

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

AMERICAN FUEL & PETROCHEMICAL  
MANUFACTURERS,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY and LEE M.  
ZELDIN, Administrator, United States  
Environmental Protection Agency,

Respondents.

Case No. 25-1089

**PETITION FOR REVIEW**

Pursuant to Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1), Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 15(a), and D.C. Circuit Rule 15, American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers (“AFPM”) hereby petitions this Court for review of a final action of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”). *See California State Nonroad Engine Pollution Control Standards; In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets; Notice of Decision*, 90 Fed. Reg. 2000 (Jan. 10, 2025) (attached as Exhibit A). This Court has jurisdiction and is the proper venue pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1).

Dated: March 11, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Carter G. Phillips

Carter G. Phillips  
Daniel J. Feith  
Kathleen M. Mueller  
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
1501 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 736-8000  
[cphillips@sidley.com](mailto:cphillips@sidley.com)  
[dfeith@sidley.com](mailto:dfeith@sidley.com)  
[kmueller@sidley.com](mailto:kmueller@sidley.com)

*Counsel for Petitioner*

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

AMERICAN FUEL & PETROCHEMICAL  
MANUFACTURERS,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY and LEE M.  
ZELDIN, Administrator, United States  
Environmental Protection Agency,

Respondents.

Case No. 25-1089

**RULE 26.1 STATEMENT**

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1 and D.C. Circuit Rule 26.1, Petitioner American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers (“AFPM”) hereby makes the following disclosures:

**AFPM** is a national trade association that represents American refining and petrochemical companies. AFPM has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership in AFPM.

Dated: March 11, 2025

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Carter G. Phillips

Carter G. Phillips

Daniel J. Feith  
Kathleen M. Mueller  
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP  
1501 K Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
(202) 736-8000  
[cphillips@sidley.com](mailto:cphillips@sidley.com)  
[dfeith@sidley.com](mailto:dfeith@sidley.com)  
[kmuellet@sidley.com](mailto:kmuellet@sidley.com)

*Counsel for Petitioner*

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

AMERICAN FUEL & PETROCHEMICAL  
MANUFACTURERS,

Petitioner,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL  
PROTECTION AGENCY and LEE M.  
ZELDIN, Administrator, United States  
Environmental Protection Agency,

Respondents.

Case No. 25-1089

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 3(d), 15(c), and 25, D.C. Circuit Rules 15(a) and 25, and 40 C.F.R. § 23.12(a), I hereby certify that the foregoing Petition for Review and Rule 26.1 Statement have been served by United States certified mail, return receipt requested, this 11th day of March, 2025, upon each of the following:

Hon. Lee Zeldin, Administrator  
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency  
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20460

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Correspondence Control Unit  
Office of General Counsel (2311)  
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20460

Hon. Pam Bondi  
Attorney General of the United States  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530

Hon. Adam Gustafson  
Acting Assistant Attorney General  
Environmental and Natural Resources Division  
U.S. Department of Justice  
950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20530

Dated: March 11, 2025

/s/ Carter G. Phillips  
Carter G. Phillips

*Counsel for Petitioner*

# Exhibit A

ferries;<sup>15</sup> and (b) at this time, EPA is not taking any action regarding the 2022 CHC Amendments as they pertain to standards for in-use engines and vessels (excluding commercial fishing vessels)<sup>16</sup> that would apply after the expiration of the feasibility extensions when an engine or DPF is not feasible and the owner cannot afford vessel replacement (“E3”).<sup>17</sup> However, EPA’s authorization does cover the provisions related to VDECS that are installed on an in-use vessel at any time.<sup>18</sup>

Accordingly, I hereby granted the authorization requested by California, with the exceptions noted above.

Section 307(b)(1) of the CAA governs judicial review of final actions by the EPA. Petitions for review must be filed by March 11, 2025.

As with past authorization decisions, this action is not a rule as defined by Executive Order 12866. Therefore, it is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget as required for rules and regulations by Executive Order 12866.

In addition, this action is not a rule as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601(2). Therefore, EPA has not prepared a supporting regulatory flexibility analysis addressing the impact of this action on small business entities.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, does not apply because this action is not a rule, for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 804(3).

Jane Nishida,  
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2025-00465 Filed 1-8-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0030; FRL-10891-02-OAR]

### California State Nonroad Engine Pollution Control Standards; In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets; Notice of Decision

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Notice of decision.

**SUMMARY:** The Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) is providing notice of

its decision to partially grant the California Air Resources Board’s (“CARB’s”) request for an authorization of amendments to its In-Use Diesel-Fueled Transport Refrigeration Units (TRU) and TRU Generator Sets (collectively, “TRU”) regulations (“2022 TRU Amendments”). EPA’s decision was issued under the authority of section 209 of the Clean Air Act (“CAA” or “Act”).

