

FINAL IMPLEMENTATION GUIDANCE

2026

Contents

Purpose.....	2
Background.....	2
Eligibility.....	2
Technical Corrections	3
Cost Share Requirements	4
Waivers to Cost Share Requirements.....	6
Grants Administration: Community Grants Lifecycle	8
Regulations and Requirements	14
Regional Contacts	22
Appendix A: Statutory Language	23
Appendix B: List of EPA Congressionally Directed Spending Community Projects and Funding Levels.....	25
Appendix C: Technical Corrections-Procedural Information.....	81
Appendix D: Application Forms and Attachments	84
Appendix E: Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline.....	88
Appendix F: Grant Policies and Resources	91

Purpose

Recipients are strongly encouraged to read this guidance document in its entirety. It provides important information and guidelines on how the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will award and administer water infrastructure projects identified as Congressionally Directed Spending (CDS) and Community Project Funding (CPF) items in Appropriations Acts. For Fiscal Year (FY) 2026, the Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 119-74) includes \$1,608,126,899 in the State and Tribal Assistance Grants (STAG) account for 1,163 drinking water, wastewater, stormwater infrastructure, and water quality protection projects.¹ This information includes explaining statutory program requirements and agency grant policies and procedures.

Background

President Trump signed the FY 2026 Consolidated Appropriations Act (P.L. 119-74) into law on January 23, 2026. In this law, Congress continued the practice of funding specifically-named community infrastructure projects, referred to by the Senate as CDS items and in the House of Representatives as CPF items. [Appendix A](#) of this document provides the pertinent section(s) of the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026, also referred to as EPA's FY 2026 Appropriations Act. Water infrastructure CDS/CPF projects are further referred to as Community Grants projects in this document.

Applicable statutes, regulations, and Executive Orders take precedence in the event of a conflict with any of the information and material contained in this or prior guidance documents;² and recipients are expected to follow the terms and conditions in their grant awards as written.

Eligibility

Community Grants projects are statutorily designated for the planning, design, and construction³ of drinking water, wastewater, and stormwater infrastructure and for water

¹ In the FY 2026 Consolidated Appropriations Act, the EPA received a total of 1,180 CDS/CPF projects for \$1,634,768,899. Of this total, 1,163 projects are for water infrastructure CDS/CPF grants funded out of the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) and Drinking Water SRF (DWSRF) accounts; this guidance only pertains to these projects.

² With the exception of the statutory language reflected in prior Guidance documents, this Guidance replaces EPA's previous FY 2022, FY 2023, and FY 2024 Community Grants Program Final Implementation Guidance documents and applies to all subsequently funded Community Grants projects.

³ "The term 'construction' means any one or more of the following: preliminary planning to determine the feasibility of treatment works, engineering, architectural, legal, fiscal, or economic investigations or studies, surveys, designs, plans, working drawings, specifications, procedures, field testing of innovative or alternative waste water treatment processes and techniques meeting guidelines promulgated under section 1314(d)(3) of this title, or other necessary actions, erection, building, acquisition, alteration, remodeling, improvement, or extension of treatment works, or the inspection or supervision of any of the foregoing items." (33 U.S.C. § 1292(1)). Since the Safe Drinking Water Act, which applies to the DWSRF program, does not have a definition of "construction," the definition from the CWA is being used for this program with respect to project eligibility.

quality protection. Eligible Community Grants projects are included in Appropriations Acts. For FY 2026, eligible projects are referenced in the explanatory statement accompanying the FY 2026 Appropriations Act and reproduced in [Appendix A](#). [Appendix B](#) lists each project that is eligible for funding under the FY 2026 Appropriations Act and identifies the state, recipient name, purpose, and appropriated funding amount of each project. The EPA will use the Clean Water State Revolving Fund (CWSRF) and Drinking Water State Revolving Fund (DWSRF) framework to guide implementation of these Community Grants; the [CWSRF](#) and [DWSRF](#) eligibilities should be referred to for development of workplans, project scopes, costs, and subawards. The statutory definition of “construction” at 33 U.S.C. § 1292(1), the Clean Water Act, means any one or more of the following: preliminary planning to determine the feasibility of treatment works, engineering, architectural, legal, fiscal, or economic investigations or studies, surveys, designs, plans, working drawings, specifications, procedures, field testing of innovative or alternative waste water treatment processes and techniques meeting guidelines promulgated under section 1314(d)(3) of this title, or other necessary actions, erection, building, acquisition, alteration, remodeling, improvement, or extension of treatment works, or the inspection or supervision of any of the foregoing items. As the statutory definition of “construction” at 33 U.S.C. § 1292(1), the Clean Water Act, does not include debt repayment, funds appropriated for Community Grants projects may not be awarded to repay loans received from SRF programs or to repay other debts unless there are explicit instructions to do so in Appropriations Acts or accompanying explanatory statements and/or committee reports. These funds may not be used for operation and maintenance.

Technical Corrections

If a Community Grant recipient identified in an Appropriations Act needs to modify the project purpose and/or named recipient of the Community Grant, then a technical correction is needed. The Agency’s FY 2006 Appropriations Act (P.L. 109-54) included a permanent authority that allows the EPA to make technical corrections to Community Grants only after consultation with Congress, without the need for additional legislation. For example, if a recipient (*e.g.*, City of Salem) is named in the authorizing language but a different legal entity (*e.g.*, Salem Wastewater Utility) owns the infrastructure, the recipient can request a technical correction to change the recipient to be Salem Wastewater Utility. As another example, if the Appropriations Act provides for a specific type of project (*e.g.*, drinking water) when a different type of project (*e.g.*, wastewater) is needed, the recipient can request a technical correction to change the project purpose. Technical Corrections may not be used to modify the project purpose for ineligible activities.

Appropriate Types of Technical Corrections

A technical correction can be made for all, or part of a project identified in an Appropriations Act to change the recipient, the purpose, or both. The statutory language that provides the EPA with the authority to make technical corrections does not limit the extent to which a technical correction can alter the original project, as long as the new project provides for water quality protection or involves construction of drinking water, wastewater, or stormwater

infrastructure. Technical corrections cannot, however, be used to change the project purpose to debt repayment, because debt repayment does not meet the statutory terms of the authority. After consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations, the EPA will generally approve changes in purpose that meet the above criteria or changes in recipient where both the original entity and the new entity to be named concur with the change. Any technical correction request involving a change to both the purpose and the recipient entity must be accompanied by additional documentation explaining:

- The need or reason for the change;
- The relationship between the two entities;
- Who initiated the request; and
- The involvement of any third parties, if known.

Additional information on technical corrections is provided in [Appendix C](#).

Cost Share Requirements

Appropriations Acts require each Community Grant recipient to provide a cost share from non-federal sources unless the recipient is approved for a cost share waiver by the EPA. For FY 2026, the cost share amount is 20% of the total grant project cost. All contributions toward cost share should be included in the grant budget and must be categorized in the appropriate grant budget category (see [Appendix D](#) for more information on budget development). The source of the cost share must be included in the workplan and payment requests.

- Recipients may contribute the required 20% cost share amount incrementally, with each request for federal funds, or provide the required cost share amount prior to drawing down any of the 80% federal share.
- All grant funds, including cost share funds, can be used only for allowable costs in executing the project. All cost sharing funds must have supporting source documents (i.e., a record that supports a transaction).
- Services donated to recipients may be furnished by professional and technical personnel and consultants and used to meet the cost share requirement, in accordance with [2 CFR 200.434](#). Dollar values must be placed on all donated services in accordance with [2 CFR 200.306](#). All cost sharing funds must be included in the workplan and budget and be part of the grant's total project costs.
- All cost sharing funds must conform to the same laws, regulations, grant conditions, etc., as the federal funds within the grant; recipients may prefer to limit cost sharing to the amount required.

See [Appendix D](#) and [Appendix F](#) for information on general principles of cost allowability.

Sources of Cost Share

Eligible sources of “non-federal” funds to meet the cost share requirement are described below; recipients can use any or a combination of the following eligible sources if the requirements in [2 CFR 200.306](#) are met:

1) Public sources.⁴ The following public funding sources can be used to meet the cost share requirement:

- State appropriations;
- Local government match to the grant project;
- U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant funds;
- U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development funds;
- Appalachian Regional Commission funds;
- Delta Regional Authority grants; and,
- The CWSRF and DWSRF programs if those funds are:
 - non-federal funds such as loan repayments, interest earnings, bond proceeds, and fees, or
 - a state contribution to the SRF above the statutorily required 20% match.

Note: The EPA has issued a [class deviation document](#) pertaining to CWSRF and a [policy memo](#) pertaining to DWSRF that allow Community Grant recipients to use certain sources of funds from the two SRF programs as the non-federal cost share. The CWSRF class deviation and DWSRF policy documents allow SRF programs to use the non-federal and non-state match share of SRF funds to provide loans that Community Grant recipients can use as the cost share for community projects.

Funding made available to jurisdictions through the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (P. L. 117-2) (ARPA), including ARPA Revenue loss funds, cannot be used to meet the non-federal cost share requirement.

2) Private sources. These include funding from a business or nonprofit contributing to the project.

3) In-kind services. These may include the applicant’s administrative expenses for managing and overseeing the grant and projects, provided that the expenses are not being reimbursed by the federal share of the grant award. In-kind services contributed by other entities may also be allowable as cost share. Force accounts may be used as in-kind services: personnel costs include salaries, wages, and allowable incentive compensation for recipient employees (i.e., who receive W-2 forms) who spend time working on the project. In-kind (cost share) contributions must be verifiable and

⁴ Community Grant recipients can use federal funds from other programs as all, or part, of the cost share only if the statute authorizing those programs specifically allows the funds to be used as match for other federal grants. Additionally, other federal program funding must be allowed to support the planning, design and/or construction of drinking water, wastewater, or stormwater infrastructure projects, and/or water quality protection.

documented. For example, if the recipient does not intend to charge the EPA assistance agreement for all time employees spend working on the project, the applicant may include salaries or wages in the personnel category for cost share purposes.

Determining Cost Share Amount

For the purposes of calculating the cost share amount, the amount specified in the FY 2026 Appropriations Act for EPA’s contribution represents 80% of the total grant project cost. Grant applications are not required to reflect costs that exceed total grant project costs as calculated below; this is the minimum total grant project cost required to receive the full FY 2026 appropriation amount.

The following example demonstrates how to calculate the cost share amount using \$100,000 as the EPA contribution:

A. Identify the Total Grant Project Cost

Divide the EPA contribution by 0.80 to calculate the total grant project cost:

$$\$100,000 \div 0.80 = \$125,000.$$

\$125,000 is the total grant project cost

B. Multiply the Total Grant Project Cost by 0.20 to determine the cost share amount

Total grant project cost x 0.20 = required cost share amount

$$\$125,000 \times 0.20 = \$25,000$$

\$25,000 is the required 20% cost share amount

C. Confirm

Total grant project cost = EPA Contribution + Cost Share Amount.

$$\begin{array}{rcccc} \$125,000 & = & \$100,000 & + & \$25,000 \end{array}$$

Waivers to Cost Share Requirements

Consistent with provisions in the SRF and other infrastructure funding programs that provide relief for communities that have financial capacity challenges, the EPA supports waiving required non-federal cost share for projects located in, or that primarily serve and benefit, communities that face such challenges. The EPA is using the discretion provided by the FY 2026 Appropriations Act (see [Appendix A](#)) to consider waiving or reducing statutorily required non-federal cost share on Community Grant funds when requested and appropriate.

The EPA will consider the Cost Share Waiver Criteria A – E below, in defining which communities are eligible for a waiver for the purposes of the Community Grants Program. Projects identified in the FY 2026 Appropriations Act whose primary benefits are to serve communities that meet at least one of the criteria below may request a waiver of the non-

federal cost share requirement under the Community Grants Program. Systems that serve large service areas with a specific project that will primarily serve a subset of its service area that meets one of these criteria may also request a waiver.

Waivers to the cost share requirement must be approved by EPA Assistant Administrator for Water or Regional Administrators or authorized delegated officials, in accordance with EPA Delegation of Authority 1-102.⁵ Recipients requesting cost share waivers should submit a written request to the Regional EPA [Point of Contact](#) or Project Officer for consideration. Waiver requests should include applicable Cost Share Waiver Criteria and any related supporting documentation including source data retrieved from the websites noted below.

Many of the criteria can be found on the [Census Bureau's](#) website. Recipients can start by entering their community's name in the search bar and viewing the community's profile. Tables and graphics from the Census Bureau's website can be downloaded or embedded in a recipient's cost share waiver request. Recipients should use the most recent data that is collected as multiyear estimates for each of the criteria when available. Specific tables with more detailed information and other publicly available datasets beyond the community profile page for each metric are provided below.

Cost Share Waiver Criteria

A. Community Median Household Income (MHI) is less than 80% of State MHI

- MHI can be found by using the [community's profile page of the US Census Bureau – use the search function to find your community](#) and click the S1901 link in the Income and Poverty Section to find the MHI based on most recent annual Census American Community Survey (ACS) multiyear data.
- In the few cases where a local jurisdiction's MHI is not available, the surrounding county's MHI may be sufficient. The Census Bureau provides annual [5-Year Average Median Household Income data in Table B19013](#). Click on the B19013 Table, select GEOS and search under "most common geographies" select "State" and then select the relevant and enter community name in the search bar.

B. Communities with an Upper Limit of Lowest Quintile Income Less Than or Equal to the Current National Upper Limit of Lowest Quintile Income.

- The current national upper limit of Lowest Quintile Income can be found in tabular format on the [Census Bureau](#) website, by searching for "B19080 HOUSEHOLD INCOME QUINTILE UPPER LIMITS United States."

⁵ EPA's Delegation of Authority 1-102, Grants and Cooperative Agreements for Projects Identified as Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending or Other Congressionally Mandated Projects, authorizes EPA's Assistant Administrator for Water and Regional Administrators, "to approve and administer grants and cooperative agreements for projects identified as Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending Items or similar terms in the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's annual appropriations acts, explanatory statements and committee reports incorporated by reference into the annual appropriations acts...to the extent the award would be consistent with Executive Order 13457 Protecting American Taxpayers From Government Spending on Wasteful Earmarks (January 29, 2008), or a superseding executive order; and to perform other activities necessary for the effective administration of those grants and cooperative agreements." "

- Communities can view their Lowest Quintile Income in tabular format on the [Census Bureau](#) website by searching by community name and “B19080 HOUSEHOLD INCOME QUINTILE UPPER LIMITS.”

C. Communities with ≥ 30.9% Population Living Under 200% of Poverty Level

- Community information on the population living under the poverty level can be found on the [Census Bureau website](#); communities may search by entering the community's name and “S1701”.
- The US Department of Health and Human Services also provides [US Federal Poverty Guidelines](#), including a chart with percentage of poverty levels (i.e., 200%).

D. Communities with Poverty Rates Greater Than or Equal to 20%

- Percent of the poverty rate can be found on [a community’s profile page provided by](#) the Census Bureau. Communities should ensure the most recently available multiyear data is selected.
- More detailed information can be found in [Table S1701: Poverty Status in the Past 12 months](#).

E. Communities with ≥ 11.7% Population Receiving Food Stamps/SNAP Benefits

- Communities can find the percentage of their population receiving SNAP benefits on the [Census Bureau](#) website. Select “view state and local data” to search by state and then “View Results for a County of City/Town.”

Insular Territories Projects

The non-federal cost share requirement is waived for any Community Grants projects located in the U.S. territories. This is consistent with the Omnibus Territories Act of 1977, which authorizes Departments and Agencies to award grants to Insular Territories, such as the U.S. Virgin Islands, without a match requirement. Requests for waivers from the cost share requirement are not required for projects located within the U.S. territories.

