

EPA NATIONAL LEAD LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM

LABORATORY QUALITY STANDARDS for RECOGNITION (LQSR) REVISION 4.0 October 2024

The standards in this document apply to all lead testing laboratories voluntarily participating in the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (NLLAP). In addition to meeting the Laboratory Quality Standards for Recognition (LQSR), the lead testing laboratory must also successfully participate in the Environmental Lead Proficiency Analytical Testing (ELPAT) Program.

NLLAP was established by the EPA Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics (OPPT) under the legislative directive of Title X, the Lead-Based Paint Hazard Reduction Act of 1992 Sections 405 (a) and (b) requiring EPA to set minimum standards for laboratory analysis of lead in paint films, soil and dust.

Laboratories currently participating in NLLAP have 425 days after the date of publication of Laboratory Quality Standards for Recognition (LQSR) Revision 4.0 in the Federal Register (Docket ID: EPA-HQ-OPPT-2023-0456) to conform to the new standards stated in the revision in order to maintain participation in NLLAP. For further information concerning NLLAP, please see <https://www.epa.gov/lead/national-lead-laboratory-accreditation-program-nllap>.

Table of Contents

INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE..... 5

1.0 SCOPE 5

1.1 LABORATORY TYPES..... 5

2.0 NORMATIVE REFERENCES..... 6

3.0 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS 6

4.0 MANAGEMENT STANDARDS 6

4.1 ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT..... 6

4.1.1 Technical Manager7

4.1.2 Quality Manager7

4.2 QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM..... 7

4.2.1 Quality Management System Establishment and Maintenance7

4.2.2 Quality Policy Statement7

4.2.3 Quality Management System Manual (QMSM)7

4.3 DOCUMENT CONTROL..... 8

4.3.1 General.....8

4.3.2 Document Approval and Issue.....8

4.3.3 Document Changes8

4.3.4 Document Review and Revision.....8

4.4 REVIEW OF REQUEST, TENDER OR CONTRACT 8

4.5 SUBCONTRACTING OF TESTS 8

4.6 PURCHASING SERVICES AND SUPPLIES 9

4.7 SERVICE TO THE CUSTOMER 9

4.8 COMPLAINTS..... 9

4.9 IMPROVEMENTS 10

4.10 CONTROL OF NONCONFORMING WORK 10

4.11 CORRECTIVE ACTION..... 10

4.11.1 General.....10

4.11.2 Cause Analysis10

4.11.3 Corrective Actions10

4.11.4 Monitoring the Corrective Actions.....10

4.11.5 Special Audits.....10

4.12 PREVENTIVE ACTION 11

4.13 RECORDS 11

4.13.1	Sample Records.....	12
4.13.2	Laboratory Quality Management System and Test Records.....	12
4.13.3	Electronic Data.....	13
4.14	INTERNAL AUDITS	13
4.15	MANAGEMENT REVIEWS.....	13
5.0	TECHNICAL STANDARDS.....	14
5.1	PERSONNEL.....	14
5.1.1	Analysts and Technicians.....	14
5.1.1.1	Minimum Qualifications and Training for Analysts and Technicians	14
5.1.1.2	Additional Standards for Mobile and FSMO Personnel.....	15
5.2	ACCOMMODATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS.....	15
5.2.1	Contamination Control.....	16
5.2.1.1	Laboratory Dust Wipe Checks.....	16
5.2.1.2	Labware Cleaning.....	16
5.3	TEST AND SAMPLING METHODS	16
5.3.1	Acceptable Methodology.....	16
5.3.2	Method Validation and Performance Demonstration Procedures	17
5.3.3	Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)	17
5.3.4	Method Performance Characteristics	18
5.3.5	Method Detection Limits	18
5.3.5.1	Quantitation Limits	18
5.3.5.2	Bias and Precision.....	18
5.3.6	Sample Aliquots	19
5.3.7	Data Reduction and Review Process	19
5.3.7.1	Estimate of Uncertainty of Measurement.....	19
5.4	EQUIPMENT.....	19
5.4.1	Instrument Calibration and Performance Quality Checks.....	19
5.4.2	Instrument Calibration Performance Standards.....	20
5.4.2.1	Initial Calibration.....	20
5.4.2.2	Independent Calibration Verification	20
5.4.2.3	Continuing Calibration Verification	20
5.4.2.4	Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB).....	21
5.5	MEASUREMENT TRACEABILITY.....	23
5.5.1	Reference Standards and/or Reference Materials.....	23
5.5.2	Documentation of Reagent and Calibration Solution Preparation.....	23
5.6	SAMPLING.....	24
5.6.1	Sampling Media	24
5.6.1.1	Sample Acceptance Policy	24
5.6.1.2	Sample Receipt Logs.....	24
5.6.1.3	Documentation on Questionable Samples	25

	5.6.1.4 Sample Custody Procedures	25
	5.6.1.5 Sample Tracking.....	25
5.7	ASSURING THE QUALITY OF TEST RESULTS.....	26
5.7.1	Quality Control Procedures.....	26
	5.7.1.1 Bias Determination.....	27
	5.7.1.2 Precision Determination	28
5.7.2	Control Charts or Quality Control Data Base	29
5.7.3	Procedures for determination of out of control situations	29
5.8	REPORTING THE RESULTS.....	30
5.8.1	Reporting Results Below the Quantitation Limit.....	30
	5.8.1.1 Opinions and Interpretations	30
5.8.2	Report Review and Approval Process.....	30
5.8.3	Test Report Corrections Process	30
APPENDIX I.....		32
	Acronyms	32
	Glossary.....	33

NATIONAL LEAD LABORATORY ACCREDITATION PROGRAM (NLLAP)
LABORATORY QUALITY STANDARDS FOR RECOGNITION

INTRODUCTION AND PURPOSE

This document identifies the minimum standards for use by accreditation organizations when evaluating laboratories performing environmental testing activities under NLLAP. Laboratories seeking accreditation will be evaluated by an accrediting organization using this document which identifies the minimum standards necessary. Standards outlined below follow the International Organization for Standardization and International Electrochemical Commission (ISO/IEC) Standard 17025: 2017 "General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories" and ASTM International E1583-21a "Standard Practice for Evaluating Laboratories Engaged in Determination of Lead in Paint, Dust, Airborne Particulates, and Soil Taken from and Around Buildings and Related Structures."

NLLAP recognizes laboratories that perform quantitative and/or qualitative analytical testing of paint chip (film), dust, and/or soil samples for lead analysis. NLLAP requires recognized laboratories to employ quality assurance/quality control systems that meet the standards described in this document, to monitor for potential sample matrix and environmental interferences as well as laboratory operational deficiencies.

1.0 SCOPE

The standards described within this document must be met for an organization to attain recognition under the NLLAP as a lead testing laboratory, hereafter referred to in this document as a laboratory. An organization requesting recognition under this program shall possess a laboratory operation capable of performing sampling and lead testing. A laboratory shall have distinct staffing, instrumentation, sampling and test methods, as appropriate, and depending upon the type of laboratory, may have physical facilities and may use field test kits.

Laboratory accreditation under this program shall be based on its meeting the standards listed in this document.

1.1 LABORATORY TYPES

For the purposes of NLLAP, a **laboratory** is defined as an operation that performs sampling and/or quantitative and/or qualitative analytical testing of paint chip (film), dust, and/or soil samples for lead analysis regardless of the number of personnel or the extent of the scope of testing activities.

NLLAP recognizes three types of laboratory operations: fixed-site, mobile and field sampling and measurement organization (FSMO). These types of laboratory operations are defined as follows:

Fixed-Site: An operation that performs analytical lead testing at a permanent location

under controlled environmental conditions.

Mobile Facility: A transportable, self contained operation that can perform analytical lead testing under controlled environmental conditions.

Field Sampling and Measurement Organization (FSMO): An operation that performs on-site sampling and lead testing using portable testing technologies.

A laboratory may include one, two or all three of these types of operations. In cases where a laboratory does not perform one or more of the operations addressed above, the standards relating to those activities do not apply.

2.0 NORMATIVE REFERENCES

This document contains the following normative references.

NLLAP LQSR Rev. 3.0 11/05/07.

