Defense Environmental Restoration Program
  (DERP) which were under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of
  Defense and owned by, leased to, or otherwise possessed by the
  United States at the time of actions leading to contamination by
  hazardous substances;
- (o) "Implementation Plan" shall mean the CERCLA/NCP response action process for implementation of this Agreement which is set forth as Attachment A.
- (p) "Interim Remedial Actions" or "IRAs" are discussed in the Preamble to 40 C.F.R. § 300.430(a)(1), 55 Fed. Reg. 8703-8706 (March 8, 1990), and shall mean all discrete actions implemented under remedial authority that are taken to prevent or minimize the release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants so that they do not endanger human

health or the environment. Interim actions shall neither be inconsistent with nor preclude implementation of the final expected Site remedy and shall be undertaken in accordance with the NCP, 40 C.F.R. Part 300, as amended, and with the requirements of CERCLA;

- (q) "NCP" shall mean the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part 300, as amended;
- (r) "Paragraph" shall mean a numbered paragraph of this Agreement, designated by an Arabic numeral;
- (s) "Part" shall mean one of the thirty-six (36) subdivisions of this Agreement, designated by a Roman numeral;
- (t) "Parties" shall mean the Department of the Army and U.S. EPA;
- (u) "Project Manager" shall mean the parties' Project Managers, and representatives so designated by the Project Managers.
- (v) "RCRA" shall mean the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 6901 et seq., as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 ("HSWA"), Pub. L. 98-616, or as further amended;
- (w) "Record of Decision" or "ROD" is discussed at 40 C.F.R. § 300.430 and shall mean the document that summarizes the selection of an interim remedial action or a final remedial action, and summarizes the facts, analyses of facts, and source-specific policy determinations considered in the course of carrying out activities at the Site;

(x) "Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study
Management Plan" shall mean the comprehensive document describing
all activities planned within the RI and the FS process. The
Management Plan generally includes the Work Plan, Sampling and
Analysis Plan, Quality Assurance Plan, Data Management Plan,
Community Relations Plan ("CRP"), and Treatability Study Work
Plan (as needed). The parties recognize that the Management Plan
has been submitted by USACE and accepted by EPA prior to the date
of this IAG;

(y) "Scope of Work" ("SOW") shall mean the planning document prepared in accordance with OSWER Directive 9835.8, that identifies the source-specific objectives and general management approach for the RD/RA and shall be as described in Section VII of the Implementation Plan;

National Priority List for "Old Navy Dump/Manchester Laboratory" at 59 Federal Register No. 103, 27989 (May 31, 1994) and releases therefrom. For the purposes of this Agreement, "Site" includes only area(s) contaminated as a result of former Department of Defense ownership/operations or contaminated due to migration from the source and adjacent areas needed to respond to FUDS contamination;

(aa) "USACE" shall mean the United States Army
Corps of Engineers as the executive agent of the DA for all
purposes of this Agreement and, to the extent necessary to
effectuate the terms of this Agreement (including appropriations
and congressional reporting requirements), its employees, agents,

to:

·24 

28 I

successors, assigns, and authorized representatives.

(bb) "U.S. EPA" or "EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency, including Region 10, its employees, agents, and authorized representatives;

(cc) "Work Plan" shall mean the RI/FS,
Treatability Study, RD, or RA Work Plan that is to be prepared in accordance with Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response
("OSWER") Directives 9355.3-01 (October 1988) and 9355.0-4A (June 1986), this Agreement and the NCP. Such Plan shall include schedules establishing deadlines and target dates for upcoming work.

#### III. PURPOSE

3.1 The general purposes of this Agreement are

(a) Ensure that the environmental impacts associated with Department of Defense activities at the site are thoroughly investigated and that appropriate removal and/or remedial action(s) are taken as necessary to protect the public health, welfare, and the environment. This Agreement is not intended to address contamination caused by non-FUDS activities. It is the presumption of the Parties, based on current information, that the contamination is a result of FUDS. If non-FUDS contamination is discovered at the site, this Agreement may be reviewed and renegotiated. If the DA determines that non-FUDs contamination exists, the DA shall review this agreement and determine whether the agreement requires renegotiation. The DA's determination

28 || INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX

CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

subject to the Disputes Resolution process set forth in Part XXI;

regarding whether there has been non-FUDs contamination shall be

- (b) Establish a procedural framework and schedule for developing, implementing, and monitoring appropriate response actions at the site in accordance with CERCLA, the NCP, national Superfund quidance and policy; and,
- (c) Facilitate cooperation, exchange of information, and participation of the Parties in such actions.
- 3.2 Specifically, the purposes of this Agreement are to:
- (a) Identify those sources of contamination that will be addressed under this Agreement using historical information about the site which has been provided to EPA by USACE;
- (b) Identify removal and Interim Remedial Action(s)

  ("IRA") alternatives that are appropriate at the site prior to
  the implementation of final remedial action(s) for the site. IRA
  alternatives shall be identified and proposed to the Parties as
  early as possible prior to formal proposal of IRA(s) to U.S. EPA
  pursuant to CERCLA. This process is designed to promote
  cooperation between the Parties in identifying IRA alternatives
  prior to selection of final IRA(s);
- (c) Establish requirements for the performance of a Remedial Investigation ("RI") to determine fully the nature and extent of the threat to the public health or welfare or the environment caused by the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at the site, and to establish requirements for the performance of an FS for

the site to identify, evaluate, and select alternatives for the appropriate remedial action(s) to prevent, mitigate, or abate the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants at the site in accordance with CERCLA and applicable state law;

- (d) Identify the nature, objective, and schedule of response actions to be taken at the site. Response actions at the site shall attain that degree of cleanup of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants mandated by CERCLA;
- (e) Implement the selected interim and final remedial action(s) in accordance with CERCLA, and meet the requirements of Section 120(e)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9620(e)(2), for an interagency agreement among the Parties;
- (f) Coordinate response actions at the site with the activities occurring at the operating facilities at the site including the EPA Manchester Laboratory, the National Marine Fisheries Service Laboratory, the Manchester State Park, and the Naval Fuel Supply Depot at Manchester;
- (g) Expedite the cleanup process to the extent consistent with protection of human health and the environment;
- (h) Provide for operation and maintenance of any remedial action selected and implemented pursuant to this Agreement.

#### IV. PARTIES BOUND

4.1 This Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon the Department of the Army (through the agency of the USACE)

and U.S. EPA. The USACE is the agency implementing, performing, and administering this Agreement for the Department of the Army.

- 4.2 USACE will notify U.S. EPA of the identity of its contractors performing work under this Agreement thirty (30) days after signing of this document for existing contractors and within thirty (30) days of selection of each new contractor. USACE shall provide copies of this Agreement to all contractors performing work under this Agreement.
- 4.3 Under no condition shall a Party under this Agreement utilize the services of any consultant, prime contractor, or subcontractor who has been suspended, debarred, or voluntarily excluded within the scope of 40 C.F.R. Part 32 or under the Federal Acquisition Regulation ("FAR") at 48 C.F.R. Subpart 9.4 et seq.
- 4.4 Each undersigned representative of a Party certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Agreement in regard to contamination at the site which may be attributable to FUDS and certifies that he/she is fully authorized to legally bind such Party to this Agreement.

#### V. RCRA-CERCLA INTEGRATION

5.1 The Parties recognize that the State of Washington is authorized for RCRA (including corrective action) and, as such, implements almost all components of the RCRA program in lieu of U.S. EPA. Subject to RCRA determinations made by the State of Washington pursuant to that authorization, the

PAGE 12 of 65

7

8

13 14

1.5

16

17

1.8 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Order 12580.

corrective action.

5.3

Parties intend that activities covered by this Agreement will be

deemed to achieve compliance with CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601 et seq.;

§3008(H), 42 U.S.C. §6928(h), for interim status facilities; and

federal and state laws and regulations, to the extent required by

Based upon the foregoing, the Parties intend

Releases or other hazardous waste activities

The Parties recognize that the requirement

Nothing in this Agreement shall alter any

to meet or exceed all applicable or relevant and appropriate

that any remedial action selected, implemented, and completed

under this Agreement shall be deemed to be protective of human

health and the environment such that remediation of releases

covered by this Agreement shall obviate the need for further

not covered by this Agreement remain subject to all applicable

to obtain permits for response actions undertaken pursuant to

this Agreement shall be as provided for in CERCLA and the NCP.

Party's authority with respect to removal actions conducted

actions conducted at the site shall be conducted in a manner

consistent with this Agreement, CERCLA, the NCP, and Executive

pursuant to Section 104 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9604. Any removal

Section 121 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9621.

state and federal environmental requirements.

to satisfy the corrective action requirements of RCRA §§3004(u)

6.1 For purposes of this Agreement, the following constitutes a summary of the facts upon which this Agreement is based. None of the facts related herein shall be considered admissions by any Party and they shall not be used for any purpose other than determining the jurisdictional basis of this Agreement.

- 6.2 The Old Navy Dump (Manchester Annex) facility constitutes 39.50 acres of uplands and an unquantified amount of tidelands within Clam Bay.
- facility was acquired with other adjacent lands by the U.S. Army in 1898 and was transferred to the U.S. Navy in 1919. In about 1960, the Navy transferred the Old Navy Dump facility and other adjacent property to the U.S. General Services Administration (GSA). In about 1967, 1968, and 1970, GSA transferred portions of the property to other U.S. government agencies.
- 17 acres of the site to the United States Public Health Service.

  Between 1967 and 1973 the U. S. Public Health Service considered use of the property for a laboratory, but no significant activities were conducted. In 1973, the 17 acres were transferred from the U. S. Public Health Service to EPA. From 1973 to 1979, the 17 acres were occupied by one trailer and one person conducting bioassay testing. In July 1979, EPA completed construction of an analytical laboratory and has since occupied the property for this purpose.

- 21

6.5 In about 1968, GSA transferred approximately 22.5 acres of the site to the U.S. Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife. Upon establishment of the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), the property subsequently was transferred to the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) for use by NMFS. Since the transfer in 1968, the NMFS has operated a fisheries research facility on the property.