Tribal Projects

The non-federal cost share requirement is waived for Community Grants projects for Tribal recipients. Requests for waivers from the cost share requirement are not required for Tribal recipients.

Grants Administration: Community Grants Lifecycle

The EPA Community Grant appropriations are funded out of the STAG account and are for grants to improve wastewater, stormwater, and drinking water infrastructure and water quality protection. [Appendix B](#) lists the 1,163 water CDS/CPF projects identified in the FY 2026 Appropriations Act. These 1,163 projects are collectively funded “off the top” of the SRF accounts at a level of \$892,762,272 from the FY 2026 general CWSRF appropriation and \$715,364,627 from the FY 2026 general DWSRF appropriation.

EPA Regional Offices will approve and administer Community Grants as authorized under EPA Delegation of Authority 1-102.⁵ Per EPA Delegation of Authority 1-14A,⁶ EPA Regional Administrators are authorized to award grants and cooperative agreements, including Community Grants, that were appropriated in FY 2026.

The following sections describe the lifecycle stages of each award. Additional information on grants policies and resources, including on receiving and managing EPA grants, is listed in [Appendix F](#).

Application Submission and Intent to Apply

Community Grants recipients identified in Appropriations Acts must apply to receive funding and follow all applicable federal requirements, to include applicable statutes, regulations, and Executive Orders, as described in this Guidance. EPA will award funds for Community Grants projects based upon receipt of recipient applications that adhere to applicable requirements.

Recipients identified in the FY 2026 and in prior Appropriations Acts must submit application packages or their intent to apply within 2 years of the issuance of this guidance in order to receive funding; recipients who do not submit an application package within this timeframe must notify the [EPA Regional Contact](#) in writing of their intent to apply for funds, including the planned application submission date and an explanation of any delays. Recipients who do not submit application packages or their intent to apply in writing within this timeframe will be considered “no-progress” and EPA will inform Congressional project sponsors as identified in Appropriations Acts, and/or House and Senate Committees on Appropriations.

Pre-Award Phase

While Congress directs Community Grant funds to specified recipients for defined projects, recipients are required to fulfill statutory and regulatory requirements **before** the EPA can award grant funding. These requirements include but are not limited to providing necessary information for completing the required environmental review, reviewing any pre-award costs, and submitting a complete grant application package. [Appendix D](#) and [Appendix E](#) provide information on grant application package content and submission.

1. Environmental Review

When required by the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq., federal agencies must consider the effects of their proposed actions, including programs, regulations, policies, and grant-funded specific projects, on the quality of the

⁶ EPA *Delegation of Authority 1-14A, Assistance Agreements*, authorizes Regional Administrators, the Assistant Administrator for Mission Support, and the Chief Financial Officer, “To take all necessary actions to award, obligate and de-obligate funds for, and administer fellowship, grant, cooperative and loan agreements (hereinafter financial assistance), and to make any final determinations required by law or regulations, with eligible recipients.”

human environment. Such environmental review satisfies certain requirements of NEPA and various federal environmental cross-cutting authorities, generally referred to as environmental crosscutters. EPA's NEPA implementing regulations at [40 CFR Part 6](#) provide the framework for EPA's environmental review process and require that the EPA complete the appropriate environmental review before awarding Community Grants for water infrastructure and water quality protection projects.

The requirement for a documented environmental review under EPA's NEPA implementing regulations generally do not apply to grant funds designated for planning activities, such as infrastructure assessments, watershed plans, and infrastructure capital improvement plans. Applicants should check with their [EPA Regional Contact](#) to determine if a documented environmental review is required and applies to a particular Community Grant. See the [Regulations and Requirements](#) section and [Appendix F](#) for additional information about the Environmental Review.

2. Pre-award Costs and Procurement Review

Costs incurred prior to grant awards may be eligible for reimbursement if the costs are in conformance with applicable federal and EPA statutes and regulations. Incurred costs are financial obligations: costs owed by an entity as a result of a transaction. The costs may have been paid or remain unpaid.

The regulations at [2 CFR 200.458](#) require that pre-award costs be incurred "...directly pursuant to the negotiation and in anticipation of the Federal award where such costs are necessary for efficient and timely performance of the scope of work. These costs are allowable only to the extent that they would have been allowable if incurred after the start date of the Federal award and only with the written approval of the Federal awarding agency. If approved, these costs must be charged to the initial budget period of the Federal award, unless otherwise specified by the Federal agency or pass-through entity." Additionally, [2 CFR 1500.9](#) further explains that "[a]ll costs incurred before EPA makes the award are at the recipient's risk. EPA is under no obligation to reimburse such costs if for any reason the recipient does not receive a Federal award or if the Federal award is less than anticipated and inadequate to cover such costs."

Pre-award costs for Community Grants projects must be incurred on or after the start of the fiscal year during which they were appropriated to be considered for eligibility. For Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2026 Appropriations Act, this means pre-award costs must be incurred on or after October 1, 2025, to be considered for eligibility. Notwithstanding, and consistent with [2 CFR 1500.9](#), all costs incurred before the EPA makes the award are at the recipient's risk. The EPA shall review the eligibility of such costs on a case-by-case basis prior to approving the project budget and awarding the grant.

A review of pre-award costs includes a review of solicitation documents and/or contracts executed prior to award for compliance with applicable procurement requirements as described in the [Regulations and Requirements](#) section below.

3. Application, Forms, Workplan, and Submitting an Application

Upon completion of the environmental review, as appropriate, the development of a project workplan⁷, and review of any pre-award costs (including any costs related procurement of services, supplies, and/or equipments), applicants should submit a complete grant application package to the EPA. The workplan and application must include any pre-award costs. Recipients must ensure that their organizations have registered with the federal government's [System for Award Management \(SAM\)](#). Recipients must have an active registration/record with [SAM.gov](#) and complete the [Grants.gov](#) registration process to apply for any federal funding.

The complete grant application includes several forms, as described in [Appendix D](#). These forms **must** be downloaded from the Community Grant opportunity package on Grants.gov. Generic versions of the standard forms not downloaded from the Grants.gov website will not be accepted. See [Appendix D](#) for instructions on how to navigate to the Funding Opportunity Package and download the standard forms. [Appendix F](#) includes information on budget development and allowability of costs. In addition to the required forms, grant applicants must submit a project workplan that describes the proposed project, the milestone schedule, the need for the project, and the anticipated environmental and public health benefits (outputs and outcomes). See Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline in [Appendix E](#) for more information.

⁷ The CWSRF and DWSRF appropriations are the vehicles being used to appropriate the CDS/CPF funds. However, the SRF authorities do not govern or authorize the CDS/CPF grants. The Consolidated Appropriations Act is structured so that the CDS/CPF funding is taken from the total amount in the SRF appropriations prior to the SRF allocation to the states. Accordingly, Program Results Codes (PRCs) have been assigned to each CDS/CPF project's funding based on the SRF account from which each project's funding was appropriated. However, the authority governing CDS/CPF projects is the FY 2026 Consolidated Appropriations Act, which states that, "...\$892,762,272 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds and \$715,364,627 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds shall be for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection..." and the explanatory statement accompanying the FY 2026 Consolidated Appropriations Act (Appendix A). **Therefore, CDS/CPF grant/workplan activities may entail construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure, and water quality protection related tasks**, irrespective of the EPA's assignment of PRC. Additionally, there is language in the explanatory statement that indicates the SRFs are not intended to be the authorities for the CDS/CPF funds. For example, the explanatory statement states: "Applicable Federal requirements that would apply to a Clean Water State Revolving Fund or Drinking Water State Revolving Fund project grant recipient shall apply to a recipient receiving a CDS/CPF grant under this section." That direction would be unnecessary if Congress was appropriating CDS/CPF funding under the SRF authorities.

Applicants must submit a complete application package (with all required forms, a workplan, and additional required documentation) for the EPA review and approval, through the Grants.gov portal. See additional information in [Appendix D](#).

4. New Recipient Training

If a recipient is new to receiving EPA grant funding, they need to complete the [EPA Grants Management Training for Applicants and Recipients](#) and the training on [budget development](#). The EPA defines a new applicant/recipient as an organization that has never received an EPA grant before, or if the organization last received an EPA grant prior to FY 2015 (i.e., prior to October 1, 2014). Organizations can check [USA Spending](#) to determine if they are a new applicant/recipient for this requirement by using these [instructions](#). Refer to [EPA's Grants Policy Resources](#) and [Appendix F](#) for additional information on and updates to this requirement.

Post-Award Phase

After receiving an award, the recipient is ready to start working on the activities outlined in the approved workplan. Adhering to various grant statutes and regulations and the terms and conditions outlined in the grant agreement are critical to ensuring a successful grant project.

- Recipients submit payment requests to the EPA for all incurred costs in accordance with grant terms and conditions. In some cases, pre-award costs may be included. Once the payment request is approved, the recipient can draw down the requested amount. The EPA requires that recipients of EPA financial assistance participate in the [Automated Standard Application for Payments \(ASAP\)](#) system. Consistent with [2 CFR 200.305\(b\)](#), requests for payment must include the minimum amounts needed for actual and immediate cash requirements of the recipient in carrying out the purpose of the approved project. Payment requests will include supporting documentation such as copies of bills (vouchers, invoices, etc.), certification of compliance with applicable requirements, along with a description of services rendered, time spent, and charges for the EPA review and approval for federal and cost share portions of grant funding. After review and approval, the EPA will pay the recipient for the federal share of the allowable costs shown on the payment request. Information on [ASAP](#) is available online.
- The EPA grants contain General, Administrative, and Programmatic terms and conditions, which include reporting requirements such as filing an interim (annual) Federal Financial Report (FFR), and progress report submission. [EPA's General Terms and Conditions](#) are applicable to all EPA grant awards, and additional Administrative and Programmatic terms and conditions for Community Grants awards will be specified in individual award agreements. Recipients should regularly review grant award terms and conditions throughout the life of the project to ensure that the organization remains in compliance with all requirements and must inform the EPA if problems arise that jeopardize the completion of the project.

The EPA Regional Offices perform construction monitoring and oversight. In accordance with [EPA Order 5700.7A1: EPA's Policy for Environmental Results under EPA Assistance Agreements](#), EPA program offices must review recipients' performance reports and document this assessment.

- Recipients' personnel payroll and records systems must be capable of providing reports on the activities of each employee who works directly on a grant. Charges to federal awards for salaries and wages must be based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. Activity reports are typically signed by the individual employee and/or by a responsible supervisory official having first-hand knowledge of the activities performed by an employee. The supervisor should be able to certify that the distribution of activity represents a reasonable estimate of the actual work performed by the employee during the periods covered by the reports. [2 CFR 200.430](#) provides additional information on Standards for Documentation of Personnel Expenses.
- If any changes to the grant agreement (e.g., workplan, milestone schedule, budget) become necessary for the project to succeed, recipients should contact the EPA Project Officer as soon as possible to discuss the revisions. In accordance with 2 CFR 200.308, many program and budget-related changes must have prior written EPA approval and may require a formal amendment to the assistance agreement. Changes to the grant agreement (e.g., workplan) may require the EPA to re-evaluate relevant environmental review determination(s), and recipients may be required to amend or provide supporting documentation, as necessary, to ensure the project remains compliant with NEPA and applicable environmental crosscutters.
- The EPA conducts administrative monitoring, including reviewing recipient invoices/payment requests and programmatic reports, and can request access to all records and conduct grant audits. The EPA can disallow costs and take enforcement actions if the recipient fails to remain in compliance.

Closeout Phase

Closeout refers to the process the EPA uses to determine that a recipient has completed all the required workplan activities under a grant and confirm that all applicable financial and administrative requirements as described in [2 CFR 200.344](#) have been met.

- Recipients must submit the final progress report according to the terms and conditions of the grant agreement and should demonstrate satisfactory completion of all workplan tasks and activities.
- Recipients prepare and submit several reports as part of the grant closeout process. [EPA's Frequently Asked Questions about Closeouts](#) provides information about closeout

requirements, procedures, records retention, and associated regulations. The EPA provides more information for recipients via the [online course on closing out grants](#).

Regulations and Requirements

Recipients are responsible for compliance with applicable statutes, regulations, and requirements including but not limited to [EPA's general grants policies and regulations](#).

In addition, each grant agreement will specify terms and conditions that establish a legally binding agreement between the EPA and the recipient including but not limited to [EPA's General Terms and Conditions](#).

Applicable statutes, regulations, and Executive Orders take precedence in the event of a conflict with any of the information and material contained in this or prior guidance documents; and recipients are expected to follow the terms and conditions in their grant awards as written.

Details and information related to several requirements that are of particular importance for recipient compliance prior to receiving grant awards are discussed below. Additional information and resources on these requirements, including recipient responsibilities for compliance, can be found in [Appendix F](#). The EPA will review documentation from recipients to assess eligibility of costs incurred in accordance with EPA's General Principles for Cost Allowability, as described in [EPA's General Budget Development Guidance](#).

Environmental Review

The NEPA and other relevant applicable environmental statutes and Executive Orders (referred to as environmental crosscutters), such as the Endangered Species Act (ESA), the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA), and others, may apply to Community Grants projects authorized by the Annual Appropriations Acts. EPA's environmental review process utilizes the NEPA statute, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq., and EPA's NEPA regulations found at [40 CFR Part 6](#) as a framework for completing its required environmental review. When required and in accordance with EPA's NEPA procedures, the EPA **must** complete the environmental review process before issuing Community Grants awards for water infrastructure and water quality protection projects.

EPA's environmental review complies with NEPA and the environmental crosscutters and is documented through issuance of one of the following determinations for the proposed project:

- Categorical Exclusion (CATEX): EPA's list of actions that may be categorically excluded from NEPA is available at 40 CFR 6.204(a).
- Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) under the Community Grant's Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA): The Community Grant's program analyzed the potential environmental impacts related to certain eligible drinking water, wastewater and

stormwater infrastructure projects, and water quality protection projects eligible under the Annual Appropriations Acts.

- FONSI under the Environmental Assessment: If the project does not fall under a CATEX or the Community Grant's PEA, the EPA may need to complete an independent Environmental Assessment (EA) and FONSI.
- Environmental Impact Statement (EIS): If the proposed project has a reasonably foreseeable significant environmental effect, then EPA shall comply with NEPA section 102(2)(C) and prepare an EIS.

The EPA and the prospective grant recipient will work collaboratively to complete the environmental review for the project, including evaluating the most appropriate level of environmental review and efforts needed for completion of the environmental crosscutters. Additional tools and resources for development of the environmental review can be found within [Appendix F](#).

The EPA grant funds cannot be used by grant recipients to prepare the environmental review document (e.g., environmental assessment or environmental impact statement) because these documents are for EPA's direct use in performing a Federal responsibility which cannot be delegated. However, the EPA may fund the recipient's development of an Environmental Evaluation and Checklist (EEC) in support of using the Community Grants Program Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA), an Environmental Information Document (EID) for development of an independent EA, and/or development of supporting information (e.g., compliance or other analysis for related cross cutting authorities or executive orders in order to provide supporting information). The EPA is responsible for independently evaluating the information submitted by the recipient and, to the extent the information is integrated into EPA's environmental review document, the EPA is responsible for its accuracy, scope, and contents. Grant recipients may not prepare the FONSI or Record of Decision (ROD). The EPA is required to comply with NEPA requirements, as well as fulfill other related environmental cross-cutting Federal requirements before approving or paying for design and/or construction.