ISO/IEC Standard 17025: 2017 (E) "General requirements for the competence of testing and calibration laboratories".

ASTM International E1583-21a "Standard Practice for Evaluating Laboratories Engaged in Determination of Lead in Paint, Dust Airborne Particulates, and Soil Taken From and Around Buildings and Related Structures".

40 CFR Part 745 - Lead-Based Paint Activities.

Performance Characteristics Sheets (PCS) from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

3.0 TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

A list of Acronyms and a Glossary of Terms used in this document is in Appendix 1.

4.0 MANAGEMENT STANDARDS

4.1 ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

The laboratory organization and management shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025:2017(E) (ISO/IEC 17025), and ASTM E1583-21a (ASTM E1583). In addition, the laboratory shall have job descriptions for all positions and an organizational chart or other means of identifying the functions of key personnel, their responsibilities, lines of supervision, and authority. Laboratory management shall be responsible for all personnel employed by the laboratory including those assigned to mobile laboratories and/or FSMOs and for ensuring that

its personnel are aware of the relevance and importance of their activities and how they contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the quality management system.

Consistent with both ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583, the laboratory shall authorize personnel to perform laboratory duties, including appointing a quality manager and a technical manager. The laboratory may contract out the role of quality manager.

4.1.1 Technical Manager

The laboratory technical manager qualifications and responsibilities shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583. The technical manager shall ensure that adequate supervision is provided for all laboratory technical personnel.

4.1.2 Quality Manager

The laboratory quality manager qualifications and responsibilities shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583. In the case of a laboratory with only one employee (the technical manager), the position of quality manager may be contracted out.

4.2 QUALITY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The laboratory quality management system shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and/or ASTM E1583.

All items in the laboratory's quality system shall be available for on-site inspection or audit by EPA NLLAP personnel and by accreditation organizations participating in NLLAP or, if applicable, to federal/state/tribal program certifying officials.

4.2.1 Quality Management System Establishment and Maintenance

The laboratory shall establish and maintain a quality management system in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and/or as ASTM E1583. The elements of this system shall be documented and shall be reviewed annually, updated as needed, and maintained under the responsibility of the quality manager or however named.

4.2.2 Quality Policy Statement

Laboratory management shall define and document the objectives and policies of the quality management system in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and/or ASTM E1583. Laboratory management shall also document its commitment to compliance with the standards of this document. In addition, laboratory management shall ensure that all personnel receive appropriate training to assure that all responsibilities and standards of the quality management system are understood.

4.2.3 Quality Management System Manual (QMSM)

The quality management system shall be documented in a quality manual (however titled) that shall include the defined roles and responsibilities of the technical manager and the quality manager, including each of their responsibility for ensuring compliance with the standards of this document. The quality manual shall be available for use by laboratory staff. The quality manual shall contain information outlined in ASTM E1583. The quality manual shall be updated whenever necessary and reviewed and approved by management at least annually.

4.3 DOCUMENT CONTROL

4.3.1 General

The NLLAP recognized laboratory shall establish and maintain procedures for the control of all documents (both internal and external documents) that form the quality management system in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. Examples of external documents that may be included are standards, regulations, normative documents, test and/or calibration methods, drawings, specifications, instructions, and manuals.

4.3.2 Document Approval and Issue

All documents in the laboratory shall be reviewed, approved, and identified in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. In addition, included in the identification shall be the total number of pages or a clearly marked document end.

4.3.3 Document Changes

Document changes shall be made in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. Where possible, changes to the document shall be noted in the document or in an attachment. Documents may be amended by hand pending re-issue of the most current version, where permitted. A revised document shall be re-issued as soon as practicable.

4.3.4 Document Review and Revision.

The laboratory shall review and revise the quality manual system documents annually and update as needed, in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025.

4.4 REVIEW OF REQUEST, TENDER OR CONTRACT

The laboratory shall have a procedure for the review of requests, tenders, or contracts in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. The laboratory also shall communicate with the customer about and record any changes to requests, tenders, or contracts in conformance with the same section.

4.5 SUBCONTRACTING OF TESTS

An NLLAP recognized laboratory shall only subcontract work when necessary and with the approval of the customer. Work shall only be performed by another NLLAP recognized laboratory. The laboratory shall be able to demonstrate that the subcontractor is accredited by an

NLLAP recognized accrediting body for the methods in question. A list of all subcontractors used for tests shall be compiled along with records of the evaluation of the subcontractors' qualifications.

4.6 PURCHASING SERVICES AND SUPPLIES

The laboratory shall implement policies and procedures for the selection and purchase of services and supplies, including reagents, that are in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. In addition, the laboratory shall implement the following procedures for reagents and standards:

- a. Requirements for reagents and standards shall be specified in the documented quality manual and/or technical procedures.
- b. Reagents shall be at least American Chemical Society (ACS) reagent grade or of the quality specified by the analytical methods in use by the laboratory.
- c. Upon receipt, purchased reagents and standards shall be inspected, dated and initialed or otherwise evaluated to verify the purchasing document specifications have been met. An expiration date shall be assigned to each reagent and standard. Reagents and standards shall not be used beyond assigned expiration dates nor used if damaged or contaminated or suspected to be damaged or contaminated.
- d. When available, the laboratory shall maintain certificates of analysis or other documentation on the manufacturer's statement of purity, of the origin and traceability of all reagents and standards.

4.7 SERVICE TO THE CUSTOMER

The NLLAP recognized laboratory shall cooperate with the customer in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. In addition, the laboratory should also maintain contact with the customer throughout the period of work and inform the customer of any delays and/or deviations in performance of the tests.

4.8 COMPLAINTS

The laboratory shall have a documented process to receive, evaluate and make decisions on complaints in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. The documentation for handling complaints shall include the notice that "Any complaint about the quality of reported results may be referred to the accrediting body if such complaints cannot be resolved directly with the customer." See the NLLAP web page at: <https://www.epa.gov/lead/national-lead-laboratory-accreditation-program-nllap> for the contact information of the recognized accrediting bodies. Where a complaint, or any other circumstance, raises doubt concerning the laboratory's compliance with policies or its own procedures, the standards in this document, the quality management system and/or the quality of the laboratory's analyses, the laboratory shall ensure that those areas of activity are promptly audited.

4.9 IMPROVEMENTS

The laboratory shall continually improve the effectiveness of its quality management system in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. This can also be achieved through the use of its quality policy, quality objectives, audit results, analysis of data, corrective and preventive actions and management review.

4.10 CONTROL OF NONCONFORMING WORK

The laboratory shall have documented policy and procedures that shall be implemented when any aspect of its laboratory activities or results do not conform to its own procedures or agreed requirements of the customer. The policies and procedures shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. Notwithstanding ISO/IEC 17025, no data shall be reported until the cause of the problem is determined and corrected, or the laboratory demonstrates that the root cause of the nonconformance was a random event.

4.11 CORRECTIVE ACTION

4.11.1 General

A policy and procedure for corrective action shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583. When reported results have been affected by nonconforming tests all affected customers shall be contacted and informed of the corrective action taken and the amended results (see 5.8.3).

4.11.2 Cause Analysis

Corrective action procedures shall begin with an investigation to determine the root cause(s) of the problem.

4.11.3 Corrective Actions

Once the root causes have been identified, the laboratory shall identify possible corrective actions. The action(s) most likely to mitigate/eliminate the problem and prevent its recurrence shall be selected and implemented.

4.11.4 Monitoring the Corrective Actions

Once corrective action(s) have been implemented, the laboratory shall monitor the results to make sure the actions taken have been effective at addressing the problem(s) that caused the nonconformance.

4.11.5 Special Audits

If there is doubt that the laboratory is complying with its own policies and procedures or those of relevant standards, then the laboratory shall ensure that the appropriate areas of activity are audited.

4.12 PREVENTIVE ACTION

Needed improvements and potential sources of nonconformance, either technical or concerning the quality management system, shall be addressed in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025.

4.13 RECORDS

The records for each laboratory activity shall contain sufficient information so that the activity may be repeated or otherwise verified. The record keeping system shall be in conformance with ASTM E1583. Additional record keeping guidance is available from ASTM: E 2239 “Standard Practice for Record Keeping and Record Preservation for Lead Hazard Activities.”

