# IAG COVER SHEET

FILE NAME: Moffett.pdf

Title: MOFFETT NAVAL AIR STATION

Subject: Region 9, IX

Author: DoD, Navy, California, CA

Keywords: 8/8/89, 1989, FY89

# FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT UNDER CERCLA SECTION 120

「「「「「「「「」」」」」

÷.

÷

 $\sim$ 

1

11

## BETWEEN

THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION 9

AND

# THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

AND

# THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## REPRESENTED BY

# THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES

AND

# THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, SAN FRANCISCO BAY REGION

(AUGUST 1990)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

SECTION	<u>SECTION</u>	PAGE #
1	DEFINITIONS	2
2	JURISDICTION	5
3	STIPULATED DETERMINATIONS	6
4	PARTIES BOUND	8
5	PURPOSE	9
6	STIPULATED FACTS	10
7	SCOPE OF AGREEMENT	13
8	STATUTORY COMPLIANCE/RCRA-CERCLA INTEGRATION	17
· 9	CONSULTATION WITH EPA, DHS	
-	AND RWOCB	19
10	RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES	28
11	PERMITS	32
12	PROTECTION OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND	
	THE ENVIRONMENT	33
13	MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT	34
14	NOTIFICATION AND DISTRIBUTION LIST	35
15	PROJECT MANAGERS	36
16	SAMPLING AND DATA/DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY	36 ·
17	QUALITY ASSURANCE	37
18	RETENTION OF RECORDS	38
197	ACCESS	38
20	FIVE YEAR REVIEW	41
21	OTHER CLAIMS	41
22	OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS	42
23	RELEASE OF RECORDS	42
24	AMENDMENT OF AGREEMENT	43
25	COVENANT NOT TO SUE & RESERVATION	
	OF RIGHTS	43
26	STIPULATED PENALTIES	45
27	EXTENSIONS	47
28	TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY	49
29	PUBLIC PARTICIPATION	50
30	PUBLIC COMMENT/EFFECTIVE DATE	51
31	ACTIONS AGAINST OTHER PERSONS	52
32	FUNDING	53
33	TERMINATION DATE	54
34	ENFORCEABILITY	55
35	FORCE MAJEURE	56
36	COST REIMBURSEMENT	57
37	RESERVATION OF RIGHTS FOR RECOVERY OF OTHER EXPENSES	62

# ATTACHMENTS

1. Maps

いたいない

in the second second second

ų,

- 2. Outline for Management Plan
- Timetables and Deadlines 3.
- 4.
- Navy Actions in MEW Study Area Additional Navy Actions in MEW Study Area 5.

# THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION IX AND THE CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH SERVICES AND THE CALIFORNIA REGIONAL WATER QUALITY CONTROL BOARD AND THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

REFRUDULED AT GUVERINNEN : LAPENSE

IN THE MATTER OF:

CALL STOLEN STATES AND A STOLEN STOLEN

÷,

The U.S. Department of the Navy, Naval Air Station Moffett Field California FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT UNDER CERCLA SECTION 120

Based on the information available to the Parties on the effective date of this FEDERAL FACILITY AGREEMENT (Agreement), and without trial or adjudication of any issues of fact or law, the Parties agree as follows:

#### 1 DEFINITIONS

Except as noted below or otherwise explicitly stated, the definitions provided in CERCLA and the NCP shall control the meaning of the terms used in this Agreement.

In addition: -

1.1 "Administrator" shall mean the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency.

1.2 "Agreement" shall mean this document and shall include all Attachments to this document.

1.3 "ARARS" shall mean "legally applicable" or "relevant and appropriate" standards, requirements, criteria or limitations as those terms are used in CERCLA § 121(d)(2).

1.4 /, "CERCLA" shall mean the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, as amended by the Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act of 1986 (SARA), Pub. L. 99-499, 42 U.S.C. § 9601, <u>et seq.</u>

1.5 "Days" shall mean calendar days, and shall not include the day of the act, event or default from which the designated period of time begins to run. Any submittal, that under the terms of this Agreement would be due on a Saturday, Sunday or holiday, shall be due on the following business day.

1.6 "DHS" shall mean the California Department of Health Services, its successors and assigns, and its duly authorized representatives, which may include its employees, agents, and contractors, as necessary.

1.7 "EPA" shall mean the United States Environmental Protection Agency, its successors and assigns, and its duly authorized

representatives, which may include its employees, agents, and contractors, as necessary.

1.8 "Feasibility Study" or "FS" shall mean that study which fully evaluates and develops remedial action alternatives to prevent or mitigate the migration or the release of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants at or from the Site, as more fully described in the NCP.

1.9 "NASMF" shall mean the Naval Air Station, Moffett Field, located in Santa Clara County, California, bounded by the City of Mountain View on the west and the city of Sunnyvale on the south, including all areas identified in Attachment 1. This definition is for the purpose of describing a geographical area and not a political entity.

1.10/ "National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" shall refer to the regulations contained in 40 C.F.R. Part 300, and any amendments thereof.

1.11 "Navy" shall mean the U.S. Department of the Navy, including the Naval Air Station Moffett Field, its successors and assigns, and its duly authorized representatives, which may include its employees, agents, and contractors, as necessary.

1.12 "Operable Unit" or "OU" shall mean all discrete response actions, other than removal actions, implemented prior to a final remedial action (FRA) which are consistent with the FRA and which are taken to prevent or minimize the release or migration of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants to prevent endangerment of public health, and welfare or the environment. All operable units shall be undertaken in accordance with the NCP and the requirements of CERCLA.

3.

1.13 "Operation and maintenance" shall mean activities required to maintain the effectiveness of response actions.

1.14 "Parties" shall mean the Navy, EPA, DHS, and RWQCB.

REPRUBULED AT GUVERINMENT EAPENDE

1990 - Carl -

and the second second

1.15 "RCRA" shall mean the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act as codified at 42 U.S.C. § 6901 <u>et seq.</u>, as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984, Pub. L. 98-616. 1.16 "RCRA permit" shall mean a treatment, storage or disposal permit issued pursuant to RCRA, incorporating the requirements of the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (P.L. 98-616). 1.17 "Remedial Investigation" or "RI" shall mean that investigation conducted to fully assess the nature and extent of the release of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants and to gather necessary data to support the feasibility study and risk assessment, as more fully described in the NCP.

1.18 "RWQCB" shall mean the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, San Francisco Bay Region, its successors and assigns, and its duly authorized representatives, which may include its employees, agents, and contractors, as necessary.

1.19 "Site" shall mean NASMF and other locations affected by migration of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants from NASMF. In Section 11 of this Agreement (Permits), the terms "on-site" and "off-site" shall mean those terms as defined or referred to in the NCP. The Parties may change the Site designation on the basis of additional investigations to more accurately reflect the areas of contamination related in whole or part to the NASMF.

1.20 "Submit," "submittal," or "submission" shall mean the following: any document to be submitted by a certain date will be

considered as submitted on time if mailed by that date by certified mail return receipt requested, registered mail, or next day mail. Any other means of submission must arrive on the due date to be considered as timely delivered.

1.21 "Timetables and deadlines" shall refer to the specific schedules for performance of described tasks to be implemented pursuant to this Agreement. Timetables and deadlines will be contained in the Attachments to this Agreement and may also be contained in other parts of this Agreement or in documents prepared pursuant to this Agreement.

1.22 "MEW Regional Groundwater Remediation Program" shall mean the regional groundwater extraction, treatment and reuse program to be implemented as part of the remedy selected by the MEW Site Record of Decision signed by the EPA Regional Administrator of Region IX on June 9, 1989.

#### 2 JURISDICTION

Each Party is entering into this Agreement pursuant to the following authorities:

2.1 The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA), Region IX, enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to the remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) pursuant to Section 120(e)(1) of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), 42 U.S.C. § 9620(e) (1), and Sections 6001, 3008(h) and 3004(u) and (v) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 6961, 6928(h), 6924(u) and (v), as amended by the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984 (HSWA) (hereinafter jointly referred to as RCRA/HSWA or RCRA)<sup>1</sup> and Executive Order (E.O.) 12580;

1. Currently, there are no existing or proposed RCRA treatment, storage or disposal facilities at NASMF.

2.2 U.S. EPA, Region IX, enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to remedial actions pursuant to Section 120(e)(2) of CERCLA/SARA, Sections 6001, 3008(h) and 3004(u) and (v) of RCRA and Executive Order 12580;

2.3 The U.S. Department of the Navy (Navy) enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to the RI/FS pursuant to Section 120(e)(1) of CERCLA, Sections 6001, 3008(h) and 3004(u) and (v) of RCRA, Executive Order 12580, the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. § 4321, and the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP), 10 U.S.C. § 2701 <u>et seq</u>; 2.4 The Navy enters into those portions of this Agreement that relate to remedial actions pursuant to Section 120(e)(2) of CERCLA, Sections 6001, 3004(u), 3004(v) and 3008(h) of RCRA, Executive Order

12580 and the DERP.

And Barris and a second second

And States (Alter a subject of a subject of

2.5 The California Department of Health Services (DHS) and the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) enter into this Agreement pursuant to Sections 120 and 121 of CERCLA, California Health and Safety Code Division 20, Chapters 6.5 and 6.8, and Division 7 of California Water Code.

#### **3** STIPULATED DETERMINATIONS

For purposes of this Agreement, and as a basis therefore, the Navy, EPA, DHS, and RWQCB have determined that: 3.1 The Naval Air Station Moffett Field (NASMF), located in Santa Clara Country, constitutes a facility within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 9601(9).

3.2 NASMF is a federal facility within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 9620 and is subject to all guidelines, rules, regulations, and criteria in the same manner and to the same extent as other facilities, as specified in 42 U.S.C. § 9620(a).

Ser.

. 1045 and 1 20

3.3 There are areas within NASMF boundaries where hazardous substances, as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14), have been deposited, stored, placed or otherwise come to be located.

3.4 There have been releases of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants into the environment, within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601(22), 9604, 9606 and 9607, California Health and Safety Code §§ 25316 and 25320 and Division 7 of the California Water Code, at NASMF.

3.5 With respect to those releases, the Navy is an owner and operator, as defined in 42 U.S.C. § 9601(20), subject to the provisions of 42 U.S.C. § 9607, Health and Safety Code § 25323.5(a) and California Water Code § 13050.

3.6 Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9604(b), E.O. 12580 and Health and Safety Code § 25355.5(a)(1)(c), the Navy is the agency responsible for implementing the RI/FS.

3.7 The actions to be taken pursuant to this Agreement are reasonable and necessary to protect the public health, welfare or the environment.

3.8 The Navy, RWQCB, and DHS recognize that for purposes of Section 36 (Cost Reimbursement), DHS shall be the lead state agency, responsible for collecting reimbursable cost, and distributing portions as identified by the Navy to the RWQCB. The Navy, DHS, and RWQCB recognize that the RWQCB has had, and shall continue to have, substantial technical lead for all activities

incidental and consequential to this Agreement. Notwithstanding RWQCB's role, the Parties recognize the DHS shall not be limited in any way in the participation or consultation under this Agreement, or in asserting or carrying out authorities under state or federal laws. However, DHS and RWQCB will in good-faith endeavor to minimize any duplication of effort.

# 4 PARTIES BOUND

4.1 The Parties to this Agreement are the EPA, Navy, and the State of California as represented by DHS, and RWQCB. The terms of this Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon the Parties and all subsequent owners, operators and lessees of NASMF. Each Party will notify all other Parties of the identity and assigned tasks of each of its contractors performing work under this Agreement upon their selection. This Section shall not be construed as an agreement to indemnify any person. Each Party shall provide copies of this Agreement to its contractors who are performing any work called for by this Agreement. The Navy shall require compliance with this Agreement in any contracts it executes for work performed under this Agreement.

4.2 No change in ownership of NASMF shall in any way alter the status or responsibility of the Parties under this Agreement. Should the Navy transfer ownership of any or all of the property which constitutes NASMF, the notice and remedial action responsibilities specified in Section 28 of this Agreement (Transfer of Real Property) shall apply.

5 PURPOSE

5.1 The general purposes of this Agreement are to:

このかったいで、 たませんかい しんないたい

10 10 1

RETRUDULED AT SUVERIMENT EATENDE

5.1.1 ensure that the environmental impacts associated with past and present activities at the Site are thoroughly investigated and appropriate remedial action taken as necessary to protect the public health, welfare and the environment;

5.1.2 establish a procedural framework and schedule for developing, implementing and monitoring appropriate response actions at the Site in accordance with CERCLA, the NCP, CERCLA guidance and policy, RCRA, RCRA guidance and policy; and,

5.1.3 facilitate cooperation, exchange of information and participation of the Parties in such actions.

5.2 Specifically, the purposes of this Agreement are to:

5.2.1 identify operable units (OUs) which are appropriate at the Site prior to the implementation of final remedial action(s) for the Site. OUs shall be identified and proposed to the Parties as early as possible prior to formal proposal of OUs to the Parties pursuant to CERCLA. This process is designed to promote cooperation among the Parties in identifying OU alternatives prior to selection of final OUs;

5.2.2 establish requirements for the performance of a RI to determine fully the nature and extent of the threat to the public health or welfare or the environment caused by the release and threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants at the Site and to establish requirements for the performance of a FS for the Site to identify, evaluate, and select alternatives for the appropriate remedial action(s) to prevent,

mitigate, or abate the release or threatened release of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants at the Site in accordance with CERCLA;

5.2.3 identify the nature, objective and schedule of response actions to be taken at the Site. Response actions at the Site shall attain that degree of cleanup of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants mandated by CERCLA;

5.2.4 implement the selected interim and final remedial action(s) in accordance with CERCLA and meet the requirements of Section 120(e)(2) of CERCLA for an interagency agreement among the Parties;

5.2.5 assure compliance, through this Agreement, with RCRA and other federal and state laws and regulations for matters covered herein;

5.2.6 coordinate response actions at the Site with the mission and support activities at NASMF;

5.2.7 expedite the cleanup process to the extent consistent with protection of human health and the environment;

5.2.8 conduct operation and maintenance of remedial action(s) selected and implemented pursuant to this Agreement; and

5.2.9 adequately characterize source areas of contamination at the Site and identify and implement removal actions to control such source areas in accordance with Attachments 4 and 5 prior to and in coordination with the implementation of the MEW Regional Groundwater Remediation Program. The purpose of such source control removals is to eliminate any impediment to the effective implementation of the MEW Regional Groundwater Remediation Program North of Highway 101 that otherwise would be caused by the failure to implement such source control removals.