When both the EPA and another Federal agency are funding the same project, the agencies may negotiate an agreement for one to be the lead agency for performing grant oversight and management activities pertaining to the environmental review (NEPA and other related cross-cutting Federal requirements). The lead agency may be the agency that provided the most funds for the project or the one that provided the initial funds for the project. NEPA section 107(a) provides the factors to determine the lead agency designation. 42 U.S.C. § 4336a(a). If an EIS is required on a joint or related Federal action, the EPA may serve as a co-lead or request to be a cooperating agency if it meets the conditions laid out in NEPA section 107(a). In addition, the EPA may adopt or rely upon another Federal agency's EIS or EA under NEPA section 106(b)(3) or CATEX under NEPA section 109. Note, EPA may adopt another Federal agency's EA and use it as a basis for its FONSI, provided EPA has independently reviewed the EA and agrees with the analysis.

Each federal agency has its own NEPA implementing procedures pertaining to the environmental review process. Recipients with projects that have undergone an environmental review by another federal agency may submit documents pertaining to another federal agency's analysis for the EPA to review. The EPA will independently review these documents to determine if the proposed actions are substantially the same and if it meets the standards of an adequate EIS, EA, or Categorical Exclusion (CATEX) determination. If so, the EPA may adopt or rely upon the federal EIS, EA, or CATEX determination, pursuant to NEPA section 106(b)(3) or 109, as well as 40 CFR 6.200(d)(3). If the EPA is unable to adopt the federal EIS, EA, or CATEX determination, the EPA will conduct its own environmental review and incorporate by reference any pertinent part of the other federal agency's environmental document. The EPA will request additional information from the recipient if necessary for the EPA to conduct its own environmental review. See [Appendix F](#) for additional information on CATEX and EID development.

Recipients with CWSRF or DWSRF co-funded projects for which a State Environmental Review Process (SERP) has been completed can submit the completed state analysis for EPA review. The EPA will review the SERP document and will incorporate by reference any pertinent part of that document into EPA's environmental document. The EPA will request additional information from the recipient if necessary for the EPA to conduct its own environmental analysis.

For design and construction projects for which another federal agency has not completed an Environmental Review and projects that CWSRF or DWSRF do not co-fund and/or have not undergone a SERP, recipients may request a CATEX determination from the EPA or may prepare and submit an EID in order to proceed with an environmental review.

Procurement

By statute, Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2026 or prior Appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance **as long as** the recipient has: (1) procured services or products (including supplies and equipment) through contracts entered into prior to January 23, 2026; and (2) complied with state and/or local laws governing competition. If you believe your Community Grant project qualifies for this flexibility, please reach out to your [EPA Regional Point of Contact](#) and provide a copy of the pertinent contract(s) and a statement affirming that your contract was entered into prior to January 23, 2026, and that you complied with state and/or local laws governing competition (including state and/or local laws/policies relating to participation by disadvantaged business enterprises as applicable). The terms and conditions of the grant agreement will require recipients to retain documentation demonstrating compliance with state and/or local laws governing competition in their own files.

For all other Community Grants projects, in general, all procurement transactions for professional engineering services and construction contractors must be conducted in a manner

that includes and promotes fair and open competition. Moreover, Community Grant recipients must follow their own procurement procedures, which must be documented and comply with State, local, or tribal laws and regulations as well as applicable statutory and regulatory procurement requirements, including the Brooks Act ([40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#)) or equivalent State qualifications-based procurement requirement if applicable, procurement standards in [2 CFR Part 200](#) (Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards) and [2 CFR Part 1500](#) (EPA's Supplement to 2 CFR Part 200), and [40 CFR Part 33](#) (EPA's Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBE) regulations). Note, EPA cannot waive statutory requirements such as the Brooks Act.

With the exception noted below for projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, State and Tribal recipients must follow the same policies and procedures they use for procurements from their non-Federal funds, if any procurement policies and procedures exist. Otherwise, the procurement standards in [2 CFR 200.318](#) through [2 CFR 200.327](#) apply. In addition, States and Tribes must also follow the requirements in 2 CFR [200.321](#) (small and disadvantaged businesses), [200.322](#) (domestic preferences), [200.323](#) (recycled materials), [200.327](#) (contract clauses contained in [Appendix II](#)), and EPA's Participation by DBE regulations.

[2 CFR 200.320](#) details specific methods of procurement to be followed for non-State and non-Tribal recipients and the circumstances under which each method can be used. Note, recipients who have projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in 40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq. (i.e., the Brooks Act), or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement as discussed in more detail below.

In accordance with [2 CFR 200.325](#), recipients must provide EPA with technical specifications on proposed procurements in excess of the simplified acquisition threshold when requested by an authorized representative of EPA, including when pre-award costs are being considered for eligibility. In addition, upon request by EPA's Grants Management Officer (GMO) or Award Official under [2 CFR 200.325](#) and/or [2 CFR 200.337](#), recipients must provide procurement documents to EPA for pre-procurement review when EPA is concerned that the recipient's procurement procedures or practices do not comply with federal procurement requirements.

Please note that unless you qualify for the statutory procurement flexibility discussed above **and** the noncompetitive procurement complies with state and/or local law, recipients may not award noncompetitive contracts to consulting, engineering, or other firms assisting applicants with the application solely based on the firm's role in preparing the application or based on an assertion that the individual or firm has "unique qualifications." Moreover, EPA does not accept justifications for noncompetitive contracts for services or products available in the commercial marketplace such as consulting, data analysis, or project management.

Selection of Architects and Engineers (projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities)

Unless the statutory procurement flexibility provided for in the FY 2026 Appropriations Act applies, projects consisting of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects

are co-funded with CWSRF funding, **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#), or an equivalent State requirement. Specifically, and consistent with the CWSRF statute ([33 U.S.C. 1382\(b\)\(14\)](#)), procurements/contracts for “***program management, construction management, feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, design, engineering, surveying, mapping, or architectural related services*** shall be negotiated in the same manner as a contract for architectural and engineering services is negotiated under chapter 11 of title 40 or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement (as determined by the Governor of the State).”

Where equivalent State requirements are complied with, the source of the requirement (e.g., existing State legislation or regulation, etc.) must be stated, and the Governor of the State must provide a certification to accompany the grant application that the State’s A/E procurement requirements are equivalent to [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#) In lieu of a certification from the Governor, the Attorney General’s certification submitted with each grant application may include the A/E certification.

Subawards

A subaward is a financial assistance transaction between an EPA grant recipient (i.e., pass-through entity) and an eligible subrecipient (or by a subrecipient to a lower-tiered subrecipient) to carry out part of a Federal award received by the pass-through entity.

As provided in [2 CFR 200.332\(d\)](#) and the terms of conditions of the EPA award, pass-through entities are responsible for monitoring subrecipient compliance with procurement requirements in 2 CFR Parts [200](#) and [1500](#). EPA’s GMO or authorized Award Official may also request that pass-through entities provide EPA with information regarding subrecipient compliance with these requirements. EPA requires pass-through entities to ensure that subrecipients only incur eligible and allowable costs and effectively perform the programmatic activities under their subawards.

EPA’s Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program

EPA’s https://www.epa.gov/system/files/documents/2022-10/DBE_Overview.pdf DBE requirements apply to all EPA Assistance Agreements, with the exception of procurements that meet the statutory procurement flexibility described above, and requires recipients who procure construction, equipment, services, and supplies to employ the six good faith efforts, document their efforts, and maintain DBE forms and other documentation from the prime contractor. EPA grant recipients and subrecipients are required to seek and encouraged to utilize DBEs for their procurement needs under grant agreements. Recipients and subrecipients must ensure that their contracts contain the following term and condition:

“The contractor shall not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, or sex in the performance of this contract. The contractor shall carry out applicable requirements of [40 CFR Part 33](#) in the award and administration of contracts awarded under EPA financial assistance agreements. Failure by the contractor to carry out these requirements is a material breach of this contract which may result in termination of this contract or other legally available remedies.”

[EPA’s DBE requirements](#) are contained within [40 CFR Part 33](#) as modified by various memorandums and exceptions issued over time.

Note, consistent with EPA’s DBE regulations, Tribal recipients are allowed to “solicit and recruit Indian organizations and Indian-owned economic enterprises and give them preference in the award process prior to undertaking the six good faith efforts.”

Davis-Bacon and Related Acts (DBRA)

The Davis-Bacon Act requires that all contractors and subcontractors performing construction, alteration, and repair (including painting and decorating) work under federal contracts in excess of \$2,000, pay their laborers and mechanics not less than the prevailing wage and fringe benefits for the geographic location. Davis-Bacon requirements may be extended to federal financial assistance programs by the terms of other statutes establishing or funding the programs—referred to as DBRA. The explanatory statement accompanying the FY 2026 Appropriations Act provides that those federal requirements that would apply to a CWSRF or DWSRF project grant recipient shall apply to a recipient receiving a Community Grant (see [Appendix A](#)). Consequently, the FY 2026 Appropriations Act extends DBRA provisions applicable to state revolving fund projects to the Community Grants program.

[Section 513 of the Clean Water Act](#) (CWA), which applies to the CWSRF program, applies DBRA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on projects for treatment works. Section 1452(a)(5) of the Safe Drinking Water Act, which applies to the DWSRF program, applies DBRA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on *any* construction project.

DBRA requirements apply to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors and subcontractors with job duties that are physical and manual in nature including: laborers and mechanics, watchmen or guards (under certain conditions), and working foremen (under certain conditions). The term laborer or mechanic does not include workers whose duties are primarily administrative, executive, or clerical, rather than manual. Requirements only apply to construction at the “site of the work,” which has generally been defined as the physical place where the construction occurs. Work conducted off-site is generally not covered.

EPA provides [information on requirements and compliance](#) with DBRA online, and the Department of Labor provides information on its website about updates to [DBRA regulations effective October 23, 2023](#) online. Note, if your Community Grant project triggers compliance with DBRA, you **must** incorporate the appropriate wage determination in the bid solicitation document(s) and contract specifications.

Build America, Buy America (BABA) Act

BABA states that: “[N]one of the funds made available for a Federal financial assistance program for infrastructure...may be obligated for a project unless all of the iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in the project are produced in the United States.” Project means any activity related to the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of infrastructure in the United States. This law applies to all Federal financial assistance as defined in section [2 CFR 200.1](#), whether funded through the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act (IIJA) or not. New awards made on or after May 14, 2022, must comply with BABA requirements.

EPA provides [information and guidance](#) on BABA compliance, implementation, and any applicable waivers. Note, recipients are required to ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with BABA requirements as applicable.

American Iron and Steel (AIS) Requirement

The AIS requirement requires recipients to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public water system or treatment works. Compliance with AIS requirements for iron and steel products will satisfy a subset of BABA requirements (e.g., those pertaining to iron and steel); however, BABA also includes requirements pertaining to manufactured goods and construction materials, which must also be met. Projects in compliance with BABA are also in compliance with AIS.

EPA provides [information and guidance](#) on AIS compliance and implementation, any applicable waivers, as well as a step-by-step process for requesting waivers and the circumstances under which waivers may be granted. Note, recipients are required to ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with AIS requirements as applicable.

NOTE: DBRA, BABA, and AIS remain applicable to all Community Grants projects, regardless of whether the project is eligible for the procurement flexibility as discussed above in the [Regulations and Requirements](#) section.

Federal Cross-cutting Requirements/Other Applicable Federal Laws

Recipients must comply with Federal cross-cutting requirements as well as other applicable Federal laws. These requirements may include but are not limited to –

- **Environmental Authorities:** The Endangered Species Act of 1973 (16 U.S.C. §§ 1531 et seq.); the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq.); the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 668-668c); the Marine Mammal Protection Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 1361-1407); the National Historic Preservation Act (NHPA) as amended (16 U.S.C. §§ 470 et seq.); the Archeological and Historic Preservation Act, as amended (16 U.S.C. §§ 469-469c); the Archaeological Resources Protection Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 470aa-mm); the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (25 U.S.C. §§ 3001 et seq.); the Clean Water Act (33 U.S.C. §§ 1251 et seq.); the Rivers and Harbors Act (33 U.S.C. § 403); Protection of Wetlands (Executive Order No. 11990 (1977), as amended by Executive Order No. 12608 (1997)); Floodplain Management (Executive Order No. 11988 (1977), as amended by Executive Order No. 12148 (1979)); the Safe Drinking Water Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 300f et seq.); the Farmland Protection Policy Act (7 U.S.C. §§ 4201 et seq.); the Coastal Zone Management Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 1451 et seq.); the Coastal Barriers Resources Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 3501 et seq.); the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 1271 et seq.); the Magnuson-Stevens Fishery Conservation and Management Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 1801 et seq.); the Migratory Bird Treaty Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 703-712); the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. §§ 7401 et seq.); the Wilderness Act (16 U.S.C. §§ 1131 et seq.).
- **Economic and Miscellaneous Authorities:** OSHA Worker Health and Safety Standards; Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act, Pub. L. 91-54; Debarment and Suspension, Executive Order 12549; Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act, Pub. L. 89 -754, as amended, and Executive Order 12372; Drug-Free Workplace Act, Pub. L. 100-690; Copeland “Anti-kickback” Act, Pub. L. 73-324; Government Neutrality Toward Contractor’s Labor Relations, Executive Order 13202, as amended by Executive Order 13208; New Restrictions on Lobbying, Section 319 of Pub. L. 101-121; Prohibitions relating to violations of the Clean Water Act or Clean Air Act with respect to Federal contracts, grants, or loans under Section 306 of the Clean Air Act and Section 508 of the Clean Water Act, and Executive Order 11738; Uniform Relocation and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act, Pub. L. 91-646, as amended;
- **Civil Rights, Nondiscrimination, Equal Employment Opportunity Authorities:** Age Discrimination Act, Pub. L. 94-135; Equal Employment Opportunity, Executive Order 11246; Section 13 of the Clean Water Act, Pub. L. 92-500; Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act, Pub. L. 93-112, supplemented by Executive Orders 11914 and 11250; Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, Pub. L. 88-352;

 - Under Title VI of the Civil Rights Act, EPA has a responsibility to ensure that federal funds are not being used to subsidize discrimination based on race, color, or national origin. This prohibition against discrimination under Title VI has been a statutory mandate since 1964, and EPA has had Title VI regulations since 1973. EPA’s nondiscrimination regulations prohibit recipients of EPA financial assistance from taking actions in their programs or activities that are

intentionally discriminatory and/or have a discriminatory effect based on race, color, national origin (including limited English proficiency), age, disability, or sex.

- **Disadvantaged Business Enterprise Authorities:** EPA's FY 1993 Appropriations Act, Pub. L. 102-389; Section 129 of the Small Business Administration Reauthorization and Amendment Act, Pub. L. 100-590; Small, Minority and Women Owned Business Enterprises, Executive Orders 11625, 12138, and 12432.

Regional Contacts

For general questions about the Community Grants Program, or for project-specific questions that require the assistance of an EPA Regional Office, contact the [EPA Regional Contact](#).

Appendix A: Statutory Language

The Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026,⁸ contains the following provisions:

For environmental programs and infrastructure assistance, including capitalization grants for State revolving funds and performance partnership grants, \$4,409,609,000, to remain available until expended, of which— (1) \$1,638,861,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds under title VI of the Federal Water Pollution Control Act; and of which \$1,126,101,000 shall be for making capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds under section 1452 of the Safe Drinking Water Act: Provided, That \$892,762,272 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Funds and \$715,364,627 of the funds made available for capitalization grants for the Drinking Water State Revolving Funds shall be for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection in accordance with the terms and conditions specified for such grants in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act) for projects specified for “STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund” and “STAG— Clean Water State Revolving Fund” in the table titled “Interior and Environment Incorporation of Community Project Funding Items/Congressionally Directed Spending Items” included for this division in the explanatory statement described in section 4 (in the matter preceding division A of this consolidated Act), and, for purposes of these grants, each grantee shall contribute not less than 20 percent of the cost of the project unless the grantee is approved for a waiver by the Agency[.]