6.6 Portions of the site may extend onto adjacent property currently owned by Washington State Parks (property conveyed from GSA to the State in 1970) and by the U.S. Navy (Naval Supply Center Puget Sound).

the Navy used a portion of the site as a Naval Fire Fighter
Training School. The fire training school used a variety of
fuels, including waste oils, to generate training fires. The
school contained a number of above and below ground tanks and
piping formerly used to store the fuels, to pump in seawater to
extinguish the fires, and to wash and drain away residual fuels
and water at the conclusion of the training fires. The school
also contained a number of simulators in which the training fires
were set. In 1993, contractors for the USACE found petroleum
stained soils adjacent to some of the underground tanks. Oily
water containing PCBs was found in some of the underground tanks.
Soil containing Dioxin contamination was found inside the
simulators.

6.8 From the 1940's to the 1960's, the Navy used approximately five acres of the site to dispose of refuse, scrap

1,3

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.:1094-06-12-120

conducted by EPA found elevated levels of heavy metals including lead, mercury, and cadmium, PCBs, and asbestos in soils within the landfill. The Investigation found elevated concentrations of these same contaminants in sediments on the beach adjacent to the fill area.

6.9 The Navy used other portions of the site for

metal, and other waste from on-site operations and from the Puget

Sound Naval Shipyard in Bremerton. A 1992 Site Investigation

- 6.9 The Navy used other portions of the site for construction, repair, maintenance, and storage of submarine nets.
- 6.10 Based on current information, historic U.S. Navy operations prior to 1970 are determined to be the likely cause of the contamination identified at the site.
- 6.11 The site was proposed for inclusion on the CERCLA National Priorities List ("NPL") in January 1994. 59 Fed.

  Reg. No. 011, 2568 (Jan. 18, 1994). It was finally listed in May 1994. 59 Fed. Reg. No. 103, 2798 (May 31, 1994).
- 6.12 The site is a Formerly Used Defense Site (FUDS) within the meaning of the Department of Defense's Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP). The DA is the executive agent for implementation of DERP-FUDS. The Seattle District U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has been delegated the authority under DERP-FUDS to execute all response actions on this site which are approved by authorized officials of DA.
- 6.13 USACE is authorized, pursuant to Executive Order 12580, to receive notification of State ARARS as required by Section 121(d)(2)(A)(ii) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. \$9621(D)(2)(A)(ii).

6.14 The authority of USACE to exercise the delegated removal authority of the President, pursuant to Section 104 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9604, is not altered by this Agreement.

#### VII. REGULATORY DETERMINATIONS

- 7.1 For purposes of this Agreement, the following constitutes a summary of EPA's Regulatory

  Determinations upon which this Agreement is based. None of the Regulatory Determinations related herein are admissions nor are they legally binding upon any Party with respect to any unrelated claims of person(s) not a Party to this Agreement.
- 7.2 The site is a facility within the meaning of Section 101(9) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9601(9);
- 7.3 EPA and NOAA are current owners within the meaning of Section 107(a)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607(a)(1);
- 7.4 Hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants within the meaning of Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9601(14), have been disposed of at the facility;
- 7.5 There have been releases of hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants into the environment within the meaning of Sections 101(22), 104, 106, and 107 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9601(22), 9604, 9606, and 9607, at and from the facility;
- 7.6 With respect to the releases identified in paragraphs 6.7 and 6.8, the Department of Defense (U.S. Navy) was an owner and/or operator at the time of disposal of hazardous substances at the facility within the meaning of Section 107 of

CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9607. The USACE is the responsible federal agency acting on behalf of the Department of Defense as provided by DERP-FUDS;

- 7.7 The actions to be taken pursuant to this Agreement are reasonable and necessary to protect human health and the environment; and
- 7.8 A reasonable time for beginning and/or completing the actions has been, or will be, provided.

#### VIII. SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

#### A. Work to be Performed

- for by this Agreement has already been performed by USACE and that some work is ongoing. The Parties intend that such completed work and data generated prior to (or ongoing at the time of) the effective date of this Agreement be retained and utilized to the maximum extent technically feasible in accordance with applicable law.
- 8.2 USACE will conduct RI/FS or other consultant studies for the site in accordance with each RI/FS Management Plan or Work Plan and implement the RD/RA at the site in accordance with the appropriate RD and the RA Work Plan, and all relevant statutes and regulations.
- All work performed pursuant to this

  Agreement shall be under the direction and supervision, or in

  consultation with, a qualified engineer, geologist, or equivalent

  expert with expertise in hazardous substances remedial

\_ .

2<sup>2</sup>2

investigation and/or remediation.

- 8.4 USACE shall perform the tasks and submit plans, reports, and other documents as required by the Plans referenced in Paragraph 8.2.
- B.5 These matters are set forth in more detail below and in the RI/FS Management Plan (Attachment B of this IAG) and in the subsequent RD/RA SOW and the RA Work Plan, which, when final, shall be incorporated fully into this IAG as Attachment C and Attachment D respectively. This Agreement fully incorporates the provisions of these Plans as set forth in paragraph 2.1(a) of this document, including but not limited to, schedules, definitions and procedures for submission, review, and approval of documents. In the event of any inconsistency between this Agreement and the Plans, this Agreement shall govern unless and until duly amended pursuant to Part XXXII of this Agreement.

#### B. Interim Remedial Actions

8.6 USACE shall, where appropriate, develop and implement Interim Remedial Actions ("IRAs") for the site. The IRA(s) shall be consistent with the purposes set forth in Part III of this Agreement.

#### C. Remedial Investigations

8.7 USACE shall develop, implement, and report upon remedial investigations of the site. These investigations shall comply with applicable requirements of CERCLA; the NCP; the previously submitted RI/FS Management Plan; and, to the extent set forth in this Agreement, applicable U.S. EPA guidance, rules, regulations, and criteria. USACE may follow its own guidance, if

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

Disagreement regarding such consistency may be subject to the dispute resolution process at Part XXI of this Agreement.

#### D. Feasibility Studies

USACE can demonstrate that its guidance is consistent with the

USACE shall design, propose, undertake, and report upon feasibility studies for the site. These studies shall comply with applicable requirements of CERCLA and the NCP; and, to the extent set forth in this Agreement, applicable U.S. EPA guidelines, rules, regulations, and criteria, and the RI/FS Management Plan. USACE may follow its own guidance, if USACE can demonstrate that its guidance is consistent with the NCP. Disagreement regarding such consistency may be subject to the dispute resolution process at Part XXI of this Agreement.

#### Remedial Actions

In compliance with applicable requirements of CERCLA and the NCP; and, to the extent set forth in this Agreement, applicable U.S. EPA guidance, rules, regulations, and criteria, USACE shall develop and submit its proposed RA alternative for the site. USACE may follow its own guidance, if USACE can demonstrate that its quidance is consistent with the NCP. Disagreement regarding such consistency may be subject to the dispute resolution process at Part XXI of this Agreement. USDA in consultation with USEPA and with the State of Washington Department of Ecology shall make final selection of the RA(s) for each OU or if unable to reach agreement on selection of Remedial Action, final selection of the RA(s) shall be made by U.S. EPA.

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.:1094-06-12-120

#### F. Compliance With The Off-Site Rule

8.10 Any hazardous substance, pollutant or contaminant transferred off-site as a result of this Agreement must be taken to a facility acceptable under U.S. EPA's Off-Site Rule (40 CFR Part 300.440; 58 Fed. Reg. No. 182, 49200-49218, September 22, 1993) in accordance with Section 121(d)(3) of CERCLA, as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d)(3).

#### G. <u>Implementation of Remedial Actions</u>

8.11 Following finalization of any ROD, USACE shall design, propose, and submit, where necessary, a detailed RD Work Plan (if required) and/or RA Work Plan for implementation of each selected remedial action for the site, including appropriate schedules, to U.S. EPA. Following review by U.S. EPA and finalization of the RD or RA Work Plans in accordance with Part XX of this Agreement, USACE shall implement the remedial action(s) for the site in accordance with the requirements and schedules set forth in this Agreement.

#### IX. PROJECT MANAGERS

9.1 U.S. EPA and USACE shall each designate a Project Manager and Alternate (hereinafter jointly referred to as Project Manager) for the purpose of overseeing the implementation of this Agreement. Within five (5) days of the effective date of this Agreement, each Party shall notify the other Parties of the name and address of its Project Manager. Any Party may change its designated Project Manager by notifying the other Party, in writing, within five (5) days of the change. Communications

1.7 

. 

between the Parties concerning the terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be directed through the Project Managers as set forth in Part XIV of this Agreement. Each Project Manager shall be responsible for assuring that all communications from the other Project Manager are appropriately disseminated and processed by the respective Agencies.

- 9.2 The Project Manager or authorized representative for USACE shall be responsible for day-to-day field activities at the site. The Project Manager or authorized representative for USACE shall be physically present at the site, or reasonably available to supervise work, during all hours of work performed at the site pursuant to this Agreement.
- authority to: (1) take samples, request split samples, and ensure that work is performed properly and in accordance with the terms of any final Management Plan; (2) observe all activities performed pursuant to this Agreement, take photographs, and make such other reports on the progress of the work as the Project Manager deems appropriate; (3) review records, files, and documents relevant to this Agreement; (4) recommend and request minor field modifications to the work to be performed pursuant to the Agreement, or in techniques, procedures, or designs utilized in carrying out this Agreement; (5) exercise the authorities granted to the Project Manager in this Part, and the NCP; and (6) act in accordance with Paragraph 32.1 (Modification/Amendment of Agreement).
  - 9.4 Each Project Manager shall be, or rely on, a

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER A

CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

PAGE 23 of 65

qualified and competent person with experience in hazardous substances site investigations and remedial actions and having the skills necessary to implement this Agreement.