**DATES:** Petitions for review must be filed by March 11, 2025.

**ADDRESSES:** EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0030. All documents relied upon in making this decision, including those submitted to EPA by CARB, are contained in the public docket. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) or in hard copy at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center’s hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; generally, it is open Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The electronic mail (email) address for the EPA Docket is: [and-r-Docket@epa.gov](mailto:and-r-Docket@epa.gov). An electronic version of the public docket is available through the Federal government’s electronic public docket and comment system. You may access EPA dockets at <http://www.regulations.gov>. After opening the [www.regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) website, enter EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0030 in the “Enter Keyword or ID” fill-in box to view documents in the record. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information (“CBI”) or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

EPA’s Office of Transportation and Air Quality (“OTAQ”) maintains a web page that contains general information on its review of California waiver and authorization requests. Included on that page are links to prior waiver and authorization **Federal Register** notices; the page can be accessed at: <https://www.epa.gov/state-and-local-transportation/vehicle-emissions-california-waivers-and-authorizations>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Brian Nelson, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105. Telephone: 734-214-4278. Email: [California-Waivers-and-Authorizations@epa.gov](mailto:California-Waivers-and-Authorizations@epa.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On April 26, 2024, EPA published a **Federal**

**Register** notice announcing its receipt of CARB’s authorization request. In that notice, EPA invited public comment on California’s authorization request and an opportunity to present testimony at a public hearing.<sup>1</sup> EPA held a public hearing on May 16, 2024, and the written comment period closed on June 19, 2024.<sup>2</sup> EPA has considered all comments submitted to the public docket on this matter.

On January 3, 2025, I signed a Decision Document granting California a partial authorization pursuant to section 209(e)(2)(A) of the CAA, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7543(e)(2)(A), for CARB’s 2022 amendments to CARB’s In-Use Diesel-Fueled TRU and TRU Generator Sets (collectively, “TRU”) regulations (the “2022 TRU Amendments”).<sup>3</sup> These amendments contain several provisions including, but not limited to, a requirement that certain TRUs manufactured after a certain date use a refrigerant less than or equal to a specified global warming potential (GWP), a requirement that non-truck TRUs meet specified particulate matter (PM) standards, a requirement that TRU owners transition a percentage of their diesel-fueled truck TRU fleet to zero-emission technology refrigeration units (ZETRU), and a requirement that owners of certain facilities are subject to registration and reporting requirements. A comprehensive description of California’s 2022 TRU Amendments can be found in the Decision Document for this authorization and in materials submitted to the Docket by CARB. As described in the Decision Document, this authorization encompasses all of the regulations in CARB’s 2022 TRU Amendments except the requirement for TRU owners to turnover at least 15 percent of their diesel-fueled truck TRU fleet to ZETRU by December 31, 2023, and each year thereafter.

CAA section 209(e)(1) permanently preempts any state, or political subdivision thereof, from adopting or attempting to enforce any standard or other requirement relating to the control of emissions for certain new nonroad engines or vehicles.<sup>4</sup> For all other

<sup>1</sup> 89 FR 32422 (April 26, 2024).

<sup>2</sup> A transcript of the public hearing is located at EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0030-0017, and all written comments are also located at [regulations.gov](https://www.regulations.gov) at EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0030.

<sup>3</sup> EPA’s Decision Document can be found at EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0030. The entire 2022 amendment regulatory text for TRU can be found in CARB’s December 29, 2022, authorization request (the TRU Final Regulation Order) found at EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0030-0004. (CARB’s entire authorization request to EPA is found at EPA-HQ-OAR-2024-0030).

<sup>4</sup> States are expressly preempted from adopting or attempting to enforce any standard or other

<sup>15</sup> See provisions in CCR Title 13, Section 93118.5(e)(10) specific to in-use short-run ferries.

<sup>16</sup> CCR Title 13, Section 93118.5(e)(12)(A) through (e)(12)(D).

<sup>17</sup> CCR Title 13, Section 93118.5(e)(12)(E)(3).

<sup>18</sup> CCR Title 13, Section 93118.5(e)(12)(F).



nonroad engines (including “non-new” engines), states generally are preempted from adopting and enforcing standards and other requirements relating to the control of emissions, except that section 209(e)(2)(A) of the Act requires EPA, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, to authorize California to adopt and enforce such regulations unless EPA makes one of three enumerated findings. Specifically, EPA must deny authorization if the Administrator finds that (1) California’s protectiveness determination (*i.e.*, that California standards will be, in the aggregate, as protective of public health and welfare as applicable federal standards) is arbitrary and capricious, (2) California does not need such standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions, or (3) the California standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are not consistent with section 209 of the Act.