AND

That the funds made available under this heading for Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending grants in this or prior appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance, if a Community Project Funding/ Congressionally Directed Spending recipient has procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to the date of enactment of this legislation that complied with state and/or local laws governing competition;

AND

SEC. 4. EXPLANATORY STATEMENT.

The explanatory statement regarding this Act, printed in the House section of the Congressional Record on or about January 7, 2026, and submitted by the chair of the Committee on Appropriations of the House, shall have the same effect with respect to the allocation of funds

⁸ P.L. 119-74.

and implementation of divisions A through C of this Act as if it were a joint explanatory statement of a committee of conference[.]

The aforementioned “explanatory statement” accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act, 2026, provides:

From within funds provided for capitalization grants for the Clean Water State Revolving Fund and the Drinking Water State Revolving Fund, the bill provides \$892,762,272 from the Clean Water SRF and \$715,364,627 from the Drinking Water SRF for Community Project Funding/Congressionally Directed Spending grants for the construction of drinking water, wastewater, and storm water infrastructure and for water quality protection.

Infrastructure Projects.- Each project shall provide not less than 20 percent matching funds from non-Federal sources, unless approved for a waiver. Applicable Federal requirements that would apply to a Clean Water State Revolving Fund or Drinking Water State Revolving Fund project grant recipient shall apply to a grantee receiving a Congressionally directed spending grant under this section. The Committees note that the following funds are to be treated as non-Federal funds and can be used to meet the non-Federal matching fund requirement: U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Community Development Block Grant Program; U.S. Department of Agriculture, Rural Development Program; Appalachian Regional Commission grants; and Delta Regional Authority grants. Funding made available to jurisdictions through the American Rescue Plan Act of 2021 (Public Law 117-2) is considered Federal funding and may not be applied towards the non-Federal cost share requirement.

**Appendix B: List of EPA Congressionally Directed Spending Community Projects and Funding Levels
(FY 2026 Consolidated Appropriations Act)**

The list below is organized by: (1) Community Grants projects funded by the Clean Water SRF; and (2) Community Grants projects funded by the Drinking Water SRF. Projects are arranged alphabetically by state within each category. Note that EPA made grammatical changes to remove extra spaces, hyphens, and periods. The [original list of projects](#) should be referred to for technical corrections.