#### 6 STIPULATED FACTS

For the purposes of this Agreement, the following constitutes a summary of the facts upon which this Agreement is based. None of the facts related herein shall be considered admissions by any Party.

6.1 NASMF occupies about 1,500 acres of land located between the cities of Mountain View and Sunnyvale. NASMF was commissioned by the Navy in 1933. Since April, 1962, the Navy has used NASMF to support anti-submarine warfare training and patrol squadrons. As part of the Navy's past operations, the Navy handled, generated, accumulated and disposed of hazardous materials and wastes at NASMF.

REPRUDULED AT GUVERIVMENT EATENSE

「「「「「「」」」」」

2

6.2 The Department of the Navy developed the Navy Assessment and Control of Installation Pollutants (NACIP) to identify and control environmental contaminants from past use and disposal of hazardous substances at Navy installations. The program was later renamed the Installation Restoration Program (IRP) of the Department of Defense and is similar to the U.S. EPA's Superfund Program authorized by CERCLA. As part of the NACIP, the Navy conducted a record and field survey of the NASMF to identify areas potentially contaminated by past operations and disposal activities. The results were presented in a report titled "Initial Assessment Study of Naval Air Station, Moffett Field, Sunnyvale, California" (IAS) dated April, 1984. The IAS identified nine sites on NASMF for further investigation.

6.3 The RWQCB issued Waste Discharge Requirements Order No. 85-66 on May 15, 1985 requiring the Navy to fully define the extent of contaminants at each of the nine sites identified in the IAS. In addition, Order No. 85-66 required the Navy to submit an interim cleanup plan and to conduct an investigation to identify, locate, and evaluate deep wells with potential to serve as conduits for inter-aquifer cross contamination. The Navy submitted reports in response to Order No. 85-66, but the RWQCB determined

that the scope of work performed was not satisfactory to comply with the requirements.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EAPENDE

6.4 In a report titled "Final Report Industrial Waste Engineering Study, Naval Air Station, Moffett Field, CA" dated April, 1986, the Navy identified four active sites on NASMF with potential contamination problems. The Navy modified their waste disposal practices in 1987 at three of the active sites to reduce or eliminate further releases. The Navy intends to address past releases from these three sites under this Agreement.

6.5 In response to State and Santa Clara County regulations regarding registration and monitoring requirements for underground storage tanks, the Navy submitted a report dated June 10, 1986. This report contained a listing of 68 underground tanks and sumps. Based on a limited investigation performed by the Navy in 1987 of 31 of the 68 tanks, 12 tanks were shown to be leaking. To date, most of the tanks are slated for removal, approximately 20 are to remain in use with some form of leak monitoring system.

6.6 NASMF was proposed as a National Priorities List (NPL) site by EPA in June, 1986 and was placed on the NPL in July, 1987 (see 52 Fed. Reg. 27620). Section 120 of CERCLA requires that a Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study (RI/FS) be commenced within 6 months of NPL listing. The RI/FS must be conducted in accordance with the National Contingency Plan and guidance issued by U.S. EPA for the CERCLA Program. Executive Order 12580, January 23, 1988, delegates the responsibility to the Department of the Defense to carry out the RI/FS in consultation with EPA and appropriate State regulatory agencies.

REPRUDULED AT GUVERINMENT EATENDE

6.7 The RWQCB issued Cease and Desist Order No. 87-125 to the Navy on September 16, 1987. Order No. 87-125 was issued to the Navy for their failure to comply with the requirements of Order No. 85-66, and for violations of the California Water Code and prohibitions of the RWQCB's Basin Plan for the sites mentioned in Sections 6.4 and 6.5 above. Order Nc. 87-125 required the Navy to investigate all the sites identified, prepare interim and final cleanup plans, identify and evaluate potential deep well conduits, and prepare and submit reports to comply with the statutory requirements of the California Water Code and the California Health and Safety Code.

6.8 The wastes generated by the Navy at NASMF from past operations include the following: waste oil; chlorinated hydrocarbons, including trichloroethylene (TCE), Trichloroethane (TCA), and tetrachloroethylene (PCE); Methyl Ethyl Ketone (MEK); toluene; dry cleaning fluids and other solvents; fuel; Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs); industrial wastewater; and paints and thinners. A detailed description of the areas currently being investigated, including locations, is presented in the Sampling and Analysis Plan, Phase I & II.

## 7 SCOPE OF AGREEMENT

# 7.1 <u>Remedial Investigation</u>

The Navy agrees it shall develop, implement and report upon a RI(s) of the Site (including a RI for any operable unit at the Site) in accordance with the requirements specified in 42 U.S.C.

REFRUDULED AT BUVERIMENT LATENSE

9601 <u>et seq.</u>, the NCP, Attachment 2, and the timetables and deadlines specified in Attachment 3 to this Agreement. The RI shall be subject to the review process set forth in Section 9 (Consultation with EPA, DHS, and RWQCB) of this Agreement. The RI shall meet the purposes set forth in Section 5 of this Agreement. The Parties agree that final Site cleanup level criteria will only be determined following completion of a risk assessment.

# 7.2 <u>Feasibility Study</u>

The Navy agrees it shall design, propose, undertake and report upon a FS(s) for the Site (including a FS for any operable unit of the Site) which is in accordance with the requirements specified in 42 U.S.C. 9601, <u>et seq</u>., the NCP, Attachment 2, and the timetables and deadlines specified in Attachment 3 to this Agreement. The FS shall be subject to the review process set forth in Section 9. The FS shall meet the purposes set forth in Section 5 of this Agreement.

# 7.3 <u>Remedial Action Selection And Implementation</u>

Following completion and a review in accordance with Section 9 of this Agreement by EPA, DHS, and RWQCB of a RI (including a RI for any operable unit) and the corresponding FS (including a FS for any operable unit) for all or part of the Site, the Navy shall, after consultation with EPA, DHS, and RWQCB pursuant to Section 9, publish its Proposed Plan for public review and comment in accordance with CERCLA § 117(a), 42 U.S.C. § 9617(a), the NCP, and applicable guidance. Upon completion of the public comment period, all Parties will consult with each other about the need for modification of the Proposed Plan and additional public

comment based on public response. When public comment has been properly considered, the Navy shall submit its draft Record of Decision (ROD) in accordance with Section 9, Attachment 2 and Attachment 3. At the time of submittal of the draft Proposed Plan, the Navy shall submit a proposed schedule for implementation of the selected remedial action(s) to the other Parties in accordance with Section 9, and Attachment 3. In the event the Parties cannot reach agreement on selection of the Final Remedial Action, the EPA Administrator shall select the Final Remedial Action in accordance with Section 10 (Resolution of Disputes). After approval in accordance with Section 9, the ROD shall be published by the Navy before commencement of the remedial action, in accordance with CERCLA §§ 117(b), (c), and (d). The Navy shall implement the remedial action(s) in accordance with approved time schedules. The Navy shall conduct operation and maintenance to maintain the effectiveness of response actions at the Site.

## 7.4 Removal Actions

7.4.1 The provisions of this Subsection shall apply to all removal actions as defined in CERCLA Section 101(23), 42 U.S.C. § 9601(23), and Health and Safety Code Section 25323, including all modifications to, or extensions of, the ongoing removal actions, and all new removal actions proposed or commenced following the effective date of this Agreement, including those removal actions undertaken pursuant to the schedules contained in Attachments 4 and 5.

7.4.2 Any removal actions conducted on the Site shall be conducted in a manner consistent with CERCLA, the NCP, and 10 U.S.C. § 2705.

7.4.3 Except for the specific review and comment process that applies to removals undertaken pursuant to Attachment 5, and the provisions of Subsection 7.4.9, nothing in this Agreement shall alter the Navy's authority with respect to removal actions conducted pursuant to KETRUDULED AT SUVERINMENT EATENSE

Section 104 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604.

こうしょう ないない しょうちょう しょうない しょうちょう しょうちょう

7.4.4 EPA, DHS, and RWQCB reserve any authority they may have concerning removal actions conducted on the Site, and nothing in this Agreement shall alter any authority the State or EPA may have with respect to removal actions conducted on the Site.

7.4.5 All reviews conducted by EPA, DHS, and RWQCB pursuant to 10 U.S.C. § 2705(b)(2) will be expedited so as not to unduly jeopardize fiscal resources of the Navy for funding the removal actions.

7.4.6 The Navy shall provide the other Parties with timely notice and opportunity to review and comment upon any proposed removal action for the Site, in accordance with 10 U.S.C. § 2705(a) and (b). The Navy will provide the other Parties with any information required by CERCLA, the NCP, and pertinent EPA guidance, including but not limited to the Action Memorandum and the Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (in the case of non-time critical removals). Such information shall be furnished at least forty-five (45) days before the proposed removal action is to begin.

7.4.7 All activities related to ongoing removal actions shall be reported by the Navy in the progress reports as described in Section 13, Monthly Progress Report.

7.4.8 Any dispute among the Parties as to whether a proposed non-emergency response action is properly considered a removal action, as defined by 42 U.S.C. § 9601(23), or as to the consistency of such a removal action with the final remedial action, shall be resolved pursuant to Section 10, Resolution of Disputes. Such dispute may be brought directly to the DRC at any Party's

#### request.

「日本のない」で、「あたい」で、「あたい」

7.4.9 Any dispute among the Parties as to the adequacy of the Navy's design, implementation or operation of the source control removals at the Site described in Attachment 5 shall be resolved pursuant to Section 10 of this Agreement (Resolution of Disputes).

# 7.5 Document Submittal

The Navy agrees to submit to the other Parties certain documents to fulfill the obligations and meet the purposes of this Agreement. A description of these documents and the schedule for their submittal are specified in Section 9 (Consultation with EPA, DHS, and RWQCB), and the Attachments to this Agreement.

#### 7.6 Guidance

EPA, DHS, and RWQCB agree to 1) assist the Navy in identifying applicable guidance and, whenever practicable, supply the Navy with copies of such guidance and; 2) give a timely response to requests for guidance to assist the Navy in the performance of the requirements under this Agreement.

# 7.7 On-Site Contamination Originating Off-NASMF

The Parties recognize that releases of hazardous substances originating off-NASMF, including certain groundwater plumes comingled with plumes originating on-NASMF, may be addressed pursuant to a separate agreement entered into by the responsible parties and the regulatory agencies.

8 STATUTORY COMPLIANCE/RCRA-CERCLA INTEGRATION 8.1 The Parties intend to integrate the Navy's CERCLA response obligations and RCRA corrective action obligations which relate to the release(s) of hazardous substances, hazardous wastes, pollutants or contaminants covered by this Agreement into this com-

prehensive Agreement. Therefore, the Parties intend that activities covered by this Agreement will be deemed to achieve compliance with CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9601 <u>et seg</u>.; to satisfy the corrective action requirements of Sections 3004(u) and (v) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. 6924(u) and (v), for a RCRA permit, and Section 3008(h), 42 U.S.C. 6928(h), for interim status facilities; and to meet or exceed all applicable or relevant and appropriate Federal and State laws and regulations, to the extent required by Section 121 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. 9621.

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXTENSE

「「「「「「「「「「」」」」」」」

.,

8.2 Based upon the foregoing, the Parties intend that any remedial action selected, implemented and completed under this Agreement shall be deemed by the Parties to be protective of human health and the environment such that remediation of ''releases covered by this Agreement shall obviate the need for further corrective action under RCRA (i.e., no further corrective action shall be required). The Parties agree that with respect to releases of hazardous waste covered by this Agreement, RCRA shall be considered an applicable or relevant and appropriate requirement pursuant to Section 121 of CERCLA.

8.3 The Parties recognize that the requirement to obtain permits for response actions undertaken pursuant to this Agreement shall be as provided for in CERCLA and the NCP. The Parties further recognize that on-going hazardous waste management activities at the NASMF may require the issuance of permits under Federal and State laws. This Agreement does not affect the requirements, if any, to obtain such permits. However, if a permit is issued to the Navy for on-going hazardous waste management activities at the Site, the issuing party shall reference and in-

corporate any appropriate provisions, including appropriate schedules (and the provision for extension of such schedules), of this Agreement into such permit. The Parties intend that the judicial review of any permit conditions which reference this Agreement shall, to the extent authorized by law, only be reviewed under the provisions of CERCLA.

REPRODUCED AT SUVERIMENT EAFENSE

## 9 CONSULTATION WITH EPA, DHS, AND RWQCB

# Review and Comment Process for Draft and Final Documents

## 9.1 <u>Applicability</u>:

, av

. I.S. I. S. M. .

9.1.1 The provisions of this Section establish the procedures that shall be used by the Parties to provide each other with appropriate notice, review, comment, and response to comments regarding RI/FS and RD/RA documents, specified herein as either primary or secondary documents. In accordance with Section 120 of CERCLA and 10 U.S.C. §§ 2701 and 2705, the Navy will normally be responsible for issuing primary and secondary documents to the other Parties. As of the effective date of this Agreement, all draft and final reports for any deliverable document identified herein shall be prepared, distributed and subject to dispute in accordance with Subsections 9.2 through 9.10 below.

9.1.2 The designation of a document as "draft" or "final" is solely for purposes of consultation among the Parties in accordance with this Part. Such designation does not affect the obligation of the Parties to issue documents, which may be referred to herein as "final", to the public for review and com-

ment as appropriate and as required by law.

9.2 <u>General Process for RI/FS and RD/RA documents</u>:

9.2.1 Primary documents include those reports that are major, discrete portions of RI/FS or RD/RA activities. Primary documents are initially issued by the Navy in draft subject to review and comment by the other Parties. Following receipt of comments on a particular draft primary document, the Navy will respond to the comments received and issue a draft final primary document subject to dispute resolution. The draft final primary document will become the final primary document either 30 days after the receipt by EPA, DHS, and RWQCB of a draft final document if dispute resolution is not invoked or as modified by decision of the dispute resolution process.

AT GUVERIMENT EAFENDE

9.2.2 Secondary documents include those reports that are discrete portions of the primary documents and are typically input or feeder documents. Secondary documents are issued by the Navy in draft subject to review and comment by the other Parties. Although the Navy will respond to comments received, the draft secondary documents may be finalized in the context of the corresponding primary documents. A secondary document may be disputed at the time the corresponding draft final primary document is issued.

9.3 <u>Primary Documents</u>:

9.3.1 The Navy shall complete and submit draft reports for the following primary documents to the other Parties for review and comment in accordance with the provisions of this Section:

Quality Assurance Project Plan (Final already submitted)

- Sampling and Analysis Plan(s) (Final Phase I and II
   Sampling and Analysis Plan already submitted)
- 3. Work Plan Phase I & II (Final already submitted)
- 4. Community Relations Plan (Final already submitted)
- 5. Management Plan

通道 いまいたいいい あたいたい

- 6. Known Abandoned Wells Closure Report
- 7. Suspected Abandoned Wells Closure Report
- 8. Initial Screening of Remedial Alternatives
- 9. RI Report(s)
- 10. FS Report(s) (including Baseline Risk Assessment)
- 11. Proposed Plan(s)
- 12. Record(s) of Decision
- 13. Remedial Design(s)
  - 14. Remedial Action Operations Plan(s)
  - 15. Action Memoranda relating to Attachment 5.