- 9.5 The Project Managers may make minor field modifications to the work to be performed pursuant to this Agreement, or in techniques, procedures, or designs utilized in carrying out this Agreement. Any minor field modification proposed by any Party pursuant to this Part must be approved orally by all Parties' Project Managers to be effective. The USACE Project Manager shall make a contemporaneous record of such modification and approval in a written log, and a summary of the log entry will be included in the next progress report.
- 9.6 The Project Managers may, upon mutual agreement, make minor field modifications to the work to be performed pursuant to this Agreement, or in techniques, procedures, or design utilized in carrying out this Agreement, which are necessary to the completion of the project without resort to Part XXXII of this Agreement. All modifications shall be documented in writing.
- 9.7 Subject to each agency's own internal requirements, the Project Managers for U.S. EPA and USACE are authorized to take the following actions without resort to Part XXXII of this Agreement: Review, comment on, and modify draft and draft final primary and secondary reports and documents pursuant to Part XX; coordinate dispute resolution, invoke dispute resolution, and issue written statements of dispute pursuant to Part XXI; approve the establishment and extension of

#### 

deadlines pursuant to Part XXV; and approve the addition or redefinition of operable units/hazardous waste areas.

9.9 The Project Managers shall be reasonably available to consult on work performed pursuant to this Agreement and shall make themselves available to each other for the pendency of this Agreement. The absence of the USACE or U.S. EPA Project Managers from the site shall not be cause for work stoppage or delay.

#### X. ACCESS

Agreement owns or controls property to which access pursuant to this Part is required, that party agrees to honor such requests for access by U.S. EPA or USACE, subject only to any statutory or regulatory requirement as may be necessary to protect national security or mission-essential activities.

10.2 Without limitation on any authority conferred on them by law, authorized representatives of the parties shall have authority to enter the work area under USACE control at all reasonable times for the purposes of, among other things: (1) inspecting records, operating logs, contracts, and other documents relevant to implementation of this Agreement; (2) reviewing the progress of USACE, its response action contractors, or agents in implementing this Agreement; (3) conducting such tests as the U.S. EPA Project Manager deems necessary; and (4) verifying the data submitted to U.S. EPA by USACE.

10.3

materials generated by or resulting from USACE's investigation or remediation work on the described property. USACE shall ensure that its waste management and its management of any materials connected with the investigation and remediation work on, or in connection with, the described property shall be in compliance with all applicable Federal, State, and local laws. USACE shall keep separate and distinct from the Manchester laboratory activities and shall clearly designate with signs all areas in which USACE's investigation and or remediation connected waste materials are stored, treated, or disposed of on the described property.

10.4 To the extent that this Agreement requires

the management, storage, and disposal of any investigation-

derived waste, and any hazardous substances, wastes, or other

USACE shall be completely responsible for

access to property not owned and controlled by parties to this Agreement, USACE shall make best efforts to obtain access and to obtain signed access agreements for itself, its contractors, agents, and U.S. EPA, and provide U.S. EPA with copies of such agreements. USACE may request the assistance of U.S. EPA in obtaining such access, and, upon such request, U.S. EPA will use its best efforts to obtain the required access.

10.5 Nothing in this Part shall be construed to limit the discretion of DOD to exercise the authority of the President under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e), as delegated to the Secretary of Defense by Executive Order 12580.

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### SAMPLING AND DATA/DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY XI.

- 11.1 The Parties shall make available to each other quality-assured results of sampling, tests, or other data generated by or on behalf of any Party under this Agreement within sixty (60) days following completion of the field event. If quality assurance is not completed within sixty (60) days, preliminary data or results shall be made available within the sixty (60) day period and quality assured data or results shall be submitted as they become available but in no event later than one hundred (100) days after the sampling or testing. periods can be extended upon mutual agreement among the Project Managers.
- At the request of the U.S. EPA Project Manager, the USACE shall allow split or duplicate samples to be taken by U.S. EPA during sample collection conducted during the implementation of this Agreement. The USACE's Project Manager shall notify the U.S. EPA Project Managers not less than fourteen (14) business days in advance of any scheduled well drilling, sample collection, or other monitoring activity, conducted pursuant to this Agreement. The Project Managers will be notified prior to any unscheduled sampling event. The fourteen (14) day notification can be waived upon mutual agreement among the Project Managers.
- If preliminary analysis indicates a potential imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, all Project Managers shall be immediately notified.
  - 11.4 Laboratory reports shall be made available

laboratory analysis.

28 ||

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

XII. QUALITY ASSURANCE

for review by the Parties immediately upon completion of

transportation, and analyses activities conducted in connection with this Agreement, USACE shall use procedures for quality assurance, for quality control, and for chain-of-custody in accordance with approved U.S. EPA methods, including "Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans," QAMS-005/80, "Data Quality Objective Guidance," U.S. EPA 1540/687/003 and 004, and subsequent amendments to such guidelines. USACE shall require each laboratory it uses to perform any analysis according to approved U.S. EPA methods and to demonstrate a quality assurance/quality control program consistent with that followed by U.S. EPA and consistent with U.S. EPA document QAMS-005/80.

#### XIII. REPORTING

uritten progress reports. The following reporting requirements were designed mainly for RI/FS activities. The reporting requirements may be modified for RD/RA activities. Such modifications will be made through the RD/RA SOW. The reports for RI/FS activities will include, but not be limited to, the following information:

- (a) A summary of all of the remedial, removal, and investigation activities not previously reported, including sampling and analytical results, any community relations activities, and a list of community contacts or inquiries related to the hazardous substance contamination at the site;
- (b) An outline of the planned activities for the upcoming 3 months;
- (c) A statement of the manner and the extent to which the timetables, deadlines and cost projections are being met, including a discussion of any problems encountered in the implementation of the Management Plans and their status;
- (d) The status of efforts to obtain rights-of-entry necessary for monitoring and well installation off-site;
- (e) The status of any other activities proposed or underway that may affect any phase of the activities described in the Implementation Plan (Attachment A); and
- f) The status of the critical path schedule of activities, showing how progress to date corresponds with the schedule for the project, including the impact, if any, on the upcoming primary and secondary deliverables as identified in paragraphs 20.3 through 20.7 of this Agreement.
- The monthly written progress reports shall be submitted on the twentieth (20th) day of each month beginning with the first full month following the effective date of this Agreement.

primary and secondary documents, and all notices required herein.

10

11

.12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Date of receipt of documents shall be used for purposes of computation of deadlines and time limitations.

14.2 Unless otherwise provided in this Agreement or unless changed by provision of written notice, notice to the

individual Parties shall be provided under this Agreement to the

(A) For USACE: Mark Ohlstrom

CENPS-EN-GT-HW

U.S. Army Engineer District, Seattle

P. O. Box 3755

4735 East Marginal Way South Seattle, Washington 98124-2255

All Parties shall expeditiously transmit

(206) 764-3457

Ralph Totorica CENPS-EN-6T-EM

U.S. Army Engineer District, Seattle

P. O. Box 3755.

4735 East Marginal Way South Seattle, Washington 98124-2255

(206) 764-6682

(B) For EPA:

14.1

following addresses:

Robert Kievit U.S. EPA Region 10, WOO

300 Desmond Drive

Lacey, Washington 98503

(206) 753-9014

#### XV. PERMITS

The Parties recognize that the requirement to obtain permits for response actions undertaken pursuant to this Agreement shall be as provided for in CERCLA and the NCP.

The Parties further recognize other potential ongoing hazardous

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

PAGE 29 of 65

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.:1094-06-12-120

waste management activities at the site by NOAA, EPA, or other landowners may require the issuance of permits under federal and State laws. This Agreement does not affect the requirements, if any, to obtain such permits.

#### XVI. RETENTION OF RECORDS

16.1 USACE shall preserve for a minimum of seven (7) years after termination of this Agreement all records and documents in its possession or in the possession of its divisions, employees, agents, accountants, contractors, or attorneys that relate to the presence of hazardous wastes and constituents, hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants at the site or to the implementation of this Agreement, despite any document retention policy to the contrary. After this seven (7) year period, USACE shall notify Ecology and U.S. EPA at least forty-five (45) days prior to destruction or disposal of any such documents or records. Upon request by EPA or Ecology, USACE shall make available such records or documents, or true copies to EPA and Ecology. After termination of this Agreement, documents may be converted to permanent electronic or optical media and paper originals disposed of after forty-five (45) days notification to U.S. EPA and Ecology, unless otherwise required by law.

#### XVII. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION AND ADMINISTRATIVE RECORD

17.1 The Parties agree that this Agreement and any subsequent plan(s) for remedial action at the site arising

27

28

out of this Agreement shall comply with the administrative record and public participation requirements of CERCLA, including Sections 113(k) and 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9613(k) and 9617, the NCP, U.S. EPA national guidance on public participation and administrative records and DERP guidance.

17.2 USACE shall develop and implement a Community Relations Plan ("CRP") that responds to the need for an interactive relationship with all interested community elements, both on- and off-site, regarding activities and elements of work undertaken by USACE. USACE agrees to develop and implement the CRP in a manner consistent with Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, the NCP, U.S. EPA national guidance, and DERP guidance.

17.3 USACE shall establish and maintain an administrative record at the EPA Manchester Laboratory and at the USACE Seattle District Office and shall provide a copy of current documents to the Manchester Library in Manchester, Washington, in accordance with Section 113(k) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(k). Current documents to be provided to the Manchester Library shall include the Administrative Record Index, Fact Sheets, Proposed Plans, and Records of Decision. A copy of this Agreement shall be placed in the administrative record. The administrative record shall be established and maintained in accordance with the NCP and with EPA guidance. U.S. EPA may also request that documents be included in the administrative record. A copy of each document included in the administrative record developed by USACE shall expeditiously be provided to U.S. EPA upon written request. USACE shall provide to U.S. EPA an Index of documents

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

INTERACENCY AGREEMENT OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX) CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

in the administrative record on a quarterly basis, if changes have occurred.