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
Community Projects Funded with Clean Water SRF Appropriations (alphabetical by state)			
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City and Borough of Sitka for a Wastewater Effluent Disinfection Treatment System	\$10,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City and Borough of Wrangell for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$10,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City and Borough of Yakutat for Forest Highway Subdivision Water and Sewer Extension	\$1,504,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Hoonah for Icy Strait Point Force Main Sewer Project	\$5,121,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Ketchikan for Charcoal Point Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$6,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Whittier for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,590,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AK	Petersburg Borough for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$8,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Attalla for Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Auburn for Sewer Expansion Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Bayou La Batre for Wastewater System Improvements	\$1,970,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Gadsden for Drainage Infrastructure	\$2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Guntersville for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$2,174,898
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Pell City for Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Phenix for Waste Water Collection System Rehabilitation, Part 2	\$492,880
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Blytheville for Wastewater System Improvements	\$5,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Conway for Tucker Creek Equalization Storage Facility	\$4,775,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Des Arc for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$869,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Elkins for Water System Improvements	\$3,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Hardy for Wastewater Treatment Facility Center	\$1,072,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Lewisville for Water Improvements	\$3,840,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	Little Rock Water Reclamation Authority for Port of Little Rock Water Expansion	\$10,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AR	Springdale Water Utilities for Trunk Line Construction	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Mesa for Sewer Pipe Inspection and Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Mesa for Vault Meter Rehabilitation Project	\$592,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Tempe for Kyrene Recharge Well Pipelines Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Tolleson for Wastewater Digester Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Tombstone for Water Reclamation Facility Upgrades	\$989,786
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Colorado River Sewage System Joint Venture for Wastewater Treatment Facility Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Tri City Regional Sanitary District for Sewer Upgrades	\$2,850,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Alameda County Public Works for Livermore Sewer Extension to Protect Ground Water	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Arvin-Edison Water Storage District for Water Supply Expansion Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Carmichael Water District for Ranney Collector Well Screens Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Central Contra Costa Sanitary District for Ultraviolet (UV) Disinfection Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Adelanto for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Bakersfield for South MLK Sewer Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Chino Hills for Los Serranos Flood Protection Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Compton for Willowbrook-Culver Sewer Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Corcoran for Stormwater Enhancements Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Coronado for Stormwater Mitigation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Cypress for Stormwater Pump Station Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Daly City for Vista Grande Drainage Basin Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Dos Palos for Wastewater Treatment Facility Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Ridgecrest for Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Diego for Beta Street Channel and Storm Drain Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Diego for Famosa Slough Alley Slope Restoration Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Diego for Jamacha Drainage Channel Upgrade Storm Water Drain Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Diego for Pump Station Component D Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Jose for Restoration Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Leandro for Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Seal Beach for San Gabriel River Water Quality Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Seal Beach for Stormwater Infrastructure Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Sunnyvale for Cleanwater Center Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Upland for Campus Avenue Storm Drain Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Visalia for Groundwater Recharge Basin Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Watsonville for Pump Station Facilities Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Woodlake for Storm Drain Enhancement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Coachella Valley Water District for North Cathedral City Regional Stormwater Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Contra Costa Sanitary District for Central Sanitation Air Diffuser System Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Sutter for Robbins Wastewater Treatment Plant Back Up Generator	\$400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Tulare for Goshen Sewer Study	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Delta Diablo for Wastewater Pipeline Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	East Bay Regional Park District for Martin Luther King Jr. Regional Shoreline Water Quality Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District for Sewer Infrastructure Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District for Clean Water/Wastewater Infrastructure Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Hi-Desert Water District for Water Reuse Projects	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Inland Empire Utilities Agency for Chino Basin Advanced Water Purification Demonstration Facility	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Los Angeles County Department of Public Works for Public Sewer Enhancement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Oro Loma Sanitary District for Digester Seismic Retrofit Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Padre Dam Municipal Water District for Water Purification Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Bernardino County for Bloomington Septic Conversion Project, Phase 1	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Bernardino County for Septic-to-Sewer Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	San Gabriel River Watershed Management Authority for Stormwater Capture Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Shafter-Wasco Irrigation District for Groundwater Recharge Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	The Inland Empire Utilities Agency for Cybersecurity Program Improvements Project	\$1,180,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Vallejo Flood and Wastewater District for Mare Island Sewer Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Valley Sanitary District for Recycled Water Project	\$1,920,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Arvada for North Trunk Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Brush for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$2,110,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Evans for Stormwater Project	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Lamar for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Yuma for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Teller County for Wastewater Expansion Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Alma for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Cokedale for Waste Water Treatment improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Silverton for Augmentation Ponds	\$2,366,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Ute Mountain Ute Tribe for Water Transmission	\$1,700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Borough of Jewett City Department of Public Utilities for Wastewater Project	\$826,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of Stamford for Stormwater Management Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	City of Torrington for Toro Field Siphon Abandonment and Sewer Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Naugatuck Valley Council of Governments for Kinneytown Water Sediment Removal	\$1,093,276
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Naugatuck Valley Council of Governments for Sewer Siphon Relocation Associated with Kinneytown Dam Removal	\$1,404,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Norwich Public Utilities for North Main Street Wastewater System Upgrade Project	\$960,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Clinton for Design of Downtown Wastewater Collection System and Treatment Facility	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Ellington Water Pollution Control Authority for Sewer Main Replacement	\$975,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Fairfield for Sewer Upgrade	\$900,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Killingly for Water Pollution Control Facility Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of New Canaan for Wastewater Treatment UV System Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Trumbull for Beardsley Pump Station and Force Main Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Town of Winchester for Southern Sewer Network Expansion	\$635,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	City of Dover for Meeting House Branch Soil Remediation	\$1,400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	City of Seaford for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade and Expansion	\$2,300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	City of Wilmington for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$2,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	DE	Kent County Levy Court for Replacement of Wastewater Main Pipe	\$2,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Brevard County for Sewer Expansion	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Brevard County for Stormwater Drainage Improvements Phase IV	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Brevard County for Water Quality Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Citrus County for Lift Station Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Auburndale for Sewer Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Bartow for Sewer Lining Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Boynton Beach for Sanitary Sewer Collection System Improvement Project	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Brooksville for Wastewater Treatment Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Clearwater for Stormwater Improvement Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Clermont for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Cocoa for Septic-to-Sewer Conversion Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Crystal River for Wastewater Treatment Plant Rehabilitation	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Dania Beach for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Deerfield Beach for Martin Luther King Jr. Avenue Stormwater Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of DeFuniak Springs for Wastewater System Upgrade	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Hallandale Beach for Water Main Rehabilitation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Hollywood for Boulevard Heights Sewer Expansion Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Lakeland for Sewer Main Replacement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Orlando for Stormwater Management Project	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Oviedo for the Restoration of Sweetwater Creek	\$1,280,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Palm Bay for Water Quality Improvement Projects	\$1,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Polk City for Wastewater Improvement Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Port St. Lucie for Stormwater Quality Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of South Miami for Septic-to-Sewer Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of St. Augustine Beach for Stormwater System and Outfall Resiliency Project	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Sunrise for Southwest Wastewater Treatment Plant New Deep Injection Well Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Treasure Island for Wastewater Collection System Lining Project	\$1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Wildwood for Wastewater Capacity Upgrades	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Winter Springs for Winter Springs Village Reclaimed Water Main Extension	\$640,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Cooper City for Wastewater Treatment Plant New Headworks Facility Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Crest Avenue Wastewater Treatment Facility Capacity Expansion and Process Optimization	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	DeSoto County for Wastewater Treatment Facility Expansion	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Easterseals Southwest Florida for Stormwater Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Hernando County for Centralized Sewer Transition Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Hillsborough County for Aquifer Recharge Project	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Hillsborough County for Ruskin Septic-to-Sewer Project, Phase 1	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Hillsborough County for Sewer Expansion	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Orange County Utilities Department for Aquifer Storage and Injection Feasibility Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Pinellas County Board of Commissioners for Pump Station Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Polk County for Water Supply Project	\$2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Seminole County for Septic-to-Sewer Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Space Florida for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Cutler Bay for Drainage System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Lake Hamilton for Wastewater Treatment Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Lake Placid for Septic-to-Sewer Project	\$2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Longboat Key for Raw Wastewater Transmission Pipeline Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Medley for Wastewater Pump Station Improvement Project	\$1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Village of Key Biscayne for Stormwater System Upgrade	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Village of Pinecrest for Storm Drainage Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Washington County Board of County Commissioners for Water and Sewer Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Barnesville for Wastewater Collection System Improvement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Arcade for Sewage Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Cordele for Lift Station Improvements	\$250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of East Point for Norman Berry Drive Stormwater Repairs	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Hampton for Stormwater Improvements	\$954,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Moultrie for SE Business Park Water and Sewer Extension	\$685,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Port Wentworth for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of South Fulton for Stormwater Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Waynesboro for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Paulding County Board of Commissioners for Wastewater Reroute Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Whitfield County for Sewer System Expansion	\$650,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	HI	Department of Hawaiian Home Lands for Papakolea Sewer Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Dubuque for Water Improvement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Dyersville for Westlinden Lift Station Project	\$1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Independence for Wastewater Treatment Plant Modernization	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Knoxville for Sanitary and Storm Sewer Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IA	City of Muscatine for Force Main Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Chicago Department of Transportation for Graceland West Green Alleys	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Anna for Sewer Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Bloomington for East Street Basin Stormwater Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Carbondale for Stormwater and Flooding Mitigation Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Casey for Sanitary Sewer Relining	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Crystal Lake for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Dixon for Flooding Mediation Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Elmwood for Wastewater Lagoon Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Macomb for Storm Sewer Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Naperville for Springbrook Water Reclamation Center Expansion	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Rock Island for Mill Street Wastewater Treatment Plant Maintenance Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Silvis for 3rd and 7th Street Sewer Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of South Beloit for Lift Station and Force Main Improvements Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Sparta for Raw Water Transmission Line Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	DuPage County for 3rd Avenue Storm Water Management Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	DuPage County for Newton & Second Ave Flood Reduction Project	\$240,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Fox River Water Reclamation District for Influent Bar Screen Installation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Lake County Public Works for Antioch Township Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Northern Moraine Wastewater Reclamation District for Darrell Road Collection System	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Northern Moraine Wastewater Reclamation District for Village of Holiday Hills Sanitary Sewer Extension Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Algonquin for Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Barrington for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Buffalo Grove for Mill Creek Subdivision Utility Resiliency Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Fox Lake for Northwest Regional Water Reclamation Facility Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Hinsdale for Sixth Street Reconstruction and Utility Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Maeystown for Wastewater Treatment Facility Improvements	\$1,636,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Maquon for Wastewater Plant Improvements	\$720,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Oak Park for Relief Sewer Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Park Forest for Water Main Improvements	\$1,450,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Skokie for Storm Water Infrastructure Enhancement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Spring Grove for Wastewater Treatment Plant Construction	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Vernon Hills for Sediment Pollution Control Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IN	Brown County Regional Sewer District for Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$3,700,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IN	City of Clinton for Combined Sewer Overflows Control Plan	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IN	City of Gary for Storm Sewer Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IN	City of Madison for Stormwater Management Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IN	Greene County for Water and Wastewater Expansion Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	IN	Greene County for Water and Wastewater Infrastructure	\$15,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of Bonner Springs for Lonestar Interceptor Sanitary Sewer Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of Olathe for Olathe Basin C16 Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation Improvement	\$884,466
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of Princeton for Stormwater Improvements	\$610,680
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KS	Riley County for Sewer District Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Adairville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Eddyville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Construction Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Greenville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Rehabilitation	\$854,400
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Lancaster for Sanitary Sewer Extension Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Madisonville for Lift Station Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Clark County for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Franklin County Fiscal Court for Wastewater Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Louisville and Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District for Wastewater Collection System Rehabilitation	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Louisville-Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District for Drainage Response Initiative	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Louisville-Jefferson County Metropolitan Sewer District for Odor Mitigation and Catch Basin Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Martin County for Wastewater Treatment Plant Rehabilitation	\$4,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Paducah McCracken County Joint Sewer Agency for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Winchester Municipal Utilities for Sanitary Sewer Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	City of Baton Rouge for Stormwater Quality Improvement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	City of Kenner for Wastewater Treatment Plant Stabilization and Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	City of Kenner Sewer/Wastewater Treatment Plant Stabilization and Improvement Project	\$7,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	City of New Iberia for Pump Station Construction	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	City of Westwego for Wastewater Treatment Plant Modernization Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Lafayette Consolidated Government for Water and Wastewater Laboratories Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Monroe Sewer Lift Stations Rehabilitation Project	\$4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	North Lake Charles Water Rehabilitation Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	St. Bernard Parish for Culvert Drainage Improvements Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Town of Amite City for Regional Wastewater Treatment and Transmission Facilities Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Barnstable County for Former Municipal Fire Training PFAS Remediation Site	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Chicopee for Wastewater Treatment Plant Nitrogen Reduction Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Fall River for South Watuppa Pond Phosphorus Inactivation Project	\$2,026,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Gloucester for Pump Station Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Holyoke for River Terrace CSO Area 21B Sewer Separation	\$800,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Lowell for Centralville Sewer Separation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Melrose for Stormwater Improvement Project	\$852,500
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Agawam for Downtown Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,971,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Arlington for Mystic River Watershed Infrastructure Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Dighton for Pump Station Project	\$350,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Holliston for Downtown Sewer District Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Littleton for Common Sewer System Expansion Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Marblehead for Sewer Infiltration and Inflow Reduction	\$807,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Merrimac for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Nahant for Wastewater Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Needham for Stormwater Management Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Rockland for Wastewater Treatment Plant and Collection System Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Swansea for Route 6 Corridor Sewer Collection Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Wareham for Sewer Improvements, Phase 2	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Wayland for Town Center Sewer Replacement and Expansion	\$200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Westport for Trunk Water and Sewer—Sections 2 and 3	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Tyngsborough Sewer Commission for Phalanx Street Pump Station Upgrade & Gravity Sewer Installation	\$1,956,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Canal Place Preservation and Development Authority for Sediment Testing and Remediation	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Aberdeen for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Hagerstown for Stormwater Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Rockville for Orangeburg Lateral Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Rockville for Wastewater Collection System Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Easton Utilities for Windmill Pump Station Enhancement	\$2,868,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Hurlock for Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$2,795,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Indian Head for Anchor Point Booster Station Wellhouse Design and Construction Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Indian Head for Mattawoman Woods and Dogwood Lift Station Project	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of New Windsor for Sewer System Improvements	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Oakland for Water System Improvements Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Smithsburg Water System Improvements	\$306,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of Trappe for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,149,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission for Sewer Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Washington Suburban Sanitary Commission for Sewer Rehabilitation in Montgomery County Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Caribou Utilities District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,288,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Bath for Pump Station and Sewer Line Improvements	\$560,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Biddeford for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$6,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Brewer for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,576,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	City of Gardiner for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,422,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Clinton Water District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	North Berwick Sanitary District for Wastewater Treatment Facility and Pumping Stations Reliability Upgrades	\$1,093,276
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Pleasant Point for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$5,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Richmond Utilities District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	South Berwick Sewer District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Fort Kent for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Frenchboro for Culvert Replacement	\$419,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Gorham for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Hampden for Pump Station and Sewer Main Improvements	\$1,735,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Machias for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,378,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of North Haven for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Old Orchard Beach for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Southwest Harbor for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$780,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of St. Agatha for Wastewater System Upgrades	\$786,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Wilton for Water Main System Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Town of Windham for Regional School Unit 14 Campus Wastewater Conveyance Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Vassalboro Sanitary District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$386,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Veazie Sewer District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,878,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Wells Sanitary District for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of Fort Gratiot for Sewer System Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Auburn Hills for Stormwater Infrastructure	\$723,200
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Bad Axe for Water Infrastructure Project	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Brown City for Sewer System Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Farmington for Community Sanitary System Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Grand Haven for Harbor Island Drinking Water Treatment Remediation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Grand Rapids for Water Resource Recovery Facility UV Disinfection Upgrade Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Imlay City for Lead Service Lines and Water Lines Replacement	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Rockwood for Wastewater Treatment Plant Infrastructure Improvement Plan	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Trenton for Sewage Retention Basin Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Garden City for Water System Rehabilitation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Great Lakes Water Authority for 7 Mile Sewer Rehabilitation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Great Lakes Water Authority for Fox Creek Enclosure Condition Assessment	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Great Lakes Water Authority for Freud & Conners Creek Pump Station Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Great Lakes Water Authority for Oakwood District Intercommunity Relief Sewer Modification	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	GRSD Sewer Authority for Tertiary Filter Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Lake Mitchell Sewer Authority for Sewer Pump Improvement Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Marquette County for K.I. Sawyer Wastewater Treatment Plant Disinfection Upgrades	\$1,370,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Multi Lakes Water and Sewer Authority for Pollution Prevention Project	\$580,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Oakland County for Sanitary Sewer Extension Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	St. Clair Township for Sanitary Pump Station Rehabilitation	\$1,220,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Beulah for Wastewater Treatment Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Millington for Water and Sewer Infrastructure Replacement	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Reese for Stormwater Mitigation Improvements	\$960,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Barnesville for Water Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Big Lake for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Blooming Prairie for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Butterfield for Wastewater Treatment Facility Repairs	\$1,050,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Coleraine for Water and Sewer System Upgrades	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Cook for Wastewater Treatment System Improvements	\$330,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Elizabeth for Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Floodwood for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Gilman for Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Grand Marais for Wastewater Treatment Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Keewatin for Water and Sewer Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Kettle River for Water and Wastewater Improvements Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Lamberton for Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Madison Lake for Sanitary and Water System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Montrose for Wastewater Treatment Plant Regionalization Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Moorhead for Dewatering Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of New Hope for Sanitary Sewer Lining Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Newport for Inflow and Infiltration Mitigation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Norwood Young America for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Pine City for Wastewater Treatment System Upgrade	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Pine Island for Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Rochester for Sanitary Sewer Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Ruthton for Water Tower Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Sacred Heart for Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Sartell for Force Main Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Spring Lake Park for Stormwater Resiliency Project	\$896,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of St. Francis for Water and Sewer Infrastructure Upgrades	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Trimont for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of West Union for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Hanley Falls for Sewer and Water Main Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Lake Henry for Sewer System Replacement	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Lake Lillian for Water System Updates	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Laketown Township for Community Sewer Improvement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Northern Township for Wastewater Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Cities of Clinton, Bolton, and Raymond for Regional Wastewater Improvements	\$7,800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Natchez for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,178,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Oxford for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Petal for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Starkville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MS	DCRUA Effluent Pump Station Project	\$2,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	MT	12th Street BNSF Railroad Sanitary Sewer Crossing Replacement Project	\$825,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Gastonia for Regional Sewer Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Gastonia for Sewer Pump Station Project Phase I	\$825,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Greensboro for Water and Sewer Line Extension Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Mebane for GE Pump Station and Force Main Improvement Project	\$4,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	City of Raleigh for Rocky Branch Tributary Enhancement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Durham County for Page Park Lift Station Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Lower Cape Fear Water and Sewer Authority for Raw Water Pump Station	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Northampton County Government for the Town of Garysburg Wastewater Infrastructure Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Cary for Walnut Creek Stabilization and Restoration Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of East Spencer for Water and Sewer Line Replacement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Sharpsburg for Sewer Infrastructure Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Tarboro for Wastewater Treatment Plant Denitrification Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of White Lake for Sewer Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Gothenburg Clean Water Utility Improvements	\$776,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Scribner for Wastewater Treatment Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Middle Niobrara Natural Resources District for Long Pine Creek and Bone Creek Watershed Improvements	\$1,421,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Sarpy County for Sewer Extension Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Ewing for Water Management Improvements	\$716,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Greeley for Wastewater Improvements	\$2,372,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Ong for Lagoon System Renovations	\$100,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Shelby for Lagoon Improvements	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Valparaiso for Sewer Rehabilitation	\$468,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	City of Manchester for MS4 Drainage Improvements	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	City of Rochester for Wastewater Lagoon Desludge, Phase II	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Newmarket for Sewer Reliability Improvements Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Belmar Environmental Commission for Stormwater Quality Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Cliffside Park for Stormwater System Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Cresskill for Tenakill Brook Watershed Clean Water Initiative	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Dumont for Sewer Integrity Support Project	\$1,043,120
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Edgewater for Stormwater Infrastructure Improvements Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Fair Lawn for Sewer Pipeline Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Fort Lee for Sewer Improvements	\$184,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Hawthorne for Wastewater Management Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Mount Arlington for Stormwater Improvement Project	\$560,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Palisades Park for Grand Avenue Sewer Main Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Swedesboro for Sanitary Sewer Pump Station	\$400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Asbury Park for Wastewater Treatment Plant Screenings Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Hoboken for North End Roadway Reconstruction Project	\$1,217,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Orange Township for Water and Sewer Main Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Perth Amboy for Runyon Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	County of Passaic for River Street Storm Water Management Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Long Beach Township for Sewer Main Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	North Hudson Sewerage Authority for Wastewater Treatment Plant Reconstruction	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Ocean County Utility Authority for Pump Station Improvements	\$2,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Sparta Township for Pump Station Improvements	\$400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Sussex County Municipal Utilities Authority for Wastewater Treatment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Guttenberg for CSO Pipe Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Millburn for Gilbert Place Stormwater Pump Station	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Morristown for Burnham Park Lower Pond Hydroraking	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Burlington for Sludge Dewatering Project, Phase 2	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Little Falls for Peckman Riverbank Stabilization Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of North Bergen for Woodcliff Drainage Improvements and Sewer Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Pequannock for Pump Station Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Voorhees for Las Brisas Sewer Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Washington for Stream Restoration Project	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of West Orange for Pumping Station Improvement Project	\$1,048,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority for Carnuel Wastewater System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Belen for Wastewater Treatment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Rio Rancho for Aquifer ReInjection System	\$2,090,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Truth or Consequences for Clancy Lift Station Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Village of Corrales for Wastewater Main Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Village of Mosquero for Water Infrastructure Project	\$250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Baker Water and Sewer General Improvement District for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$3,871,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Boulder City for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$980,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of North Las Vegas for Losee Wash Diversion Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Sparks for Water Reclamation Backup Power Systems	\$3,773,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Clark County Water Reclamation District for Laughlin Lift Station No. 2 Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Clark County Water Reclamation District for Wastewater Treatment Facility Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Lyon County for Sewer Rehabilitation Project	\$1,937,766
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Corning for Wastewater Treatment Plant Boiler Replacement	\$984,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Glens Falls for WWTP Electrical System Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Jamestown for Stormwater Channel Maintenance	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	County of Suffolk for Lake Ave, St. James Sewer Upgrade and Expansion	\$1,100,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	County of Suffolk for West Babylon Sewer Expansion Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	County of Suffolk for Wise County Sewer Expansion Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Hicksville Water District for AOP Treatment at Plant No. 11	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Monroe County for Northwest Quadrant Water Resource Recovery Facility	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Nassau County for Outfall Diffuser Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Oneida County for Purchase and Installation of Holding Tank	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Port Washington Water Pollution Control District for Wastewater Pump Stations Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County for Outfall Pipe Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County for Sewerage Design Infrastructure at Heartland	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Suffolk County for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Brookhaven for Sanitary Sewer Infrastructure Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Brookhaven for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,250,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Cornwall for Shore Road Sewer Treatment Plant, Phase 2	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Dix for Water and Sewer Service Extension	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Elma for Consolidated Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Herkimer for Wastewater System Upgrades	\$1,594,064
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of New Paltz for Ohioville Sewer District #6 Sewer Treatment Plant Regionalization	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of North Salem for Sewer Installation	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Phelps for Sanitary Sewer Distribution Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Randolph for Sanitary Sewer System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Richmondville for Wastewater Treatment Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Verona for Durhamville Sewer District Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Victor for County Road 9 Force Main Replacement	\$1,002,944
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Yorktown for Sewer Service Expansion	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Altamont for Wastewater Treatment Plant Disinfection Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Amityville for Sewer Pump Project	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Bloomingburg for Wastewater Treatment Plant & Pump Station Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Brockport for Sanitary Sewer System Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Bronxville for Stormwater Drainage Improvement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Elbridge for Water System Improvements Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Evans Mills for Wastewater Treatment Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Geneseo for Water and Sewer System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Hastings-on-Hudson for Stormwater Management Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Manorhaven for Sanitary Sewer Channel Crossing Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Menands for South End Neighborhood Water System Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Millerton for Wastewater System	\$3,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Minoa for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$565,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Roslyn for Sewer Pipe Inspection and Repair Project	\$864,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Sea Cliff for Sanitary Sewer Expansion Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Waterloo for Sewer System Improvements and Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Columbus for Wastewater Treatment Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Dover for Sanitary Sewer Upgrades	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Elyria for Sewer Extension Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Kirtland for Sanitary Sewer Extension	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Parma for Sewer Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Struthers for Water Infrastructure Facility Upgrades	\$800,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of University Heights for Manhole Separation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Cleveland Metroparks for Stormwater Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Clinton County Board of Commissioners for Regional Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$949,566
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Cuyahoga County for Sewer Improvement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Fairport Harbor Village for Water System Upgrade	\$929,600
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Northeast Ohio Regional Sewer District for Big Creek West Branch Culvert Repair Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Ravenna Township for Wastewater Collection System	\$2,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Summit County for Peninsula Sanitary Sewer Collection and Treatment Facilities	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Chauncey for Sewer System Replacement Project	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Jeffersonville for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,936,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Junction City for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of McConnelsville for Sewer Line Project	\$900,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Thornville for Regional Wastewater Plant Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Williamsburg for Sewer Extension Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Winchester for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Bethany for Wastewater System Repair Project	\$1,280,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Enid for Solids Plant Relocation	\$850,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Seminole for Wastewater Lift Station Upgrade	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Wewoka for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrade	\$2,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Woodward for Wastewater Plant Upgrades	\$1,150,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Yukon for Wastewater Facility Repairs and Upgrades	\$1,640,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Arnold Irrigation District for Infrastructure Modernization Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Dallas for Sewer and Reservoir Tank Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Gresham for Wastewater Treatment Nitrification Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of John Day for Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$4,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Portland for Wastewater Treatment Plant and Pump Reliability Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Sandy for Wastewater Station Pipeline Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Talent for Resilient Waterline Installation	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Marion County for North Santiam Sewer Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	OR	Oak Lodge Water Services Authority for Clarifier Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Borough of Ford City for Storm Sewer Rehabilitation	\$1,940,928
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Borough of Forest Hills for Storm Sewer Replacement and Sanitary Sewer Access Improvements	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Chesapeake Conservancy for Water Quality Project	\$400,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	City of Meadville for Force Main Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Dallas Area Municipal Authority for Wastewater Infrastructure Expansion Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Dry Tavern Sewer Authority for Sewage Treatment Plant Improvements	\$591,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Fairfield Municipal Authority for Sanitary Sewer Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Freeburg Borough for Sewer System Rehabilitation Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Guilford Township Municipal Authority for Sewer Improvement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Hatfield Township Municipal Authority for Stormwater Mitigation Project	\$241,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Hermitage Municipal Authority for Sewer Upgrades	\$913,600
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Milford Borough for Sewer Extension Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Monroe County for Wastewater Treatment Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Municipal Water Authority of Aliquippa for WWTP Final Clarifier Rehabilitation Project	\$900,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Municipality of Bethel Park for Piney Fork Interceptor Lining Project	\$520,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Ohiopyle Borough for Sewage Treatment Plant Expansion	\$937,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Upper Merion Township for Water Pollution Control Center Hydrothermal Carbonization Equipment	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Upper Providence Township for Second Avenue Force Main and Gravity Main Replacement Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	PA	West Norriton Township for Rittenhouse Pump Station Force Main Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	City of Cranston for Stormwater Management Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	City of East Providence for Sewer System Rehabilitation	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	City of Warwick for Bellows Interceptor Rehabilitation	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Narragansett Bay Commission for Service Area Expansion Study	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Charlestown for Onsite Wastewater Treatment Systems Upgrades	\$650,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Cumberland for Sewer Main Replacements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Middletown for Sewer System Reconfiguration	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of North Providence for Sewer Lining Project	\$343,413
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Westerly for Sewer Relining Project	\$680,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Beaufort Jasper Water & Sewer Authority for Bluffton Area Pump Station System Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of North Augusta for Greenway Culvert Replacement	\$1,280,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Walterboro for SC Highway 63 Wastewater Improvements	\$2,983,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	County of Orangeburg for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	MetroConnects for Sewer Replacement Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Town of Moncks Corner for Stormwater Improvements	\$1,678,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Town of Pawleys Island for Stormwater Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Town of Sullivan’s Island for Street Drainage Improvement	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TN	City of Bartlett for Sewer Equalization Tank Installation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TN	City of Selmer for Sewer System Upgrade	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Jackson Energy Authority for Water and Wastewater Extension Project	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Knoxville Utilities Board for Wastewater Treatment Plant	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Town of Arlington for Wastewater Treatment Plant Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Town of Mosheim for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Amarillo for Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Austin for Waterloo Greenway Creek Restoration and Water Quality Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Beaumont for Wastewater Interceptor Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Bryan for Wastewater Treatment Plant Construction	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Eden for Pump Station Replacement	\$300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Glenn Height for Wastewater Treatment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Grand Prairie for Waterford Lift Station Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Huntsville for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Kaufman for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Lago Vista for Wastewater Treatment and Collection System Expansion Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Marble Falls for Wastewater Line Replacement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Nacogdoches for Storm Drainage Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Paradise for Regional Wastewater Study	\$240,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Runaway Bay for Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of San Marcos for Main Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Somerset for Wastewater System Expansion	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Southside Place for Edloe/ Auden Sanitary Sewer Replacement Project	\$909,909
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Waco for Flat Creek Water Reuse Project	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for Cypress Creek Regional Stormwater Detention Basin	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for Kuykendahl Stormwater Detention Basin Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for Schiel Road Stormwater Detention Basin	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for Stormwater Detention Basin Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for West Little York Stormwater Detention Basin Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Flood Control District for Woodridge Stormwater Detention Basin Construction Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Harris County Pollution Control Services for Satellite Location	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Missouri City for Well Capacity Expansion Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Montgomery County Municipal Utility District 84 for Bentwood Drainage Channel Improvement Project Phase II	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Montgomery County Precinct 4 for Drainage Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Newport Municipal Utility District for Sewer System Rehabilitation	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	San Antonio River Authority for Woodlawn Lake Storm Quality Improvements	\$80,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	The City of Woodbranch Village for Water and Wastewater Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Travis County for McNeil Drive Drainage Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Central Iron County Water Conservancy District for Effluent Reuse Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	City of Clinton for Sewer Improvements and Expansion	\$2,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	City of Logan for Water Reclamation Facility Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Salt Lake County for Central Valley Water Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Santa Clara City for Sewer Line Expansion	\$1,250,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Bedford Regional Water Authority for Sanitary Sewer System Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Charles City County for Sewer Collection System Modernization Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Alexandria for Bellefonte Ave. Storm Drain Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Buena Vista for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$2,300,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Falls Church for Cavalier Trail Park Sewage Flow Equalization Basin	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Hopewell for Hopewell Heretick Avenue Stormwater Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Petersburg for Poor Creek Rehabilitation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Suffolk for Sanitary Sewer Improvements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Suffolk for Sanitary Sewer Relocation Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Virginia Beach for Stormwater Storage Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Williamsburg for Sanitary Sewer Resiliency Improvements	\$600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Hampton Roads Sanitation District for Onancock Pump Station Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Surry County for Wastewater Improvements, Phase 2	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Altavista for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$3,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Fries for Drinking Water and Sewer Treatment Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Lawrenceville for Wastewater Treatment Facility Expansion Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Stuart for Sewer Equalization and Reclamation	\$1,058,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Wytheville for Wastewater Plant Upgrade	\$942,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Upper Occoquan Service Authority for Wastewater Facility Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Addison County Community Trust for Manufactured Housing Community Wastewater Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,200,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Bolton Valley Water and Community Development Company for Community Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	City of Burlington for South End Wastewater Storage	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Berlin for Wastewater Treatment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Highgate for Wastewater Discharge System Construction	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Richmond for Richmond Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$1,350,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Woodstock for Woodstock Wastewater Treatment Facility	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Anacortes for Samish Indian Nation Summit Park Sewer Extension	\$997,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Bellevue for Lake Washington Sanitary Sewer Lake Lines Program	\$500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Bothell for Woodcrest Utility Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Entiat for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades and Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Granite Falls for Water Infrastructure Projects	\$990,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Palouse for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Renton for Kennydale Lakeline Sanitary Sewer and Water Quality Preservation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Ritzville for Sewer Collection System Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Toppenish for Solid State Dryer	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Vancouver for Wastewater Lagoon Aeration Blower Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Clark Regional Wastewater District for Wallace Heights Septic Elimination	\$2,215,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Douglas County Sewer District #1 for Sewer Line Construction	\$3,767,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Kitsap County for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Port of Bellingham for Fairhaven Marine Industrial Park Stormwater Improvement Project	\$1,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Southwest Suburban Sewer District for Sewer Rehabilitation Project, Phase 1	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Town of Carbonado for Wastewater Infrastructure Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Bell Sanitary District for Holding Tank Treatment Facility	\$1,100,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Oshkosh for Wastewater Treatment	\$3,200,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Prairie du Chien for Wastewater Treatment Facility Upgrades	\$1,250,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Forest County Potawatomi Community for Wastewater Treatment	\$2,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Town of Calumet for Water Treatment System	\$420,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Town of Richmond for Wastewater Improvements	\$144,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Village of Brandon for Wastewater Improvements	\$406,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Berkeley County Westside Water Main	\$1,600,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Boone County Public Service District for Water Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Buffalo Creek Public Service District for Water Treatment Plant Upgrade and Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	City of Welch for Combined Sewer Overflow Removal Project	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	City of Weston for Sewer Extension and Improvement Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Huntington Four Pole Creek Pump Station	\$10,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	North Beckley Public Service District for Wastewater Treatment Plant Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Tucker County Commission for Regional Sewer System Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WY	City of Casper for Sanitary Sewer Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WY	Northern Arapaho Tribe for Wastewater System Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Clean Water State Revolving Fund	WY	Town of Jackson for Sewer Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
Community Projects Funded with Drinking Water SRF Appropriations (alphabetical by state)			
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Homer for Water Transmission Main Replacement Project	\$937,868
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Unalaska for Captains Bay Waterline Replacement	\$3,400,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AK	City of Wasilla for Wasilla-Palmer Water System Interconnect Design	\$1,930,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Loxley for Water System Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	City of Samson for Well Construction	\$1,840,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	Coosa Valley Water Supply District for Water Supply Expansion	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	The City of Greenville Water Works and Sewer Board for Water Supply Augmentation	\$3,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AL	Town of Rogersville for Elevated Water Storage Tank	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Helena-West Helena for Water Rehabilitation	\$4,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AR	City of Wabbaseka for Drinking Water Regionalization	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AR	Wynne Water Utilities for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$4,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Chandler for New Wells Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Page for Water Treatment Plant Expansion	\$2,550,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	City of Safford for Water Line Upgrades	\$2,100,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Graham County for Water Line Project	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Eagar for Spring Line Restoration Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Marana for PFAS Treatment Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	AZ	Town of Marana for Source Water System Improvements	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Borrego Water District for Water Line Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Calleguas Municipal Water District for Lake Bard Pump Station Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Avenal for Water Storage Tank Project	\$4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Beverly Hills for Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Burbank for Potable Reservoir Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of California City for Water System Replacement Project Phase II	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Chino for Benson Emergency Power Generator Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Coalinga for Sodium Hydroxide Storage and Injection Facility Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Dinuba for Water Tower Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Escalon for SSJID Surface Water Connection Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of La Habra for Foothill Zone Consolidation Water Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Lemoore for Water Meter Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Loma Linda for SCADA System Reliability Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Manhattan Beach for Cybersecurity of Critical Infrastructure Project	\$1,040,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of McFarland for Well Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Oxnard for Cast Iron Pipe Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Pasadena for Water and Wastewater System Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Poway for Water Supply Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of San Juan Bautista for Drinking Water Supply Regionalization	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Santa Ana for PFAS Treatment	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Santa Paula for Cross-Town Pipeline Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Tustin for 17th Street Desalter Electrical Upgrade Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	City of Williams for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Kings for New Well Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Madera for North Fork Water System Project	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	County of Monterey for San Lucas Water Treatment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Cucamonga Valley Water District for Baseline Waterline Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Eastern Municipal Water District for Well 84D Water Quality Improvement Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Fallbrook Public Utility District for Water Line Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Jurupa Community Services District for Well 29 Development	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Las Virgenes Municipal Water District for Water Farm Study	\$1,050,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Montara Water and Sanitary District for Water and Sewer Line Relocation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Monte Vista Water District for Pipeline Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Orange County Water District for PFAS Treatment Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Rancho California Water District for Water Treatment Facility Construction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Sacramento County for Arden Service Area Water Supply Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Santa Clara Valley Water District for Silicon Valley Regional Purified Water Facility	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Santa Clarita Valley Water Agency for PFAS Treatment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Santa Margarita Water District for Water Treatment Plant	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Solano Irrigation District for Vaca Valley Public Water System Consolidation, Phase I	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	South Tahoe Public Utility District for Water Improvement Project	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Sweetwater Authority for Sodium Hypochlorite Chlorination Water Treatment System	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Tahoe City Public Utility District for Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Tule River Indian Tribe of California for Water Transmission Improvement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	West Valley Water District for Cybersecurity and Water Reliability Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CA	Western Municipal Water District for Reservoir Management Systems	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Castle Rock Water for Water Supply Project	\$1,750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Brighton for Water Line Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	City of Brush for Water Treatment Facility	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Louviers Water and Sanitation District for Drinking Water Distribution Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Norwood Water Commission for Water Line Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Prairie View Ranch Water District for Water Infrastructure Consolidation Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Pueblo County for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of De Beque for Secondary Water Source	\$320,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Granby for Water Infrastructure Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Kiowa for Well Redundancy Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Ovid for Water Treatment Plant Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Severance for Regional Water Treatment Plant and Transmission Line Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CO	Town of Walsh for Water System Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	CT	Southington Water Department (SWD) for Wells PFAS Treatment	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	DE	Town of Smyrna for Well and Water Treatment Plant Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Coral Springs for Water Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Lake Helen for Watermains Replacement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Lakeland for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of North Miami for Water Plant Modernization Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of West Melbourne for Reverse Osmosis Water Production Facility	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	City of Winter Springs for Mt. Greenwood Water Main Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority for Water Transmission Improvement Project	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Gadsden County for Water System Improvement and Expansion Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Hillsborough County for Water Infrastructure Assessment	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Pasco County for Booster Station Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Pasco County for Chlorine Booster Station Construction Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	FL	Town of Lantana for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Attapulgus for Water Main Replacement	\$478,420
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Blakely for Water System Improvements	\$960,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of College Park for Lead/Galvanized Water Pipeline Replacement	\$2,134,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of East Point for Drinking Water Treatment Upgrades	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Fairburn for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Hiawassee for Drinking Water Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Millen for Industrial Park Water Tank Construction	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Oxford for Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Palmetto for Sewer Line Replacements	\$856,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Rincon for Waterline Extension Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Roswell for Water Storage and Redundancy Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Savannah for Reservoir Rehabilitation	\$1,248,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	City of Wrens for New Municipal Drinking Water Well	\$557,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	DeKalb County for Larkspur Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,479,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	DeKalb County for Lithonia Booster Pump Station Standby Power Project	\$726,625
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	DeKalb County for Water Storage Tank Upgrades	\$561,150
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Floyd County for Well Design and Construction Project	\$650,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Gwinnett County for Walton Court Booster Station Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Lee County Board of Commissioners for Water Supply and Treatment Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Newton County for Transmission Main Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	GA	Walker County Water and Sewerage Authority for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$700,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	County of Hawaii Department of Water Supply for Chlorination System Conversion	\$1,440,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	County of Maui Department of Water Supply for Kealaloa Well Development	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	Honolulu Board of Water Supply for Kaonohi Booster Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	Kauai County Department of Water Supply for Kilauea Water Tank Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	HI	Maui County for Lahaina Water Infrastructure Resiliency and Hardening	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ID	City of American Falls for Water Improvement Project	\$2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ID	City of Bellevue for Drinking Water Improvements Project	\$4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ID	City of Rigby for Drinking Water Improvement Project	\$2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ID	Shoshone-Bannock Tribes for Water Tank Replacement	\$4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Bonnie Brae Forest Manor Sanitary District for Water Capital Improvements	\$250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Aurora for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Chester for Route 150 Water Main Replacement	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Chicago for Lead Service Line Replacements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Freeport for Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Highland Park for George B Prindle Water Treatment Plant Intake Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Macomb for Lead Service Line Replacements	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Markham for Water System Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of North Chicago for Lead Service Line Replacements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Oglesby for Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,020,800
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Palos Heights for 80th Ave Pump Station Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Paris for Wastewater Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	City of Springfield for Water Main Replacement	\$900,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	DuPage Water Commission for Regional Source Water Project Stage 1	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Grand Prairie Water Commission for Water Infrastructure Project in Northern Illinois	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Lake County Public Works for Water System Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Leyden Township for Water Main and Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	North Park Public Water District for Well Reconstruction and Water Treatment	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Robinson Palestine Water Commission for New Water Treatment Plant	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Southland Water Agency for Water Intake Pipe Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Town of Cicero for South Side Water Pumping Station Improvement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Arlington Heights for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Bellwood for Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Berkeley for Section 2 Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,024,207
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Cary for Water System Resiliency and PFAS Mitigation Improvements Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Coal Valley for Water Main Extension and Well #4 Water Tower Construction Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Elk Grove for Water Main Lining Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Forest Park for Water System Improvements	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Glen Carbon for Drinking Water Treatment Plant Installation	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Hillside for Drinking Water Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Maywood for Water Main Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Melrose Park for Winston Park Water Main Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Morton Grove for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of North Riverside for Water Main Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Oswego for Drinking Water Supply Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of River Forest for Drinking Water Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Wauconda for Lead Service Line Replacement, Phase 1	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of West Salem for Watermain Replacement Project	\$1,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Village of Wilmette for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Winnebago County for City of Rockford PFAS Remediation	\$700,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IL	Winnebago County for Water Main Extension	\$600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IN	City of Bloomington Utilities for Water Treatment Plant Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IN	City of Indianapolis for Lead Service Line Replacement Program	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IN	Fort Wayne City Utilities for Water and Stormwater Improvement Project Phase I	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IN	Huntington City Water Utility for Water Main Replacements	\$1,474,360
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IN	Huntington-Andrews Drinking Water Regionalization Project	\$3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IN	Town of Dublin for Water Treatment Plant Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IN	Town of Glenwood for Water Line Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	IN	Town of Kingman for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of Caney for Water Distribution System Improvements	\$4,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KS	City of Hays for R9 Wellfield and Pipeline Project	\$11,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KS	Leavenworth Waterworks for Water Treatment Plant Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KS	McPherson Board of Public Utilities for South Well Field Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KS	Russell R9 Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Bourbon County for Water Tank Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Albany for Water Treatment Plant Construction	\$7,334,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Auburn for Water Line Replacement	\$656,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	City of Vine Grove for Water Line Improvements	\$817,491
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Edmonson County Water District for Water Treatment Plant Modernization	\$9,256,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Henderson Water Utility for Raw Water Intake and Pipeline Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Louisville Water Company for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	Nicholas County for Water Improvements Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	KY	South Hopkins Water District for Waterline and Building Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Jefferson Davis Parish for Rural Water District Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	LA	Lafayette Consolidated Government for Water Line Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Cambridge for Water Ozone Generators Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Fall River for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Haverhill for Radial Collector Well	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Newburyport for PFAS Water Filtration System	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	City of Woburn for Horn Pond Plant PFAS Removal Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Leicester Water Supply District for Interconnection Project	\$950,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Blandford for Water Treatment & Distribution System Upgrades	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Essex for Drinking Water System Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Foxborough for PFAS Treatment Plant	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Freetown for Water Line Extension Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Groton for Groton/Dunstable/Pepperell Regional Water System Expansion PFAS Mitigation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Hamilton for Hamilton-Wenham Regional Drinking Water Connection	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Ipswich for Drinking Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Mendon for Water System Expansion Project	\$960,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Middleton for PFAS Mitigation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Natick for PFAS Removal Project	\$1,036,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Plainville for Water Treatment Plant Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Randolph for Water Standpipe Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Sharon for Water Main Replacement Project	\$906,560
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Topsfield for Water Main Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	Town of Westford for PFAS Treatment Infrastructure Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MA	West Brookfield Water Department for Leland Road Water Treatment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Bowie for Drinking Water Pipe Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Rockville for Lead Service Line Inventory Investigation	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	City of Westminster for Advanced Water Purification System Project, Phase 3	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Garrett County Sanitary District for Gorman Water Source Project	\$1,659,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Middletown Wastewater Treatment Enhanced Nutrient Removal Upgrade	\$470,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Town of North East for Pump Station Project	\$1,950,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MD	Worcester County for Water Treatment Plant Replacement	\$2,550,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Canton Water District for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Livermore Falls Water District for Water Main Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Loring Development Authority for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,740,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Lubec Water District for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Monmouth Water Association for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,555,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Old Town Water District for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$3,560,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	ME	Presque Isle Utilities District for Water Infrastructure Upgrades	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of Chesterfield for Water Main Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of Fenton for Water Line Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of Grayling for Municipal Water Service Project	\$3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Charter Township of Independence for Water Main Extension	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Allen Park for Allen Road Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Berkley for Lead Water Service Line Replacements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Cadillac for Water Distribution Project	\$1,460,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Dearborn for Lead Line Replacement Project	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Escanaba for Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Escanaba for Water Main and Service Line Upgrade Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Ferndale for Lead Service Line Verifications	\$400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Grand Blanc for the Blast the Mains and Roll in the New Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Harbor Beach for Transmission Main Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Jonesville for Lead Service Line and Water Main Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Lathrup Village for Eldorado Water Main Replacement	\$880,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Linden for Water Distribution System Modernization	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Lowell for Water Treatment Plant Expansion Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Midland for Pump Station Emergency Power Generator Project	\$600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Sandusky for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$925,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of St. Ignace for Water and Wastewater SCADA Communication System	\$36,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Tawas City for Primary Transmission Main Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	City of Wyandotte for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Great Lakes Water Authority for 54-Inch Water Main Assessment and Renewal Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Great Lakes Water Authority for Downriver Loop Water Main Construction	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Oakland County for Pontiac Drinking Water System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Summit Township for Water Supply Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	The Charter Township of Caledonia for Water Trunkline Water System Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Bellevue for Potable Drinking Water Well	\$144,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Village of Michiana for Water Main Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Whiteford Township for Water Plant Upgrades	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MI	Ypsilanti Community Utilities Authority for Water Main Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Bloomington for Booster Station Pump Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Dennison for Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Duluth for Water Treatment Plant Rehabilitation	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Eagle Lake for Water Treatment Improvement Project	\$1,050,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Ely for Water System Improvements	\$2,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Elysian for Water Treatment Plant	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Foley for Water Treatment Plant and Well Construction	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Gem Lake for Municipal Water Supply Project, Phase 2	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Hastings for PFAS and Nitrates Treatment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Manchester for Watermain Replacement Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Minnetrista for Manganese Mitigation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Mound for Water Treatment Plant Manganese Removal Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Mountain Iron for Water Main Loop Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Nashwauk for Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$1,061,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Nerstrand for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Okabena for Water Distribution System Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Pemberton for Distribution System Repairs	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Richfield for Emergency Water Interconnect Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Stillwater for PFAS Water Treatment Facility	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	City of Wheaton for Water Main Pipe Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Henderson for Water Filtration Plant Construction	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Hibbing Public Utilities Commission for Water Main Replacement and Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MN	Polk County for Water Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Brandon for Water Storage Tank Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	City of Meridian for Water Storage Facility Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MS	Town of Sandersville for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,080,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MT	City of Billings for Flume Replacement Project	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MT	Missoula County for Water Well Upgrades	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MT	St. Ignatius Wastewater Collection System Replacement Project	\$4,625,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MT	Town of Alberton for Water Storage Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	MT	Town of Philipsburg for Transmission Line Upgrade	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Brunswick County Public Utilities for Water Line Extension	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Cape Fear Public Utility Authority for Raw Water Transmission Main Extension	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Columbus County for Water System Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Fayetteville Public Works Commission for Water Treatment Plants	\$4,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Hertford County for Water System Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Lincoln County for Transmission Line Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Orange Water and Sewer Authority for Clearwell Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Orange Water and Sewer Authority for PFAS Treatment Facility Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Dallas for High Shoals/Dallas Water Interconnect Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Elk Park for Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$528,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Franklin for High Service Pump Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Fuquay-Varina for Water Storage Tank Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Holly Springs for Harnett Regional Water Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NC	Town of Spruce Pine for Water Storage Tank Project	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of Genoa for New Well and Transmission Line	\$620,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	City of McCook for Water Treatment Plant	\$3,200,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Santee Sioux Tribe Of The Santee Reservation Of Nebraska for Water System Capacity and Infrastructure Improvements	\$8,250,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Farwell for Water System Improvements	\$696,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NE	Village of Ohiova for Well Replacement	\$344,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NH	Town of Newport for Water Distribution System Replacement Project	\$640,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Freehold for Water Tower Tanks Refurbishment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Hamburg for Lead/Galvanized Steel Water Service Line Replacement	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Ho-Ho-Kus for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$944,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Hopatcong for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Matawan for Lead Pipes Removal	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Red Bank for Lead Service Line Replacement Program	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Rocky Hill for Municipal Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Borough of Swedesboro for Lead Pipe Abatement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Cape May Water and Sewer Utility for Desalination Plant Funding	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Elizabeth for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Garfield for Lead Service Line Removal	\$944,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	City of Orange Township for Drinking Water Main Relocation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Hamilton Township Municipal Utilities Authority for Water Main Replacement	\$1,100,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Dover for Lead Service Line Replacements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Kearny for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$379,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Town of Maple Shade for Water Treatment Plant Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Bloomfield for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$481,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Freehold for Water Main Replacements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Manchester for Water Supply and Treatment Project	\$1,750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Montville for PFAS Treatment Implementation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Nutley for Booster Pump Station Enhancement Project	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Township of Winslow for W. Factory Rd Water Storage Tank Rehabilitation	\$880,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Village of Ridgewood for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$1,535,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NJ	Willingboro Municipal Utilities Authority for PFOS/PFOA Water Treatment Plant Upgrade Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Santa Rosa for Wastewater Rehabilitation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	City of Truth or Consequences for Water Line Improvements	\$1,075,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Enchanted Forest Mutual Domestic Water Consumers Association for Drinking Water Line Improvements	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Ramah Navajo School Board for Drinking Water Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Town of Bernalillo for Wastewater Treatment Plant Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NM	Village of Questa for Water System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Elko for Water Storage Tank Reconstruction	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of Fernley for Water Treatment Plant Upgrade	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	City of West Wendover for Water Transmission System Pipeline Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Gardnerville Ranchos General Improvement District for Pipeline Replacement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Las Vegas Valley Water District for Kyle Canyon Discharge Line Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Lyon County for Generator Project	\$240,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Moapa Valley Water District for Water Transmission Line Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Reno-Sparks Indian Colony for Wastewater Treatment Facility Design and Engineering	\$2,224,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Virgin Valley Water District for Interstate 15 (I-15) Pipe Replacement Project	\$1,616,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NV	Virgin Valley Water District for Main Transmission Line Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Allegany County for Water System Improvements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Bethpage Water District for Treatment Upgrades for PFAS and VOC at Plant No. 5	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Buffalo Sewer Authority for Lead Service Line Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Long Beach for Sand Filter Tank Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Middletown for Raw Water Line Replacement, Phase 2	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Olean for Water Filtration Plant Exterior Wall Reconstruction	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Syracuse for Lead Service Line Replacements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	City of Yonkers for Water Main Replacement Under I-87	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Erie County Water Authority for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$1,600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Monroe County Water Authority for System-wide Cybersecurity Modernization and Resiliency	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Onondaga County Water Authority for Lead Service Lines Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Port Washington Water District for Longview Booster Station Improvements	\$704,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Carmel for Water Plant Improvements	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Ellicott for Water Service Extension	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Grand Island for Water Treatment Plant Filter Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Hempstead for Levittown Dioxane Removal	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Lake Luzerne for Water Storage Tank Rehabilitation	\$1,784,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Ossining for Water Distribution System Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Riverhead for Water Service Expansion	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Smithtown for Water Service Expansion	\$1,086,400