On July 20, 1994, EPA promulgated a rule (the 1994 rule) interpreting the three criteria set forth in CAA section 209(e)(2)(A) that EPA must consider before granting any California authorization request for nonroad engine or vehicle emission standards.<sup>5</sup> EPA revised these regulations in 1997.<sup>6</sup> As stated in the preamble to the 1994 rule, EPA has interpreted the consistency inquiry under the third criterion, outlined above and set forth in section 209(e)(2)(A)(iii), to require, at minimum, that California standards and enforcement procedures be consistent with section 209(a), section 209(e)(1), and section 209(b)(1)(C) of the Act.<sup>7</sup> In order to be consistent with section 209(a), California’s nonroad standards and enforcement procedures must not apply to new motor vehicles or new motor vehicle engines. To be consistent with section 209(e)(1), California’s nonroad standards and enforcement procedures must not attempt to regulate engine categories that are permanently preempted from state regulation. To

requirement relating to the control of emissions from new nonroad engines which are used in construction equipment or vehicles or used in farm equipment or vehicles and which are smaller than 175 horsepower. Such express preemption under section 209(e)(1) of the Act also applies to new locomotives or new engines used in locomotives.

<sup>5</sup> See “Air Pollution Control; Preemption of State Regulation for Nonroad Engine and Vehicle Standards,” 59 FR 36969 (July 20, 1994).

<sup>6</sup> See “Control of Air Pollution: Emission Standards for New Nonroad Compression-Ignition Engines at or Above 37 Kilowatts; Preemption of State Regulation for Nonroad Engine and Vehicle Standards; Amendments to Rules,” 62 FR 67733 (December 30, 1997). The applicable regulations are now found in 40 CFR part 1074, subpart B, Part 1074.

<sup>7</sup> EPA has interpreted section 209(b)(1)(C) in the context of section 209(b) motor vehicle waivers.

determine consistency with section 209(b)(1)(C), EPA typically reviews nonroad authorization requests under the same “consistency” criteria that are applied to motor vehicle waiver requests under section 209(b)(1)(C). That section provides that the Administrator shall not grant California a motor vehicle waiver if the Administrator finds that California “standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are not consistent with section 202(a)” of the Act.

CARB determined that the 2022 TRU Amendments and accompanying enforcement procedures do not cause California’s standards, in the aggregate, to be less protective to public health and welfare than the applicable Federal standards. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California’s authorization request, did not demonstrate that California arbitrarily or capriciously reached this protectiveness determination. Therefore, based on the record, I cannot find California’s determination to be arbitrary and capricious under section 209(e)(2)(A)(i).

CARB has demonstrated the existence of compelling and extraordinary conditions justifying the need for such State standards. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California’s authorization request, did not demonstrate that California does not need such State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions. Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the authorization based on section 209(e)(2)(A)(ii).

CARB has submitted information that the 2022 TRU Amendments and test procedures are consistent with section 209(a), section 209(e)(1), and section 209(b)(1)(C) of the Act. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California’s authorization request, did not satisfy the burden of persuading EPA that the standards that EPA is authorizing are not consistent with section 209. Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the authorization based on section 209(e)(2)(A)(iii).

EPA is not acting at this time on CARB’s ZETRU requirements for the turnover of at least 15 percent of their diesel-fueled truck TRU fleet to ZETRU by December 31, 2023, (and each year thereafter.)

Accordingly, I hereby granted the authorization requested by California, with the exception noted above.

Section 307(b)(1) of the CAA governs judicial review of final actions by the

EPA. Petitions for review must be filed by March 11, 2025.

As with past authorization decisions, this action is not a rule as defined by Executive Order 12866. Therefore, it is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget as required for rules and regulations by Executive Order 12866.

In addition, this action is not a rule as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601(2). Therefore, EPA has not prepared a supporting regulatory flexibility analysis addressing the impact of this action on small business entities.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 *et seq.*, as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, does not apply because this action is not a rule, for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 804(3).

**Jane Nishida,**  
*Acting Administrator.*

[FR Doc. 2025–00253 Filed 1–8–25; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6560–50–P**

## ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL OP–OFA–161]

### Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability

*Responsible Agency:* Office of Federal Activities, General Information 202–564–5632 or <https://www.epa.gov/nepa>. Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements (EIS)

Filed December 27, 2024 10 a.m. EST

Through January 6, 2025 10 a.m. EST Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*Notice:* Section 309(a) of the Clean Air Act requires that EPA make public its comments on EISs issued by other Federal agencies. EPA’s comment letters on EISs are available at: <https://cdxapps.epa.gov/cdx-enepa-ll/public/action/eis/search>.

*EIS No. 20250000, Final, NMFS, MA, ADOPTION—SouthCoast Wind Project, Contact: Karolyn Lock 301–427–8401.*

The National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) has adopted the Bureau of Ocean Energy Management’s Final EIS No. 20240213 filed 11/08/2024 with the Environmental Protection Agency. The NMFS was a cooperating agency on this project. Therefore, republication of the document is not necessary under Section 1506.3(b)(2) of the CEQ regulations.

*EIS No. 20250001, Final, NMFS, MD, ADOPTION—Maryland Offshore Wind, Contact: Karolyn Lock 301–427–8401.*