

(b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Enf. Privacy

Fax Transmission

Date: 4/21/10

To Fax Number: 202 501 1836
ATT*** Helena
Office of Civil Rights

From:

(b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Enf. Privacy

Our Phone:
Our Fax:

(b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Enf. Privacy

Number of pages including this cover page: 5

Message: Re: (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Enf. Privacy

This is the material we discussed.. The harassment goes on. You can fax to this number

(b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Enf. Privacy Union City, PA 16438

Please call if you experience any transmission problems.

10
000
5988
APR 21 2010

RE:

April 23, 2010

Union City, PA 16438
Phone

In 1999 I Purchased two lots on the southern shore of Canadohta Lake, Crawford County Pennsylvania. In the spring of 2000 I called the U. S. Army Corp. of Engineers and asked about a 1958 Sea Wall and fill permit for my lots. The corps stated I Could repair the existing wall and stabilize the shore line. the work was completed by March 10,2000 and ready for a new dock.

March 17, 2000 Pennsylvania was called by my neighbor. The department stated the existing wall could not be rebuilt, and gave me a **Notice Of Violation**.

By July of 2000 the Department made threats to me and my family and demanded a complete removal of the rebuilt 1958 Sea Wall or face a \$25,000 fine and court costs April 10, 2001 under pressure from the D.E.P. I was forced to hire people to dig out and destroy a pre-existing wall approved and in existence forever forty (40) years.

The Department 's blatant disregard for my interest in my property is a violation of my Constitutional rights.

On May 11, 2001 the Department inspected the property and stated violations were resolved and it determined a wet land now existed.

On May 28, 2001 I applied for a boat dock permit, through the Crawford County Conservation District. They told me all permits are reviewed by the D.E.P. I then placed a called to the Department and was told the permit was lost. I refiled a new application in 2002.

On February 6, 2002 a new application for dock Permit was filed and sent to D.E.P. **That application also got lost.**

On March 12, 2002 I hand delivered another application for the boat dock to the D.E.P. After a few more weeks my permit was approved 3/27/02.

On March 28,2002 work started on the dock. Due to high water and a late spring start only half the dock was completed. The dock was then finished in 2003.

On November 19, 2004 , after a call to D.E.P. by a neighbor I was accused of another violation of wetlands. This time of wetland fill. After an investigation and processing it was determined the property was not mine.

On November 22, 2004, It was alleged a violation occurred on my property, Mulch around my trees, was a violation of wet lands. Department stated I was the responsible person and given a notice of violation of wetlands.

Then like clock work notice of alleged violations followed each year 1005, 2006, 2007. May 22,2007 I received an order for E.H.B. hearing in Pittsburgh for April 29,2008.

E.H.B. ruled in favor of the Department on circumstantial evidence by Department with no

proof, pictures of alleged 20 truck loads of fill or equipment to level property.

My witnesses testified of NO FILL or EQUIPMENT on said site.

I appealed to the board, they they universally issued a dismissing order 1/26/2009 On March 18, 2009 I filed a Petition for review to the Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Ent. Privacy

On January 13, 2010 Commonwealth Court of Pennsylvania, affirmed the Boards Order.

March 25, 2010 Department filed a Civil Action-equity in Pennsylvania Common Pleas Court.

The order the Department is asking for is a complete dig out of land 2" to 3" deep, plus for alleged violator to plant wet lands vegetation on site.

For the last 9 years this land has been handicapped access to my boat dock, the court sees no problem with a wetland access.

I am a disabled Army Veteran and need my handicapped access to the lake.

The Department of Environmental Protection, E.H.B. and Commonwealth Court: Errored and Abused its power in assessing Civil Penalty and Property loss. This is an out and out violation of my Constitutional Rights

In the fall of 2005 my neighbor to the west Lot # (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Ent. Privacy had her existing sea wall rebuilt and raised 1 foot and added 75-100 truck loads of fill. On April 14, 2006 my neighbor applied for a seawall permit (after the fact) and was granted Permit (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Ent. Privacy

On March 17, 2001 my existing sea wall on lots (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Ent. Privacy was rebuilt with the same materials as my neighbors wall. My wall had an existing from the US Army Corps of Engineers yet was classifies as a violation. No after the fact permit for me and ALL PERMITS DENIED FOR 10 YEARS, for a Sea Wall.

On November 3, 2006 my neighbor the east Lot # (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Ent. Privacy applied for a seawall. This property had no existing permit., just a wall built with 400 car tires in the lake. The Department of Environmental Protection approved a permit to bury 400 tires in the lake, along with broken road concrete and stone to hold the tires in place.

Permit Approved Feb. 20, 2007
G P 3 Permit (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Ent. Privacy

The next property Lots (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Ent. Privacy Applied for a seawall permit 6 times in 9 years. Permit applications were lost, or misplaced by Department of Environmental Protection Field Agents.

After years of water damage to the house the house was condemned in May of 2009 owner lost property. New owner had house torn down. Said owner has applied for a sea wall permit March 1, 2010, after 7 weeks has heard nothing.

In March of 2005 Bloomfield Twp.. lost, 150 feet of public lake front property to D.E.P. This land was a boat access beach, swim area and a playground for 50 years.

Department of Environmental Protection sent letters to Township saying the property was a wet land and to dig out area of shore line or face fines. Property was dug out and Department planted cat tails, Bloomfield Township did not have funds to fight or pay fines.

Department of Environmental Protection has abused its power and violated the rights of property owners in order to benefit its existence. This lake has lost over 400 feet of shoreline and to date two homes and the taxes they would generate.
Respectfully Submitted

(b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Enf. Privacy