- a. All records (including those pertaining to calibration and test equipment, certificates, and reports) shall be safely stored, held secure and treated as confidential;
- b. All records of the laboratory associated with its work activities shall be retained for a minimum of five years. All hardware and software necessary for the historical retrieval of data must be maintained by the laboratory. These records shall include a history of the locations of mobile laboratory and FSMO operations, including a specific description of where the sampling and testing work activity was performed and these records shall be maintained for at least five years;
- c. Access to archived records shall be controlled using an access log. All records shall be stored and retained in readily accessible facilities that provide a suitable environment to prevent damage or deterioration and to prevent loss. Records may be in hard paper copy or electronic media;
- d. In the event that a laboratory goes out of business, the laboratory shall have a plan to ensure that its records are maintained or transferred according to the customer's instructions and applicable regulations;
- e. The records shall include the identity of all personnel involved in sampling (if known), sample preparation, calibration, analysis, final reporting. Also, a record of the signature and initial for the name of employees shall be created and maintained; and
- f. Entries in records shall not be obliterated by methods such as erasures, overwritten files, or markings. All corrections and/or amendments to records shall be made with a single strike out line through the error and/or the correct data or value added alongside, as appropriate. All handwritten data shall be recorded using permanent ink. The individual making the correction shall sign (or initial) and date the correction.

4.13.1 Sample Records

A record of all procedures to which sample is subjected (sampling, preparation and testing) while in the possession of the laboratory shall be maintained for a period of at least five years as a readily retrievable hard copy or electronic media that can be generated without change. These records shall include, but are not limited to, records of:

- a. Sample identification, receipt, acceptance or rejection and log-in;
- b. Sample storage and tracking including shipping receipts, where applicable;
- c. Sample preparation, instrument printouts, and calculations, where applicable;
- d. Sample analysis logs;
- e. Standard and reagent origin, receipt, preparation, and use;
- f. Equipment and instrument operating conditions;
- g. Calibration criteria, frequency and acceptance criteria;
- h. Data and statistical calculations, review, confirmation, interpretation, assessment, and reporting conventions;
- i. Method performance criteria;
- j. Quality control protocols and assessment;
- k. Storage and retention; and
- l. Sample disposal procedures and schedule.

4.13.2 Laboratory Quality Management System and Test Records

In addition to documenting all the above mentioned activities, the following shall be retained:

- a. All original raw data, hard copy or electronic, for sampling and testing activities to include: calibrations, samples, and quality control measurements, work sheets and data output/instrument response readout records;
- b. A written description or reference to the specific method used which includes a description of the specific steps in the calculation used to translate parametric observations into a reportable analytical value;
- c. Copies of final reports;

- d. Archived standard operating procedures;
- e. Correspondence relating to laboratory work activities;
- f. All corrective action reports, audits, and audit responses;
- g. Performance evaluation results and raw data; and
- h. Data review and cross checking.

4.13.3 Electronic Data

Where computers or automated equipment are used for the capture, processing, manipulation, recording, reporting, storage or retrieval of data, the laboratory shall ensure that:

- a. Electronic computer records can satisfy the record keeping requirement without hard copy files if hard copies can be generated without changing the information;
- b. The computer programs are validated before they are used and whenever the programs are changed;
- c. Computer file backup procedures are completed in accordance with a predetermined schedule; and
- d. Records that are stored or generated by computers have a hard copy or write-protected backup copies.

4.14 INTERNAL AUDITS

The laboratory shall perform internal audits at least annually and be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583. In a one-person organization, the annual audits may be conducted by the one member of the laboratory if that person follows independent third-party guidance for conducting a quality system audit and creates and maintains a written audit report of the audit findings in its record system.

Alternatively, the one-person organization may choose to contract out the internal audit to an independent person or firm that is competent and has the experience and training to conduct an audit of a quality system.

If the audit indicates that laboratory results may have been affected, customers shall be notified and informed of the corrective action taken and the amended results.

4.15 MANAGEMENT REVIEWS

The quality system adopted to satisfy the standards of the NLLAP LQSR shall be reviewed at least once a year by the laboratory management to ensure its continuing suitability

and effectiveness and to introduce any necessary changes or improvements (see 4.9). Such reviews shall be carried out by the laboratory management in accordance with ISO/IEC 17025.

All relevant information pertaining to the management review shall be recorded. The laboratory management shall ensure that necessary changes or improvements are carried out within an appropriate and agreed timescale.

5.0 TECHNICAL STANDARDS

5.1 PERSONNEL

Laboratory management shall ensure that all laboratory personnel performing NLLAP recognized analyses are educated, trained, experienced, skilled and competent in performing lead sampling and testing activities to conform with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583. Training records shall include a description of training program contents, the duration of the training, qualifications of the trainer, and evidence that the analyst and/or technician has successfully demonstrated their competence, such as described in Section 5.1.1 and 5.1.1.1.

The effectiveness of the training shall be evaluated via proficiency test runs. The laboratory shall maintain current job descriptions for managerial, technical, and key support staff involved in sampling and testing activities, as appropriate.

The NLLAP recognized laboratory shall use permanently employed staff or those under contract to the laboratory. When additional support or technical personnel (such as temporary employees) are needed, the laboratory shall ensure that such personnel are trained, supervised and work within the quality management system to also be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583.

5.1.1 Analysts and Technicians

Personnel who function as analysts and/or technicians (however named) in the laboratory shall have the education, training, experience and/or demonstrated skills or combination thereof, to enable them to adhere to the implemented quality system and to competently perform test procedures, operate laboratory equipment, evaluate test results and sign test reports (when authorized).

5.1.1.1 Minimum Qualifications and Training for Analysts and Technicians

NLLAP analysts and/or technicians shall have hands on experience conducting lead sampling and testing activities, as appropriate, before being authorized to work on NLLAP related samples.

Analysts and technicians shall complete an external and/or internal training program for lead sampling and testing activities, as appropriate, prior to performing those activities on NLLAP related samples. Courses on sample selection, collection, preparation (when applicable) and instrumental analysis may be taken separately or combined. The criteria and training requirements for laboratory personnel shall be clearly defined, documented and maintained on file. A description of the training program content, the duration of the training, qualifications of

the trainer, and objective evidence that the analyst and/or technician has successfully selected and/or collected samples and prepared and tested, as appropriate, known reference samples of the matrices of concern within the specified acceptance criteria must be maintained by the laboratory.

The analyst and/or technician trainee shall complete a minimum of four independent test runs of sample preparation and/or instrumental analysis for each matrix. An independent run is defined as analysis of at least five samples of known lead content, one of which is a certified reference material or proficiency testing material and is separated by a period of time sufficient to evaluate the performance of any previous independent run. For sample preparation training, the recoveries of the associated reference materials or proficiency training samples for each run must be within the standards of Table 3. For instrumental analysis training, the recoveries of the associated reference materials or proficiency training samples for each run must be within either Table 3 or 4 as applicable.

For some analytical testing technologies, it may not be possible to separate the sample preparation techniques from instrumental analyses. In such cases, the training requirements shall be based upon the minimum standards stated for both analysts and technicians.

The reference material/proficiency test samples utilized shall: 1) be similar to matrices the analyst and/or technician will encounter during routine lead sample analysis, and 2) cover the sample mass/concentration range for which the analytical SOP has been validated.

Analyst and/or technicians shall periodically demonstrate their ability to proficiently test samples for lead at least every six months.

5.1.1.2 Additional Standards for Mobile and FSMO Personnel

All mobile laboratory and FSMO personnel involved in the selection of samples or sampling areas as a part of a lead-based paint risk assessment and/or clearance testing in target housing and/or child occupied facilities shall also be certified by EPA or an authorized state or tribal program as a risk assessor/inspector/sampling technician pursuant to Section 402 of the Toxic Substance Control Act (TSCA) and its implementing regulations. These laboratories and FSMO personnel may also wish to reference the NELAC Institute (TNI) Field Sampling and Measurement Organization Standards “Volume 1: General Requirements for Field Sampling and Measurement Organizations.”