USACE and EPA have established a workgroup 17.4 for the purposes of keeping interested parties informed of CERCLA activities at the site and for providing interested parties a forum for individual involvement in a wide variety of siterelated decisions including Site analysis and characterization. future site uses, alternatives development and analysis, and selection of remedy. The workgroup(s) may include residents and workers in the area of the site; elected officials representing those residents and workers; site property owners; adjacent property owners; federal, state, or local agencies with a vested interest in CERCLA activities at the site, environmental groups, and natural resource trustees. This workgroup will be incorporated into, or become a part of, the Restoration Advisory Board (RAB), if a RAB is created.

CREATION OF DANGER/EMERGENCY ACTION

In the event U.S. EPA determines that 18.1 activities conducted pursuant to this Agreement, or any other circumstances or activities, may create an imminent and substantial endangerment to the health or welfare of the people on the site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, U.S. EPA may require or order USACE to stop further implementation of this Agreement for such period of time as needed to abate the danger. Any unilateral work stoppage for longer then twenty-four (24) hours requires the concurrence of

21

22

14

15

16

17

18

24

23

26

25

27

28

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.:1094-06-12-120

the U.S. EPA Region 10 Director of the Office of Environmental Cleanup ("OEC Director"), in accordance with Paragraph 21.10.

In the event USACE determines that activities undertaken in furtherance of this Agreement or any other circumstances or activities at the site may create an imminent and substantial endangerment to the health or welfare of the people on the Site or in the surrounding area or to the environment, USACE may stop implementation of this Agreement for such periods of time necessary for U.S. EPA to evaluate the situation and determine whether USACE should proceed with implementation of the Agreement or whether the work stoppage should be continued until the danger is abated. USACE shall notify U.S. EPA as soon as is possible, but not later than twenty-four (24) hours after such stoppage of work, and provide U.S. EPA with documentation of its analysis in reaching this determination. If U.S. EPA disagrees with the USACE determination, it may require USACE to resume implementation of this Agreement.

USACE, or if U.S. EPA requires or orders a work stoppage by USACE, or if U.S. EPA requires or orders a work stoppage, USACE's obligations shall be suspended and the time periods for performance of that work, as well as the time period for any other work dependent upon the work that was stopped, shall be extended, pursuant to Part XXV of this Agreement. Any disagreements pursuant to this Part shall be resolved through the dispute resolution procedures in Part XXI of the Agreement by referral directly to the DRC.

9

11

12

13 14

15 ·

17

16

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

Agreement, USACE retains the right, consistent with Executive Order 12580, to conduct such emergency actions as may be necessary to alleviate immediate threats to human health or the environment from the release or threat of release of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants at or from the Old Navy Dump, Manchester Annex Superfund Site in Manchester, Washington. Such actions may be conducted at any time, either before or after the issuance of a ROD.

#### XIX. FIVE YEAR REVIEW

Consistent with Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 19.1 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and in accordance with this Agreement, if a remedial action is selected under this Agreement that results in any hazardous substances, pollutants, or contaminants remaining at the site which would prevent unrestricted or unlimited use of the site, the Parties shall review such remedial action no less often than each five (5) years after the initiation of such remedial action to assure that human health and the environment are being protected by the remedial action being implemented. The U.S. EPA Project Manager shall advise the USACE Project Manager of its findings in this regard. If either Party determines that additional action is appropriate in accordance with Sections 104 or 106 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604 or 9606, the Agreement may be amended pursuant to Part XXXII. Parties are unable to agree on the need to amend this Agreement, dispute resolution under Part XXI shall be available to any

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

## CONSULTATION WITH U.S. EPA

XX.

#### Applicability

20.1 The provisions of this Part establish the procedures that shall be used by the Parties to provide each other with appropriate notice, review, comment, and response to comments regarding RI/FS and RD/RA documents, specified herein as either primary or secondary documents. In accordance with Section 120 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9620 and 10 U.S.C. § 2705, USACE will normally be responsible for issuing primary and secondary documents to U.S. EPA. As of the effective date of this Agreement, all draft final documents for any deliverable document identified herein shall be prepared, distributed, and subject to dispute in accordance with Paragraphs 20.3 through 20.24.

20.2 The designation of a document as "draft" or "final" is solely for purposes of consultation with U.S. EPA in accordance with this Part. Such designation does not affect the obligation of the Parties to issue documents, which may be referred to herein as "final," to the public for review and comment as appropriate and as required by law.

#### General Process for RI/FS and RD/RA Documents

Primary documents include those documents that are major, discrete portions of RI/FS or RD/RA activities identified in paragraph 20.5. Primary documents are initially issued by USACE in draft subject to review and comment by U.S.

EPA.

document, USACE will respond to the comments received and issue a draft final primary document subject to dispute resolution. The draft final primary document will become the final primary document either thirty (30) days after the submittal of a draft final document if dispute resolution is not invoked, unless otherwise agreed as provided in Paragraph 20.18, or as modified by decision of the dispute resolution process. U.S. EPA shall, within the first fifteen (15) days of this thirty (30) day period for finalization of primary documents, identify to USACE any issues or comments in order to provide sufficient time for review, discussion, and modification of draft final documents as necessary to resolve potential disputes.

Following receipt of comments on a particular draft primary

20.4 Secondary documents include those documents that are discrete portions of the primary documents and are typically input or feeder documents. They are identified in paragraph 20.7. Secondary documents are issued by USACE in draft subject to review and comment by U.S. EPA. Although USACE will respond to comments received, the draft secondary documents may be finalized in the context of the corresponding primary documents. A secondary document may be disputed only at the time the corresponding draft final primary document is issued.

## C. Primary Documents

20.5 USACE shall complete and transmit draft documents for the following primary documents to USEPA for review and comment in accordance with the provisions of this Part:

(a) RI/FS Management Plan

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.:1094-06-12-120

(b) Early Action Options Report

- (c) RI/FS (if an FS is applicable)
- (d) Record of Decision
- (e) RD/RA Scope of Work
- (f) Pre-final (95%) Design
- (g) RA Work Plan
- (h) Remedial Action Report
- (i) Operation & Maintenace Report
- (j) Closeout Report

20.6 Only the draft final documents for the primary documents identified above or added pursuant to Part XXXII shall be subject to dispute resolution. USACE shall complete and transmit draft primary documents in accordance with the schedules and deadlines established pursuant to Part XXIV of this Agreement. The Implementation Plan (Attachment A) includes deadlines for submittal of draft documents (a) through (e) of Paragraph 20.5. The RD/RA SOW and the RAWP shall identify deadlines for submittal of draft documents (f) through (j) of Paragraph 20.5. Those deadlines identified in the RD/RA SOW and RAWP shall be considered automatically incorporated into Paragraph 20.5 of this Agreement upon submission of the final RD/RA SOW or RAWP. Primary documents may include secondary document target dates as provided for in Paragraph 20.8. purpose of target dates is to assist USACE in meeting deadlines, but target dates do not become enforceable by their inclusion in the primary documents and are not subject to Parts XXII, XXIII, XXIV, and/or XXV.

2Í

## D. Secondary Documents

- 20.7 USACE shall complete and transmit draft documents for the following secondary documents to U.S. EPA for review and comment in accordance with the provisions of this Part:
  - (a) Site Characterization Technical Memorandum;
  - (b) Treatability Study Work Plan, as needed;
  - (c) Preliminary Draft Remedial Investigation;
  - (d) Proposed Plan Annotated Outline;
  - (e) Other documents identified in the RD/RA Scope of Work and/or the RA Work Plan;
- 20.8 Although U.S. EPA may comment on the draft documents for the secondary documents listed above, such documents shall not be subject to dispute resolution except as provided by Paragraph 20.4. Target dates shall be established pursuant to Part XXIV of this Agreement for the completion and transmission of draft secondary documents.

## E. Meetings of the Project Managers on Development of Documents

approximately every fourteen (14) days, except as otherwise agreed by the Parties, to review and discuss the progress of work being performed at the site on the primary and secondary documents. Prior to preparing any draft document specified in Paragraphs 20.5 and 20.7 above, the Project Managers shall meet to discuss the document results in an effort to reach a common understanding, to the maximum extent practicable, with respect to the results to be presented in the draft document.

13

14

15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27

28

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.:1094-06-12-120

## . Identification and Determination of Potential ARARs

The USACE shall have the obligation of requesting 20.10 ARARs identification from the State of Washington Department of For those primary or secondary documents that consist of or include ARAR determinations, prior to the issuance of a draft document, the Project Managers may meet to identify and propose, to the best of their ability, all potential ARARs pertinent to the document being addressed. Draft ARAR determinations shall be prepared in accordance with Section 121(d)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(d)(2), the NCP, and pertinent written quidance issued by U.S. EPA, which is not inconsistent with CERCLA and the NCP. USACE may follow its own guidance if it can demonstrate that the guidance is consistent with the NCP. Disagreement regarding such consistency may be subject to the dispute resolution process at Part XXI of this The parties recognize that it is the obligation of Agreement. the USACE to identify all ARARs.

20.11 The Parties recognize that ARARs are identified on a site-specific basis and that ARARs depend on the specific hazardous substances, pollutants, and contaminants at a site, the particular actions proposed as a remedy, and the characteristics of the site. The Parties recognize that ARAR identification is an iterative process and that potential ARARs must be re-examined throughout the RI/FS process until a ROD is issued.

## G. Review and Comment on Draft Documents

20.12 USACE shall complete and transmit each draft primary document to U.S. EPA on or before the corresponding

deadline established for the issuance of the document. USACE shall complete and transmit the draft secondary document in accordance with the target dates established for the issuance of such documents.

Unless the Parties mutually agree to another time period, all draft documents shall be subject to a thirty (30) day period for review and comment. Review of any document by U.S. EPA may concern all aspects of the document (including completeness) and should include, but not be limited to, technical evaluation of any aspect of the document, and consistency with CERCLA, the NCP, applicable laws, and any pertinent guidance or policy issued by U.S. EPA. Comments by U.S. EPA shall be provided with adequate specificity so that USACE may respond to the comments and, if appropriate, make changes to the draft document. Comments shall refer to any pertinent sources of authority or references upon which the comments are based. Upon request of USACE, EPA shall provide a copy of the cited authority or reference. In cases involving complex or unusually lengthy reports, U.S. EPA may extend the thirty (30) day comment period for an additional twenty (20) days by written notice to USACE prior to the end of the thirty (30) day period. In unusual circumstances, U.S. EPA may request, in writing, USACE to extend the current period for an additional twenty (20) days, and USACE will not unreasonably deny such On or before the close of the comment period, U.S. EPA shall transmit by next day mail written comments to USACE.

