Case: 25-1493, 03/07/2025, DktEntry: 1.1, Page 1 of 10

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AMERICAN FUEL & PETROCHEMICAL MANUFACTURERS, ENERGY MARKETERS OF AMERICA, and NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CONVENIENCE STORES,

Case No.

Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY and LEE M. ZELDIN, Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency,

Respondents.

PROTECTIVE PETITION FOR REVIEW

Pursuant to Section 307(b)(1) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1) and Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 15(a), American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers ("AFPM"), Energy Marketers of America ("EMA"), and National Association of Convenience Stores ("NACS") hereby petition this Court for review of a final action of the United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA"). See California State Motor Vehicle and Engine Pollution Control Standards; Advanced Clean Cars II; Waiver of Preemption; Notice of Decision, 90 Fed. Reg. 642 (Jan. 6, 2025) (attached as Exhibit A). Petitioners believe that the U.S.

Case: 25-1493, 03/07/2025, DktEntry: 1.1, Page 2 of 10

Circuit Court for the District of Columbia Circuit has jurisdiction and is the proper venue pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 7607(b)(1). However, petitioners are filing this Petition for Review as a protective measure to preserve their right to judicial review in the event that this Court is determined to be the proper venue.

Dated: March 7, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Carter G. Phillips

Carter G. Phillips
Daniel J. Feith
Kathleen M. Mueller
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 736-8000
cphllips@sidley.com
dfeith@sidley.com
kmueller@sidley.com

Counsel for Petitioners

Case: 25-1493, 03/07/2025, DktEntry: 1.1, Page 3 of 10

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AMERICAN FUEL & PETROCHEMICAL MANUFACTURERS, ENERGY MARKETERS OF AMERICA, and NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CONVENIENCE STORES,

Case No.

Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY and LEE M. ZELDIN, Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency,

Respondents.

RULE 26.1 STATEMENT

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 26.1, petitioners

American Fuel & Petrochemical Manufacturers ("AFPM"), Energy

Marketers of America ("EMA") and National Association of Convenience

Stores ("NACS") hereby make the following disclosure:

AFPM is a national trade association that represents American refining and petrochemical companies. AFPM has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership in AFPM.

Case: 25-1493, 03/07/2025, DktEntry: 1.1, Page 4 of 10

EMA is a federation of 49 state and regional trade associations representing energy marketers throughout the United States. EMA, which is incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia, has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership in EMA.

NACS is an international trade association that represents both the convenience and fuel retailing industries with more than 1,300 retail and 1,600 supplier company members. The United States convenience industry has more than 152,000 stores across the country, employs 2.74 million people, and had more than \$859 billion in sales in 2023, of which more than \$532 billion were fuel sales. The Association has no parent corporation, and no publicly held corporation has a 10% or greater ownership interest in it.

Case: 25-1493, 03/07/2025, DktEntry: 1.1, Page 5 of 10

Dated: March 7, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Carter G. Phillips

Carter G. Phillips
Daniel J. Feith
Kathleen M. Mueller
SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
1501 K Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 736-8000
cphllips@sidley.com
dfeith@sidley.com
kmueller@sidley.com

Counsel for Petitioners

Case: 25-1493, 03/07/2025, DktEntry: 1.1, Page 6 of 10

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AMERICAN FUEL & PETROCHEMICAL MANUFACTURERS, ENERGY MARKETERS OF AMERICA, and NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF CONVENIENCE STORES,

Case No.

Petitioners,

v.

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY and LEE M. ZELDIN, Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency,

Respondents.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 3(d), 15(c), and 25, and 40 C.F.R. § 23.12(a), I hereby certify that the foregoing Protective Petition for Review and Rule 26.1 Statement have been served by United States certified mail, return receipt requested, this 7th day of March, 2025, upon each of the following:

Hon. Lee Zeldin, Administrator U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460 Case: 25-1493, 03/07/2025, DktEntry: 1.1, Page 7 of 10

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Correspondence Control Unit Office of General Counsel (2311) 1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20460

Hon. Pam Bondi Attorney General of the United States U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530

Hon. Adam Gustafson Acting Assistant Attorney General Environmental and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20530

Dated: March 7, 2025 /s/ Carter G. Phillips

Carter G. Phillips

Counsel for Petitioners

Case: 25-1493, 03/07/2025, DktEntry: 1.1, Page 8 of 10

Exhibit A



Federal Register/Vol. 90, No. 3/Monday, January 6, 2025/Notices

authorization request, did not demonstrate that California arbitrarily or capriciously reached this protectiveness determination. Therefore, based on the record, I cannot find California's determination to be arbitrary and capricious under section 209(e)(2)(A)(i).

CARB has demonstrated the existence of compelling and extraordinary conditions justifying the need for such State standards. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's authorization request, did not demonstrate that California does not need such State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions. Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the authorization based on section 209(e)(2)(A)(ii).