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Stillwater for Phase Three Water Main Replacements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Throop for Water Supply Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Tonawanda for Old Town Waterline Replacement	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Town of Tully for Water Source Replacement and Transmission Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Aurora for Water Treatment Plant and System Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Broadalbin for Storage Tank Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Farmingdale for Water Treatment Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Hempstead for Water Treatment Upgrades	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Hudson Falls for Water Main Replacement	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Kiryas Joel for Water Treatment Facility Construction Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Mexico for Water System Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Pleasantville for Water Tank Improvements	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Port Leyden for Water Distribution System Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Sands Point for Water Improvement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Sleepy Hollow for Water Main Lining Project	\$983,200
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Village of Williston Park for PFAS Treatment at Well 4	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	NY	Westbury Water District for Well 16 1,4-Dioxane Treatment/PFOS/PFOA Treatments	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Ashtabula County for Water Infrastructure Extension	\$1,488,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Brown County Rural Water Association for Water Tank Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Cambridge for Waterline Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Chardon for Water Tank Upgrade	\$1,280,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Cuyahoga Falls for Water Treatment Plant Improvement Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Dayton for Water Infrastructure Project Phase I	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Defiance for Water Distribution Upgrades	\$960,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Logan for Water Treatment Plant and Water Tower Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	City of Wellston for Water Treatment Plant Construction Phase II	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Muskingum County for Waterline Expansion Project	\$900,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Tuscarawas County for Water System Improvements	\$900,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Andover for Water Tower Rehabilitation	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Fredericktown for Water Improvement Project	\$900,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of McArthur for Waterline Replacement Project Phase I	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Millersburg for Waterline Project	\$400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of New Lexington for Waterline Project	\$650,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Scio Waterline Replacement and Lead Line Removal	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Silver Lake for Water Main Improvement Project	\$725,223
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Stockport for Water Treatment Plant Project	\$650,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OH	Village of Wellsville for Storage Tank Replacement	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Durant for 24Inch Water Distribution Line Construction	\$15,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Edmond for Water Treatment Plan Facility Construction	\$5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Guymon for Water System Improvements	\$1,150,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Konawa for Water Distribution Line Replacement Project	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Piedmont for Water Distribution Line Replacement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Spencer for Water Distribution Line Replacement Project	\$500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	City of Stillwater for Transmission Line Project	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OK	Moore Public Works Authority for Water Transmission Line Construction	\$5,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Beaverton for Water Infrastructure Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Bend for Drinking Water Protection Project	\$992,250
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Halsey for Municipal Water Well and Water Treatment Project	\$700,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Hermiston for Regional Water System Backup Generators Project	\$665,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Hillsboro for Seismically Resilient Water Treatment Plant Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Maupin for Water System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Molalla for Water Intake Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Newberg for Newberg Water Plant Covering Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Oregon City for Water Transmission Main Project, Phase 3	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	OR	City of Tillamook for the Water Transmission Line Replacement Project	\$4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Carlisle Borough for PFAS Reduction Infrastructure Project	\$1,943,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Chicora Borough Water Department for Water Plant Improvement Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	City of Lock Haven for Water Line Replacement	\$825,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Cranberry Township for Water Line Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Greene County for Water Line Expansion	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Monroeville Municipal Authority for Transite Water Line Replacements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Municipal Authority of Westmoreland County for Transmission Line Replacement	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	North Fayette County Municipal Authority for Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	PA	Williamsport Municipal Water Authority for Transmission Water Line Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	RI	City of Newport for Reducing Lead in Drinking Water Project	\$1,092,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Providence Water for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$530,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	RI	Town of Westerly for Lead Waterline Replacement	\$1,850,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Aiken for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	City of Florence for Water Treatment Facility Expansion	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Commissioners of Public Works of the City of Greenville for Transfer Pump Station Project	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Laurens County Water and Sewer Commission for Drinking Water Improvements	\$4,422,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Pickens Regional Joint Water System for Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$4,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SC	Town of Chapin for Drinking Water Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,589,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Athens Utilities Board for Water System Improvements	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	Bloomington Utility District for Water Treatment Plant Improvements	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	City of Elizabethton for Water Main Extension	\$828,576
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	City of Henderson for Water Main Replacement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TN	City of Oak Ridge for Water Infrastructure Project	\$3,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of College Station for Water and Wastewater Line Replacement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Edinburg for Water Treatment Plant Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Farmers Branch for Waterline Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Gatesville for Storage Tank Replacement Project	\$775,840
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Georgetown for Waterline Upgrade Project	\$3,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Glenn Heights for Citywide Infiltration and Inflow Study Project	\$325,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Hamilton for Service Line Replacement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Jersey Village for Water Improvement Project	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Keller for Water Line Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of McAllen for Remote Groundwater Well	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Pflugerville for Pump Station and Storage Tank Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of San Benito for Storage Tank at San Benito Water Treatment Plant No. 2, Phase 2	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	City of Woodway for Drinking Water System Upgrades	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	El Paso County for East Montana Water Tower Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Jacinto City for Safe Drinking Water Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	North Alamo Water Supply Corporation for Water Distribution Improvement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Red River Authority of Texas for Foard County Water System Project	\$768,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Red River Authority of Texas for Truscott Gilliland Water System Project	\$896,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Uvalde County for New Wells Project	\$1,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	TX	Wise County Water Control and Improvement District 1 for Water Supply Study	\$1,300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Bear River Water Conservancy District for Water Infrastructure Improvement Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Big Plains Water Special Service District for Transmission Pipeline Project	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Bountiful City for Water System Improvements	\$1,750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	City of Bluffdale for Storage Tank Construction	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	City of Riverton for Waterline Replacement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Draper City Waterline Transmission and Distribution Upgrades	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Granger-Hunter Improvement District for Water Main Replacement Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Murray City Corporation for Drinking Water Well	\$750,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	UT	Weber Basin Water Conservancy District for Water Distribution Improvement Project	\$1,160,692
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Colonial Heights for Waterline Replacement Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Galax for Drinking Water System Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	City of Williamsburg for Water System Improvement Project	\$295,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Greene County for Stanardsville Water Main Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Henrico County for White Oak Water System Extensions	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Prince George County for Beechwood Manor Asbestos Pipe Replacement	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Hamilton for Well 15 Development Project	\$650,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Lovettsville for Water Tower Installation Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Orange for Liquid Feed Building Project	\$800,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Town of Parksley for Water Storage Improvement Project	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VA	Wise County Service Authority for Drinking Water System Improvements	\$2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	City of Burlington for Burlington Drinking Water Reservoir	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	City of Montpelier for Drinking Water System	\$1,700,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Berlin for Water Main System Loop	\$600,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	VT	Town of Troy for Waterline Replacement Project	\$1,040,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Chelan for Water Infrastructure Projects	\$2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of College Place for Water Storage Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Longview for Fill Line to Water Reservoir Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Moses Lake for PFAS Remediation	\$2,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Oroville for Water System Improvement Project	\$1,400,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Othello for Regional Water Supply Project	\$1,000,000