20.14 Representatives of USACE shall make themselves

PAGE 40 of 65

22

23

24

25

26

. 

. 

28 | INT

readily available to U.S. EPA during the comment period for purposes of informally responding to questions and comments on draft documents. Oral comments made during such discussions need not be the subject of a written response by USACE on the close of the comment period.

20.15 In commenting on a draft document that contains a proposed ARAR determination, U.S. EPA shall include a reasoned statement of whether it objects to any portion of the proposed ARAR determination. To the extent that U.S. EPA objects, U.S. EPA shall explain the basis for the objection in detail and shall identify any ARARS that EPA believes were not properly addressed in the proposed ARAR determination.

draft document, USACE shall give full consideration to all written comments on the draft document submitted during the comment period. Within thirty (30) days of the close of the comment period on a draft secondary document, USACE shall transmit to U.S. EPA its written response to comments received within the comment period. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of EPA's comments on a draft primary document, USACE shall transmit to U.S. EPA a draft final primary document that shall include USACE's response to all written comments received within the comment period. While the resulting draft final document shall be the responsibility of USACE, it shall be the product of consensus to the maximum extent possible.

20.17 USACE may extend the thirty (30) day period for either responding to comments on a draft document or for issuing

the draft final primary document for an additional twenty (20) days by providing notice to U.S. EPA. In appropriate circumstances, this time period may be further extended in accordance with Part XXV.

## H. Availability of Dispute Resolution for Draft Final Primary Documents

20.18 If required for editing or mailing purposes,
Project Managers may agree, in writing, to extend by fifteen (15)
days the period for finalization of the draft final primary
documents provided in Paragraph 20.3 for discussion and
modification of draft final primary documents as necessary to
resolve potential disputes.

20.19 Dispute resolution shall be available to the Parties for draft final primary documents as set forth in Part XXI.

20.20 When dispute resolution is invoked on a draft final primary document, work may be stopped in accordance with the procedures set forth in Part XXI.

## I. Finalization of Documents

as the final primary document if neither Party invokes dispute resolution regarding the document or, if invoked, at completion of the dispute resolution process should USACE's position be sustained. If USACE's determination is not sustained in the dispute resolution process, USACE shall prepare, within not more than 21 days, a revision of the draft final document that conforms to the results of dispute resolution. In appropriate

15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

circumstances, the time period for this revision process may be extended in accordance with Part XXV hereof.

## Subsequent Modifications

Following finalization of any primary document pursuant to Paragraph 20.21 above, either Party may seek to modify the document, including seeking additional field work, pilot studies, computer modeling, or other supporting technical work, only as provided in Paragraphs 20.23 and 20.24.

20.23 A Party may seek to modify a document after finalization if it determines, based on new information (i.e., information that became available, or conditions that became known, after the document was finalized) that the requested modification is necessary. A Party may seek such a modification by submitting a concise written request to the Project Manager of the other Party. The request shall specify the nature of the requested modification and how the request is based on new information.

20.24 In the event that a written consensus among the Parties is reached, the modification shall be incorporated by reference and become fully enforceable under the Agreement. In the event that a consensus is not reached by the Project Managers on the need for a modification, either Party may invoke dispute resolution as provided in Part XXI to determine if such modification shall be conducted. Modification of a document shall be required only upon a showing that: (1) the requested modification is based on significant new information, and (2) the requested modification could be of significant assistance in

PAGE 43 of 65

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.:1094-06-12-120

evaluating effects on human health or the environment, in evaluating the selection of remedial alternatives, or in protecting human health and the environment.

20.25 Nothing in this Part shall alter U.S. EPA's ability to request the performance of additional work that was not contemplated by this Agreement. USACE's obligation to perform such work must be attributable to FUDS contamination and must be established by either a modification of a document or by amendment to this Agreement.

## XXI. RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

21.1 Except as specifically set forth elsewhere in this Agreement, if a dispute arises under this Agreement, the procedures of this Part shall apply. All Parties to this Agreement shall make reasonable efforts to informally resolve disputes at the Project Manager or immediate supervisor level. If resolution cannot be achieved informally, the procedures of this Part shall be implemented to resolve a dispute.

21.2 Within 30 days after: (1) the issuance of a draft final primary document pursuant to this Agreement, or (2) any action which leads to or generates a dispute, the disputing Party shall submit to the Dispute Resolution Committee (DRC) a written statement of dispute setting forth the nature of the dispute, the work affected by the dispute, the disputing Party's position with respect to the dispute, and the technical, legal, or factual information the disputing Party is relying upon to support its position.

21.3

Party in informal dispute resolution among the Project Managers and/or their immediate supervisors. During this informal dispute resolution period the Parties shall meet as many times as are necessary to discuss and attempt resolution of the dispute.

21.4 The DRC will serve as a forum for resolution

Prior to any Party's issuance of a written

of disputes for which agreement has not been reached through informal dispute resolution. The Parties shall each designate one individual and an alternate to serve on the DRC. The individuals designated to serve on the DRC shall be employed at the policy level (SES or equivalent) or be delegated the authority to participate on the DRC for the purposes of dispute resolution under this Agreement. The U.S. EPA representative on the DRC is the Director of the Office of Environmental Cleanup ("OEC Director") of U.S. EPA's Region 10 or his/her designate. USACE's designated member is the Seattle District Commander, or his/her designate. Written notice of any delegation of authority from a Party's designated representative on the DRC shall be provided to the other Party pursuant to the procedures of Part XIV, Notice to Parties.

21.5 Following elevation of a dispute to the DRC, the DRC shall have twenty-one (21) days to unanimously resolve the dispute and issue a written decision signed by the parties. If the DRC is unable to unanimously resolve the dispute within this twenty-one (21) day period the written statement of dispute shall be forwarded to the Senior Executive Committee ("SEC") for

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

. 24

25

26

27

28

" INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX
CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

one (21) day resolution period.

21.6 The SEC will serve as the forum for

resolution, within seven (7) days after the close of the twenty-

resolution of disputes for which agreement has not been reached The U.S. EPA representative on the SEC is the Regional Administrator of the U.S. EPA's Region 10. The USACE representative on the SEC is the Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army (Environmental Safety and Occupational Health), DASA(ESOH), or his/her designate. The SEC members shall, as appropriate, confer, meet, and exert their best efforts to resolve the dispute and issue a written decision signed by all parties. If unanimous resolution of the dispute is not reached within twenty-one (21) days, U.S. EPA's Regional Administrator shall issue a written position on the dispute. The USACE may, within twenty-one (21) days of the issuance of the U.S. EPA's position, issue a written notice elevating the dispute to the Administrator of U.S. EPA for resolution in accordance with all applicable laws and procedures. In the event that a party elects not to elevate the dispute to the Administrator of U.S. EPA within the designated twenty-one (21) day escalation period, the party shall be deemed to have agreed with the Regional Administrator's written position with respect to the dispute.

21.7 Upon escalation of a dispute to the Administrator of U.S. EPA pursuant to Paragraph 21.6, the Administrator or her designee will review and resolve the dispute within twenty-one (21) days. Upon request, and prior to resolving the dispute, the U.S. EPA Administrator or her

. 9

shall not affect USACE's responsibility for timely performance of the work required by this Agreement, except that the time period for completion of work affected by such dispute shall be extended for a period of time usually not to exceed the actual time taken to resolve any good faith dispute in accordance with the procedures specified herein. All elements of the work required by this Agreement which are not affected by the dispute shall continue and be completed in accordance with the applicable schedule.

21.9 When dispute resolution is in progress, work affected by the dispute will immediately be discontinued if the OEC Director for U.S. EPA's Region 10 requests, in writing, that work related to the dispute be stopped because, in U.S. EPA's opinion, such work is inadequate or defective, and such inadequacy or defect is likely to yield an adverse effect on human health or the environment, or is likely to have a substantial adverse effect on the remedy selection or implementation process. To the extent possible, the party

to initiating a work stoppage request. After stoppage of work, if a party believes that the work stoppage is inappropriate or may have potential significant adverse impacts, the party may meet with the party ordering a work stoppage to discuss the work stoppage. Following this meeting, and further consideration of the issues, the OEC Director for U.S. EPA Region 10 will issue, in writing, a final decision with respect to the work stoppage. The final written decision of the OEC Director may immediately be subjected to formal dispute resolution. Such dispute may be brought directly to either the DRC or the SEC, at the discretion of the party requesting dispute resolution.

seeking a work stoppage shall consult with the other party prior

21.10 Within twenty-one (21) days of resolution of a dispute pursuant to the procedures specified in this Part,
USACE shall incorporate the resolution and final determination into the appropriate plan, schedule, or procedures and proceed to implement this Agreement according to the amended plan, schedule, or procedures.

21.11 Resolution of a dispute pursuant to this
Part of the Agreement constitutes a final resolution of any
dispute arising under this Agreement. All Parties shall abide by
all terms and conditions of any final resolution of dispute
obtained pursuant to this Part of this Agreement.