CARB has submitted information that its emission standards and test procedures are consistent with section 209(a), section 209(e)(1), and section 209(b)(1)(C) of the Act. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's authorization request, did not satisfy the burden of persuading EPA that the standards are not consistent with section 209. Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the authorization based on section 209(e)(2)(A)(iii).

Accordingly, I hereby granted the authorization requested by California.

Section 307(b)(1) of the CAA governs judicial review of final actions by the EPA. Petitions for review must be filed by March 7, 2025.

As with past authorization decisions, this action is not a rule as defined by Executive Order 12866. Therefore, it is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget as required for rules and regulations by Executive Order 12866.

In addition, this action is not a rule as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601(2). Therefore, EPA has not prepared a supporting regulatory flexibility analysis addressing the impact of this action on small business entities.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, does not apply because this action is not a rule, for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 804(3).

Michael S. Regan,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2024-31123 Filed 1-3-25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292; FRL-11010-02-OAR]

California State Motor Vehicle and Engine Pollution Control Standards; Advanced Clean Cars II; Waiver of Preemption; Notice of Decision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of decision.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is providing notice of its decision granting the California Air Resources Board's ("CARB's") request for a waiver of Clean Air Act preemption for its Advanced Clean Cars II ("ACC II") regulations. EPA's decision was issued under the authority of the Clean Air Act ("CAA" or "Act") section 209

DATES: Petitions for review must be filed by March 7, 2025.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID EPA-HO-OAR-2023-0292. All documents relied upon in making this decision, including those submitted to EPA by CARB, are contained in the public docket. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center's hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; generally, it is open Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The electronic mail (email) address for the EPA Docket Center is: a-and-r-Docket@epa.gov. An electronic version of the public docket is available through the Federal government's electronic public docket and comment system. You may access EPA dockets at http:// www.regulations.gov. After opening the www.regulations.gov website, enter EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292 in the "Enter Keyword or ID" fill-in box to view documents in the record. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information ("CBI") or other information whose disclosure is

EPA's Office of Transportation and Air Quality ("OTAQ") maintains a web page that contains general information on its review of California waiver and authorization requests. Included on that page are links to prior waiver **Federal Register** notices, some of which are cited in this notice; the page can be

restricted by statute.

accessed at: https://www.epa.gov/stateand-local-transportation/vehicleemissions-california-waivers-andauthorizations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Michael Olechiw, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, MI 48105. Telephone: 734–214–4297. Email: California-Waivers-and-Authorizations@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On December 26, 2023, EPA published a Federal Register notice announcing its receipt of CARB's waiver request. In that notice, EPA invited public comment on California's waiver request and an opportunity to present testimony at a public hearing. EPA held a public hearing on January 10, 2024, and the written comment period closed on February 27, 2024. EPA has considered all comments submitted to the public docket on this matter.

On December 17, 2024, I signed a Decision Document granting California a waiver of preemption pursuant to section 209(b) of the CAA, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 7543(b), for regulations applicable to new 2026 and subsequent model year (MY) California on-road light- and medium-duty vehicles, hereafter the Advanced Clean Cars II ("ACC II") regulations.3 The ACC II program includes a series of requirements regarding California's low emission vehicle ("LEV") IV regulation and a series of requirements regarding its zero-emission vehicle ("ZEV") program.4 The LEV IV requirements include, for example, applying exhaust and evaporative emission fleet-average standards solely to vehicles powered by internal combustion engines and excluding ZEVs from the fleet calculation. The LEV IV requirements reduce the maximum allowed exhaust and evaporative emission rates from

¹88 FR 88908 (December 26, 2023).

² A transcript of the public hearing is located at EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292-0056 and all written comments are also located at *regulations.gov* at EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292.

³EPA's Decision Document can be found at EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292. In addition to the Decision Document, EPA prepared a Supplemental Response to Comments document that is also part of the Administrator's waiver decision. The Supplemental Response to Comments document can also be found at EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292.

⁴EPA's waiver decision includes the entire ACC II regulatory text that can be found in Attachment 7 to CARB's May 22, 2023, ACC II waiver request (the ACC II Waiver Support Document) found at EPA-HQ-OAR-2025-0292-0034. (CARB's entire waiver submission to EPA is found at EPA-HQ-OAR-2023-0292). The specific regulatory provisions under EPA's waiver consideration can be found at footnote 36 to the ACC II Waiver Support Document.

vehicles under the existing fleet-average standard and aim to reduce cold-start emissions by applying the emissions standards to a broader range of in-use driving conditions. The ZEV requirements of ACC II include, for example, a requirement for vehicle manufacturers to sell increasing percentages of ZEVs beginning with the 2026 MY. Manufacturers can meet up to 20 percent of their sales requirements using plug-in hybrid vehicles (PHEVs) that meet specified requirements. A comprehensive description of California's ACC II program can be found in the Decision Document for this waiver and in materials submitted to the Docket by CARB.