All mobile or FSMO technicians shall be evaluated by a competent supervisor for their first two NLLAP-related job sites.

5.2 ACCOMMODATION AND ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS

Any fixed site or mobile laboratory operation shall be suitable for the laboratory activities necessary to accomplish the analyses for lead concentrations in the matrices of concern (see 29 CFR § 1910.1450) and be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583. Additionally, blanks should be utilized as a method for assessing external contamination.

In addition, the use of non-mercury containing temperature measuring devices traceable to the

National Institute of Standards and Technology standards shall be encouraged. Tests shall be terminated when environmental conditions jeopardize the results of the test (i.e., contaminated blanks).

5.2.1 Contamination Control

5.2.1.1 Laboratory Dust Wipe Checks

For fixed-site and mobile laboratory facilities, contamination control by wipe sampling of sample preparation and testing area surfaces shall be conducted at least quarterly to determine surface concentration levels of lead. Sample preparation and analysis is not to proceed until surface contamination is below the specified maximum allowable concentration of 80 percent (%) of the lowest regulatory limit for dust wipe samples. For FSMO, appropriate contamination control blank samples shall be run in order to monitor potential lead contamination as outlined in the QMSM.

5.2.1.2 Labware Cleaning

Cleaning procedures for labware shall be specified by the laboratory in a written Standard Operating Procedures (SOP). The procedure shall include, where applicable, a specified frequency for monitoring of lead concentrations in cleaning baths, the monitoring of reusable labware contamination during the analysis of reagent or other blanks, and periodic monitoring of disposable labware contamination by analyzing of reagent or other blanks. To assess possible contamination, reusable labware used for the method blanks should be processed through acid baths used by the laboratory for labware cleaning.

5.3 TEST AND SAMPLING METHODS

5.3.1 Acceptable Methodology

The test methods or written SOPs shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583. In addition, the laboratory shall have records of method performance demonstration and validation for developed or modified laboratory procedures, which include method detection limit (MDL), bias and precision.

- a. Methods shall not be used for sample analysis until the laboratory has confirmed and documented its proficiency in using these methods. Competency in using test methods shall be demonstrated over the lead concentration and sample mass ranges for each matrix stated by the method;
- b. Where sample preparation and analysis methods are not specified by regulatory programs, the laboratory shall, whenever possible, use validated procedures published by federal agencies such as EPA, HUD or NIOSH, state agencies, or nationally or internationally recognized consensus standards organizations such as ASTM International. For methods under consideration for analytical testing the laboratory shall demonstrate it can achieve a quantitation limit equal to or less than 20% of the lowest relevant action level or regulatory limit of interest for paint and soil and 80% of the

lowest action level for the surface area being sampled (i.e., floors, window sills or window troughs) for dust wipe samples. Laboratory SOPs for sample analysis may require additional QC procedures to those stated in the published methods in order to meet standards of this document. Acceptable sampling methods are cited in 40 CFR Part 745 - Lead- Based Paint Activities;

- c. New, alternative or modified analytical methods and/or new testing technologies may be used by a laboratory if they have been validated by the laboratory or a third party and shown to meet the minimum performance standards stated in 5.3.1(b). The method validation must be documented by the laboratory or qualified third party. In the case where validation is done by a party other than the laboratory, the laboratory shall confirm its competency utilizing the method as described above in Section 5.3.1(a); Acceptable operating characteristics for non-numerical or pass-fail technologies (positive or negative screen technologies) shall be appropriate to the associated regulatory limits (e. g., the measured value including its 95 percent (%) uncertainty of measurement must be less than the associated regulatory limit); and
- d. When a laboratory offers analyses of composite dust wipe samples, the laboratory analytical method for composite dust wipe samples shall address increase of sample mass. The laboratory shall meet the minimum performance standards stated in 5.3.1(b) and demonstrate its competency utilizing the method as described above in Section 5.3.1(a).

5.3.2 Method Validation and Performance Demonstration Procedures

Method validation shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583.

5.3.3 Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs)

SOPs shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583. Additionally, all method SOPs shall be available to all personnel at the locations where work activities are performed and shall include information addressing the following areas, as appropriate:

- Method detection limit
- Scope and application
- Summary of the method
- Definitions
- Applicable matrix or matrices
- Applicable lead concentration range
- Applicable sample mass range
- Method performance (bias and precision)
- Interferences

- Safety considerations
- Reagents and standards
- Equipment and supplies
- Sample collection (where applicable)
- Sample preservation and storage (where applicable)
- Sample preparation including grinding, homogenization, and subsampling (where applicable)
- Instrument calibration/verification
- Quality control procedures
- Detailed step-by-step procedures
- Calculations
- Data acceptance criteria
- Corrective actions for out-of-control data
- Contingencies for handling out of control data
- References

Laboratory SOPs which do not address all of the areas stated above shall be amended in accordance with the laboratory's SOP on document control, revision /change, which may permit the use of attachments in order to meet this requirement or reference other quality system documents as appropriate.

5.3.4 Method Performance Characteristics

Method performance characteristics shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583. The acceptable minimum method performance characteristics provided in Tables 3 and 4 of this document shall be replaced by the laboratory's own statistically determined performance characteristics and shall not be greater than values stated in Tables 3 and 4.

5.3.5 Method Detection Limits

Method detection limits (MDLs) shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583. MDLs shall be established, statistically verified and monitored as needed for each method and matrix of concern. For methods with stated MDLs, demonstration of ability to achieve such MDLs is required and shall be documented. MDLs shall be determined using a documented SOP that is based on procedures published or recognized nationally (e.g., 40 CFR Part 136 Appendix B).

5.3.5.1 Quantitation Limits

The quantitation limit shall be "less than" (" $<$ ") a value at least 1.6 times but no greater than 10 times the method detection limit as determined in Section 5.3.5.

5.3.5.2 Bias and Precision

Bias and precision shall be determined for each analytical method. The method evaluation results shall be documented and kept on file. Acceptable minimum method performance criteria for bias and precision can be found in Tables 3 and 4 of this document and shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583.

5.3.6 Sample Aliquots

Where subsampling is carried out, it shall be in conformance with ASTM E1583.

5.3.7 Data Reduction and Review Process

The data reduction and review process shall be conducted by a qualified person (described in Section 4.0 or 5.1.1) and shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025. It shall include, but not necessarily be limited to: comparison of quality control data against established acceptance limits, computation verification, transcription of data, and adherence to the procedures established in the laboratory SOPs. Where appropriate, computations shall be verified and transcription of data double checked. Qualified persons can be technicians, analysts, the quality manager, or technical manager, or the responsible person described previously in Section 4.0.

In the case of a one person laboratory, the review process shall be contracted out to an independent person or firm that is qualified conduct the review.

The review process shall be documented and signed by the reviewer, and shall be retained on file with a copy of the final report for a minimum of five years.

5.3.7.1 Estimate of Uncertainty of Measurement

Testing laboratories shall have and shall apply procedures for estimating uncertainty of measurement (UoM). The laboratories shall at least attempt to identify all the components of uncertainty and make a reasonable estimation and shall ensure that the form of reporting of the result does not give a wrong impression of the uncertainty. A reasonable estimate shall be based on knowledge of the performance of the method and on the measurement scope and shall make use of previous experience and validation data and requirements of the customers.

In those cases where a well-recognized test method specifies limits to the values of the major sources of UoM and specifies the form of presentation to calculate results, the laboratory is considered to have satisfied this section by following the test method and reporting instructions (see 5.8).

5.4 EQUIPMENT

The laboratory shall be furnished with the proper equipment to perform the necessary tests and shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583. Equipment is to be used by authorized personnel only.

5.4.1 Instrument Calibration and Performance Quality Checks

Instruments that are routinely calibrated shall be verified daily or prior to analyzing samples and acceptable instrument performance shall be demonstrated daily or prior to use.

All instrument calibration/performance verification shall be performed using matrix matched reference standard materials of the same matrix as the samples being measured, when

available. These standard materials shall be traceable to NIST or similar thoroughly investigated standards. In the absence of sufficient data for statistical determination of adequate QC limits and frequency, the types of QC samples, minimum frequencies and the required minimum acceptance limits shown in Tables 1 and 2 shall be met, as appropriate.

