## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

## VALERO RENEWABLE FUELS COMPANY, LLC and DIAMOND ALTERNATIVE ENERGY, LLC,

Petitioners,

Case No. 25-1078

v.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Respondent.

## **PETITION FOR REVIEW**

Pursuant to Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1), Section 702 of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 702, Rule 15(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, and Rule 15 of the D.C. Circuit Rules, Valero Renewable Fuels Company, LLC and Diamond Alternative Energy, LLC hereby petition this Court for review of a final action of the United States Environmental Protection Agency. *See* Notice of Decision, *California State Motor Vehicle and Engine Pollution Control Standards; Advanced Clean Cars II; Waiver of Preemption; Notice of Decision*, 90 Fed. Reg. 642 (Jan. 6, 2025). A copy of the Notice of Decision is attached to this petition as Exhibit A. EPA's action grants the State of California a waiver of Clean Air Act preemption under 42 U.S.C. § 7543(b) for the State's Advanced Clean Cars II regulations. Those regulations set requirements for new on-road light- and medium-duty vehicles for model year 2026 and subsequent model years.

This Court has jurisdiction because petitioners are adversely affected by this final agency action, which can be challenged in a court of appeals. 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1). Venue in this Circuit is proper because 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1) makes this Court the exclusive venue for challenging this "nationally applicable ... final action." The Advanced Clean Cars II regulations covered by the waiver do not target local pollution-causing conditions; they target greenhouse gases in an effort to tackle global climate change. And because of the challenged waiver decision, those globally focused regulations may apply nationwide since other States can adopt—as eleven States have already done—and enforce California's regulations. *See* 42 U.S.C. § 7507.

Petitioners produce and sell liquid fuels used in motor vehicles and participated in EPA's review process by commenting on California's waiver request. Petitioners seek review of the action on the grounds that it is arbitrary, capricious, and an abuse of discretion within the meaning of the Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. § 701, *et seq.*; in excess of the agency's statutory authority; unconstitutional; and otherwise contrary to law. Petitioners respectfully request that this Court hold unlawful, vacate, enjoin, and set aside the final agency action and provide such additional relief as may be appropriate.

FEBRUARY 28, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jeffrey B. Wall JEFFREY B. WALL MORGAN L. RATNER SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 1700 New York Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 956-7500 wallj@sullcrom.com

Counsel for Valero Renewable Fuels Company, LLC and Diamond Alternative Energy, LLC

## IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

## VALERO RENEWABLE FUELS COMPANY, LLC and DIAMOND ALTERNATIVE ENERGY, LLC,

Petitioners,

Case No. 25-

v.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY,

Respondent.

## **RULE 26.1 STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1 and D.C. Circuit Rule 26.1, petitioners make the following disclosures:

Valero Renewable Fuels Company, LLC, a Texas limited liability company, is a wholly owned direct subsidiary of Valero Energy Corporation, a Delaware corporation whose common stock is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol VLO.

Diamond Alternative Energy, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, is a wholly owned direct subsidiary of Valero Energy Corporation, a Delaware corporation whose common stock is publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol VLO. FEBRUARY 28, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jeffrey B. Wall

JEFFREY B. WALL MORGAN L. RATNER SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP 1700 New York Avenue NW Washington, DC 20006 (202) 956-7500 wallj@sullcrom.com

Counsel for Valero Renewable Fuels Company, LLC and Diamond Alternative Energy, LLC

## **CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SUBMISSION**

I hereby certify that (1) any required privacy redactions have been made; (2) the electronic submission of this document is an exact copy of any corresponding paper document; and (3) the document has been scanned for viruses with the most recent version of a commercial virus-scanning program and is free from viruses.

> /s/ Jeffrey B. Wall JEFFREY B. WALL

FEBRUARY 28, 2025

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 28, 2025, the foregoing Petition for

Review was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court of the U.S. Court of

Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit through the appellate CM/ECF

system, and I will cause a copy of the Petition for Review and Exhibit A

attached thereto, to be sent via certified mail to:

The Honorable Lee M. Zeldin, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Correspondence Control Unit Office of General Counsel (2311) 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20460

The Honorable Pamela J. Bondi Attorney General of the United States U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530

> /s/ Jeffrey B. Wall JEFFREY B. WALL

FEBRUARY 28, 2025

# **Exhibit** A

authorization request, did not demonstrate that California arbitrarily or capriciously reached this protectiveness determination. Therefore, based on the record, I cannot find California's determination to be arbitrary and capricious under section 209(e)(2)(A)(i).