Section 209(b) of the Act provides that the Administrator, after notice and opportunity for public hearing, shall waive Federal preemption for California to enforce new motor vehicle emission standards and accompanying enforcement procedures unless certain criteria are met. The criteria for denying such a waiver include consideration of whether California arbitrarily and capriciously determined that its standards are, in the aggregate, at least as protective of public health and welfare as the applicable Federal standards; whether California does not need such State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions; and whether such State standards and accompanying enforcement procedures are not consistent with section 202(a) of the

CARB determined that these standards and accompanying enforcement procedures do not cause California's standards, in the aggregate, to be less protective to public health and welfare than the applicable Federal standards. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's waiver, did not demonstrate that California arbitrarily or capriciously reached this protectiveness determination. Therefore, based on the record, I cannot find California's determination to be arbitrary and capricious under section 209(b)(1)(A).

CARB has demonstrated the existence of compelling and extraordinary conditions justifying the need for such State standards. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's waiver request, did not demonstrate that California does not need such State standards to meet compelling and extraordinary conditions. Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the waiver based on section 209(b)(1)(B).

CARB has submitted information that its emission standards and test procedures are technologically feasible, present no inconsistency with Federal requirements, and are consistent with section 202(a) of the Act. The administrative record, including information presented to me by parties opposing California's waiver request, did not satisfy the burden of persuading EPA that the standards are not consistent with section 202(a). Thus, based on the record, I cannot deny the waiver based on section 209(b)(1)(C).

Accordingly, I hereby granted the waiver requested by California.

Section 307(b)(1) of the CAA governs judicial review of final actions by the EPA. Petitions for review must be filed by March 7, 2025.

As with past waiver decisions, this action is not a rule as defined by Executive Order 12866. Therefore, it is exempt from review by the Office of Management and Budget as required for rules and regulations by Executive Order 12866.

In addition, this action is not a rule as defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601(2). Therefore, EPA has not prepared a supporting regulatory flexibility analysis addressing the impact of this action on small business entities.

The Congressional Review Act, 5 U.S.C. 801 et seq., as added by the Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act of 1996, does not apply because this action is not a rule, for purposes of 5 U.S.C. 804(3).

Michael S. Regan,

Administrator.

[FR Doc. 2024–31128 Filed 1–3–25; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[EPA-HQ-OAR-2022-0332; FRL-9902-02-OAR]

California State Motor Vehicle and Engine and Nonroad Engine Pollution Control Standards; The "Omnibus" Low NO_X Regulation; Waiver of Preemption; Notice of Decision

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of decision.

SUMMARY: The Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") is providing notice of its decision to grant the California Air Resources Board's ("CARB") request for a waiver of Clean Air Act (CAA) preemption for its Heavy-Duty Vehicle and Engine "Omnibus" Low NO_X Regulations ("Omnibus Low NO_X program"). EPA's decision also includes an authorization for portions of the Omnibus Low NO_X program that pertain to off-road diesel engines. This decision was issued under the authority of the Clean Air Act ("CAA" or "Act") section 209.

DATES: Petitions for review must be filed by March 7, 2025.

ADDRESSES: EPA has established a docket for this action under Docket ID EPA-HQ-OAR-2022-0332. All documents relied upon in making this decision, including those submitted to EPA by CARB, are contained in the public docket. Publicly available docket materials are available either electronically through www.regulations.gov or in hard copy at the EPA Docket Center, WJC West Building, Room 3334, 1301 Constitution Avenue NW, Washington, DC 20004. The Docket Center's hours of operation are 8:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.; generally, it is open Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays. The electronic mail (email) address for the EPA Docket is: aand-r-Docket@epa.gov. An electronic version of the public docket is available through the Federal government's electronic public docket and comment system. You may access EPA dockets at http://www.regulations.gov. After opening the www.regulations.gov website, enter EPA-HQ-OAR-2022-0332 in the "Enter Keyword or ID" fillin box to view documents in the record. Although a part of the official docket, the public docket does not include Confidential Business Information ("CBI") or other information whose disclosure is restricted by statute.

EPA's Office of Transportation and Air Quality ("OTAQ") maintains a web page that contains general information on its review of California waiver and authorization requests. Included on that page are links to prior waiver Federal Register notices, some of which are cited in this notice; the page can be accessed at: https://www.epa.gov/state-and-local-transportation/vehicle-emissions-california-waivers-and-authorizations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Brian Nelson, Office of Transportation and Air Quality, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2000 Traverwood Drive, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105. Telephone: 734–214–4278. Email: Càlifornia-Waivers-and-Authorizations@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On June 13, 2022, EPA published a Federal Register notice announcing its receipt of California's waiver request. In that notice, EPA invited public comment on