## XXII. ENFORCEABILITY

- 22.1 The Parties agree that:
  - (a) Upon the effective date of this Agreement,

any standard, regulation, condition, requirement, or order which has become effective under CERCLA and is incorporated into this Agreement is enforceable by any person pursuant to Section 310 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9659, and any violation of such standard, regulation, condition, requirement, or order will be subject to civil penalties under CERCLA sections 109 and 310(c), 42 U.S.C. §§9609 and 9659(c);

- (b) All timetables and deadlines associated with the RI/FS shall be enforceable by any person pursuant to Section 310 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9659, and any violation of such timetables and deadlines will be subject to civil penalties under Sections 109 and 310(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9609 and 9659(c);
- (c) All terms and conditions of this Agreement that relate to interim or final remedial actions, including corresponding timetables, deadlines, or schedules, and all work associated with the interim or final remedial actions, shall be enforceable by any person pursuant to Section 310 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9659, and any violation of such terms or conditions will be subject to civil penalties under Sections 109 and 310(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9609 and 9659(c); and
- (d) Any final resolution of a dispute pursuant to Part XXI of this Agreement that establishes a term, condition, timetable, schedule, or deadline shall be enforceable by any person pursuant to Section 310 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9659, and any violation of such term, condition, schedule, or deadline will be subject to civil penalties under Sections 109 and 310(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9609 and 9659(c).

.16

. 

28 | INT

22.2 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as authorizing any person to seek judicial review of any action or work where review is barred by any provision of CERCLA, including Section 113(h) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9613(h).

22.3 The Parties agree that all Parties shall have the right to enforce the terms of this Agreement.

## XXIII. STIPULATED PENALTIES

primary document to U.S. EPA pursuant to the appropriate timetable or deadline in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement, or fails to comply with a term or condition of this Agreement that relates to an interim or final remedial action, the U.S. EPA may assess a stipulated penalty against DOD for FUDS contamination. A stipulated penalty may be assessed in an amount not to exceed five thousand dollars (\$5,000) for the first week (or part thereof), and ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for each additional week (or part thereof) for which a failure set forth in this paragraph occurs.

23.2 Upon determining that the USACE has failed in a manner set forth in Paragraph 23.1, U.S. EPA shall notify USACE in writing. If the failure in question is not already subject to dispute resolution at the time such notice is received, USACE shall have 15 days after receipt of the notice to invoke dispute resolution on the question of whether the failure did, in fact, occur. DOD shall not be liable for the stipulated penalty assessed by U.S. EPA if the failure is determined,

through the dispute resolution process, not to have occurred. No assessment of a stipulated penalty shall be final until the conclusion of dispute resolution procedures related to the assessment of the stipulated penalty.

- 23.3 The annual reports required by Section 120(e)(5) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9620(e)(5), shall include, with respect to each final assessment of a stipulated penalty against USACE under this Agreement, each of the following:
  - a. The facility responsible for the failure;
  - b. A statement of the facts and circumstances giving rise to the failure;
  - c. A statement of any administrative or other corrective action taken at the relevant facility, or a statement of why such measures were determined to be inappropriate;
  - d. A statement of any additional action taken by or at the facility to prevent recurrence of the same type of failure; and
  - e. The total dollar amount of the stipulated penalty assessed for the particular failure.
- 23.4 Stipulated penalties assessed pursuant to this Part shall be made payable to the EPA-Hazardous Substance Response Trust Fund and mailed to U.S. EPA Region 10, Attn: Superfund Accounting, P.O. Box 360903M, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251.
- 23.5 Stipulated penalties assessed pursuant to this Part shall be payable to the Hazardous Substances Response Trust Fund only in the manner and to the extent expressly provided for in Acts authorizing funds for, and appropriations to, the Department of Defense for this site.

21

22

23

24

25

26

2.7

23.6 In no event shall this Part give rise to a stipulated penalty in excess of the amount set forth in Section 109 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9609.

This Part shall not affect USACE's ability 23.7 to obtain an extension of a timetable, deadline, or schedule pursuant to Part XXV of this Agreement.

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed . 23.9 to render any officer or employee of the Army or USACE personally liable for the payment of any stipulated penalty assessed pursuant to this Part.

## XXIV. DEADLINES

Enforceable deadlines (subject to extension 24.1 pursuant to Parts XXV and XXXII) for draft primary documents are established in the Implementation Plan (Attachment A).

USACE will propose deadlines for submission of primary documents and target dates for submission of secondary documents not otherwise established in the Implementation Plan (Attachment A). Within twenty-one (21) days of issuance of each ROD, USACE shall submit a draft final RD/RA SOW which shall include a list of primary documents and secondary documents and respective completion dates for the Remedial Design. The SOW shall include deadlines for submission of the following primary documents, as appropriate:

- Pre-final (95%) Design;
- (b) RAWP.

The Remedial Action Work Plan shall establish additional

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

7

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22 . 23

24

25

26 27

28

OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX

CERCLA DOCKET, NO.: 1094-06-12-120

secondary documents, deadlines, and/or target dates. shall include deadlines for submission of the following primary documents, as appropriate:

- (a) Remedial Action Report;
- (b) Operation & Maintenance Report;
- Closeout Report. (c)

If the Parties agree on the proposed deadlines and/or target dates, the finalized deadlines and/or target dates shall be incorporated into the Agreement. If the Parties fail to agree on proposed deadlines and/or target dates within thirty (30) days of submittal of the draft final RD/RA or RAWP, the matter shall immediately be submitted for dispute resolution pursuant to Part XXI of this Agreement. The final deadlines established pursuant to this paragraph shall be published by U.S. EPA.

24.3 The deadlines set forth in this Part or to be established as set forth in this Part, may be extended pursuant to Part XXV of this Agreement. The Parties recognize that one possible basis for extension of the deadlines for completion of the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study Reports is the identification of significant new site conditions during the performance of the Remedial Investigation.

#### XXV. **EXTENSIONS**

25.1 Either a deadline or a schedule shall be extended upon receipt of a timely request for extension and when good cause exists for the requested extension. Any request for extension by USACE shall be submitted in writing to the U.S. EPA

25.5 If there is written agreement between the Parties that the requested extension is warranted, USACE shall extend the affected deadline or schedule accordingly. If there is no agreement between the Parties as to whether all or part of the requested extension is warranted, the deadline or schedule shall not be extended except in accordance with a determination resulting from the dispute resolution process.

25.6 Within seven (7) days of receipt of a statement of nonconcurrence with the requested extension, USACE may invoke dispute resolution.

extension shall toll any assessment of stipulated penalties or application for judicial enforcement of the affected deadline or schedule until a decision is reached on whether the requested extension will be approved. If dispute resolution is invoked and the requested extension is denied, stipulated penalties may be assessed and may accrue from the date of the original timetable, deadline, or schedule, or the date U.S. EPA denied, in writing, USACE's requested extension, whichever is later. Following the grant of an extension, an assessment of stipulated penalties or an application for judicial enforcement may be sought only to compel compliance with the deadline or schedule as most recently extended.

. 5

1.6

Force Majeure shall mean any event arising

26.1

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

from causes beyond the control of a Party that causes a delay in or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Agreement, including, but not limited to: (a) acts of God; fire; war; insurrection; civil

- disturbance; or explosion;
- unanticipated breakage or accident to machinery, (b) equipment, or lines of pipe despite reasonably diligent maintènance;
- adverse weather conditions that could not be reasonably anticipated, or unusual delay in transportation;
- (d) restraint by court order or order of public authority;
- inability to obtain, at a reasonable cost and (e) after exercise of reasonable diligence, any necessary authorizations, approvals, permits, or licenses due to action or inaction of any governmental agency or authority other than DA;
- delays caused by compliance with applicable statutes or regulations governing contracting, procurement, or acquisition procedures, despite the exercise of reasonable diligence; and
- insufficient availability of appropriated funds, (q) if DA shall have made timely request for such funds as part of the budgetary process as set forth in Part XXVII of this Agreement. If such an event occurs, U.S. EPA shall be bound by this Force Majeure and shall not assess stipulated penalties.

26.2

.

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)
CERCLA DOCKET NO.:1094-06-12-120

or other labor dispute, whether or not within the control of the Parties affected thereby. Force Majeure shall not include increased costs or expenses of response actions, whether or not anticipated at the time such response actions were initiated.

Force Majeure shall also include any strike

## XXVII. FUNDING

27.1 It is the expectation of the Parties to this Agreement that all obligations of the DA arising under this Agreement will be fully funded. The DA agrees to seek sufficient funding through the U.S. Department of Defense budgetary process to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement.

27.2 In accordance with Section 120(e)(5)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9620(e)(5)(B), the DA shall include in its annual report to Congress the specific cost estimates and budgetary proposals associated with the implementation of this Agreement.

27.3 Funds authorized and appropriated annually by Congress under the "Environmental Restoration, Defense" appropriation in the Department of Defense Appropriation Act and allocated by the DASA(ESOH) to the DA will be a source of funds for obligations required by this Agreement consistent with Section 211 of SARA, 10 U.S.C. §160. However, should the Environmental Restoration, Defense appropriation be inadequate in any year to meet the total CERCLA implementation requirements, United States Department of Defense shall employ and the DA shall follow a standardized United States Department of Defense

obligation of funds, including stipulated penalties, by DA established by the terms of this Agreement shall be subject to the availability of appropriated funds, and no provision herein shall be interpreted to require obligation or payment of funds in violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. §1341. In cases where payment or obligation of funds, including stipulated penalties, would constitute a violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act, the dates established requiring the payment or obligation of such funds shall be appropriately adjusted.

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

16

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26 27

28

If appropriated funds are not available to 27.5 fulfill USACE's obligations under this Agreement, U.S. EPA reserves the right to initiate an action against any other person or to take any response action that would be appropriate absent this Agreement.

Consistent with law and applicable OMB regulations and policies concerning the release of budgetary information, USACE shall timely apprise U.S. EPA of budget information, available to it, that may adversely affect project schedules. USACE shall honor all reasonable U.S. EPA requests for budget information related to extension of project schedules, Force Majeure, or other event based on budget limitation.

#### RECOVERY OF EXPENSES XXVIII.

DA and U.S. EPA agree to amend this Part at 28.1 a later date in accordance with any subsequent national resolution of the currently contested issue of cost reimbursement.

#### XXIX. OTHER CLAIMS

Subject to Part V, RCRA-CERCLA Integration, 29.1 nothing in this Agreement shall restrict EPA from taking any action under CERCLA, RCRA, or other environmental statutes for any matter not specifically part of the work performed pursuant to this Agreement.