5.4.2 Instrument Calibration Performance Standards

Instruments and equipment used for testing that have a significant effect on the accuracy of the result shall be calibrated prior to use. The laboratory shall have an established system for equipment calibration. See "Preparation of Calibration Curves-A Guide to Best Practice," September 2003, LGC/VAM/2003/032, for the guidance.

5.4.2.1 Initial Calibration

A minimum of three calibration standards, which bracket the sample concentrations, and an initial calibration blank (ICB) shall be analyzed and used to construct a calibration curve prior to the analysis of samples, as appropriate. Calibration acceptance criteria shall be stated. New calibration curves shall be prepared whenever an out of control condition is indicated. For those technologies and software packages requiring fewer calibration standards, follow the manufacturer's recommendations (e. g., the instrument operations manual). When linear fit is used, linearity shall be evaluated by using the calibration standards. Acceptance criteria shall be stated (See Tables 1 and 2).

5.4.2.2 Independent Calibration Verification

An independent calibration verification (ICV) standard shall be analyzed daily or prior to analyzing samples. See Tables 1 and 2 for minimum performance acceptance criteria. For an instrument which produces a numerical result, the ICV standard shall be at a lead concentration in the range of customer specified lead levels of concern or action levels such as regulatory limits. For an instrument (or equivalent) which produces a pass-fail result, the ICV positive (ICV-P) with lead level no more than 20% above the applicable regulatory limit (omit for positive screen technologies) and/or negative (ICV-N) with lead level no less than 20% below the applicable regulatory limit (omit for negative screen technologies). For dust wipe samples, 20% above or below the applicable regulatory limit pertains to the surface area being sampled (i.e., floors, window sills or window troughs).

5.4.2.3 Continuing Calibration Verification

Continuing calibration verification (CCV) standards shall be analyzed in accordance with the SOP. The CCV standard may be prepared from independent reference standards or from the same standards used to prepare the instrument calibration curve. Acceptance criteria shall be stated (See Tables 1 and 2 for minimum performance acceptance criteria).

- a. At least two standards shall be analyzed every 20 samples, or according to instrument manufacturer's recommendations, or at a predetermined SOP frequency whichever is most frequent.

- b. For an instrument which produces a numerical result, the concentration of these standards shall be determined by the confirmed operating range of the instrument, regulatory limits and/or method specified levels.
- c. For an instrument (or equivalent) which produces a pass-fail result, the concentration of these standards shall be determined by the confirmed operating range of the instrument, regulatory limits and/or method specified levels. The QC samples must contain lead levels no more than 20% above the applicable regulatory limit for a particular surface area for the Continuing Calibration Verification Positive (CCV-P) (omit for positive screen technologies), and no less than 20% below the applicable regulatory limit for a particular surface area the Continuing Calibration Verification Negative (CCV-N) (omit for negative screen technologies).
- d. A new calibration curve shall be established if two consecutive test results of one continuing calibration check are outside acceptable limits. When the continuing calibration check is confirmed to be outside acceptable limits, the samples affected by the unacceptable check shall be reanalyzed after a new calibration curve has been established, evaluated, and accepted. Sample analysis shall not continue or be restarted until a new calibration curve is established and verified.

5.4.2.4 Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB)

Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB) standards shall be analyzed in accordance with the testing SOP.

Table 1. Summary of Instrument Calibration Performance Standards for an instrument which produces a numerical result

QC SAMPLE	FREQUENCY	ACCEPTANCE LIMITS
Independent Calibration Verification (ICV)	Once per day after calibration	Within $\pm 10\%$ of known value
Initial Calibration Blank (ICB)	Once per run at the beginning of the run	Absolute value not more than 80% of the lowest regulatory limit for the sample matrix analyzed or the minimum level of concern, whichever is most limiting

Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV)	At the beginning and end of a sample run, as well as every 20 samples, or according to instrument manufacturer's recommendations, or according to instrument Performance Characteristic Sheet (PCS), or at a predetermined SOP frequency whichever is most frequent	Within $\pm 20\%$ of known value
Interference Check Sample (ICS) (where applicable)	At the beginning and end of each run or twice every 12 hours	Within 20% of known value
Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB)	After each ICS and CCV	Absolute value not more than 80% of the lowest regulatory limit for the sample matrix analyzed or the minimum level of concern, whichever is most limiting

In the absence of sufficient data for statistical determination of adequate QC limits and frequency, the types of QC samples, minimum frequencies and the required minimum acceptance limits shown in this table shall be met, as appropriate.

Table 2. Summary of Instrument (or Equivalent) Performance Standards for an instrument (or equivalent) which produces Pass-Fail result

QC SAMPLE	FREQUENCY	ACCEPTANCE LIMITS
Independent Calibration Verification - Positive (ICV-P) (sample lead level no more than 20% above the applicable regulatory limit; omit for positive screen technologies)	Once per run at the beginning of the run	Positive
Independent Calibration Verification - Negative (ICV-N) (sample lead level no less than 20% below the applicable regulatory limit; omit for negative screen technologies)	Once per run at the beginning of the run	Negative
Initial Calibration Blank (ICB)	Once per run at the beginning of the run	Negative

Continuing Calibration Verification Positive (CCV-P) (sample lead level no more than 20% above the applicable regulatory limit; omit for positive screen technologies)	At the end of a run as well as every 20 samples, or according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, or according to instrument PCS, or at a predetermined SOP frequency, whichever is most frequent	Positive
Continuing Calibration Verification Negative (CCV-N) (sample lead level no less than 20% below the applicable regulatory limit; omit for negative screen technologies)	At the end of a run as well as every 20 samples, or according to the manufacturer’s recommendations, or according to instrument PCS, or at a predetermined SOP frequency, whichever is most frequent	Negative
Interference Check Sample (ICS) (where applicable)	At the beginning and end of each run or twice every 12 hours	Result consistent with lead level
Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB)	After each ICS and CCV	Negative

5.5 MEASUREMENT TRACEABILITY

All equipment that has a significant effect on the accuracy or validity of the test result shall be calibrated prior to use. The laboratory shall have an established system for selecting, using, calibrating, checking, controlling and maintaining measurement standards, reference materials and equipment.

NLLAP recognized laboratories shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583.

5.5.1 Reference Standards and/or Reference Materials

Property values for reference materials shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583. The reference standards and materials values shall be certified and traceable to NIST or verified against NIST or similar thoroughly investigated standard

Reference materials shall have an expiration date assigned. Reference materials shall not be used beyond assigned expiration dates nor used if damaged or contaminated or suspected to be damaged or contaminated. The laboratory shall maintain records pertaining to all reference standards, reference materials and reagents to include certificates of analysis, purity, their origin and traceability, as provided by the manufacturer, for a period of at least five years.

5.5.2 Documentation of Reagent and Calibration Solution Preparation

Documentation of intermediate and working standard and reagent solution preparations shall be kept to provide traceability and shall include the date of preparation, identity and concentration or purity of parent material, assigned expiration date and preparer's initials. All prepared reagents and standards shall be uniquely identified and the contents shall be clearly

identified.

5.6 SAMPLING

When the laboratory collects dust, paint and/or soil samples as a part of testing for lead, the sampling shall be performed in accordance with federal regulations in 40 CFR Part 745 - Lead-Based Paint Activities. The laboratory shall also be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 and ASTM E1583 for sample handling; sample control; and sample acceptance, documentation, and tracking.

5.6.1 Sampling Media

Where the laboratory is responsible for supplying sampling media, the media shall be evaluated, as appropriate, for lead contamination in accordance with ASTM E1792-20 “Standard for Wipe Sampling Materials for Lead in Surface Dust”. The evaluation process shall be defined in a SOP and results of evaluations shall be recorded.

5.6.1.1 Sample Acceptance Policy

The laboratory shall have a written sample acceptance policy that clearly outlines the circumstances under which samples will be accepted (without issuing results with a qualifier in the associated data report). Data from any samples which do not meet the following criteria must be clearly flagged defining the nature and substance of the variation. This sample acceptance policy shall be made available to sample collecting personnel and shall include, but is not limited to, the following areas of concern:

- a. Full and complete documentation, which shall include sample identification, the location, date of collection, sample matrix and any special remarks concerning the sample;
- b. Sample labeling shall include unique field identification.
- c. Use of appropriate sample containers; and
- d. Adequate quantity of sample for analysis.