9.3.2 Only the draft final reports for the primary documents identified above shall be subject to dispute resolution. The Navy shall complete and submit draft primary documents in accordance with the timetables and deadlines established in Attachment 3 and Attachment 5 of this Agreement.

9.4 Secondary Documents:

9.4.1 The Navy shall complete and submit draft reports for secondary documents to the other Parties for review and comment in accordance with the provisions of this Section. The secondary

**21** ]

documents include, but are not limited to, the following:

REPRODUCED A FGUVERIMENT EAFENDE

-

- Research Report Potential Conduits Investigation (Vertical) (Final already submitted)
- 2. Water Quality SWAT Proposal(already submitted)
- 3. Health and Safety Plan (already submitted)
- 4. Removal Action Plan for Tanks 2, 14, 43, 53,
  67 and 68 and Sump 66 (already submitted)
- 5. Active Wells Report (already submitted)
- 6. Water Quality SWAT Report
- Plan for Evaluation and Closure of Abandoned Wells
- 8. Suspected Wells Investigation Report
- 9. Phase I Characterization Report
- 10. Additional Removal Action Plan(s) (only if generated)
- 11. Detailed Analysis of Alternatives (only if generated as a separate document)
- 12. Post-screening Investigation Work Plan(s)
   (only if generated)

13. Treatability Studies (only if generated)

9.4.2 Although EPA, DHS, and RWQCB may comment on the draft reports for the secondary documents listed above, such documents shall not be subject to dispute resolution except as provided by Subsection 9.2 hereof. Target dates for the completion and submission of draft secondary documents which are not in Attachment 3 shall be established by the Project Managers. The Project Managers may also identify additional secondary documents and establish target dates for the completion and submission of these

secondary documents.

و ديدې

.

9.5 <u>Meetings of the Project Managers on Development of</u> <u>Reports</u>:

REPRODUCED AT SUVERINMENT LAPENDE

The Project Managers shall meet approximately every sixty (60) days, except as otherwise agreed by the Parties, to review and discuss the progress of work being performed at the Site on the primary and secondary documents. Prior to preparing any draft document specified in Paragraphs 9.3 and 9.4 above, the Project Managers shall meet to discuss the document results in an effort to reach a common understanding, to the maximum extent practicable, with respect to the results to be presented in the draft document.

9.6 <u>Identification and Determination of Potential ARARs</u>:

9.6.1 For those primary reports or secondary documents that consist of or include ARAR determinations, prior to the issuance of a draft report, the Project Managers shall meet to identify and propose, to the best of their ability, all potential ARARs pertinent to the report being addressed. Draft ARAR determinations shall be prepared by the Navy, in coordination with EPA, DHS, and RWQCB, in accordance with § 121(d)(2) of CERCLA, the NCP and pertinent guidance issued by EPA, which is not inconsistent with CERCLA and the NCP.

9.6.2 In identifying potential ARARs, the Parties recognize that actual ARARs can be identified only on a site-specific basis and that ARARs depend on the specific hazardous substances, pollutants and contaminants at a site, the particular actions proposed as a remedy and the characteristics of a site. The Parties recognize that ARAR identification is necessarily an

iterative process and that potential ARARs must be re-examined throughout the RI/FS process until a ROD is issued.

ULED AT GUVERNMENT EATENDE

9.7 <u>Review and Comment on Draft Reports</u>:

9.7.1 The Navy shall complete and submit each draft primary report to EPA, DHS, and RWQCB on or before the corresponding deadline established for the issuance of the report. The Navy shall complete and submit each draft secondary document in accordance with the target dates established for the issuance of such documents.

Unless the Parties mutually agree to another time 9.7.2 period, all primary draft reports shall be subject to a sixty (60) day period for review and comment. Review of any document by the Parties may concern all aspects of the report (including completeness) and should include, but is not limited to, technical evaluation of any aspect of the document, and consistency with CERCLA, the NCP and any pertinent guidance or policy issued by EPA, DHS or RWQCB. Comments by EPA, DHS, and RWQCB shall be provided with adequate specificity so that the Navy may respond to the comment and, if appropriate, make changes to the draft report. Comments shall refer to any pertinent sources of authority or references upon which the comments are based, and, upon request of the Navy, the commenter shall provide a copy of the cited authority or reference, if not generally available in the public domain. In cases involving complex or unusually lengthy reports, the Parties may extend the sixty (60) day comment periods for an additional thirty (30) days by written notice to the Navy prior to the end of the sixty (60) day period for which the extension is necessary. On or before the close of the

comment period, EPA, DHS, and RWQCB shall submit their written comments to the Navy in accordance with Section 14 (Notification and Distribution List).

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EATENDE

A DESCRIPTION OF A DESC

•••

1997

9.7.3 Representatives of the Navy shall make themselves readily available to EPA, DHS, and RWQCB during the comment period for purposes of informally responding to questions and comments on draft reports. Oral comments made during such discussions need not be the subject of a written response by the Navy on the close of the comment period.

9.7.4 In commenting on a draft report which contains a proposed ARAR determination, EPA, DHS, and RWQCB shall include a reasoned statement of whether they object to any portion of the proposed ARAR determination. To the extent that EPA, DHS or RWQCB does object, the objecting Party shall explain the bases for its objection in detail and shall identify any ARARs which it believes were not properly addressed in the proposed ARAR determination.

9.7.5 Following the close of the comment period for a draft report, the Navy shall give full consideration to all written comments on the draft report submitted during the comment period. Within sixty (60) days of the close of the comment period on a draft secondary report, the Navy shall transmit to the other Parties its written response to comments received within the comment period. Within sixty (60) days of the close of the comment period on a draft primary report, the Navy shall transmit to the other Parties a draft final primary report, which shall include the Navy's response to all written comments, received within the comment period. While the resulting draft final report shall be

the responsibility of the Navy, it shall be the product of consensus to the maximum extent possible.

UDULED AT BUYERIYMENT LAFENDE

9.7.6 The Navy may extend the sixty (60) day period for either responding to comments on a draft report or for issuing the draft final primary report for an additional thirty (30) days by providing notice to the other Parties.

9.7.7 In appropriate circumstances, these time periods may be
further extended in accordance with Section 27 (Extensions).
9.8 Availability of Dispute Resolution for Draft Final

# Primary Documents:

4

-

ynk j

9.8.1 Dispute resolution shall be available to the Parties for draft final primary reports as set forth in Section 10.

9.8.2 When dispute resolution is invoked on a draft final , primary report, work may be stopped in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section 10 regarding dispute resolution.

## 9.9 <u>Finalization of Reports</u>:

The draft final primary document shall serve as the final primary document if no party invokes dispute resolution regarding the document or, if invoked, at completion of the dispute resolution process should the Navy's position be sustained. If the Navy's determination is not sustained in the dispute resolution process, the Navy shall prepare, within not more than 35 days of resolution of the dispute pursuant to Section 10, a revision of the draft final document which conforms to the results of dispute resolution. In appropriate circumstances, the time period for this revision period may be extended in accordance with Section 27 (Extensions).

REPRUDUCED AT GUVERINMENT EXPENSE

# 9.10 Subsequent Modifications of Final Reports

•

.

9.10.1 Following finalization of any primary report pursuant to Paragraph 9.9 above, the Parties may seek to modify the report, including seeking additional field work, pilot studies, computer modeling or other supporting technical work, only as provided in Subsections 9.10.2 and 9.10.3 below.

9.10.2 A Party may seek to modify a report after finalization if it determines, based on new information (i.e., information that became available, or conditions that became known, after the report was finalized) that the requested modification is necessary. The Party may seek such a modification by submitting a concise written request to the Project Managers of the other Parties. The request shall specify the nature of the requested modification and how the request is based on new information.

9.10.3 In the event that a consensus is not reached by the Project Managers on the need for a modification, the Parties may invoke dispute resolution to determine if such modification shall be conducted. Modification of a report shall be required only upon a showing that: (1) the requested modification is based on significant new information, and (2) the requested modification could be of significant assistance in evaluating impacts on the public health or the environment, in evaluating the selection of remedial alternatives, or in protecting human health and the environment.

9.10.4 Nothing in this Subsection shall alter the ability of EPA, DHS or RWQCB to request the performance of additional work which was not contemplated by this Agreement. The Navy's obligation to perform such work must be established by either a

modification of a report or document or by amendment to this. Agreement.

言語

ŝ

2. . NU VERIN MEIN

# 10 RESOLUTION OF DISPUTES

10.1 Except as specifically set forth elsewhere in this Agreement, if a dispute arises after execution of this Agreement, the procedures of this Section shall apply.

10.2 All Parties may invoke the dispute resolution procedures. All Parties to this Agreement shall make reasonable efforts to informally resolve disputes at the Project Manager or immediate supervisor level. If resolution cannot be achieved informally, the procedures of this Section shall be implemented to resolve a dispute.

10.3 Within thirty (30) days after: (1) the receipt by EPA, DHS, and RWQCB of a draft final primary document pursuant to Section 9 (Consultation with EPA, DHS, and RWQCB) of this Agreement, or (2) any action which leads to or generates a dispute, the disputing Party shall submit to the Dispute Resolution Committee (DRC) a written statement of dispute setting forth the nature of the dispute, the work affected by the dispute, the disputing Party's position with respect to the dispute and the technical, legal or factual information the disputing Party is relying upon to support its position.

10.4 Prior to any Party's issuance of a written statement of dispute, the disputing Party shall engage the other Parties in informal dispute resolution among the Project Managers and/or their immediate supervisors. During this informal dispute resolution period the Parties shall meet as many times as are

necessary to discuss and attempt resolution of the dispute. The DRC will serve as a forum for resolution of disputes 10.5 for which agreement has not been reached through informal dispute resolution. The Parties shall each designate one individual and an alternate to serve on the DRC. The individuals designated to serve on the DRC shall be employed at the policy level or be delegated the authority to participate on the DRC for the purposes of dispute resolution under this Agreement. The EPA representative on the DRC is the Waste Management Division Director of U.S. EPA's Region IX. The Navy's designated member is the Director, Office of Environmental Management, Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (WESTNAVFACENGCOM). DHS's designated member is the Chief of the Site Mitigation Unit, Toxic Substances Control Division, Region 2. RWQCB's designated member is the Division Chief of the appropriate division. Written notice of any delegation of authority from a Party's designated representative on the DRC shall be provided to all other Parties pursuant to the procedures of Section 14 (Notification and Distribution List).

ULED AT BUVERIMENT EATENSE

10.6 Following elevation of a dispute to the DRC, the DRC shall have twenty-one (21) days to unanimously resolve the dispute and issue a written decision. If the DRC is unable to unanimously resolve the dispute within this twenty-one (21) day period the written statement of dispute shall be forwarded to the Senior Executive Committee (SEC) for resolution, within seven (7) days after the close of the twenty-one (21) day resolution period. 10.7 The SEC will serve as the forum for resolution of disputes for which agreement has not been reached by the DRC. The EPA

REFRUDUED A . GUVERNMEN - EATENDE

...

1

representative on the SEC is the Regional Administrator of EPA's Region IX. The Navy's representative on the SEC is the Commander, WESTNAVFACENGCOM. DHS's representative on the SEC is the Section Chief, Toxic Substances Control Division, Region 2. RWQCB's representative on the SEC is the Executive Officer. The SEC members shall, as appropriate, confer, meet and exert their best efforts to resolve the dispute and issue a written decision. If unanimous resolution of the dispute is not reached within twenty-one (21) days, EPA's Regional Administrator shall issue a written position on the dispute within five (5) days after the twenty-one (21) day period. Any other Party may, within fourteen (14) days of the Regional Administrator's issuance of EPA's position, issue a written notice elevating the dispute to the Administrator of EPA for resolution in accordance with all applicable laws and procedures. In the event that the other Parties elect not to elevate the dispute to the Administrator within the designated fourteen (14) day escalation period, the other Parties shall be deemed to have agreed with the Regional Administrator's written position with respect to the dispute. Upon escalation of a dispute to the Administrator of EPA 10.8 pursuant to Subsection 10.7, the Administrator will review and resolve the dispute within twenty-one (21) days. Upon request, and prior to resolving the dispute, the EPA Administrator shall meet and confer with the Navy's Secretariat Representative, the DHS's Deputy Director, and/or the Chairman of the RWQCB to discuss the issue(s) under dispute. Upon resolution, the Administrator shall provide the Parties with a written final decision setting forth resolution of the dispute. The duties of the

REFRUDULED A LEUVERNMERT EAFERDE

Administrator set forth in this Section shall not be delegated. 10.9 Whenever formal dispute resolution procedures are invoked, DHS and RWQCB, as agencies of the State of California, shall attempt, in good faith, to take a consistent position on the matter to be resolved, thereby presenting one State position.

10.10 The pendency of any dispute under this Section shall not affect the Navy's responsibility for timely performance of the work required by this Agreement, except that the time period for completion of work affected by such dispute shall be extended for a period of time usually not to exceed the actual time taken to resolve any good faith dispute in accordance with the procedures specified herein. All elements of the work required by this Agreement which are not affected by the dispute shall continue and be completed in accordance with the applicable schedule. When dispute resolution is in progress, work affected by 10.11 the dispute will immediately be discontinued if a DRC member requests, in writing, that work related to the dispute be stopped because, in its opinion, such work is inadequate or defective, and such inadequacy or defect is likely to yield an adverse effect on human health or the environment, or is likely to have a substantial adverse effect on the remedy selection or implementation process. To the extent possible, the DRC member requesting the work stoppage shall consult with the other DRC members prior to initiating a work stoppage request. After stoppage of work, if another DRC member believes that the work stoppage is inappropriate or may have potential significant adverse impacts, the DRC may meet to discuss the work stoppage.

10.12

Following this meeting, and further consideration of the

issues, the DRC members (other than the Navy's member) will issue, in writing, a final decision with respect to the work stoppage. The final written decision of the DRC may immediately be subjected to formal dispute resolution. Such dispute may be brought directly to the SEC.