Nothing in this Agreement shall constitute 29.2 or be construed as a release from any claim, cause of action, or demand in law or equity by or against any persons, firm, partnership, or corporation not a signatory to this Agreement for any liability it may have arising out of or relating in any way to the generation, storage, treatment, handling, transportation, release, or disposal of any hazardous substances, hazardous wastes, pollutants, or contaminants found at, taken to, or taken from the site.

29.3 U.S. EPA shall not be held as a Party to any contract entered into by DA to implement the requirements of this Agreement.

DA shall notify the appropriate federal and 29.4 state natural resource trustees as required by Section 104(b)(2) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9604(b)(2), and Section 2(e)(2) of Executive Order 12580. Except as provided herein, DOD is not released from any liability that it may have pursuant to any provisions of state and federal law for any claim for damages or liability for destruction of, or loss of, natural resources.

#### XXX. OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS

All actions required to be taken pursuant to 30.1 this Agreement shall be undertaken in accordance with the requirements of all applicable state and federal laws and regulations unless an exemption from such requirements is provided in this Agreement, CERCLA, or the NCP.

25

26

behalf of a contractor, subcontractor, or consultant, a

Act; national security; or other statutory authorities.

confidentiality claim covering all or part of the information

42 U.S.C. § 9604(e); the Privacy Act; the Freedom of Information

Analytical data shall not be claimed as confidential or exempt by

USACE unless it may disclose information that has already been so

confidentiality accompanies the information when it is submitted

to U.S. EPA, the information may be made available to the public

without further notice to USACE. The Parties agree to coordinate

classified for reasons of national security. If no claim of

with one another regarding the release of records under the

requested by U.S. EPA pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA,

USACE may assert on its own behalf, or on

2

31.1

Freedom of Information Act.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

.16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28 || INT

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT
OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX)

32.2

Resolution, as applicable.

CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

PAGE 61 of 65

Modifications or amendments not permitted by

XXXII. MODIFICATION/AMENDMENT OF AGREEMENT

32.1 Modifications, extensions, and/or actions taken pursuant to Parts XI (Sampling and Data/Document Availability), XII (Quality Assurance), XIII (Reporting), XX (Consultation with U.S. EPA), XXIV (Deadlines), and XXV (Extensions) may be effected by the unanimous agreement of the Project Managers.

Paragraph 32.1 may be effected only by the unanimous written

agreement of the signatories or upon completion of Dispute

32.3

6

7 8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

21

.20

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

32.1 shall be reduced to writing; shall be effective as of the date it is signed by all the Project Managers or signatories, as applicable; and shall be incorporated into, and modify, this

Any modification or amendment allowed in

#### XXXIII. SEVERABILITY

33.1 If any provision of this Agreement is ruled invalid, illegal, or unconstitutional, the remainder of the Agreement shall not be affected by such ruling unless the dispute resolution process determines that the severed provision materially impacts upon another provision.

#### XXXIV. TERMINATION AND SATISFACTION

34.1 The provisions of this Agreement shall be deemed satisfied upon a consensus of the Parties that the Department of the Army has completed its obligations under the terms of this Agreement. Any Party may propose in writing the termination of this Agreement upon a showing that the objectives of this Agreement have been satisfied. A Party's failure to oppose termination within 30 days of receipt of the proposal shall constitute consensus to terminate the Agreement. Without prejudice to the Department of the Army's obligation for periodic review under Part XIX (Five Year Review) no Party shall unreasonably withhold or delay termination of this Agreement. Termination of this Agreement shall not terminate the Department of the Army's obligations under Parts XVI (Retention of Records),

XXVIII (Recovery of Expenses), and XXXV (Reservation of Rights) of this Agreement.

24

25 26

27

28

INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT CERCLA DOCKET NO.: 1094-06-12-120

#### XXXV. RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

35.1 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as a restriction or waiver of any rights that U.S. EPA may have under CERCLA, including, but not limited to, any rights under sections 113 and 310 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§9613 and 9659. USACE does not waive any rights it may have under CERCLA sections 113, 120, and 121(f)(3)(C), 42 U.S.C.§§9613, 9620, and 9621(F)(3)(c); and Executive Order 12580.

Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as authorizing any person to seek judicial review of any action or work where review is barred by any provision of CERCLA, including Section 113(h) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §9613(h).

35.3 The DOD reserves the right to raise or assert any defense, whether procedural or substantive, in law or equity, or any matter in any proceeding related or not related to this Agreement, which the DOD might otherwise be entitled to raise or assert.

#### EFFECTIVE DATE XXXVI.

36.1 This Agreement is effective upon signature by all the Parties to this Agreement.

Signature sheet for the foregoing Interagency
Agreement for the Old Navy Dump (Manchester Annex), between the
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Department of
the Army.

Raymond J. Fat

JUL 14 1997

Deputy Assistant Secretary of the Army Environmental Safety and Occupational Health

REPRESENTED BY:

Siri Nelson, Esq. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Seattle, Washington

Signature sheet for the foregoing Interagency Agreement for Old Navy Dump (Manchester Annex) between the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency and the U.S. Department of the Army. Regional Administrator U.S. EPA Region 10 REPRESENTED BY: Joan C. Shirley Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. EPA Region 10 

TABLE 1 SCHEDULE FOR MANCHESTER ANNEX RI/FS

Task	Start <sub>.</sub>	Finish	
<ol> <li>Submit Draft Final RI/FS Mgt. Pl.</li> <li>Finalize Mgt. Pl. &amp; Enter Into IAG</li> <li>Conduct Field Work, Validate         Data, &amp; Write Draft Site Charact.         Tech. Memo</li> </ol>	8/1/94 12/1/94	8/1/94 12/1/94 7/3/95	
4. Submit Draft Tech. Memo. <sup>2</sup>	12, 2, 3	7/3/95 <sup>2</sup>	
5. Submit Early Action Options Report <sup>1</sup>		11/1/95 <sup>1</sup>	
6. Review & Finalize Tech. Memo.,			
Conduct Phase 2 Field Work(if needed), &		0.405.406	
Write Draft RI	7/3/95	3/25/96	
7. Submit Draft RI2	0.405.405	3/25/96 <sup>2</sup>	
8. Review & Comment On Draft RI	3/25/96	4/25/96	
9. Write Draft RI/FS	4/25/96	8/25/96	
10. Submit Draft RI/FS1	0.405.405	8/25/96 <sup>1</sup>	
11. Review & Comment On Draft RI/FS	8/25/96	9/25/96	
12.Write Draft Final RI/FS	9/25/96	11/25/96	
13. Submit Draft Final RI/FS	11 /05 /06	11/25/96	
14.Review & Finalize RI/FS	11/25/96	12/26/96	
15. Submit Final RI/FS3		12/26/963	
16. Submit Draft Proposed Plan		2/10/97	
17.Review & Finalize Proposed Plan (P.P. will not be released until RI/FS is finalized)	2/10/97	4/2/97	
18. Public Comment Period On P.P.	4/2/97	5/2/97	
19.Submit Draft ROD1		5/16/97 <sup>1</sup>	
(Will not be submitted until			
end of public comment period)			
20. Review & Comment On Draft ROD	5/16/97	6/16/97	
21.Write Draft Final ROD	6/16/97	6/30/97	
22.Submit Draft Final ROD		6/30/97	
23.Review & Finalize ROD <sup>3</sup>	6/30/97	8/30/97 <sup>3</sup>	
24.Submit Draft RD/RA SOW <sup>1</sup>		6/30/97 <sup>1</sup>	
(Will be submitted in conjuction with Draft Final ROD)			
25. Review & Comment On Draft RD/RA SOW	6/30/97	7/30/97	
26.Write Draft Final RD/RA SOW	7/30/97	9/3/97	
27.Submit Draft Final RD/RA SOW		9/3/97	
28.Review & Finalize RD/RA SOW <sup>3</sup>	9/3/97	10/3/97 <sup>3</sup>	

<sup>1 -</sup> Denotes Primary Document
2 - Denotes Secondary Document
3 - It is recognized that 10 days are necessary to prepare final document submittals.

TABLE 1

SCHEDULE FOR DESIGNATED EARLY ACTIONS AT MANCHESTER ANNEX

(if determined to be needed)

Task	Start	Finish
1. Submit Early Action Options Ro 2. Review & Finalize EAOR	11/1/95	11/1/95 <sup>1</sup> 12/20/95
3. Write Proposed Pl. Annot. Outline 4. Submit Annotated Outline <sup>2</sup>	12/9/95	12/20/95 12/20/95 <sup>2</sup>
5. Write Draft Proposed Plan 6. Submit Draft Proposed Plan	12/20/95	1/6/96 1/6/96
<ol> <li>Review &amp; Finalize Proposed Plan (P.P. will not be released until EAOR is finalized)</li> </ol>	1/6/96	2/15/96
8. Public Comment Period on P.P.	2/15/96	3/15/96
9. Write ROD Annotated Outline 10.Write Draft ROD	1/8/96 1/29/96	1/29/96 3/29/96
11.Submit Draft ROD <sup>1</sup> (Will not be submitted until end of public comment period)		3/29/961
12. Review & Comment on Draft ROD	3/29/96	4/12/96
13.Write Draft Final ROD 14.Submit Draft Final ROD	4/12/96	5/1/96 5/1/96
15.Review & Finalize ROD3	5/1/96	6/1/96 <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1 -</sup> Denotes Primary Document.

<sup>2 -</sup> Denotes Secondary Document.

<sup>3 -</sup> It is recognized that 10 days are necessary to prepare final document submittals.