STAG Account	State	Project (Recipient Name and Purpose)	Amount (\$)
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Redmond for Water Infrastructure Projects	\$2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Republic for Water Tank Replacement Project	\$934,965
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Ridgefield for Kennedy Well Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Sunnyside for Water Infrastructure Projects	\$2,114,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	City of Washougal for Drinking Water Well PFAS Remediation	\$1,300,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Covington Water District for Reservoir Construction Project	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	King County Water District 54 for Water Treatment Modernization	\$1,092,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Town of Nespalem for Water System Infrastructure Improvements	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Town of Springdale for Storage Capacity Improvements	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WA	Town of Winthrop for Water Source and Distribution System Improvements	\$1,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Altoona for Water System Improvements	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Mineral Point for Lead Service Line Replacement	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	City of Nekoosa for Water Utility Improvements	\$1,630,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WI	Village of Vesper for Water Systems Improvement	\$1,100,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	City of Pennsboro for Waterline Extension Project	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	City of Pennsboro PH 3 Water System Improvement Project	\$2,500,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Clarksburg Water Board for Lead Line Replacement and Water Distribution System Improvements	\$2,250,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Harpers Ferry Water Distribution System Project	\$5,051,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Region 2 Planning and Development Council for Water Treatment Plant	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Town of West Hamlin for Water Treatment Plant Upgrades	\$1,000,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WV	Webster County for Water Line Extension	\$750,000
STAG—Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	WY	City of Mills for Water Distribution Upgrades	\$1,000,000

Appendix C: Technical Corrections-Procedural Information

Examples of Acceptable Technical Corrections

Below are three common types of technical corrections that are generally acceptable. All examples assume concurrence from the original recipient and the new recipient to be named, if applicable.