REPRODUCED A LOUVERINMENT EATENDE

たんでいたい、うんがたい、こうに、いたできたというで、たいでき、たいに、こうになっていたい、こうには、「「「「「」」」を見ていたがないです。 いたいしょう しょうしょうきょうしょう しんざい しんいしょう

-

10.13 Within thirty-five (35) days of resolution of a dispute pursuant to the procedures specified in this Section, the Navy shall incorporate the resolution and final determination into the appropriate plan, schedule or procedures and proceed to implement this Agreement according to the amended plan, schedule or procedures.

10.14 Except as provided in Section 25 (Covenant Not to Sue and Reservation of Rights), resolution of a dispute pursuant to this Section of the Agreement constitutes a final resolution of the dispute arising under this Agreement. All Parties shall abide by all terms and conditions of any final resolution of dispute obtained pursuant to this Section of this Agreement.

#### 11 PERMITS

11.1 The Parties recognize that under 42 U.S.C. 9621(e)(1), no federal, state or local permit shall be required for the portion of any removal or remedial action conducted entirely on-site, where such action is selected and carried out in compliance with 42 U.S.C. 9621. However, the Navy must satisfy all the ARARs which would have been included in any such permit.

11.2 When the Navy proposes a response action to be conducted

REPRODUCED AT SUVERIMEN, EATENSE

\*

Carlo Contra 1

entirely "on-site," as that term is defined in the NCP, which in the absence of 42 U.S.C. § 9621(e)(1) would require a federal, state, or local permit, the Navy, in consultation with EPA, DHS, and RWQCB shall include, in the appropriate submittal:

11.2.1 Identification of each permit, including applicable standards and requirements, which would otherwise be required; 11.2.2 Explanation of how the response action will meet the standards and requirements identified in Subsection 11.2.1 above. 11.3 This section is not intended to relieve the Navy from any and all regulatory requirements, including but not limited to CERCLA § 121(d)(3), whenever it proposes a response action involving the movement of hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants off-site.

11.4 The Navy shall furnish EPA, DHS, and RWQCB with copies of all permits obtained in implementing this Agreement. Such copies shall be appended to the appropriate submittal or monthly progress report.

11.5 Nothing in this section shall affect or impair the obligation of the Navy to comply with any applicable requirement of 42 U.S.C. 6901 <u>et seq</u>. the Hazardous Waste Control Law, Health and Safety Code 25100 <u>et seq</u>. or Division 7 of the California Water Code.

# 12 PROTECTION OF PUBLIC HEALTH AND THE ENVIRONMENT

12.1 All activities pursuant to this Agreement will be conducted under the Health and Safety Plan and will be conducted so
as to minimize any threat to the surrounding public. In the event EPA, DHS or RWQCB determines, in that Party's best professional judgment, that any activities conducted pursuant to this Agreement are creating a threat to the public health or welfare or the environment, EPA, DHS or RWQCB may request the Navy to stop further implementation of all or part of this Agreement for such period of time as needed to abate the danger.

RETRUDUCED AT SUVERIMENT EATENSE

がなたで

And the second second

· 35 · 18 ·

1

12.2 In complying with any such requests, the Navy shall not be liable for failure to comply with other sections of this Agreement that may be caused by such compliance. EPA, DHS, and RWQCB reserve any authority they may have to respond to threats to public health and the environment.

#### 13 MONTHLY PROGRESS REPORT

The Navy shall submit to EPA, DHS, and RWQCB monthly written progress reports which shall include, but may not be limited to, a description of the actions which the Navy has taken during the previous month to implement the requirements of this Agreement, including significant community relations activities or contacts; a description of the activities scheduled to be taken during the current month; and a description of the activities scheduled for the next month. Progress reports shall be submitted by the fifteenth (15) day of each month following the effective date of this Agreement. The progress reports shall include a statement of the manner and extent to which the timetables and deadlines provided for pursuant to this Agreement are being met. In addi-

tion, the progress reports shall identify anticipated delays in meeting schedules, the reason(s) for the delay and actions taken to prevent future delays. However, formal extensions required, if any, must still be requested pursuant to Section 27 (Extensions). The Project Managers may agree to make the progress reports quarterly rather than monthly.

#### 14 NOTIFICATION AND DISTRIBUTION LIST

14.1 Unless otherwise specified by a Party, any report or submittal provided pursuant to a schedule identified in or developed under this Agreement shall be hand delivered, sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, or sent by next day mail, and addressed as follows:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9 1235 Mission St., Mail Code H-7-3 San Francisco, CA 94103 Attn: (Project Manager)

California Department of Health Services Toxic Substances Control Program, Region 2 700 Heinz Avenue, Building F, Suite 200 Berkeley, CA 94710 Attn: (Project Manager)

Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region 1800 Harrison St., Suite 700 Oakland, CA 94612 Attn: (Project Manager)

Naval Facilities Engineering Command Western Division, Code 18 Office of Environmental Management 900 Commodore Dr., Bldg. 101 P.O. Box 727 San Bruno, CA 94066-0720 Attn: (Project Manager) 14.2 Any other correspondence may be sent by first class mail. 15 PROJECT MANAGERS

REPRODUCED A.

RINMENT EAPENDE

15.1 The Navy, EPA, DHS, and RWQCB shall each designate a Project Manager and Alternate (hereinafter jointly referred to as Project Manager) for the purpose of overseeing the implementation of this Agreement. Within ten (10) days of the effective date of this Agreement, all Parties shall notify the other Parties, in writing, of the name and address of its Project Manager. Any Party may change its Project Manager by notifying the other Parties, in writing, within five days of the change. To the maximum extent possible, communications between the Parties concerning the terms and conditions of this Agreement shall be directed through the Project Managers as set forth in Section 14 of this Agreement. Each Project Manager shall be responsible for assuring that all communications from the other Project Managers are appropriately disseminated and processed by the entities which the Project Managers represent.

15.2 The absence of the EPA, DHS, RWQCB or Navy Project Manager from the Site shall not be cause for work stoppage.

#### 16 SAMPLING AND DATA/DOCUMENT AVAILABILITY

16.1 Quarterly data reports containing quality assured data will be submitted by the Navy to the other Parties. In addition, if requested, the Parties shall make available to each other raw

data or results, or quality assured results of sampling, testing or other data generated by any Party, or on their behalf, with respect to the implementation of this Agreement, as soon as such data or results become available.

REPRUDULED AT GUVERINMENT EAFENDE

16.2 Any Party may request, and the party taking the sample \* shall allow, split or duplicate samples to be taken during sample collection conducted during the implementation of this Agreement. The Project Managers collecting the sample shall endeavor to notify each other not less than ten (10) days in advance of any sample collection. At this time, the Parties shall make known their request to be present or to collect split or duplicate samples. If it is not possible to provide ten (10) days prior notification, the Parties shall notify each other as soon as possible after becoming aware that samples will be collected.

#### 17 QUALITY ASSURANCE

## 17.1 Field work

「「「「「「「「「「「「「「」」」

1

The Navy has prepared the quality assurance project plan in accordance with EPA Document QAMS-005/80 and other applicable guidance furnished by EPA.

#### 17.2 <u>Laboratory work</u>

The Navy agrees to use, at a minimum, laboratory methods and procedures which are functionally equivalent to the methods and procedures used in the EPA contract laboratory program and, where there is no conflict in field or laboratory procedures and methodologies, the DHS certified laboratory program.

# 17.3 <u>Documentation</u>

The Navy shall document compliance with all EPA and state approved field and laboratory procedures and methodologies, including but not limited to element-specific sampling methodologies, chain of custody procedures, sample storage and shipping methods, calibration procedures and frequencies, and other laboratory quality control and quality assurance procedures.

LED AT GUVERINMENT EAFENDE

# 18 RETENTION OF RECORDS

The Navy shall preserve for a minimum of ten (10) years after termination of this Agreement the complete Administrative Record, and post ROD primary and secondary documents. After this ten (10) year period, the Navy shall notify EPA, DHS, and RWQCB at least forty-five (45) days prior to the destruction or disposal of any such documents or records. Upon request by the EPA, DHS, or RWQCB, the Navy shall make available such records or documents to EPA, DHS, or RWQCB, subject to Section 23 (Release of Records).

#### 19 ACCESS

19.1 The Parties to this Agreement and their duly authorized representatives may enter the site for the following purposes:(1) inspecting records relevant to the implementation of this

°**3**8

Agreement; (2) reviewing the progress of the remedial investigation; (3) conducting relevant sampling procedures; (4) verifying data submitted pursuant to the remedial investigation; and (5) exercising any other right or responsibility assigned the Party pursuant to this Agreement.

REFRUDUCED AT GUVENINMENT EAFENDE

いたいです こうない いろ

14-404

The Parties shall contact the Navy's Project Manager at 19.2 least forty-eight (48) hours in advance of all routine site visits to coordinate access. At this time, the Party seeking access shall coordinate with the Navy the date and time for the Site visit, the purpose of such visit, and the areas to which access is sought; and shall assure that the Navy is provided the appropriate credentials for the individual(s) who are to visit the Site. Submittal of this information will enable the Navy's Project Manager to accommodate all reasonable requests for such access. Entry to NASMF shall then be granted upon verification of proper credentials. Such access shall be granted in accordance with Navy security regulations and National Security considerations, and shall be exercised in a manner minimizing interference with normal military operations at NASMF. EPA, DHS or RWQCB shall not use any camera, sound recording or other electronic recording device at NASMF without the permission of the NASMF Commander. The Navy shall not unreasonably withold such permission.

19.3 If a Party obtains any samples, before leaving the Site, the Party shall give the Navy Project Manager a receipt describing the sample obtained, and, if requested, a portion of each such sample. A copy of the results of any analysis made of such samples shall be provided to all Parties in accordance with Sec-

tion 16 (Sampling and Data/Document Availability).

.

REPRODUCED AT OUVERIMEN MENALISE

19.4 To the extent that the Navy needs access to off-NASMF property to carry out the work required by this Agreement, the Navy shall use its best efforts, including exercising its authority, if necessary, pursuant to Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e), to obtain all necessary access agreements from the owners or lessees of such lands. Any such access agreements shall provide for reasonable access to EPA, DHS, and RWQCB. In the event that the Navy is unable to obtain necessary access to off-NASMF property, and EPA, DHS, and RWQCB agree such access is necessary, EPA, DHS, and RWQCB agree to use their best efforts to obtain the needed access.

19.5 With respect to non-Navy property upon which monitoring well's, pumping wells, or other response actions are to be located, the access agreements shall also provide that no conveyance of title, easement, or other interest in the property shall be consummated for the duration of the access agreement without provisions for the continued right of entry to maintain operation of such wells or response actions on the property. 19.6 Nothing in this Section shall be construed to limit EPA's, DHS's and RWQCB's full right of access as provided in 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e), Health and Safety Code § 25358.1 and California Water Code § 13267 for off-NASMF access or for access to NASMF for matters not covered by this Agreement, except as that right may be limited by 42 U.S.C. § 9620(j)(2), necessary National Security regulations, and E.O. 12580.

#### 20 FIVE YEAR REVIEW

「おきょうというないである」というとなって、いっていないできょう。 やかってい しんかましょう たいしょう

RINMENT EAFENSE

20.1. Consistent with 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c) and in accordance with this Agreement, if the selected remedial action results in any hazardous substances, pollutants or contaminants remaining at the Site, the Parties shall review the remedial action program at least every five (5) years after the initiation of the final remedial action to assure that human health and the environment are being protected by the remedial action being implemented. 20.2 If upon such review it is the conclusion of any of the Parties that additional action or modification of remedial action  $\frac{7}{2}$ 9606, the Navy shall implement such additional or modified action as agreed upon by all Parties.

20.3 Any dispute by the Parties regarding need for or the scope of additional action or modification to a remedial action shall be resolved under Section 10 (Resolution of Disputes) of this Agreement.

20.4 Any additional action or modification agreed upon pursuant to this Section shall be made a part of this Agreement.

#### 21 OTHER CLAIMS

21.1 Nothing in this Agreement shall constitute or be construed as a bar or release from any claim, cause of action or demand in

law or equity by or against any person, firm, partnership or corporation not a signatory to this Agreement for any liability it may have arising out of or relating in any way to the generation, storage, treatment, handling, transportation, release, or disposal of any hazardous substances, hazardous waste, pollutants, or contaminants found at, taken to, or taken from the Site. Unless specifically agreed to in writing by the Parties, EPA, DHS, and RWQCB shall not be held as a party to any contract entered into by the Navy to implement the requirements of this Agreement.

REPRUDULED AT GUVERIVMENT EAPENDE

21.2 The Agreement shall not restrict EPA, DHS, RWQCB or the Navy from taking any legal, equitable, or administrative action for any matter not covered by this Agreement.

#### 22 OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS

All actions required pursuant to this Agreement shall be accomplished consistent with applicable state and federal laws and regulations to the extent required by 42 U.S.C. 9601 <u>et seq.</u>

# 23 RELEASE OF RECORDS

Information, records, or other documents produced under the terms of this Agreement by the Navy, EPA, DHS, or RWQCB shall be available to the public except: (a) those identified to the receiving Party(s) as classified within the meaning of federal or

state law, or (b) those that could otherwise be withheld pursuant to the Federal Freedom of Information Act, Federal Privacy Act, or California Public Records Act, unless expressly authorized for release by the originating Party. Documents or information so identified shall be handled in accordance with those regulations. Except for draft primary and secondary documents, no document marked draft may be made available without prior consultation and approval by the originating Party. If the document is final and no confidentiality claim accompanies information which is submitted to any Party, the information may be made available to the public without further notice to the originating Party.

instruction and a state of the state of the

# 24 AMENDMENT OF AGREEMENT

This Agreement may be amended only upon written agreement by all

25 COVENANT NOT TO SUE AND RESERVATION OF RIGHTS 25.1 In consideration for the Navy's compliance with this Agreement, and based on the information known to the Parties on the effective date of this Agreement, the Navy, EPA, DHS, and RWQCB agree that compliance with this Agreement shall stand in lieu of any administrative, legal and equitable remedies against the Navy available to EPA, DHS or RWQCB regarding the currently known releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances in-

cluding hazardous wastes, pollutants or contaminants at the Site which are within the scope of this Agreement, which are the subject of the RI/FS(s) to be conducted pursuant to this Agreement, and which will be adequately addressed by the remedial action(s) provided for under this Agreement; except that nothing in this Agreement shall preclude EPA, DHS or RWQCB from exercising any administrative, legal, or equitable remedies available to them to require additional response actions by the Navy in the event (1) (a) conditions previously unknown or undetected by EPA, that: DHS or RWQCB arise or are discovered at the Site, or (b) EPA, DHS or RWQCB receive additional information not previously available concerning the premises which they employed in reaching this Agreement; and (2) the implementation of the requirements of this Agreement are no longer protective of public health and the environment. To the extent deemed appropriate by EPA, DHS or RWQCB after consultation with the Navy, such additional response actions shall be implemented through the amendment process described in Section 24 of this Agreement, or in accordance with Section 9 of this Agreement addressing modification of final reports.