5.6.1.2 Sample Receipt Logs

The laboratory shall utilize a permanent record, such as a log book or electronic record, to document receipt of all samples. The following information must be recorded:

- a. Date of laboratory receipt of sample;
- b. Sample collection date (if known);
- c. Unique laboratory ID code ;
- d. Field ID code supplied by sample submitter;

- e. Sample matrix;
- f. Requested analyses, including method number, if applicable;
- g. Signature or initials of sample receiver (where applicable); for electronic sample logging systems, the identity of the sample receiver; and
- h. Comments resulting because of sample rejection.

All associated documentation, such as memos or transmittal forms that are transmitted to the laboratory by the sample transmitter shall be retained.

5.6.1.3 Documentation on Questionable Samples

Where there is any doubt as to the suitability of the sample for testing, the sample does not conform to the description provided, or the analysis required is not fully specified, the laboratory shall consult the customer for further instruction before proceeding. If the sample does not meet the sample acceptance criteria, the laboratory shall:

- # Retain correspondence and/or records of conversations concerning the final disposition of rejected samples or fully document any decision to proceed with the analysis of compromised samples
- # The condition of these samples shall, at a minimum, be noted on the chain of custody or transmittal form and laboratory receiving documents
- # The associated test result shall be appropriately "qualified" on the final report

5.6.1.4 Sample Custody Procedures

The laboratory shall have chain of custody (COC) protocols that provide sufficient assurances, both legal and technical, that assertions made about a sample and its measurable characteristics can be supported to an acceptable level of certainty as this may be required by customers and/or federal/state/tribal programs (see ASTM D 4840 Standard Guide for Sample Chain of Custody Procedures). Chain of custody records shall establish an intact, continuous record of the physical possession, storage and disposal of collected samples.

5.6.1.5 Sample Tracking

The laboratory shall have a documented system for uniquely identifying the items to be analyzed, to ensure traceability of items and to ensure that there can be no confusion regarding the identity of such items at any time. This system shall include identification of all samples, subsamples, and subsequent extracts and/or digestates. The laboratory shall have policies and procedures to uniquely identify samples and batches recovered by the laboratories and

procedures for retention and disposal. Multiple aliquots of a sample that have been received for testing must be assigned a different ID code (such as a prefix or suffix).

The laboratory sample retention and disposal policies shall be documented and used. Laboratories shall comply with all applicable federal, state and local regulations regarding environmental contamination and waste disposal.

5.7 ASSURING THE QUALITY OF TEST RESULTS

The laboratory is responsible for ensuring the quality of the test results through the monitoring of the testing process and shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583. Such monitoring plans shall include:

- # Internal quality control approaches using statistical techniques
- # Participation in interlaboratory comparisons or proficiency testing programs
- # Regular use of reference materials
- # Replication of tests using the same or different methods
- # Re-testing

The laboratory quality control (QC) program shall include the continual evaluation of its performance: determinations of bias and precision for each matrix analyzed; and participation in the ELPAT Program for each matrix analyzed. Also laboratories are encouraged to participate in interlaboratory comparisons and/or other proficiency testing programs.

Because the client may also be providing duplicates, spikes and/or blanks as part of their own sampling protocol, the laboratory should seek client feedback, whenever possible, on the laboratory’s performance after reports are provided to the client; any information obtained should be included in the laboratory QC performance evaluation.

Laboratory system process control and system performance monitoring shall be accomplished using statistical process control (charts or data base) for monitoring the laboratory's performance with QC sample analysis results. The statistical process control method used shall specify warning and action limits for acceptance or rejection of the QC data and shall be used to monitor performance trends within the quality management system over time. In the absence of a statistically sufficient data base to determine the necessary frequency for QC samples and/or action limits for acceptance or rejection of QC data, the laboratory shall use of the frequencies and criteria for QC samples stated in Tables 3 and 4.

Note: Tables 3 and 4 contain the required minimum performance criteria and frequency for QC sample analysis (frequency determinations are usually based on system process control data produced by the laboratory for the method in question). The laboratory shall establish its own performance criteria for QC samples (uncertainty of measurement), which shall not be greater than stated in tables 3 and 4.

5.7.1 Quality Control Procedures.

The laboratory shall have QC procedures for monitoring the validity of lead tests undertaken. The QC procedures shall be stated in the quality documents such as QMSM and/or in each method SOP addressing, as appropriate:

- # Duplicate or "side-by-side" field sample analyses
- # Spiked and blank sample analyses
- # Blind samples
- # Split/spiked field sample analyses
- # Control charts or equivalent
- # Calibration standards
- # Laboratory control samples
- # Internal standards

The resulting QC data, where appropriate, shall be recorded in such a way that trends are detectable and statistical techniques shall be applied to the reviewing of the results.

5.7.1.1 Bias Determination

Laboratory Control Samples (LCS). LCSs (External Reference) are used to determine the degree of bias shall be of the same matrix as the test samples, and shall be prepared and analyzed with a minimum frequency of one per twenty field samples or batch, whichever is most frequent. A batch is defined as a set of samples which are processed (for example, homogenized, digested and analyzed) in one operation.

Note: LCS sources include but are not limited to: proficiency testing samples from the ELPAT Program, commercially available certified reference materials (CRM), or materials of known concentration determined using definitive methods. All external reference sample materials shall be NIST traceable.

For an instrument which produces a numerical result, the lead concentration of the LCS shall be near the level of concern or action level and whenever possible shall not require extensive pretreatment dilution or concentration prior to analysis.

For an instrument (or equivalent) which produces a pass-fail result, the lead concentration of the LCS shall be near the level of concern or action level, but no more than 20% above the applicable regulatory limit (omit for positive screen technologies) or no less than 20% below the applicable regulatory limit (omit for negative screen technologies) and whenever possible shall not require extensive pretreatment dilution or concentration prior to analysis. For dust wipe samples, 20% above or below the applicable regulatory limit pertains to the surface area being sampled (i.e., floors, window sills or window troughs).

Matrix Spike (Split/Spiked) Field Samples. In an effort to evaluate potential matrix interference, a matrix spike sample shall be analyzed with a minimum frequency of 5% of the samples for each matrix type per batch of samples. If there are fewer than twenty samples in a batch, at least one matrix spike for each matrix per batch shall be analyzed.

Matrix spike samples shall be prepared using a split field sample (before any digestion process when possible) and the level of lead spiked shall be enough to result in a final lead concentration of the prepared sample of five times the sample's observed lead concentration, or five times the method detection limit, whichever is greater.

Matrix spike analyses shall be performed using field samples (whenever possible) in order to monitor for potential field sample matrix interferences. For field samples too small or difficult to homogenize and split in order to obtain samples for matrix spike evaluation or replicate analyses, the laboratory shall select alternative QC options. One of these alternative options includes the analysis of duplicate laboratory control samples, prepared with the appropriate matrix material, for each batch.

Method Blanks. A method blank containing all reagents (and in the case of dust wipes, the representative blank wipe) and subject to all preparation steps shall be processed and analyzed along with the samples. Method blanks shall be analyzed with a minimum frequency of 5% of the samples for each matrix per batch of samples. If there are fewer than twenty samples in a batch, at least one method blank for each matrix per batch shall be analyzed. Method blanks (or other QC results) shall not be used to correct sample results.

5.7.1.2 Precision Determination

A split field sample (the initial sample being split into two fractions before digestion and analysis) for precision determination shall be analyzed with a minimum frequency of 5% of the samples for each matrix type per batch of samples. If there are fewer than twenty samples in a batch, at least one test sample for each matrix per batch shall be analyzed. For analyses where there is not a sufficient amount of field sample for splitting or the analytical technology does not allow for split samples, the laboratory shall use alternative QC procedures in an effort to monitor the laboratory's precision of analysis. One of these options is the analysis of duplicate laboratory control samples, prepared with the appropriate matrix material, for each batch in order to monitor laboratory performance. Table 3 shows the summary of QC sample performance standards for an instrument which produces a numerical result and Table 4 shows the summary of QC sample performance standards for an instrument (or equivalent) which produces a pass-fail results, respectively.