CARB has demonstrated the existence of compelling and extraordinary conditions justifying the need for such State standards. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's authorization request, did not demonstrate that California does not need such State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions. Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the authorization based on section 209(e)(2)(A)(ii).

CARB has submitted information that its emission standards and test procedures are consistent with section 209(a), section 209(e)(1), and section 209(b)(1)(C) of the Act. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's authorization request, did not satisfy the burden of persuading EPA that the standards are not consistent with section 209. Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the authorization based on section 209(e)(2)(A)(iii).

Accordingly, I hereby granted the authorization requested by California.

Section 307(b)(1) of the CAA governs judicial review of final actions by the EPA. Petitions for review must be filed by March 7, 2025.

As with past authorization decisions, this action is not a rule as defined by Executive Order 12866. Therefore, it is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget as required for rules and regulations by Executive Order 12866.

In addition, this action is not a rule as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601(2). Therefore, EPA has not prepared a supporting regulatory flexibility analysis addressing the impact of this action on small business entities.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, does not apply because this action is not a rule, for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 804(3).

#### Michael S. Regan,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2024–31123 Filed 1–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292; FRL-11010-02-OAR]

#### California State Motor Vehicle and Engine Pollution Control Standards; Advanced Clean Cars II; Waiver of Preemption; Notice of Decision

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of decision.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is providing notice of its decision granting the California Air Resources Board's ("CARB's") request for a waiver of Clean Air Act preemption for its Advanced Clean Cars II ("ACC II") regulations. EPA's decision was issued under the authority of the Clean Air Act ("CAA" or "Act") section 209.

**DATES:** Petitions for review must be filed by March 7, 2025.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292. All documents relied upon in making this decision, including those submitted to EPA by CARB, are contained in the public docket. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center's hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; generally, it is open Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The electronic mail (email) address for the EPA Docket Center is: a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov. An electronic version of the public docket is available through the Federal government's electronic public docket and comment system. You may access EPA dockets at http:// www.regulations.gov. After opening the www.regulations.gov website, enter EPA-HO-OAR-2023-0292 in the "Enter Keyword or ID" fill-in box to view documents in the record. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information ("CBI") or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

EPA's Office of Transportation and Air Quality ("OTAQ") maintains a web page that contains general information on its review of California waiver and authorization requests. Included on that page are links to prior waiver **Federal Register** notices, some of which are cited in this notice; the page can be accessed at: https://www.epa.gov/stateand-local-transportation/vehicleemissions-california-waivers-andauthorizations.

### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Olechiw, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105. Telephone: 734–214–4297. Email: *California-Waivers-and-Authorizations@epa.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On December 26, 2023, EPA published a **Federal Register** notice announcing its receipt of CARB's waiver request. In that notice, EPA invited public comment on California's waiver request and an opportunity to present testimony at a public hearing.<sup>1</sup> EPA held a public hearing on January 10, 2024, and the written comment period closed on February 27, 2024.<sup>2</sup> EPA has considered all comments submitted to the public docket on this matter.

On December 17, 2024, I signed a Decision Document granting California a waiver of preemption pursuant to section 209(b) of the CAA, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7543(b), for regulations applicable to new 2026 and subsequent model year (MY) California on-road light- and medium-duty vehicles, hereafter the Advanced Clean Cars II ("ACC II") regulations.<sup>3</sup> The ACC II program includes a series of requirements regarding California's low emission vehicle ("LEV") IV regulation and a series of requirements regarding its zero-emission vehicle ("ZEV") program.<sup>4</sup> The LEV IV requirements include, for example, applying exhaust and evaporative emission fleet-average standards solely to vehicles powered by internal combustion engines and excluding ZEVs from the fleet calculation. The LEV IV requirements reduce the maximum allowed exhaust and evaporative emission rates from

<sup>4</sup>EPA's waiver decision includes the entire ACC II regulatory text that can be found in Attachment 7 to CARB's May 22, 2023, ACC II waiver request (the ACC II Waiver Support Document) found at EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292-0034. (CARB's entire waiver submission to EPA is found at EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292). The specific regulatory provisions under EPA's waiver consideration can be found at footnote 36 to the ACC II Waiver Support Document.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>88 FR 88908 (December 26, 2023).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> A transcript of the public hearing is located at EPA–HQ–OAR–2023–0292–0056 and all written comments are also located at *regulations.gov* at EPA–HQ–OAR–2023–0292.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> EPA's Decision Document can be found at EPA– HQ–OAR–2023–0292. In addition to the Decision Document, EPA prepared a Supplemental Response to Comments document that is also part of the Administrator's waiver decision. The Supplemental Response to Comments document can also be found at EPA–HQ–OAR–2023–0292.

vehicles under the existing fleet-average standard and aim to reduce cold-start emissions by applying the emissions standards to a broader range of in-use driving conditions. The ZEV requirements of ACC II include, for example, a requirement for vehicle manufacturers to sell increasing percentages of ZEVs beginning with the 2026 MY. Manufacturers can meet up to 20 percent of their sales requirements using plug-in hybrid vehicles (PHEVs) that meet specified requirements. A comprehensive description of California's ACC II program can be found in the Decision Document for this waiver and in materials submitted to the Docket by CARB.