ULED AT GUVERNMENT EARENDE

ことに、ない、こので、 このない、 この

-

25.2 Notwithstanding this Section, or any other Section of this Agreement, DHS and RWQCB shall retain any statutory right they may have absent this Agreement to obtain judicial review of any final decision of EPA on selection of a remedial action pursuant to any authority DHS or RWQCB may have under CERCLA, including Sections 113, 121(e)(2), 121(f), and 310, and/or state law.

# 26 STIPULATED PENALTIES

The second second

Å

1.1

Sec. 64.4.1

÷.,

.

26.1 In the event that the Navy fails to submit a primary document to the other Parties pursuant to the appropriate timetable or deadline established in Section 9.3.2 and the Attachments in accordance with the requirements of this Agreement, or fails to comply with a term or condition of this Agreement which relates to an operable unit or final remedial action, EPA, after consultation with DHS and RWQCB, may assess a stipulated penalty against the Navy. DHS or RWQCB may also recommend that a stipulated penalty be assessed. A stipulated penalty may be assessed in an amount not to exceed \$5,000 for the first week (or part thereof), and \$10,000 for each additional week (or part thereof) for which a failure set forth in this Paragraph occurs.

26.2 Upon determining that the Navy has failed in a manner set forth in Paragraph 26.1, EPA shall so notify the Navy in writing. If the failure in question is not already subject to dispute resolution at the time such notice is received, the Navy shall have fifteen (15) days after receipt of the notice to invoke dispute resolution on the question of whether the failure did in fact occur. The Navy shall not be liable for the stipulated penalty assessed by EPA or DHS if the failure is determined, through the dispute resolution process, not to have occurred. No assessment of a stipulated penalty shall be final until the conclusion of dispute resolution procedures related to the assessment of the stipulated penalty.

26.3 The annual reports required by Section 120(e)(5) of CERCLA

shall include, with respect to each final assessment of a stipulated penalty against the Navy under this Agreement, each of the following:

1. The facility responsible for the failure;

REPRODUCED AT GUVERINMENT EAPENDE

A A BASE AND A BASE AND A SAME AND A SA

- A statement of the facts and circumstances giving rise to the failure;
- 3. A statement of any administrative or other corrective action taken at the relevant facility, or a statement of why such measures were determined to be inappropriate;
- 4. A statement of any additional action taken by or at the facility to prevent recurrence of the same type of failure; and
- 5. The total dollar amount of the stipulated penalty assessed for the particular failure.

26.4 Stipulated penalties assessed pursuant to this Section shall be payable to the Hazardous Substances Response Trust Fund only in the manner and to the extent expressly provided for in Acts authorizing funds for, and appropriations to, the DOD. EPA, DOHS, and RWQCB agree, to the extent allowed by law, to share equally any stipulated penalties paid by NASMF between the Hazardous Substance Response Trust Fund and an appropriate State fund.

26.5 In no event shall this Section give rise to a stipulated penalty in excess of the amount set forth in Section 109 of CERCLA.

26.6 This Section shall not affect the Navy's ability to obtain an extension of a timetable and deadline or schedule pursuant to

Section 27 of this Agreement.

and the second second

26.7 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed to render any officer or employee of the Navy personally liable for the payment of any stipulated penalty assessed pursuant to this Section.

REPRODUCED AS GOVERNMENT EAPENDE

# 27 EXTENSIONS

27.1 Either a timetable and deadline or a schedule shall be extended upon receipt of a timely request for extension and when good cause exists for the requested extension. Any request for extension shall be submitted in writing and shall specify:

- The timetable and deadline or the schedule that is sought to be extended;
- 2. The length of the extension sought;
- 3. The good cause(s) for the extension; and
- 4. Any related timetable and deadline or schedule that would be affected if the extension were granted.

27.2 Good cause exists for an extension when sought in regard to:

- 1. An event of force majeure;
- A delay caused by another Party's failure to meet any requirement of this Agreement;
- 3. A delay caused by the good faith invocation of dispute resolution or the initiation of judicial action;
- 4. A relay caused, or which is likely to be caused, by the grant of an extension in regard to another

timetable and deadline or schedule; and
5. Any other event or series of events mutually agreed to by the Parties as constituting good cause.

.

.

JUERINMEN I EAPENDE

27.3 Absent agreement of the Parties with respect to the existence of good cause, any Party may seek and obtain a determination through the dispute resolution process whether good cause exists.

27.4 Within seven days of receipt of a request for an extension of a timetable and deadline or a schedule, the other Parties shall advise the requesting Party in writing of their respective positions on the request. Any failure by any other Party to respond within the 7-day period shall be deemed to constitute concurrence in the request for extension. If any other Party does not concur in the requested extension, it shall include in its statement of nonconcurrence an explanation of the basis for its position.

27.5 If there is consensus among the Parties that the requested extension is warranted, the Navy shall extend the affected timetable and deadline or schedule accordingly. If there is no consensus among the Parties as to whether all or part of the requested extension is warranted, the timetable and deadline or schedule shall not be extended except in accordance with determination resulting from the dispute resolution process.

27.6 Within seven days of receipt of a statement of nonconcurrence with the requested extension, the disputing party may invoke dispute resolution.

27.7 A timely and good faith request for an extension shall

toll any assessment of stipulated penalties or application for judicial enforcement of the affected timetable and deadline or schedule until a decision is reached on whether the requested extension will be approved. If dispute resolution is invoked and the requested extension is denied, stipulated penalties may be assessed against the Navy and may accrue from the date of the original timetable, deadline or schedule. Following the grant of an extension, an assessment of stipulated penalties or an application for judicial enforcement may be sought only to compel compliance with the timetable and deadline or schedule as most recently extended.

RUDULED AT SUVERIMENT LAFENSE

1

÷

\*

, , ,

2 M 2

# 28 TRANSFER OF REAL PROPERTY

28.1 The Navy shall not transfer any real property comprising the Site except in compliance with Section 120(h) of CERCLA. 28.2 No conveyance of title, easement, or other interest in Navy property on which any containment system, treatment system, monitoring system, or other response action is installed or implemented pursuant to this Agreement shall be consummated by the Navy without provision for continued maintenance of any such system or other response action.

28.3 The Navy shall include notice of this Agreement in any document transferring ownership or operation of NASMF to any subsequent owner and/or operator of any portion of NASMF and shall notify EPA, DHS, and RWQCB of any such sale or transfer and of provisions made for any additional remedial action measures, if

required, at least thirty (30) days prior to such sale or trans-

REPRODUCED AT GUVERNMEN.

こうしん ちょうしょう しんかいろう

2.1

fer.

#### 29 PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

29.1 The Parties agree that proposed remedial action alternative(s) and plan(s) for remedial action at the Site shall comply with the administrative record and public participation requirements of the NCP, 42 U.S.C. 9613(k), 9617(a), (b), (c) and (d), and satisfy requirements of California Health and Safety Ccde 25356.1(d) and 25358.7 and regulations promulgated thereunder.

29.2 The Navy shall develop and implement a Community Relations Plan (CRP) which responds to the need for an interactive relationship with all interested community elements regarding activities and elements of work undertaken by the Navy as specified under this Agreement. The Navy agrees to develop and implement the CRP in a manner consistent with the NCP, 42 U.S.C. 9617(a), (b), (c) and (d), regulations promulgated thereunder, and relevant EPA, DHS, and RWQCB guidance.

29.3 Any Party issuing a formal press release to the media regarding any of the work contemplated under this Agreement shall advise the other Parties of such press release and the contents thereof, at least forty-eight (48) hours prior to the issuance of such press release and of any subsequent changes prior to release.

29.4 The Navy agrees it shall establish and maintain two administrative records in accordance with 42 U.S.C. 9613(k) and the NCP. One copy shall be maintained at WESTNAVFACENGCOM, and

one copy shall be maintained at a location near NASMF convenient to the public. The administrative record shall be established and maintained in accordance with EPA policy and guidelines. An index to the Administrative Record shall be prepared by the Navy and a copy shall be provided to EPA, DHS, and RWQCB. The administrative record and the index developed by the Navy shill be updated on a quarterly basis. Updates of the index shall be supplied to EPA, DHS, and RWQCB. EPA, DHS, and RWQCB will provide the Navy with copies of documents generated by the Party in question which should be included in the Administrative Record. Upon request by EPA, DHS or RWQCB, the Navy shall provide a copy of any document in the Administrative Record to the requesting Party.

REFRUDUCED AT SUVERIMENT EAPENSE

「あいちゃう あいしょう いろうちょうちょうちょう

4

. .

#### 30 PUBLIC COMMENT/EFFECTIVE DATE

This Agreement shall be subject to public comment as follows: 30.1 Within 15 days of the execution of this Agreement, the Navy shall publish notice in at least one major local newspaper of general circulation that this Agreement is available for a 45-day period of public review and comment.

30.2 Promptly upon completion of the public comment period, the Navy shall transmit to the other Parties copies of all comments received within the comment period.

30.3 The Parties shall review the comments and shall either:

30.3.1 Determine that this Agreement should be made effective in its present form, in which case EPA shall notify all Parties

in writing and this Agreement shall become effective on the date that the Navy receives such notification; or

AT BUVERNMENT EATENSE

3

30.3.2 Determine that modification of this Agreement is necessary, in which case the Parties shall meet to discuss and agree upon any proposed changes. Upon agreement of any proposed changes, the Agreement, as modified, shall be re-executed by the Parties, with EPA signing last, and shall become effective on the date that it is signed by EPA.

30.4 In the event a Party determines that it is necessary to modify this Agreement as a result of public comment received, and there is disagreement among the Parties as to the need for such modification, any Party may withdraw from this Agreement. Withdrawal by the Navy shall not minimize the obligation of the Navy to comply with § 120 of CERCIA, 42 U.S.C. § 9620.

#### 31 ACTIONS AGAINST OTHER PERSONS

EPA, DHS, and RWQCB agree that if an additional potentially responsible party is identified subsequent to the date of this Agreement, EPA, DHS, and RWQCB do not waive any enforcement options with respect to that other potentially responsible party by entering into this Agreement. Nothing in this Agreement shall interfere with the ability of EPA, DHS, and/or RWQCB from entering into an agreement with another potentially responsible party pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9622(c)(2) or comparable state authorities. The Navy reserves any and all rights that it may have under law with respect to any potentially responsible party.

#### 32 FUNDING

、「「「ないないない」」 きょうしょう ちょう

32.1 It is the expectation of the Parties to this Agreement that all obligations of the Navy arising under this Agreement will be fully funded. Navy agrees to seek sufficient funding through the Department of Defense (DOD) budgetary process to fulfill its obligations under this Agreement.

32.2 In accordance with § 120(e)(5)(B) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9620(e)(5)(B), the Navy shall include in its annual report to Congress the specific cost estimates and budgetary proposals associated with the implementation of this Agreement.

32.3 Any requirement for the payment or obligation of funds, including stipulated penalties, by the Navy established by the terms of this Agreement shall be subject to the availability of appropriated funds, and no provision herein shall be interpreted to require obligation or payment of funds in violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341. In cases where payment or obligation of funds would constitute a violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act, the dates established requiring the payment or obligation of such funds shall be appropriately adjusted. 32.4 If appropriated funds are not available to fulfill the Navy's obligations under this Agreement, the other Parties reserve the right to initiate an action against any other person, or to take any action, which would be appropriate absent this Agreement.

32.5 Funds authorized and appropriated annually by Congress un-

der the "Environmental Restoration, Defense" appropriation in the Department of Defense Appropriation Act and allocated by the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Environment) (DASD(E)) to the Navy will be the source of funds for activities required by this Agreement consistent with § 211 of CERCLA, 10 U.S.C. Section 2703. However, should the Environmental Restoration, Defense appropriation be inadequate in any year to meet the total Navy CERCLA implementation requirements, the DoD shall employ and the Navy shall follow a standardized DoD prioritization process which allocates that year's appropriations in a manner which maximizes the protection of human health and the environment. A standardized DoD prioritization model shall be developed and utilized with the assistance of EPA and the States.

initial for the second state of the second sta

5 M 1 M 2

1

#### 33 TERMINATION DATE

Following the completion of all remedial response actions and upon written request by the Navy. EPA, with the concurrence of DHS and RWQCB, will send to the Navy a written notice of satisfaction of the terms of this Agreement within ninety (90) days of the request. The notice shall state that, in the opinion of EPA, DHS, and RWQCB, the Navy has satisfied all of the terms of this Agreement in accordance with the requirements of CERCLA, the NCP, RCRA §§ 3004(u) and (v), 42 U.S.C. §§ 6924 (u) and (v), pertinent RCRA regulations, related guidance, and applicable State laws, and that the work performed by the Navy was consistent with the agreed-to remedial actions.

#### 34 ENFORCEABILITY

VERNNIEN I EAFENDE

34.1 The Parties agree that:

34.1.1 upon the effective date of this Agreement, any standard, regulation, condition, requirement or order which has become effective under CERCLA and is incorporated into this Agreement is enforceable by any person pursuant to § 310 of CERCLA, and any violation of such standard, regulation, condition, requirement or order will be subject to civil penalties under §§310(c) and 109 of CERCLA;

34.1.2 all timetables and deadlines associated with the RI/FS shall be enforceable by any person pursuant to § 310 of CERCLA, and any violation of such timetables and deadlines will be subject to civil penalties under §§ 310(c) and 109 of CERCLA;

34.1.3 all terms and conditions of this Agreement which relate to interim or final remedial actions, including corresponding timetables and deadlines or schedules, and all work associated with the interim or final remedial actions, shall be enforceable by any person pursuant to § 310(c) of CERCLA, and any violations of such terms or conditions will be subject to civil penalties under §§ 310(c) and 109 of CERCLA; and

34.1.4 any final resolution of a dispute pursuant to Section 10 of this Agreement which establishes a term, condition, timetable and deadline, or schedule shall be enforceable by any person pursuant to § 310(c) of CERCLA, and any violation of such term, condition, timetable and deadline, or schedule will be sub-

ject to civil penalties under §§ 310(c) and 109 of CERCLA. 34.2 Nothing in this Agreement shall be construed as authorizing any person to seek judicial review of any action or work where review is barred by any provision of CERCLA, including § 113(h) of CERCLA.

