

September 25, 2024

V'Hesspa Glenn

1200 Pennsylvania Ave N.W.

Washington D.C. 20460

RE: Retaliation/ Amendment EPA # 01RNO-24-R1

Dear Ms. Glenn,

I'm looking to amend my complaint # 01RNO-24-R1 to include retaliation for exposing the truth about the flooding that is occurring in the North end of Hartford which is primarily African American and most live below the poverty level. I attended a meeting on the discussion of the flooding in Hartford and listed as climate change. This was the furthest from the truth base on my experience and knowledge as a former employee of the City of Hartford (Force to retire) in charge of the flood control division, and a contractor with the Metropolitan District Commission (MDC) I filed a complaint with the Environmental Protection Agency after several attempts to try and rectify the on going problems which was affecting my property which is outside of the Hartford boundary. The MDC received \$175 million dollars to repair the damage that was causing the flooding after receiving \$2.2 billion dollars under the Clear Water Project. The flooding was a deliberate attempt to force African Americans out of their properties and receive billions of dollars to fund a housing project that is on the the Connecticut River between Hartford and East Hartford.

When I was an employee of the City of Hartford, I blew the whistle on corruption that led to five supervisors going to jail, the suicide of two employees, resignation of the city manager and the removal of the entire city council. My position ended, and I successfully sued the city and won my position back. I received several promotions not because I was a good employee, but to get me back on probation to end my position without cause. When I oversaw the flood control division, several employees tried to flood out the city so I could be blamed for the destruction of property. When I want to get training to learn about the flood system, I was refused and had to spend my own money utilizing vacation time. For twelve years I lived a living hell, and every year my position was targeted for elimination. In 2001, I was told that the flood control division was being reassigned to another division. In 2003 I was told that if I did not take an early retirement my position was going to be

eliminated. I took the retirement and after several weeks was told I had to retire because the city made a mistake. The Director of Public Works [REDACTED] (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Enf. Privacy [REDACTED] who is now a commissioner with the MDC stated he hated me and was going to destroy me. I told the city of Hartford I would not return under the leadership of [REDACTED] (b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Enf. Privacy [REDACTED] I went into my own business and became a contractor with the MDC. I was hired to inspect homes that was damaged by flooding. I was ask to alter my reports so the MDC would not be held responsible and I refused. This caused me to end my relationship with the MDC. After years away from the City of Hartford, some of the people that lost their positions at the city was now in position to carry out the plan to transform the north end of the city. I came up with a proposal to end the flooding in the North end of Hartford and was to put it in writing which I did. I gave a copy of that proposal to the Department of Energy and Environmental Protection (DEEP). I asked for them to sign a nondisclosure agreement (NDA) and was told that the only way my information would be share is if I give written permission and consent. When I read a article in the Hartford Courant on July 21, 2024, I notice that my proposal was stolen, and the person I implicated for the flooding is now in a top position of DEEP. I feel this is a act of retaliation for exposing the flooding and a way to harm me financially while they receive millions of dollars in compensation for my plan. The city of Hartford was served with my complaint, with my name dedicated but can clearly identify which states that the complaint was from a former employee in charge of flood. I would like my complaint amended to include DEEP for retaliation since my information was released under their care.

Sincerely,

(b)(6) Privacy, (b)(7)(C) Enf. Privacy  
[REDACTED]