# OLD NAVY DUMP (MANCHESTER ANNEX) IMPLEMENTATION PLAN ATTACHMENT A to INTERAGENCY AGREEMENT

## I. <u>Introduction</u>

This Implementation Plan describes the management approach for responding to the threats posed by hazardous substances resulting from former Department of Defense activities at the Old Navy Dump (Manchester Annex) Superfund site. The activities described will be conducted under the Interagency Agreement (IAG) between U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and the Department of the Army (Army). The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (Corps) is the agency implementing this IAG for the Army. This Implementation Plan is incorporated as Attachment A to the Interagency Agreement. The detailed plan for conducting Remedial Investigation/Feasibilty Study (RI/FS) activities at the site is contained in the RI/FS Management Plan for the Site. The RI/FS Management Plan is fully incorporated as Attachment B to this IAG.

## II. Schedules

Table 1 of this Implementation Plan contains the schedule for RI/FS activities. EPA and the Corps recognize that some of the activities may be completed prior to execution of the IAG. In the event of any apparent inconsistencies between deadlines and schedules in the narrative text of this Implementation Plan and Table 1, the deadlines and schedules in Table 1 shall prevail. Additional deadlines and schedules may also be set forth in the Remedial Design/Remedial Action Scope of Work (RD/RA SOW) and in the RD Work Plan (fully incorporated as Attachments C and D respectively).

Deadlines and schedules for additional operable units will be established if the agencies determine that the site needs to be divided into separate operable units as discussed in Section III below. If the site is divided into separate operable units, this Implementation Plan will be applicable to each operable unit, where appropriate.

## III. Preliminary Scoping Determinations

Two known sources of contamination at the site are the Fire Fighting Training School and the Landfill. A potential source of contamination is the Net Depot. Preliminary data indicates that the Fire Fighting Training School and the Landfill have impacted the sediments and biota of adjacent Clam Bay. As the sources of contamination are adjacent to each other and have similiar targets and pathways and because of the interrelationship between the sources and Clam Bay, the site investigation and decision—making process will be treated as one operable unit. If the investigation/decision—making process for Clam Bay becomes more complex and time—consuming than currently anticipated, Clam Bay, or a portion of Clam Bay, may be broken out as a separate

operable unit in order to keep the remainder of the site on schedule.

If the agencies agree to break out Clam Bay or a portion of Clam Bay into a separate operable unit, that decision will be documented in an addendum to the RI/FS Management Plan. The Management Plan addendum will also include a description of and schedule for the collection of any additional information needed to complete the RI/FS for the new operable unit. The schedule will include a Proposed Plan, Record of Decision, and, if necessary, an RD/RA Scope of Work for the new operable unit. Upon approval of the Management Plan addendum, the schedules for submission of primary and secondary documents will be considered to be fully incorporated into the IAG.

## IV. Early Actions

Early actions are actions that may be taken prior to implementation of the Remedial Action in order to protect human health or the environment. When risk reduction can be accomplished promptly and efficiently, there will be a bias for taking early action. Early actions include Interim Remedial Action (IRA), and Non-Time Critical Removal (NTCR). Early actions should be straightforward and limited in scope. Early actions should provide significant health or environmental benefits, must not be inconsistent with potential final actions, and should be accomplished significantly before the implementation of the Remedial Action. Unless exigencies of circumstances dictate otherwise, it is expected that the need for early actions would be identified and would begin at least one year prior to the issuance of the site-wide Record of Decision (ROD).

## Early Action Options Report:

An Early Action Options Report (EAOR) shall be developed by the Corps and submitted for EPA review by Nov. 1, 1995 and shall serve as the preliminary decision-making document for early actions. The EAOR shall be supplemented as necessary to include subsequently identified early actions prior to the implementation of the Remedial Action. The EAOR may range from a letter stating that no early actions are appropriate to a comprehensive proposal for multiple early actions. The general content and scope of the EAOR will be determined by the RPMs during or shortly after development of the Site Characterization Technical Memo, but no later than 30 days after submittal of the Technical Memo. If the early action will be conducted as an Interim Remedial Action, it is anticipated that a ROD will be developed and finalized within 7 months of the EAOR.

Few alternatives, and in some cases only one alternative, need to be developed for an early action. A completed baseline risk assessment generally will not be necessary to justify an early action. Qualitative risk information should be presented to demonstrate that the action is needed to stabilize the site, prevent further degredation, or achieve significant risk

reduction quickly. For efficiency, an attempt should be made to make the early action as final as possible, such that it will approximate visions of a final action.

V. Proposed Plan and Record of Decision

To facilitate the timely completion of the Proposed Plan and the ROD, EPA and the Corps expect that development of these documents will be a result of joint efforts through all phases.

The schedule in Table 1 assumes that the proposed plan will be subject to a 30 day public comment and that the public comment will not result in a significant amount of controversy. If EPA and the Corps determine that additional time is needed for public comment or needed to appropriately respond to public comments, then the schedule in Table 1 may be adjusted by mutual agreement of the RPMs. Any mutual agreement shall be documented in writing.

## VI. Post-ROD Activities

In order to assure an efficient and smooth flow between pre-ROD and post-ROD activities, preparation for post-ROD activities will begin prior to signing the ROD. A Scope of Work (SOW) covering Remedial Design (RD) and Remedial Action (RA) activities shall be drafted by the Corps and submitted to EPA along with the draft final ROD.

VII. Remedial Design/Remedial Action (RD/RA) Scope of Work

When final, the RD/RA SOW shall be fully incorporated into the IAG as Attachment C (pursuant to IAG paragraph 8.5). The RD/RA SOW shall establish the overall strategy for managing post-ROD activities, and shall describe a time-optimal way of phasing necessary elements of the remedial design along with the preliminary strategy for conducting the remedial action. The RD/RA SOW shall include, but not be limited to:

- a. A description of each phase, or work element, of the design and the rationale used to divide the RD into such phases or elements. The description shall include:
  - i) The design criteria with reference to the technical requirements and performance standards contained in the ROD:
- ii) The "critical path" schedule for completion of the work element including identification of primary and secondary deliverables;
- iii) A discussion of funding availability, design contractor limitations, and resource needs to complete the work element;
- iv) A description of treatability studies and/or additional field data collection necessary to be conducted prior to or concurrent with the design;
- v) A description of how projected short term risks associated with implementation of the work element will be assessed;

- b. The recommended overall RD/RA "critical path" enforceable schedule (through development of the RA workplan). The schedule shall include a description of the dependency of each RD work element and identification of primary document deliverables;
- c. Anticipated overall post-ROD funding needed to complete the RD and availability of that funding;
- d. A proposed working schedule for completion of RD activities and proposals to expedite those activities;
- e. Suggested modifications to the Community Relations Plan and/or elements of the Plan which will be implemented during the RD;
- f. Identification of those secondary documents which are associated with the RD and target submittal dates for those documents;
- g. A description of issues which require resolution or further analysis;

## VIII.RD Work Plan

Matters that an RD work plan might normally address will be subsumed by the RD/RA SOW. Therefore, it is anticipated that no separate RD work plan will be required unless later deemed necessary for additional studies (such as pilot studies or treatability studies) before the remedial design can be completed. In such event, the IAG shall be modified as necessary to fully incorporate any separate RD Work Plan.

### IX. RA Work Plan

When final, the RA Work Plan shall be fully incorporated into the IAG as Attachment D (pursuant to IAG paragraph 8.5) and shall:

- a. Specify all relevant changes (i.e. those changes that may be expected to impact the RA) between the Pre-Final Design and the final RD;
- b. Update (and expand upon) the RD/RA "critical path" schedule;
- c. Update (and expand upon) the RA cost estimation;
- d. Identify all additional RA secondary documents, as necessary.

## X. Pre-Final Inspection Report

As needed, a Pre-Final Inspection shall be conducted by the RPMs, and (if EPA and the Corps so determine) by an independent third party, agreeable to the RPMs. Following the inspection, the Corps shall prepare and submit a Pre-Final Inspection Report. The Report shall be finalized in the context of the RA report and shall include:

- a. Outstanding construction requirements;
- b. Actions required to resolve them;
- c. RA Completion date, and date of Final Inspection;

## XI. RA Report

At the completion of the RA, the Corps shall prepare and submit an RA Report which shall consist of;

- a. Consolidation of any and all RA reports for individual work elements;
- b. A brief description of outstanding items from the Prefinal Inspection Report;
- c. Synopsis of work discussed in the RA Work Plan, and certification that the work was performed;
- d. Explanation of any modifications to the RA Work Plan.
- e. Certification by a registered professional engineer that the implemented remedy is both operational and functional.

## XII. EPA/Corps Roles in Document Review

For efficiency, development of documents is expected to be a dynamic and interactive process that is not limited to mere submittal of documents, review and comment, and resubmittal. Open and frank discussions throughout the process should improve the efficiency of developing documents. The goal of the parties in document development is to maintain communication such that there should need to be no more than one iteration of written comments per document and to hopefully develop draft final documents that need only minimal revisions. It is primarily EPA's responsibility to conduct a complete and thorough review of draft documents. It is primarily the Corps' responsibility to ensure that it thoroughly understands and adequately responds to EPA's comments.

## XIII. Actions and Timeframes for Facilitation of Document Review

The parties recognize that potential disputed issues need to be resolved in sufficient time to finalize a document in accordance with the schedule set forth in Table 1 of this Implementation Plan. The parties consider ten days to be the minimum amount of time needed to make document revisions and to print and mail final documents after all issues are resolved. The RPMs will strive to resolve all potential disputed issues at least ten days prior to the scheduled deadline for submittal of a final document. However, Paragraph 20.18 of the IAG allows the RPMs to mutually agree to extend by 15 days the period for finalizing a draft final document if required for editing or mailing purposes.

For scopes of work and work plans, the RPMs will meet to discuss approaches for the work and general contents and organization of a work plan prior to submittal of a draft. When either party feels it appropriate, the RPMs will meet to discuss document organization and content prior to submittal of a draft.

The RPMs shall make reasonable efforts to meet and discuss document review seven to fourteen days prior to the date that comments are due. EPA comments on draft documents will be due 30 days after receipt of the document.

Within approximately seven days after EPA comments on a document, the RPMs will meet to discuss EPA's comments and to plan for addressing the comments. Potential disputed issues should be identified by either party prior to submittal of a draft final document, but no later than fourteen days after submittal of a draft final document.