UDULED AT OUVERAMENT EATENSE

the second of the state of the second of the

34.3 The Parties agree that all Parties shall have the right to enforce the terms of this Agreement.

#### 35 FORCE MAJEURE

A Force Majeure shall mean any event arising from causes. beyond the control of a Party that causes a delay in or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Agreement, including, but not limited to, acts of God; fire; war; insurrection; civil disturbance; explosion; unanticipated breakage or accident to machinery, equipment or lines of pipe despite reasonably diligent maintenance; adverse weather conditions that could not be reasonably anticipated; unusual delay in transportation; restraint by court order or order of public authority; inability to obtain, at reasonable cost and after exercise of reasonable diligence, any necessary authorizations, approval, permits or licenses due to action or inaction of any governmental agency or authority other than the Navy; delays caused by compliance with applicable statutes or regulations governing contracting, procurement or acquisition procedures, despite the exercise of reasonable diligence; and insufficient availability of appropriated funds, if the Navy shall have made timely request for

such funds as part of the budgetary process as set forth in Section 32 (Funding) of this Agreement. A Force Majeure shall also include any strike or other labor dispute whether or not within the control of the Parties affected thereby. Force Majeure shall not include increased costs or expenses of response actions, whether or not anticipated at the time such response actions were initiated.

REPRODUCED A. BUYERNMENI EATENDE

#### 36. COST REIMBURSEMENT

36.1. The Navy, pursuant to its authority under 10 U.S.C. 2701(d), agrees to request funding from Congress and to reimburse DHS and the RWQCB for the costs related to the implementation of this Agreement as provided in this Section. The Navy agrees to advise DHS and the RWQCB of the status of available funds as soon as the appropriations are enacted and final program allocations are made by DOD to the Navy.

36.1.1. The amount of reimbursable costs payable under this Agreement shall not exceed seventy thousand dollars (\$70,000) for federal fiscal year 1989 and shall not exceed ninety thousand dollars (\$90,000) for federal fiscal year 1990.

36.1.2. Prior to the end of the second year, the amount of reimbursable costs for the subsequent years shall be renegotiated /// ///

in accordance with any then existing agreement on the subject between DOD and any agencies of the State of California that are parties to this Agreement.

UNULU AL GUVERINMENT EAPENSE

36.1.3. If no such agreement has been reached between DOD and any agencies of the State of California, the Navy, DHS, and the RWQCB agree to negotiate in good faith an annual Cap for future reimbursable costs. If the Navy, DHS and the RWQCB are unable to agree to the amount of the annual cap after such negotiations, they shall refer the issue to dispute resolution in accordance with Subsection 36.7.

36:1.4. If the Navy, DHS, and the RWQCB are unable to resolve the issues in dispute through the dispute resolution process of Subsection 36.7, DHS or the RWQCB, as the case may be, may withdraw as a Party to this Agreement by providing written notice of its withdrawal to each of the remaining Parties. Such withdrawal by DHS or the RWQCB, as the case may be, shall terminate all of the rights and obligations the withdrawing Party may have under this Agreement; provided, however, that any actions taken under or pursuant to this Agreement by the withdrawing Party prior to its withdrawal shall continue to have full force and effect as if the withdrawing Party were still a Party to this Agreement.

35.1.5. Nothing in this Agreement constitutes a waiver of any
///
///
///
///
///

111

÷,

 $\{ (a_i, (a_i) \} \}$ 

claims by DHS or the RWQCB for costs expended but not reimbursed under this Agreement.

REPRODUCED AT GUVERINMENT EAPENDE

36.2. <u>Implementation Activities</u>:

「「「「「「「「」」」」

12

.

36.2.1. Reimbursable costs shall consist only of actual expenditures required to be made and actually made by DHS or the RWQCB to fulfill their participation under this Agreement.

36.2.2. All reimbursable costs are subject to Section 32 Funding, of this Agreement. Reimbursable costs must be reasonable; they shall not include payment for any activity for which DHS or the RWQCB, as the case may be, receives payment or reimbursement from another agency of the United States Government; they shall not include payment for anything violative of Federal or State statutes or regulations; and, they must be allocable to the implementations activities provided in accordance with Subsection 36.2.1.

36.2.3 Duplicative laboratory work by one State agency of that of another already reimbursed shall not be reimbursable. Travel expenses shall not exceed those expenses allowed by the California State Board of Control for reimbursement of travel expenses.

36.3. Invoice Submittal:

36.3.1. Within 30 days after the effective date of this Agreement DHS will submit an invoice for costs incurred by DHS and by the RWQCB for carrying out activities of the type contemplated by this Agreement for the first three quarters of federal fiscal year 1989.

36.3.2. Thereafter, within forty-five (45) days after the end of each guarter of the federal fiscal year, DHS shall submit to

the Navy an invoice for all reimbursable costs incurred during the previous quarter by DHS and the RWQCB related to Subsection 36.2.

JUULEU AT GUVERIAMENT EATENDE

36.4. <u>Payment</u>:

£1.2

36.4.1. The Navy shall pay any invoices submitted pursuant to Subsections 36.3.1. and 36.3.2. within sixty (60) days of receipt, except for any portion of the invoice that is disputed in accordance with the procedures in Subsection 36.7. The Navy reserves the right to dispute amounts claimed in said invoices.

36.4.2. The Navy shall reimburse DHS and the RWQCB costs by submittal of payment to DHS. Pursuant to a Separate Memordandum of Agreement between DHS and the RWQCB, the DHS shall disburse to the RWQCB its share in accordance with the RWQCB's invoice submitted to, and acknowledged by, the Navy.

36.5. DHS and the RWQCB shall maintain adequate accounting records sufficient to identify all expenses related to this Agreement. DHS and the RWQCB agree to maintain these financial records for a period of five (5) years from the termination date of this Agreement. DHS and RWQCB agree to provide the Navy or its designated representative reasonable access to all financial records for the purpose of audit for a period ending five (5) years from the termination date of this Agreement.

36.6. The Navy, DHS and the RWQCB recognize that a necessity for effectuating sufficient funding for this Agreement is that the DHS and the RWQCB provide timely and accurate estimates of reimbursable costs. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Agreement, DHS and the RWQCB shall provide the Navy with cost estimates for all anticipated reimbursable expenses to be

incurred for the remainder of the current federal fiscal year, 1989. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Agreement, DHS and the RWQCB shall each provide the Navy with cost estimates for all anticipated reimbursable expenses to be incurred during fiscal year 1990. DHS or the RWQCB, as the case may be, shall expeditiously notify the Navy if it becomes aware that the cost estimates provided under this Subsection are no longer substantially accurate and provide in their place new cost estimates.

REPRODUCED KINGOVERNMENT CAPENDE

36.7. Notwithstanding Section 10 of this Agreement, any dispute between the Navy, DHS or the RWQCB regarding the application of this Section or any matter controlled by said Section 36, including but not limited to allowable expenses and caps of expenses under Subsection 36.1.3., shall be resolved in accordance with this Subsection 36.7.

36.7.1. The Navy, DHS and the RWQCB Project Managers shall be the primary points of contact to coordinate resolution of disputes under Subsection 36.7.

36.7.2. If the Navy, DHS or the RWQCB Project Managers are unable to resolve a dispute, the matter shall be referred to the Director, Office of Environmental Management, Western Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command (WESTNAVFACENGCOM) for the Navy, the Chief of the Site Mitigation Unit, Region 2, for DHS, and the Division Chief of the appropriate division for the RWQCB, as soon as practicable, but in any event within forty (40) days of receipt of the invoice.

36.7.3. Should the representative designated in Subsection 36.7.2. be unable to resolve the dispute within ten (10) days,

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EAFENSE

通信 アイト・ション・

the matter shall be elevated to the Commander WESTNAVFACENGCOM for the Navy, the Chief of Region 2 for DHS and the Executive Officer of the RWQCB, who will render a written report on the results of their efforts to resolve the dispute in ten (10) working days.

36.7.4. It is the intention of the Navy, DHS and the RWQCB that all disputes shall be resolved strictly in accordance with Subsection 36.7; however, the use of informal dispute resolution, including use of mediation and arbitration techniques is encouraged. In the event the representatives designated in Subsection 36.7.3. are unable to resolve the dispute, DHS or the RWQCB, as the case may be, retains all of its legal and equitable remedies to recover its costs.

37 RESERVATION OF RIGHTS FOR RECOVERY OF OTHER EXPENSES

The Parties agree to amend this Agreement at a later date in accordance with any subsequent national resolution of the issue of cost reimbursement.

Each undersigned representative of a Party certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of the Agreement and to legally bind such Party to this Agreement.

IT IS SO AGREED:

4.1990

中海 一路 一部語言語

ne E. Schafer

Assistant Secretary (Installations and Environment) United States Department of the Navy

Daniel W. McGovern Regional Administrator United States Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9

lam

John J. Kearns Acting Deputy Director Toxic Substances Control Program California Department of Health Services

Steven R. Ritchie Executive Officer California Regional Water Quality Control Board San Francisco Bay Region

· 9D

AUG 2 7 1990

Date

90



LOCATION OF NAVAL AIR STATION, MOFFETT FIELD, CALIFORNIA

Potentially Contaminated Sites

. براهمه فالكر

100

ļ

. 14

- ;



	Site		Type of Waste
	SITE	1	Runway landfill
			Solvents, oils
	SITE	2	Golf course landfill
			Transformer oil (PCBs),
			solvents
	SITE	3	Marriage Road ditch
			Solvents, fuels, paints
	SITE	4	Former industrial wastewater
			surface impoundments
	<u></u>	-	Solvents, fuels, oils
	SITE	5	Fuel farm french drains
	<b></b>		Volatile organics
	SITE	6	Runway apron
		-	Solvents, oils, fuels, paints
	SITE	7	Unpaved areas surrounding Hangars 2 and 3
			Paints, oils, solvents, fuels
	SITE	8	Waste oil transfer area
			Transformer oil (PCBs),
			solvents
	SITE	9	Old fuel farm
			Paints, oils, solvents
	SITE	10	Chase park area (and runway)
			Oils, fuels, solvents
•	SITE	11	Engine test stand area
			Oils, metals
	SITE	12	Firefighting training area
			Fuels, solvents. firefighting
			agents
	SITE	13	Equipment parking area
			(B-142) Fuels, oils, solvents
	<b>CIT</b> E	14	
	SHE	14	Abandoned tanks (Nos. 19, 20 67, and 68)
			Tank contents unknown; tanks
			19 and 20 have already been
			removed
	SITE	15	Nine sumps and oil/water
			separators
			Oils, neutralized battery acid
	SITE	16	PW steam rack sump No. 60
			Petroleum hydrocarbons
	SITE	17	Paint shop sump No. 61
			Paints, solvents
	SITE	18	Dry cleaners sump No. 66
			Solvents
	SITE	19	Leaking tanks (Nos. 2, 14, 43.

AFENDE

£

SITE 19 Leaking tanks (Nos. 2, 14, 43, and 53) Fuels, solvents, oils, paint.

#### REFRICTION FILAS AND STATE TINNENS EATENDE

# Attachment 2 Management Plan Outline

The Management Plan is intended to be a flexible document and the Parties recognize that changes may be necessary after finalization of the Plan. At a minimum, the Management Plan should generally address the following tasks.

I. RI/FS Tasks in the Management Plan

Steve - 2000 €

4

# **1.0 MANAGEMENT PLAN OBJECTIVES**

- Confirm, characterize and define the lateral and vertical extent of chemicals of concern at each site known or suspected to be a source of contaminant release,
- Supplement and refine the existing geologic, geochemical, hydrogeologic and chemical data base for the study sites,
- Evaluate the chemical migration pathways, site geohydrology, and specifics of groundwater movement that influence the migration of site-related chemicals,
- o Evaluate potential risks and hazards to public health and the environment,
- o Identify Federal and state applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements,
- o Address RCRA action, if applicable,
- Identify and evaluate remedial alternatives in accordance with EPA RL/FS guidance,
   Identify PRP's and coordinate remedial alternative selection,
- Modify the Management Plan based on new information received during the course of the investigation.
- 2.0 CERCLA RESPONSE STRATEGY

Navy Cleanup Strategy

-CERCLA Process

-Installation Restoration (IR) Program, including the coordination which must take place between NAS Moffett Field (NASMF), Western Division Naval Facilities Engineering Command (WESTDIV) and the consultant/contractor -UST Program

-WESTDIV's Responsibility

-NASMF's Reponsibility

-Response to Federal and State Concerns

NASMF Cleanup Strategy

Ô

- -Background and Physical Setting
  - =Site Description

=Site History

-Results of Previous Investigations

-RI/FS Status

-Phasing of the RI (i.e., I, II, III, etc.)

-Removal Action(s)

-Operable Units (OUs)

=Identification of Groundwater OUs

1

-New Site Discovery

-Off-Site Concerns (e.g. MEW Study Area)

-Role of Federal and State Agencies

- =EPA
  - =DHS

=RWQCB

≔Other

#### REMEDIAL INVESTIGATION 3.0

Ô Management

-Agency Coordination

In addition to those federal and state agencies identified as signatories to the Agreement, the Navy also coordinates with the following agencies on the cleanup at NASMF:

State Water Resources Control Board Santa Clara Valley Water District

VERIMEN

Santa Clara County Health Deapartment City of Mountain View

City of Sunnyvale

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

California Department of Fish and Game

San Francisco Bay National Wildlife Refuge

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

California Waste Management Board

Middlefield-Ellis-Whisman (MEW) Study Area

-Sample Evaluation/Validation

In accordance with EPA guidelines and specifications

=Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) of Data

=QA/QC Standard Operating Procedures (SOP)

=Specific QA/QC Procedures

=Data Validation Package

=Interference Check Sample Analysis

=Laboratory Audits

-Data Evaluation

-Risk Assessment

-Reporting

٥

٥

=Monthly Progress Reports

=Quarterly Reports

-Administrative Record

In accordance with CERCLA Section 113(k) and EPA guidelines

=Listing of Administrative Record

=Location(s) of Repository

The Navy shall provide the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Regisrty (ATSDR) with all necessary environmental investigation results, including that of the Remedial Investigation. The ATSDR will conduct a Health Assessment for NASMF.

The Navy provided the ATSDR with all investigative data through April 1988 for the purposes of completing a draft Health Assessment on NASMF by December 1988.

Applicable or Relevant and Appropriate Requirements (Federal and State ARARs) ARARs can only be identified on a site specific basis. ARARs are to be identified at the following points in the remedial planning process:

=During scoping of the RI/FS

=During the site characterization phase

=During development of remedial alternatives in Operable Unit (OU)

Feasibility Studies and the FS

=During screening of alternatives

=During detailed analysis of alternatives

=When alternative(s) is(are) selected

Identify Ambient of Chemical-specific ARARs Identify Performance, Design or Action-specific ARARs Identify Location-specific ARARs

Plans

11

金属からなるの いまだんまちからか いきちんか ひとう

#### -Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)

The QAPP shall be prepared pursuant to Section 17 of this Agreement, and in accordance with EPA document QAMS-005/80 and other applicable guidance furnished by EPA.