Table 3. Summary of QC Sample Performance Standards for an instrument which produces a numerical result

QC SAMPLE	FREQUENCY	ACCEPTANCE LIMITS
Laboratory Control Sample	One per 20 samples or batch (min. frequency 5%)	Within $\pm 20\%$ of known value
Matrix Spike Sample	One per 20 samples or batch (min. frequency 5%)	Within $\pm 25\%$ of calculated value
Duplicate Sample	One per 20 samples or batch (min. frequency 5%)	Within $\pm 25\%$ Relative % Difference (RPD)

Method Blank	One per 20 samples or batch (min. frequency 5%)	Absolute value not more than 80% of the lowest regulatory limit for the sample matrix analyzed or the minimum level of concern, whichever is most limiting.
--------------	---	---

Table 4. Summary of QC Sample Performance Standards for an Instrument (or equivalent) which Produces Pass-Fail Results

QC SAMPLE	FREQUENCY	ACCEPTANCE LIMITS
Laboratory Control Sample Positive LCS-P (sample lead level no more than 20% above the applicable regulatory limit; omit for positive screen technologies)	One per 20 samples or batch (min. frequency 5%)	Positive
Laboratory Control Sample Negative LCS-N (sample lead level no less than 20% below the applicable regulatory limit; omit for negative screen technologies)	One per 20 samples or batch (min. frequency 5%)	Negative
Duplicate Laboratory Control Sample LCS-P or LCS-N	One per 20 samples or batch (min. frequency 5%)	Positive or Negative, depending on the choice of lead level and the capability of the technology
Method Blank	One per 20 samples or batch (min. frequency 5%)	Negative

Note: In the absence of sufficient data for statistical determination of adequate QC limits and frequency, the types of QC samples, minimum frequencies and the required minimum acceptance limits shown in Tables 3 and 4 shall be met, as appropriate.

5.7.2 Control Charts or Quality Control Data Base

Control charts or a quality control data base shall be used to record quality control data and track laboratory performances with respect to the associated acceptance limits for each matrix. The performance tracking of critical QC samples shall be done as close as possible on a real time basis.

5.7.3 Procedures for determination of out of control situations

The laboratory shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583.

When QC sample results fall outside the acceptance limits, the affected batch of samples shall be reanalyzed, whenever possible. The re-analysis shall begin with the sample preparation stage, when applicable, and shall include a new set of QC samples, when possible. When feasible, a lead laboratory may arrange for the re-collection or re-taking of field samples.

5.8 REPORTING THE RESULTS

Reporting results of test activities shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583. Reporting results shall also include the name of the accrediting body the lab received NLLAP accreditation from.

5.8.1 Reporting Results Below the Quantitation Limit

Report of zero concentration is not permitted. Laboratories shall establish a method of limiting the lower reported values to a positive finite lead level that is appropriate for the technology being used. Measured lead levels below this positive finite value shall be reported with a qualifier “less than” (“<”) this positive finite value.

For pass-fail technologies, clear statement of measurement capability with associated uncertainty shall be reported to the customer.

5.8.1.1 Opinions and Interpretations

Opinions and interpretations shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025.

5.8.2 Report Review and Approval Process

All final test reports shall undergo the documented data review process before release to the customer. If a qualified person who was not involved in the physical preparation or analysis of samples in question is available to review the final report, then that person shall conduct the review. Qualified persons can be technicians, analysts, the quality manager, or technical manager, or the responsible person described previously in Section 4.0.

In the case of a one person laboratory, or where a qualified person is not available for the review, the review process shall be contracted out to an independent person or firm that is competent and has the experience and training necessary to conduct the review.

The review process shall be documented and signed by the reviewer, and shall be retained on file with a copy of the final report for a minimum of five years.

5.8.3 Test Report Corrections Process

If corrections or additions to a test report are made, they shall be in conformance with ISO/IEC 17025 as well as ASTM E1583.

Test results obtained from subcontractors shall be clearly identified in the test report. The

subcontracted laboratory or organization must be currently NLLAP recognized for the subcontracted test methods in question.

APPENDIX I**ACRONYMS AND GLOSSARY OF TERMS ASSOCIATED WITH THE NLLAP****Acronyms**

A2LA	American Association for Laboratory Accreditation
ACS	American Chemical Society
AIHA	American Industrial Hygiene Association
ASTM	ASTM International
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
CCB	Continuing Calibration Blank
CCV	Continuing Calibration Verification
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
COC	Chain of Custody
ELPAT	Environmental Lead Proficiency Analytical Testing
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
FSMO	Field Sampling and Measurement Organization
HUD	Housing and Urban Development
ICB	Initial Calibration Blank
ICV	Independent Calibration Verification
ICS	Interference Check Standard
ID	Identification
ISO	International Organization for Standardization
ISO-IEC	International Organization for Standardization and International Electrochemical Commission
LCS	Laboratory Control Sample
MDL	Method Detection Limit
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology
NLLAP	National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program
OPPT	Office of Pollution Prevention and Toxics
PCS	Performance Characteristic Sheet
PE	Performance Evaluation
PT	Proficiency Testing
QA	Quality Assurance
QC	Quality Control
QMSM	Quality Management System Manual
RE	Relative Error
RPD	Relative Percent Difference
SAP	Sample Analysis Plan
SI	<i>Système International d'Unités</i> (International System of Units)
SOP	Standard Operating Procedure
SRM	Standard Reference Material Produced by NIST
TSCA	Toxic Substances Control Act
UoM	Uncertainty of Measurement

Glossary

Accreditation:	A formal recognition that an organization (e.g., laboratory) is competent to carry out specific tasks or specific types of analyses.
Accredited laboratory:	A laboratory that has been evaluated and given approval to perform a specified analysis or task, usually for a specific property or analyte and for a specified period of time.
Acceptance limits:	Data quality limits specified by the National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program for analytical method performance.
Accuracy:	The degree of agreement between an observed value and an accepted reference value. Accuracy includes a combination of random error (precision) and systematic error (bias) components which are due to sampling and analytical operations; a data quality indicator. See <u>Precision</u> and <u>Bias</u> .
Aliquot:	See <u>Subsample</u> .
Batch:	A quantity of material produced or processed (for example, homogenized, digested and analyzed) in one operation, considered to be a uniform, discrete unit.
Bias (trueness):	The systematic error manifested as a consistent positive or negative deviation from the known true value.
Blind sample:	A subsample submitted for analysis with a composition and identity known to the submitter but unknown to the analyst and used to test the analyst's or laboratory's proficiency in the execution of the measurement process.
Calibrate:	To determine, by measurement or comparison with a standard, the correct value of each scale reading on a meter or other device, or the correct value for each setting of a control knob. The levels of the calibration standards should bracket the range of planned measurements. See <u>Calibration curve</u> .
Calibration blank:	See <u>Initial calibration blank</u> .
Calibration-check:	See <u>Calibration verification</u> .
Calibration-check standard:	See <u>Calibration verification</u> .

Calibration curve:	The graphical relationship between the known values for a series of calibration standards and instrument responses.
Calibration drift:	The difference between the instrument response and a reference value after a period of operation without recalibration. See <u>Continuing calibration verification</u> .
Calibration standard:	A substance or reference material used to calibrate an instrument.
Calibration solution:	See <u>Calibration standard</u> .
Calibration verification:	See <u>Initial or continuing calibration verification</u>
Certified Reference Material:	A reference material that has one or more of its property values established by a technically valid procedure and is accompanied by or traceable to a certificate or other documentation issued by a certifying body. See <u>Certification and Reference material</u> .
Chain of custody (COC):	An unbroken trail of accountability that insures the physical security of samples, data, and records.
Check sample:	An uncontaminated sample matrix spiked with known amounts of analytes, usually from the same source as the calibration standards. It is generally used to establish the stability of the analytical system, but may also be used to assess the performance of all or a portion of the measurement system. See also <u>Quality control sample</u> .
Composite sample:	A sample composed as a result of collection of more than one sample of the same medium (e.g., dust) from the same type of surface (e.g., floor, interior window sill, or window trough) so that multiple samples can be analyzed as a single sample.
Continuing Calibration Blank (CCB):	A standard solution which has no analyte and is used to verify blank response and freedom from carryover. The CCB should be analyzed after the CCV and after the Interference Check Standard (ICS).
Continuing Calibration Verification (CCV):	A standard solution (or set of solutions) used to verify freedom of excessive instrumental drift. The concentration of the analyte should be near the mid-range of the linear curve. The CCV should be matrix matched to acid content present in sample digestates. The CCV should be analyzed before and after all sample digests.
Control chart:	A graph of some measurement plotted over time or sequence of

sampling, together with control limit(s) and, usually, a central line and warning limit(s).