Section 209(b) of the Act provides that the Administrator, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, shall waive Federal preemption for California to enforce new motor vehicle emission standards and accompanying enforcement procedures unless certain criteria are met. The criteria for denying such a waiver include consideration of whether California arbitrarily and capriciously determined that its standards are, in the aggregate, at least as protective of public health and welfare as the applicable Federal standards; whether California does not need such State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions: and whether such State standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are not consistent with section 202(a) of the Act.

CARB determined that these standards and accompanying enforcement procedures do not cause California's standards, in the aggregate, to be less protective to public health and welfare than the applicable Federal standards. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's waiver, did not demonstrate that California arbitrarily or capriciously reached this protectiveness determination. Therefore, based on the record, I cannot find California's determination to be arbitrary and capricious under section 209(b)(1)(A).

CARB has demonstrated the existence of compelling and extraordinary conditions justifying the need for such State standards. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's waiver request, did not demonstrate that California does not need such State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions. Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the waiver based on section 209(b)(1)(B). CARB has submitted information that its emission standards and test procedures are technologically feasible, present no inconsistency with Federal requirements, and are consistent with section 202(a) of the Act. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's waiver request, did not satisfy the burden of persuading EPA that the standards are not consistent with section 202(a). Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the waiver based on section 209(b)(1)(C).

Accordingly, I hereby granted the waiver requested by California.

Section 307(b)(1) of the CAA governs judicial review of final actions by the EPA. Petitions for review must be filed by March 7, 2025.

As with past waiver decisions, this action is not a rule as defined by Executive Order 12866. Therefore, it is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget as required for rules and regulations by Executive Order 12866.

In addition, this action is not a rule as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601(2). Therefore, EPA has not prepared a supporting regulatory flexibility analysis addressing the impact of this action on small business entities.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, does not apply because this action is not a rule, for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 804(3).

#### Michael S. Regan,

Administrator. [FR Doc. 2024–31128 Filed 1–3–25; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

#### ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2022-0332; FRL-9902-02-OAR]

#### California State Motor Vehicle and Engine and Nonroad Engine Pollution Control Standards; The "Omnibus" Low NO<sub>x</sub> Regulation; Waiver of Preemption; Notice of Decision

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

## **ACTION:** Notice of decision.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is providing notice of its decision to grant the California Air Resources Board's ("CARB") request for a waiver of Clean Air Act (CAA) preemption for its Heavy-Duty Vehicle and Engine "Omnibus" Low NO<sub>X</sub> Regulations ("Omnibus Low  $NO_X$  program"). EPA's decision also includes an authorization for portions of the Omnibus Low  $NO_X$  program that pertain to off-road diesel engines. This decision was issued under the authority of the Clean Air Act ("CAA" or "Act") section 209.

**DATES:** Petitions for review must be filed by March 7, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID EPA-HQ-OAR-2022-0332. All documents relied upon in making this decision, including those submitted to EPA by CARB, are contained in the public docket. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center's hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; generally, it is open Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The electronic mail (email) address for the EPA Docket is: aand-r-Docket@epa.gov. An electronic version of the public docket is available through the Federal government's electronic public docket and comment system. You may access EPA dockets at http://www.regulations.gov. After opening the www.regulations.gov website, enter EPA-HQ-OAR-2022-0332 in the "Enter Keyword or ID" fillin box to view documents in the record. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include **Confidential Business Information** ("CBI") or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

EPA's Office of Transportation and Air Quality ("OTAQ") maintains a web page that contains general information on its review of California waiver and authorization requests. Included on that page are links to prior waiver **Federal Register** notices, some of which are cited in this notice; the page can be accessed at: https://www.epa.gov/stateand-local-transportation/vehicleemissions-california-waivers-andauthorizations.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Nelson, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105. Telephone: 734–214–4278. Email: *California-Waivers-and-Authorizations@epa.gov.* 

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On June 13, 2022, EPA published a **Federal Register** notice announcing its receipt of California's waiver request. In that notice, EPA invited public comment on