=Title Page with Provisions for Approval Signatures

=Table of Contents

Project Description

Project Organization and Responsibility

Quality Assurance Objectives for Measurement of Data in terms of Precision, Accuracy, Completeness, Representativeness and Comparability

Sampling Procedures

Sample Chain of Custody Procedures

Field Sampling Operation

Lab Operation

Calibration Procedures & Frequency for Field and Lab Equipment Analytical Procedures

Data Reduction, Validation and Reporting

Internal Quality Control Checks and Frequency

Performance and System Audits

Internal Audits

External Audits

Preventative Maintenance

Schedule of Equipment, Maintenance, Internal and Critical Spare Parts

Specific Routine Procedures Used to Assess Data Precision, Accuracy and Completeness

Corrective Action

Quality Assurance Report to Management

-Sampling Plans

According to EPA guidance and in accordance with the Sampling and Chemical Analysis Quality Assurance Requirements for the Navy

Installation Restoration Program, NEESA 20.2-047B.

=Objective of Sampling Effort

=Site Background

=Maps of all Pertinent Locations and Sampling Points

=Rationale for Sampling Locations and Numbers of Samples

=Request for Analysis

=Field Methods and Procedures

=Site Safety Plan

-Data Management

Description of the storage and retrieval system used for data/information gathered during the RI/FS investigation.

=Data Management System

Hardware

Software

- Quality Control
- Data Security

=Data Processing Procedures

Field Collection Procedures

=Numbering Methodology

Site Identification Number

Sample Type and Identification Number

• Other Codes

QA/QC Sample Identification Code

-Health and Safety Plan

All activities shall be conducted pursusant to Section 12 of the Agreement. =Facility Background

=Key Personnel and Responsibilities

=Job Hazard Analysis

=Risk Assessment Summary

=Air Monitoring Plan

=Personal Protective Equipment

=Work Zones and Security Measures

=Decontamination Procedures

=General Safe Work Practices

=Emergency Response Plans

=Training Requirements

=Medical Surveillance Program

=Documentation

=Regulatory Requirements

-Community Relations

Shall provide the Community with information, and provide for citizen input and involvement on the cleanup.

=Community Interviews

=Community Relations Plan (CRP)

=Information Repositories and Administrative Records

=Proposed Plan and RI/FS Completion

=Public Comment Period and Opportunity for Public Meeting on the

Proposed Plan, Administrative Order on Consent, Consent Decree, and Responsiveness Summary

=Explanation of Differences

=Public Notice on Selection of Remedy

=Revision of the Community Relations Plan, if necessary, for Remedial Design/Remedial Action (RD/RA)

=Fact Sheet and Notification on Engineering Design

=Technical Review Committee (TRC)

## 4.0 RI TASKING

11

- Billie - Berner of Billie - Sine - I - States

The details on carrying out the tasks specified below will be further described in the Management Plan.

Location, Description and Background

-Environmental Setting

Topography

-Climatology

-Biotic Environment

-Geology and Physiography

-Hydrology

-Contaminant Sources

=On NASMF Property
Site 1 - Runway Landfill

Site 2 - Golf Course Landfill

Site 3 - Marriage Road Ditch

Site 4 - Wastewater Holding Ponds

Site 5 - Fuel Farm French Drains

Site 6 - Runway Apron

Site 7 - Hangars 2 and 3

Site 8 - Waste Oil Transfer Area

Site 9 - Old Fuel Farm

Site 10 - Chase Park Area and Runway

Site 11 - Engine Test Stand Area

Site 12 - Fire Fighting Training Area

Site 13 - Equipment Parking Area

Site 14 - Abandoned Tanks Nos. 19, 20, 67 & 68

Site 15 - Sumps and Oil/Water Separators Nos. 25, 42, 54, 58, 59, 62, 63, 64 & 65

Site 16 - Public Works Steam Rack and Sump No. 60

Site 17 - Paint Shop Sump No. 61

Site 18 - Dry Cleaners Sump No. 66

Site 19 - Leaking Tanks Nos. 2, 14, 43 & 53

=Off NASMF Property

-Previous Investigations

2012

ž,

ł

-Other Current Investigations

=Underground Storage Tanks (UST)

=Wastewater Flux Ponds

=Potential Conduits

=Water Quality SWAT

=Air SWAT

-Project Planning

-Community Relations

-Field Investigations

-Sample Analysis/Validation

-Data Validation

-Risk Assessment

• RCRA/CERCLA Integration

NASMF is not a RCRA site. However, should it ever become one, NASMF shall abide by the Agreement under Section 8, Statutory Compliance/RCRA-CERCLA Integration.

o Supplemental Survey(s) and Investigation(s)

The Navy may need to perform additional tasks in order to accomplish the RI/FS objectives. Such tasks may include additional field work and studies to provide information on newly discovered contaminants, pathways of concern, and bench scale tests of possible remedial technologies.

o Community Relations Support

This task includes, but may not be limited to:

=Revisions and Additions to the CRP

=Analysis of Community Attitudes Toward Proposed Action(s)

=Preparation and Dissemination of Information

=Establishment of a Community Information Center

=Arrangement for Briefings, Press Conferences

=Technical Review Committee (TRC)

Sampling and Data/Document Availability

REPRODUCED AT GUVERIMENT EAPENDE

Quarterly data reports shall be submitted to the EPA, DHS and RWQCB pursuant to Section 16 of this Agreement

Final Remedial Investigation Report(s)

The RI report shall include results from Task 2.0 through the Supplemental Survey(s) and Investigation(s) section of Task 4.0 of this Attachment, interpretations of such results (including any graphical presentations), and correlations of such results. The RI report shall be consistent with CERCLA, the NCP, EPA Guidance on Conducting RI/FSs Under CERCLA, Interim Final, October 1988, and any subsequent revisions thereof, and other applicable EPA guidance.

### 5.0 FEASIBILITY STUDY

The objective of the Feasibility Study (FS) is to develop a range of remedial options that will be considered. The FS process will be in accordance with the NCP and current EPA guidance. The following tasks shall be included, but are not limited to:

o Description of the Current Situation

-The Navy shall summarize the current situation based on previous investigative work, Task 2.0, and new data and information obtained through Task 4.0 of this Attachment.

-Identify actual and potential exposure pathways that should be addressed in selecting remedial action alternatives.

o Baseline Risk Assessment

The Baseline Risk Assessment involves an ecological study and the following five steps which cover a range of complexity, quantification, and levels of effort. STEP 1: SELECTION OF INDICATOR CHEMICALS

=Develop Initial List of Indicator Chemicals

=Select Final Indicator Chemicals

STEP 2: ESTIMATION OF EXPOSURE POINT CONCENTRATION OF

INDICATOR CHEMICALS

=Identify Exposure Pathway

=Estimate Exposure Point Concentrations

=Compare to Requirements, Standards and Criteria

STEP 3: ESTIMATION OF CHEMICAL INTAKES

=Calculate Air Intakes

=Calculate Groundwater Intakes

=Calculate Surface Water Intakes

=Calculate Intakes from Other Exposure Pathways

=Combine Pathway-Specific Intakes to Yield Total Oral and Total Inhalation Intakes

STEP 4: TOXICITY ASSESSMENT

STEP 5: RISK CHARACTERIZATION

=Noncarcinogenic Effects

=Potential Carcinogenic Effects

=Uncertainties

Q

Development of Performance Goals and Analysis of Risks for each Remedial Alternative Perform this sub-task for each remedial action alternative at the alternative evaluation stage.

=Re-evaluate Indicator Chemicals

=Identify Potential Exposure Pathways

=Determine Target Concentrations at Human Exposure Points =Estimate Target Release Rates GUNERISMEN : LAPENDE

=Assess Chronic Risk for Noncarcinogens

=Assess Potential Short-term Health Effects of each Remedial Alternative **Development** of Alternatives

0

0

Alternatives should be developed concurrently with the RI site characterization. with the results of one influencing the other in an iterative fashion.

=Establishment of Remedial Response Objectives based on the Baseline Risk Assessment and ARARs identification. Remedial Response Objective should be developed to specify contaminants and media of interest, exposure pathways, and remediation goals that permit a range of treatment and containment alternatives to be developed.

=Identifying volumes and areas of media to which treatment or containment action may be applied

=Developing response actions for each medium

=Identifying potential treatment technologies

=Assembling technologies into alternatives

=Detailed analysis of alternatives.

=Community relations during development of alternatives

=Reporting and communication during development of alternatives

0 New Technology as an Alternative

Initial Screening of Alternatives 0

In accordance with EPA guidance

-Community Relations During Screening of Alternatives

-Evaluate Process Options Based on:

=Effectiveness

=Implementability

=Cost

-Reporting and Communication During Screening of Alternatives

Post-Screening Investigations

-Determination of Data Requirements

-Treatability Testing

-Bench vs Pilot Testing

-Treatability Test Work Plan

-Application of Results

-Community Relations During the Post-Screening Investigation

-Reporting and Communication During the Post-Screening Investigation

Detailed Analysis of Alternatives 0

> Detailed analysis is used to assess each alternative against evaluation criteria. The detailed analysis consists of analysis and presentation of relevant information, including treatability studies, needed to select a remedy for the site. Tasks include, but are not limited to:

=Individual Analysis of Alternatives against Evaluation Criteria

=Evaluation Criteria for Detailed Analysis of Alternatives

Short-term Effectiveness

Long-term Effectiveness

Reduction of Toxicity, Mobility of Volume

Implementability

Cost

Compliance with ARARs

Overall Protection of Human Health and the Environment State Acceptance

Community Acceptance

=Post-RI/FS Selection of the Preferred Alternative

=Community Relations During Detailed Analysis

=Reporting and Communication During Detailed Analysis Final Feasibility Study Report(s)

The report shall include the results from Task 5.0 of this Attachment with specific criteria listed in the Management Plan. The FS shall be consistent with CERCLA, the NCP, EPA Guidance on Conducting RI/FSs Under CERCLA, Interim Final, October 1988, any subsequent revision thereof, and other applicable EPA guidance.

#### II. Development of Proposed Plan for Remedial Action

The Prosed Plan shall recommend remedial alternatives and shall be consistent with CERCLA, the NCP, and other applicable EPA guidance.

#### III. Formal Public Review and Comment

The Navy shall provide the public the opportunity of a formal review and comment on the Final Remedial Action Proposed Plan(s), and the underlying FS report, in a manner consistent with Section 29, Public Participation of this Agreement.

#### IV. Prepare Record of Decision

0

いるまう

1

The Navy shall prepare a Record of Decision (ROD) in a manner consistent with CERCLA, the NCP, Guidance on Preparing Superfund Decision Documents: The Proposed Plan and Record of Decision, EPA, Draft, March 1988, any subsequent revisions thereof, and other applicableEPA guidance. The Navy shall document the final remedy(ies) selected for the site. The ROD shall be based on the material contained within the Administrative Record. The ROD shall include a Responsiveness Summary, prepared after the public comment period. The Responsiveness Summary shall address public comments, concerns, criticisms, or new data raised during the Formal Public Comment Period on the Remedial Action Proposed Plan(s), including those that may lead to significant changes from the proposal(s) contained in the Proposed Remedial Action Plan. The Responsiveness Summary shall be prepared in a manner consistent with CERCLA, other parts of this Agreement, the EPA Community Relations in Superfund Guidance, Draft, March 1988, any revisions thereof, and other applicable EPA guidance. The ROD shall also include a schedule for remedial design.

V. Remedial Design

The Navy shall prepare a Remedial Design which provides detailed engineering design and specifications which allow other Parties to review and ensure the selected remedy(ies) is(are) fully incorporated by the Navy in the Remedial Design.

#### VI. Remedial Action Operations Plan

The Navy shall document standard procedures in the Remedial Action Operations Plan for conducting remedial action operations and long-term operations and maintenance.

and the second second

.: ×. Attachment 3 Timetables and Deadlines (The deadlines in this Attachment 3 are enforceable and although Target Dates are only for the purpose of projecting an overall schedule and are not enforceable, all Parties will endeavor to complete all tasks as quickly as practical.)

The Navy agrees to conduct an RI/FS pursuant to Section 7 of the Agreement and Attachment 2, and meet the following deadlines:

Primary Documents and Activities [1] Draft Work Plan (I & II) [3]	Deadlines Submitted 15 December 1987	Target Dates [2]
Draft Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) (I & II)	Submitted 15 December 1987	
Draft Quality Assurance Project Plan (QAPP)	Submitted 15 December 1987	<b>6</b> /500
Final QAPP	Submitted 30 March 1988	
Final SAP (I & II)	Submitted 21 April 1988	
Final Work Plan (I & II)	Submitted 9 June 1988	
Draft Community Relations Plan (CRP)	Submitted 2 November 1988	•
Final CRP	Submitted 13 June 1989	
Draft Management Plan	Submitted 1 October 1989	
Final Management Plan	Per Consultation Section [4]	1 July 1990
Begin Field Work for Known Abandoned Wells	1 June 1990	
Final Known Abandoned Well Physically Closed	1 October 1990	
Draft Known Abandoned Wells Closure Report[5]	150 days after closure of the last well	1 March 1991
Final Known Abandoned Wells Closure Report	Per Consultation Section	1 August 1991
Begin Field Work for Suspected Abandoned Wells	90 days following contract award	1 October 1990
Final Suspected Abandoned Well Physically Closed	11 months following contract award	* 1 September 1991
Draft Suspected Abandoned Wells Closure Report	150 days after closure of the last well	1 February 1992

	· · · · ·	·
Final Suspected Abandoned Wells Closure Report	Per Consultation Section	1 July 1992
Draft RI Report (I & II) [6]	1 July 1991[9]	<b>~</b> ****
Draft Initial Screening of Remedial Alternatives (I & II)	Submission concurrent with Draft RI Report (I & II)	1 July 1991
Final Initial Screening of Remedial Alternatives (I & II)	Per Consultation Section	1 December 1991
Final RI Report (I & II)	Per Consultation Section	1 December 1991
Draft FS Report (I & II)	1 June 1992[9]	*****
Draft Proposed Plan (I & II)	1 June 1992[9]	
Draft RD/RA Schedule	1 June 1992[9]	
Final Proposed Plan (I & II) (for public comment)	Per Consultation Section (the Navy shall publish a public notice and brief analysis of the Proposed Plan (I & II) within 15 days after the Proposed Plan (I & II) becomes a final document)	1 November 1992
Final FS Report (1 & 11)	Per Consultation Section (a revised FS may be required as a result of public comment on the Proposed Plan (I & II))	1 November 199
Draft Record of Decision (ROD) (I & II) (includes a responsiveness summary and schedule for remedial design)	10 April 1993[9]	<b>****</b>
Final ROD (I & II)[7] (with Navy signature)	Per Consultation Section	10 September 199
Draft SAP (III) [8]	1 April 1992[9]	****
Final SAP (III)	Per Consultation Section	1 September 1995
Draft RI Report (III)	1 November 1994 ]9]	*****
Draft Initial Screening of Remedial Alternatives (III)	Submission concurrent with Draft RI Report (III)	1 November 199
Final Initial Screening of Remedial Alternatives (III)	Per Consultation Section	<sup>^</sup> 1 April 1995
Final RI Report (III)	Per Consultation Section	1 April 1995

:

,

.