Control sample:	See <u>Laboratory control sample</u> .
Corrective action:	Action taken to correct a deficiency noted in a technical systems audit. See <u>Deficiency</u> and <u>Systems audit</u> .
Deficiency:	A failure to fully comply with the standards of NLLAP usually noted during a technical systems audit. See <u>NLLAP</u> and <u>Systems audit</u> .
ELPAT:	Environmental Lead Proficiency Analytical Testing Program. Successful participation in this proficiency testing program on a quarterly basis is required for all EPA/NLLAP recognized laboratories. ELPAT Program is administered by the American Industrial Hygiene Association Proficiency Analytical Testing Programs (AIHA PAT Programs) in cooperation with NIOSH and EPA.
Initial calibration blank (ICB):	A standard solution that contains no analyte and is used for initial calibration and zeroing instrument response. The ICB must be matrix matched to acid content present in sample digestates. The ICB should be measured during calibration and after calibration.
Independent calibration verification (ICV):	A standard solution (or set of solutions) used to verify calibration standard levels. The concentration of the analyte should be near mid-range of the calibration curve which is made from a stock solution having a different manufacturer or manufacturer lot identification than the calibration standards. The ICV must be matrix matched to acid content present in sample digestates. The ICV should be measured after calibration and before measuring any sample digestates.
Instrument:	An instrument which produces a quantitative (numerical result) performs a measurement of lead that can be quantified numerically or an instrument (or equivalent) which produces qualitative (pass- fail) result performs a measurement that indicates the absence or presence of lead relative to a given threshold level.
Interference check standard (ICS):	A standard solution (or set of solutions) used to verify accurate analyte response in the presence of possible interferences from other analytes present in samples. The ICS must be matrix matched to the reagent content present in sample digestates.

Internal quality control:	The routine activities and checks, such as periodic calibrations, duplicate analyses, and spiked samples, that are included in normal internal procedures to control the bias and precision of measurements.
Internal standard:	A standard added to a test portion of a sample in a known amount and carried through the entire demonstration procedure as a reference for calibration and controlling the precision and bias of the applied analytical method.
Laboratory:	Any operation that performs quantitative and/or qualitative analytical testing of paint chip (film), dust, and/or soil samples for lead analysis regardless of the number of personnel or the extent of the scope of testing activities.
Laboratory control sample (LCS):	A matrix-based reference material with an established concentration obtained from a source independent of the instrument calibration and traceable to NIST or other reference materials. The LCS is carried through the entire procedure from digestion through analysis as a field sample. The purpose of the LCS is to evaluate bias of the method.
Laboratory systems audit:	See <u>Systems audit</u> .
Lot:	A set of samples submitted together for laboratory analysis which can be treated as one or more batches.
Matrix:	The component or substrate which contains the analyte of interest.
Matrix spike:	See <u>Spiked sample</u> .
Method blank:	A mixture of all reagents used for the digestion of paint, soil, or dust matrices but without the matrix. This blank, is carried through all steps of the analysis starting with the digestion step. This blank evaluates the process for contamination from the laboratory.
Method performance:	A general term used to document the characteristics of a method. These characteristics usually include method detection limits, linearity, precision and bias. The minimum acceptable criteria for method performance characteristics are determined and/or verified as part of method validation, method measurement uncertainty determination and method performance demonstrations.

Method detection limit (MDL):	The minimum concentration of an analyte that, in a given matrix and with a specific method, has a 99% probability of being identified, qualitatively or quantitatively measured, and reported to be greater than zero.
NLLAP:	National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program. This EPA program recognizes laboratories which have demonstrated they are capable of analyzing paint chip (film), dust and/or soil samples for lead.
NLLAP standards:	Standards specified by the EPA National Lead Laboratory Accreditation Program (NLLAP) in order to be accredited for lead analysis in paint chip (film), dust, and/or soil matrices by an EPA- recognized laboratory accreditation organization. (NLLAP standards are designed to be used for accreditation purposes and should not be used for purpose of verification/validation of any specific technology).
Precision (repeatability):	The degree to which a set of observations or measurements of the same property, usually obtained under similar conditions, conform to themselves; a data quality indicator. Precision is usually expressed as standard deviation, variance, or range, in either absolute or relative terms.
Proficiency testing:	A systematic program in which one or more standardized samples is analyzed by one or more laboratories to determine the capability of each participant.
Quality assurance (QA):	An integrated system of activities involving planning, quality control, quality assessment, reporting, and quality improvement to ensure that a product or service meets defined standards of quality within a stated level of confidence.
Quality control (QC):	The overall system of technical activities whose purpose is to measure and control the quality of a product or service so that it meets the needs of users. The aim is to provide quality that is satisfactory, adequate, dependable, and economical.
Quality manager:	The manager of the quality system. The quality manager is independent of the analyst and reports directly to management.
Quantitation Limits:	The maximum or minimum levels or quantities of a target analyte that can be quantified to a specified accuracy.
Reference material:	A material or substance, one or more properties of which are

sufficiently well established to be used for the calibration of an apparatus, the assessment of a measurement method, or assigning values to materials.

Reference standard: See Calibration standard.

Relative percent (%) difference: A term defined as:

$$RPD = | R_1 - R_2 | / R_{ave} \times 100\%$$

where $| R_1 - R_2 |$ represents the absolute difference in two values and R_{ave} represents the average of the two values.

Run: A set of consecutive sample measurements.

Sample: An aliquot taken from a painted surface (paint), surface (dust), or soil in accordance with 40 CFR 745 that was physically removed from its matrix for analysis by a laboratory.

Sample log: The document where sample identification, condition, etc is noted when samples arrive at the laboratory. The log is part of the sample tracking system. See Sample tracking.

Sample tracking: A system of following a sample from receipt at the laboratory, through sample processing and analysis, and to final reporting. The system includes unique numbering or bar coding labels and the use of a sample log.

Site inspection/assessment: An on-site visit to a laboratory for the purpose of conducting a systems audit.

Spiked sample: A sample prepared by adding a known mass of target analyte to a specified amount of matrix sample for which an independent estimate of target analyte concentration is available. Spiked samples are used, for example, to determine the effect of the matrix on a method's recovery efficiency.

Split samples: Two or more representative portions taken from a sample or subsample and analyzed by different analysts or laboratories. Split samples are used to replicate the measurement of the variable(s) of interest.

Standard operating procedure (SOP): A written document that details the method of an operation, analysis, or action whose techniques and procedures are thoroughly prescribed and which is accepted as the method for performing certain routine or repetitive tasks.

Standard reference material (SRM):	A certified reference material produced by the U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology and characterized for absolute content independent of analytical method.
Standardization:	The process of establishing the quantitative relationship between a known mass of target material (e.g., concentration) and the response variable (e.g., the measurement system or instrument response). See <u>Calibrate</u> and <u>Calibration curve</u> .
Stock solution:	A concentrated solution of analyte(s) or reagent(s) prepared and verified by prescribed procedure(s), and used for preparing working standards or standard solutions.
Subsample:	A representative portion of a sample.
Systems audit:	A thorough systematic on-site, qualitative review of facilities, equipment, personnel, training, procedures, record keeping, data validation, data management, and reporting aspects of a total measurement system.
Traceability:	An established property of the result of a measurement, to stated references (usually national or international standards) at a stated level of uncertainty through an unbroken chain of comparisons.
Validation:	The process of substantiating specified performance criteria.