ż

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

-

Draft FS Report (III)

and the second se

Draft Proposed Plan (III)

Draft RD/RA Schedule

Final Proposed Plan (III) (for public comment)

Final FS Report (III)

Draft ROD (III) (includes a responsiveness summary and schedule for remedial design)

Final ROD (III) (with Navy signature) 1 October 1995[9]

1 October 1995[9]

1 October 1995[9]

Per Consultation Section (the Navy shall publish a public notice and brief analysis of the Proposed Plan (I & II) within 15 days after the Proposed Plan (I & II) becomes a final document)

Per Consultation Section (a revised Final FS may be required as a result of public comment on the Proposed Plan (III))

10 August 1996[9]

Per Consultation Section

Secondary Documents (Interim Deliverables) Research Report - Potential Conduits Investigation (Vertical)

Water Quality SWAT Proposal

Health and Safety Plan

Removal Action Plan for Tanks 2, 14, 43, 53, 67, 68, and Sump 66

Active Wells Report (Potential Conduits Investigation - Vertical)

Water Quality SWAT Report

Suspected Wells Investigation Report

Plan for Evaluation and Closure of Abandoned Wells

Draft Phase I Characterization Report

Final Phase I Characterization Report (including Response Summary)

Removal Action Documents (only if generated)

Detailed Analysis of Alternatives (only if generated as a separate document)

1 March 1996

1 March 1996

10 January 1997

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

Target Dates Submitted 13 January 1988

Submitted 6 April 1988

Submitted 5 May 1988

Submitted 17 August 1988

Submitted 23 November 1988

Submitted 30 March 1989

Submitted 23 May 1989

Submitted 7 August 1989

1 August 1990.

1 December 1990 (60 days following reciept of last agency's comments)

To Be Determined

To Be Determined

Post-Screening Investigative Work Plan (only if generated)

Treatability Studies (only if generated)

Other Reports [10] Monthly Progress Reports

Quarterly Reports

÷.,

#### To Be Determined

To Be Determined

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

Timetables 15th day of each month

45 days after the end of the calendar quarter

[1] Draft Final Primary Documents are subject to Dispute Resolution procedures. Primary Documents submitted prior to this Agreement are considered Final Primary Documents.

[2] Target Dates are estimated only for the purpose of projecting an overall schedule and are not enforceable. Actual dates of finalization of documents may vary depending on actual document review times of EPA, DHS and RWQCB, actual response times of the Navy, and/or whether or not dispute resolution is invoked during finalization of a primary document. See discussion under footnote [4] for consultation clause period estimate. Estimated dates will be revised periodically, as necessary, and will be available to the public.

[3] The RI/FS process has been phased into two parts. Phase I consists of defining the nature and extent of contamination (i.e., waste types, concentrations, distributions). The results of Phase I are evaluated and used to define a more focused scope for the Phase II RI. Work for both phases is described in each document indicated by the parenthetical I & II.

[4] See Section 9, Consultation with EPA, DHS and RWQCB, of the Agreement for discussion of review time periods, response time periods, and consultation procedures.

[5] Closure Reports document activities and findings following well closure field activities.

[6] Parties recognize that the RI Report (I & II) may recommend a feasibility study for identified Operable Units (OUs) to address groundwater contamination.

[7] Parties anticipate the primary focus of this document to be groundwater.

[8] Implementation of Phase III is contingent upon the results contained in the RI report for Phase I and II. If it is determined that further investigative work is required, Phase III tasks will be initiated.

[9] Parties recognize that this date may be extended persuant to Section 27.

[10] These reports are discussed in Section 13, Monthly Progress Report, and Section 16, Sampling and Data/Document Availability, of the Agreement and will be further addressed in the Management Plan. Pursuant to Section 13 of the Agreement, the monthly progress report may be changed to a quarterly progress report upon agreement by the Project Managers.

## Attachment 4 Navy Actions in MEW<sup>[1]</sup> Study Area

(The deadlines in this Attachment 4 are enforceable and although Target Dates are only for the purpose of projecting an overall schedule and are not enforceable, all Parties will endeavor to complete all tasks as quickly as practical.)

Action	Deadline	Target Dates <sup>[2]</sup>
TANK & SUMP REMOVALS [3]	· .	
Field work for Removals at Site 19 (Tanks 2,14, 43, 53); Site 14 (Tank 67);Site 18 (Sump 66)[4]	Initiated 7 May 1990	
EE/CA for Additional Removals & Monitoring Well Installations at Site 9 (Tanks 47, 48, 49, 50 <sup>[5]</sup> , 56A-D); Site 10 (Tanks 51, 52); Site 16 (Sump 60); Site 17 (Sump 61) <sup>[6]</sup>	1 August 1990 (Submit EE/CA[7] to agencies and public for 30 day review and comment [8])	
Action Memorandum for Additional Removals and Monitoring Well Installation at Site 9, Site 10, Site 16 & Site 17	Submit Action Memorandum 30 days after the end of the public comment period and agency review	<b>1 October 1990</b>
Additional Removals and Monitoring Well Installation at Site 9, Site 10, Site 16 & Site 17	Initiate field work 60 days after receipt of comments from both the agencies and the public	1 November 1990
Summary Report for Tank and Sump Removals <sup>[9]</sup>	6 months after initiation of field work for additional tank/sump removal or 30 days after the last tank/sump is removed, whichever is sooner	1 May 1991

#### [1] Middlefield, Ellis and Whisman.

૾ૺૼૺૼૼૼ૾૾૱

4

14

[2] Estimated dates are calculated only for the purpose of projecting an overall schedule and are not enforceable. Actual dates of finalization of documents may vary depending on actual document review times of EPA, DHS, and RWQCB, and actual response times of the Navy. REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

[3] Documents associated with Tank and Sump Removals are considered Secondary Documents under this Agreement. The purpose of this task is to locate and remove leaking or abandoned underground storage tanks within the MEW Study Area and address possible source loading to groundw via soil.

[4] Existence of Tanks 47,48,49,& 50 have not as yet been confirmed.

[5] Removal Action Plan for Tanks 2, 14, 43, 53, 67, 68, and Sump 66 was submitted to the agencies on 17 August 1988 which satisfies the requirements of an Engineering Evaluation and Cost Analysis (EE/CA). Sufficient monitoring well coverage exists at these sites, however if additional wells are required based on new soil and groundwater analysis they will be installed under the subsequent removal contract.

[6] Monitoring wells shall be installed as necessary based upon soil and groundwater analysis following tank removal should sufficient coverage not already exist.

[7] Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis.

11

33

Č.,

[8] The EE/CA will be submitted to the signatories for review and comment concurrent with the public comment period required for non-time critical removals. Concurrent reviews will shorten the total review time thereby expediting the total schedule for removal of the tanks and sumps.

[9] The summary report will set out the findings developed in the course of implementing this action. Groundwater source control, if any, will be addressed in the Phase II Removals at Sites 8 &
9. Final cleanup measures will be determined in the Record of Decision for the Phase I & II RI/FS.

## Attachment 5

# Additional Navy Actions in MEW Study Area

.

ж. К

- 2

-:>

5

(The deadlines in this Attachment 5 are enforceable and although Target Dates are only for the purpose of projecting an overall schedule and are not enforceable, all Parties will endeavor to complete all tasks as quickly as practical.)

Action	Deadline	Target Dates <sup>[2]</sup>	
SITE INVESTIGATIONS FOR INFERRED SOURCES IS8 & IS9[1]			
Contract Award for Site Investigations at Inferred Sources IS8 & IS9	Awarded 7 March 1990	<b></b>	
Work Plans for Inferred Sources IS8 & IS9[2]	15 July 1990		
Site Investigation Report for Inferred Sources IS8 & IS9 <sup>[3]</sup>	90 days following completion of field work	1 March 1991	
PHASE I REMOVALS AT SITES 12 & SITE 14 (TANKS 19 & 20) <sup>[4]</sup>			
Draft Action Memorandum for Phase I Removal at Site 12 & Site 14 (Tanks 19 & 20)	1 July 1990[17]		
Final Action Memorandum for Phase I Removal at Site 12 & Site 14	Per Consultation Section[5]	1 September 1990	
35% Design Work Plan for Phase I Removal at Site 12 & Site 14[6]	Submit 35% Design 90 days following submission of Draft Action Memorandum	1 November 1990	
100% Design Work Plan for Phase I Removal at Site 12 & Site 14[7]	Submit 100% Design 120 days after receipt of comments from agencies on 35% Design)	1 March 1991	
Final Design Removal Work Plan for Phase I Removal at Site 12 & Site 14 <sup>[8]</sup>	Per Consultation Section. Final Design submitted 45 days after receipt of comments from agencies on 100% Design.	15 May 1991	
Construction Start for Phase I Removal at Site 12 & Site 14	60 days after final design approval[9]	15 July 1991	
Start-Up Date for Phase I Removal at Site 12 & Site 14	5 months after construction start date	15 December 1991	

REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

PHASE II REMOVALS AT SITES 8 & 9 [10]

A HALL

- 47

2

Phase II Removal Contract Award at Sites 8 & 9[11]	90 days after initiation of Phase II Groundwater Sampling	Complete
Draft Action Memorandum for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9[12]	1 March 1991[17]	
Final Action Memorandum for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9	Per Consultation Section	1 May 1991
35% Design Work Plan for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 &9[13]	Submit 35% Design 90 days following submission of Draft Action Memorandum	1 July 1991
100% Design Work Plan for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9[14]	Submit 100% Design 120 days after receipt of comments from agencies on 35% Design	1 December 1991
Final Design Removal Work Plan for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9[15]	Per Consultation Section Final design submitted 45 days after receipt of comments from agencies on 100% Design	15 February 1992
Construction Start for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9	60 days after final design approval[9]	15 April 1992

Start-Up Date<sup>[16]</sup> for 5 months after construction start date 15 September 1992 Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9

[1] Inferred Sources IS8 & IS9 are those sources identified in the MEW RI/FS for which groundwater data indicates contamination levels in excess of plume "background" levels, but for which no known source can be identified. IS 8 and IS 9 are not associated with sites 8 and 9 of the NAS Moffett Field RI/FS.

[2] The work plans for the site investigation are considered Secondary Documents under this agreement.

[3] The site investigation report shall be considered a Primary Document under this Agreement. Further work, if necessary, shall be addressed within the context of the on-going RI/FS at NAS Moffett Field.

[4] Tanks 19 and 20 have already been removed. Documents under Phase I Removals at Sites 12 & 14 are considered Primary Documents for the purposes of this attachment (except as noted otherwise). Review times have been agreed upon by the signatories to this Agreement as thirty (30) days for Draft Primary Documents. A Draft Final Primary Document becomes a Final

Primary Document 30 days after the receipt of a Draft Final Primary Document by the EPA, DHS and RWQCB, if Section 10, Resolution of Disputes, is not invoked.

And a state of the second state of the second

2.

.

. **1**. 1 . 1

. . . . .

Ż

 $\mathbb{V}_{n}$ 

2

[5] See Section 9, Consultation with EPA, DHS and RWQCB, of the Agreement for discussion of review time periods, response time periods, and consultation procedures. See footnote [4] above for agency review times.

[6] The 35% Design Work Plan for Phase I Removals at Sites 12 & 14 is a Secondary Document under this Agreement. Comments received on this plan will be addressed in the 100% Design Work Plan for Phase II Removals at Sites 12 & 14.

[7] The 100% Design Work Plan for Phase I Removals at Sites 12 & 14 is a Draft Primary Document. Comments received on the 35% and 100% will be addressed in the Final Design Work Plan for Phase I Removals at Sites 12 & 14.

[8] The Final Design Work Plan for Phase I Removals at Sites 12 & 14 is a Draft Final Primary Document. A Draft Final Primary Document becomes a Final Primary Document 30 days after the receipt of the Draft Final by EPA, DHS and RWQCB if Section 10, Resolution of Disputes, is not invoked.

[9] Initiation of specifications for the source control will begin following incorporation of 100% design comments.

[10] Documents under Phase II Removals at Sites 8 & 9 are considered Primary Documents for the purposes of this attachment (except as noted otherwise). Review times have been agreed upon by the signatories to this Agreement as thirty (30) days for Draft Primary Documents. A Draft Final Primary Document becomes a Final Primary Document 30 days after the receipt of a Draft Final Primary Document by the EPA, DHS and RWQCB, if Section 10, Resolution of Disputes, is not invoked. REPRODUCED AT GOVERNMENT EXPENSE

[11] Site 9 shall mean the area west of Hangar 1 at Moffett Field which lies directly over the MEW plume depicted in the July 1989 MEW Study Area Record of Decision. The tanks and sumps identified in the Tank and Sump Removal Action (2, 14, 43, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 56A-D, 60, 61, 66, 67) of this attachment are located within this Site 9 area. Any groundwater source control, if required, from the Tank and Sump Removal Action shall be addressed in this action.

[12] If after three rounds of Phase II sampling it can be determined that a Removal can be established, an Action Memorandum will be generated. However, if three rounds of sampling are insufficient, an additional round of sampling and analysis will be taken and a Letter of Notification shall be submitted as required to the Parties amending the Action Memorandum.

[13] The 35% Design Work Plan for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9 is a Secondary Document under this Agreement. Comments received on this plan will be addressed in the 100% Design Work Plan for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9.

[14] The 100% Design Work Plan for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9 is a Draft Primary Document. Comments received on the 35% and 100% will be addressed in the Final Design Work Plan for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9. [15] The Final Design Work Plan for Phase II Removal at Sites 8 & 9 is a Draft Final Primary Document. A Draft Final Primary Document becomes a Final Primary Document 30 days after the receipt of the Draft Final by EPA, DHS and RWQCB if Section 10, Resolution of Disputes, is not invoked.

4

[16] Actual clean up operations begin.

[17] Parties recognize that this date may be extended pursuant to Section 27.