Original Language	Purpose (P) or Recipient (R)?	New Language
Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements	P	Anytown for water infrastructure improvements
Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements	R	Greater Anytown-Area Regional Sewer Authority for wastewater infrastructure improvements
Anytown for wastewater infrastructure improvements	P, R	Greater Anytown-Area Regional Water Authority for drinking water infrastructure improvements

Technical corrections cannot: 1) change the purpose to a non-construction project unless it otherwise provides for water quality protection; 2) change the purpose to construction of infrastructure that is not drinking, waste, or stormwater-related unless it otherwise provides for water quality protection; 3) change the purpose to debt repayment; or 4) transfer funds to another Federal Agency.

Who Can Request a Technical Correction?

Technical correction requests must be in writing and, for a change in purpose, must be originated by the original recipient. A request involving a change in recipient can be initiated by either the original recipient or the new entity to be named, but such a request requires the written concurrence of the other party. Requests involving a change in both recipient and purpose can also be initiated by either entity with concurrence from the other; however, the original Community Grant recipient must specifically acknowledge both the change in purpose and the change in recipient in their concurrence. Any request for technical corrections from parties other than the original recipient or the new entity will generally not be considered.

Technical Correction Process⁹

Recipients requesting a technical correction should first consult their [EPA Regional Contact](#) to discuss the need for, and evaluate the appropriateness of, a technical correction. When appropriate and necessary, the EPA Regional Office will submit technical correction requests to EPA Headquarters for consultation with and resolution by Congress.

EPA Regional Offices provide all written requests that are consistent with this Guidance to EPA Headquarters for review and submission to the Agency's Liaison to the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations ("Appropriations Liaison"). The Appropriations Liaison initiates consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations. EPA approves proposed corrections after the Appropriations Liaison transmits confirmation of consultation with the Committees.¹⁰ EPA may then proceed with administering projects within the scope of approved corrected language.

When a Technical Correction is Unnecessary

All changes in the project purpose require a technical correction. All changes in the recipient require a technical correction unless the intended recipient is an agency of the original recipient or is wholly owned or controlled by the recipient (e.g., the recipient is listed as Anytown, USA, but the intended recipient is the Anytown Department of Water Quality). In such cases, a grant may be made to the intended recipient without going through the technical correction process.

Withdrawing or Reversing a Technical Correction

Technical correction requests that have been approved by EPA can be reversed (i.e., reverted to the original appropriation language). Reversing a technical correction requires a new technical correction following the procedures outlined above. Technical correction requests that have not been approved by EPA Headquarters can be withdrawn. Withdrawal procedures depend on how far the request is in the Congressional consultation process.

- If the request has not yet been provided to Congress for consultation, the request will simply be removed from the submission list. Upon confirmation from EPA Headquarters

⁹ The FY 2006 Appropriations Act (P.L. 109-54) provides permanent authority that allows EPA to make technical corrections after consultation with Congress; the FY 2006 Appropriations Act includes "...That, notwithstanding this or any other appropriations Act, heretofore and hereafter, after consultation with the House and Senate Committees on Appropriations and for the purpose of making technical corrections, the Administrator is authorized to award grants under this heading to entities and for purposes other than those listed in the joint explanatory statements of the managers accompanying the Agency's appropriations Acts for the construction of drinking water, wastewater and stormwater infrastructure and for water quality protection."

¹⁰ If the circumstances surrounding a technical correction for a particular project change after consultation with the Committees and EPA decides not to approve the request, EPA will notify the Committees in a subsequent request.

that the request was removed, the recipient can proceed under the scope of the original language.

- If the request has been sent to Congress for consultation, but not yet returned, EPA Headquarters will request its removal from consideration and will notify the Region when they can proceed under the scope of the original language.
- If the consultation process was already completed, EPA will send the language reversal back to Congress in a subsequent request.

Administering a Technically Corrected Project

Technical corrections made under the technical corrections authority should be administered in accordance with the guidance document from the fiscal year of appropriation.

Appendix D: Application Forms and Attachments

The following registration steps must be completed prior to submitting an application package:

Registration in [SAM.gov](#). Unique Entity Identifiers (UEIs) are assigned during the SAM.gov registration process. Recipients with active SAM.gov registrations prior to April 2022 automatically have a UEI but may need to complete entity validation within SAM.gov. Recipients must be registered in SAM.gov. Recipients may refer to the [Entity Registration Checklist](#) and obtain SAM.gov assistance via the Federal Service Desk at 1-866-606-8220 or [fsd.gov](#) (M-F 8am-8pm ET).

Registration in [Grants.gov](#). Once registered in SAM.gov, recipients who have a SAM.gov registration can then [register with Grants.gov](#) and assign Grants.gov Roles. Please note that only an Authorized Organization Representative (AOR) can submit an application on behalf of the recipient.

[Grants.gov instructions](#), and [Training Resources & Videos](#) are available online.

Grants.gov assistance is available 24 hours a day, 7 days a week at 1-800-518-4726 or support@grants.gov (closed on federal holidays).

- The Funding Opportunity Number (FON) for the Community Grants Program is **EPA-CEP-01**.
- Community Grants Program is included in Assistance Listing Number 66.202 (the Assistance Listing Number is also referred to as “CFDA” in [Grants.gov](#))
- The Community Grants Program’s Opportunity Package ID Number is **PKG00214047**.
- Grant application forms will be automatically downloaded from the Community Grants opportunity package on [Grants.gov](#) and saved in the recipient’s Workspace within Grants.gov.

Note: Prior to accessing the application package in Grants.gov, recipients should Register with SAM.gov, ensure the SAM.gov registration is not expired, obtain a UEI number through the SAM.gov registration process and establish an EBiz Contact. Recipients may refer to the [Entity Registration Checklist](#) and obtain SAM.gov assistance via the Federal Service Desk at 1-866-606-8220 or [fsd.gov](#) (M-F 8am-8pm ET).

Additional information on [accessing the Community Grants Application Package](#) is available [online](#).

Complete application packages must be submitted through [Grants.gov](#) and must include the following:

1. **Application for Federal Assistance (SF 424)** with authorized signatures submitted.
2. **Additional information for SF424, Block #19 (if applicable):** [Is application subject to review by State under Executive Order 12372 Process?](#)

Select the appropriate box. If box “a” is selected, enter the date the application was submitted to the State SPOC (generally, applicants must submit the SF424 or summary thereof to the State SPOC to meet the requirements under Executive Order 12372).

- California. All EPA programs and activities subject to Intergovernmental Review have been selected for [State Single Point of Contact](#) (SPOC) review. Community Grant Applications for projects in California should be submitted to the California SPOC at state.clearinghouse@opr.ca.gov.
- Utah. Only applications for EPA financial assistance subject to Intergovernmental Review submitted by Utah state agencies have been selected for SPOC review. Applications by local governments, nonprofit organizations and other entities are not reviewed by the Utah SPOC. Utah state agencies are to submit their Community Grant applications to stategrants@utah.gov.

No other SPOCs have selected EPA programs and activities subject to Intergovernmental Review for SPOC review, however there may be requirements for submission of Federal grant applications to SPOCs or other state agencies based on state law that are independent of [40 CFR Part 29](#). EPA encourages applicants to comply with state requirements but does not enforce those requirements.

- [Intergovernmental Review SPOC List](#) provides contact information for each SPOC.
- [Fact sheet for Applicants Intergovernmental Review Process](#) provides additional information on Intergovernmental Review.

3. **Budget Information for Non-Construction Programs (SF 424A).**
 Budget Detail-Breakdown by Object Class Categories.
[RAIN-2019-G02](#) at <https://www.epa.gov/grants/rain-2019-g02>.
 - Costs for hiring construction contractors would be reflected in **SF 424A Category g “Construction.”**
 - Costs for building or repairing facilities and related demolition and site preparation

work or for remediating contamination are to be classified as **SF424A Category g “Construction.”**

- Costs for hiring Architectural and Engineering firms for design/project management services would be categorized in **SF 424A Category f “Contractual.”**
- Construction activities carried out by the applicant’s own employees (“force account”) are to be classified as **SF 424A Category a “Personnel.”**
- Information on cost allowability is provided in [2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E](#). Recipients may review EPA’s [training course](#) on budget development. EPA reviews costs included in project budgets as part of the application/pre award process to ensure they conform with general principles of cost allowability:
 - A cost is eligible if it is permitted by statute, program guidance, and/or regulations.
 - A cost is reasonable if it does not exceed that which would be incurred by a prudent person under the circumstances prevailing at the time the decision was made to incur the cost.
 - Costs must be allocable: costs must be incurred either directly or indirectly to carry out the project and must be charged proportionately across all benefitting cost centers.
 - Costs must be necessary to carry out the project being funded.

Allowable costs are:

- Adequately documented.
- Conform to limitations of laws, regulations, etc. and grant terms and conditions.
- Consistent with recipient’s policies/procedures – same factors apply to both federal and non-federal activities.
- Accorded consistent treatment – a cost may not be assigned as direct if a similar cost incurred for the same purpose has been allocated as an indirect cost.
- Not included as a cost or used to meet a matching requirement for any other federal grant.
- Consistent with generally accepted accounting principles

4. **Use the Project Narrative Attachment Form to submit the Workplan.** The workplan should include tasks, milestones, and expected environmental results or outcomes (See [Appendix E](#)).

5. **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Current Indirect Cost Rate Negotiation Agreement.** If applicable, include Rate and Signature pages (i.e., Sections 1 and 3) of the approved Indirect Cost Rate Agreement with application. **EPA Policy on Indirect Rate Costs (IDC) effective 10/1/2018, [RAIN-2018-G02](#).** Prior to drawing down EPA funds for IDCs, and/or using unrecovered IDCs as cost-share, recipients must have an approved rate and an EPA- approved budget that includes IDCs.

- IDCs are those that are not readily identifiable with a particular activity but are

necessary to the general operation of the recipient organization and the conduct of the proposed project (such as general administration expenses).

6. **EPA Key Contacts Form (EPA Form 5700-4).**
7. **Pre-Award Compliance Review Report (EPA Form 4700-4)**, current form with authorized signature. See [Tips for Completing EPA Form 4700-4](#).
8. **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Certification Regarding Lobbying (EPA Form 6600-06)** with authorized signature. All applicants, including Tribes, are required to submit this certification if the total federal dollar awarded to the applicant/recipient is greater than \$100,000 for the life of the grant.
9. **Use the Other Attachments Form to submit the Disclosure of Lobbying Activities (Form SF-LLL)**, with authorized signature attached with the grant application package. For all other applicants, form is required for reporting entity, whether subawardee or prime federal recipient, at the initiation or receipt of a covered federal action, or a material change to a previous filing, pursuant to Title 31 U.S.C. Section 1352. Used by applicants to disclose lobbying activities that have been secured to influence the outcome of a federal grant action.

Appendix E: Community Grants Workplan Contents/Outline

Name of Applicant and Project Title

Project Objective(s) and Need

Narrative, how project will resolve need/purpose.

Project Description

Narrative, maps, photographs, relevant design parameters, etc. Clearly defined scope of work, outlining all activities to be performed under the grant; detailed description of the proposed project, summary of deliverables. Framework for managing the project, explanation of the approach, procedures, and controls for ensuring that awarded grant funds will be expended in a timely and efficient manner, evaluating performance and reporting progress toward achieving the expected outputs and outcomes. How tasks/activities will be undertaken. The scope of work must be in conformance with the project description and should not include unallowable activities (e.g., such as operation and maintenance). Budget narrative that links the budget to workplan tasks activities and includes source(s) of non-federal cost share.

Milestone Schedule

Narrative or tabular depiction of each grant activity's estimated start and end dates, interim milestones, deliverables, and project completion. The length of the grant award project period should be consistent with the milestone schedule.

Environmental Results/Benefits

Narrative or tabular linkage of each grant activity with the applicable [EPA Strategic Plan goal and objective and/or pillar](#), anticipated environmental results, anticipated environmental outputs, and anticipated environmental outcomes. (For example, Pillar 1: Clean Air, Land, and Water for Every American).

- **Outputs:** environmental activities, efforts, and/or associated work products related to environmental goals or objectives, that will be produced or provided over a period of time or by a specified date. Outputs should be well-defined and may be quantitative or qualitative but must be measurable during an assistance agreement funding period.
- **Outcomes:** the results, effects or consequences that will occur from carrying out an environmental program or activity that is related to an environmental or programmatic goal or objective. Outcomes should be well-defined to the maximum extent practicable, and may be environmental, behavioral, health-related, or programmatic in nature, must be quantitative, and may not necessarily be achievable within an assistance agreement funding period.

Workplan Requirements for Identifying Contractors

- Community Grants projects identified in the FY 2026 or prior Appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance, if the recipient: 1) procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to January 23, 2026; and 2) complied with state and/or local laws governing competition.
- For all other Community Grants projects, procurements must comply with the competitive Procurement Standards set forth in [2 CFR 200.318 – 2 CFR 200.327](#).
- Additionally, Community Grants projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#) (i.e., the Brooks Act), or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement.
- Except for when the Brooks Act applies, States and Indian Tribes follow their own procurement procedures consistent with 2 CFR 200.318, if any such procurement policies and procedures exist. Otherwise, States and Tribes must follow federal procurement standards. Further, [2 CFR 200.320](#) discusses specific methods of procurement to be followed for non-State and non-Tribal recipients and the circumstances under which each method may be used.
- Prior to naming a contractor (including consultants) in your application as a “partner,” please carefully review the entirety of Section IV.D. of [EPA’s Solicitation Clauses](#). Note, recipients must compete contracts for services, supplies, and/or equipment and conduct cost and price analyses to the extent required by 2 CFR 200.324. Recipients are not required to identify subrecipients and/or contractors (including individual consultants) in their grant applications. However, if they do, the fact that a recipient has named a specific subrecipient, contractor, or consultant in the application does not relieve the recipient of its obligations to comply with EPA’s subaward and/or competitive procurement requirements as described in Section IV.D. of EPA’s Solicitation Clauses.
- The [Best Practice Guide for Procuring Services, Supplies, and Equipment Under EPA Assistance Agreements](#) may assist Community Grant recipients in complying with procurement requirements. Additionally, the [Community Grants: Reminders for Preparing Solicitation Documents](#) discusses Community Grant specific procurement requirements.
- In general, all procurement transactions for professional engineering services and construction contractors must be conducted in a manner that promotes fair and open competition.
- Please note that unless you qualify for the statutory flexibility discussed above **and** the sole source complies with state and/or local law, recipients may not award sole source contracts to consulting, engineering, or other firms assisting applicants with the application solely based on the firm's role in preparing the application or based

on an assertion that the individual or firm has “unique qualifications.” Moreover, the Agency will not accept justifications for sole source contracts for services or products available in the commercial marketplace such as consulting, data analysis, or project management.

Workplan Requirements for Identifying Subrecipients

- Any proposed subawards must comply with regulatory standards as implemented in [EPA’s Subaward Policy](#).
- In almost all cases, for-profit firms and individual consultants are not proper subrecipients in the Community Grants Program. Rather, for-profit firms and individual consultants would likely be considered contractors.
- Prior to naming a subrecipient in your application as a “partner,” please carefully review Section IV.D. of [EPA’s Solicitation Clauses](#) in its entirety.

Appendix F: Grant Policies and Resources

EPA Community Grants Program

- The [EPA Community Grants](#) webpage contains many helpful resources, including a list of frequent questions, regional points of contact, and training presentations and recordings. The website will be updated as new information becomes available. Technical Assistance (TA) may be available for Community Grants application support; recipients may contact [Regional Points of Contact](#) to inquire.

Grants Management Training for Applicants and Recipients

- [EPA's online training courses](#) are free and are designed to introduce potential EPA grant recipients to key aspects of the entire grant lifecycle, from preparation of a grant application through grant closeout.
- Details about EPA's New Recipient Training Requirement are available in EPA's Recipient/Applicant Information Notice (RAIN) 2024-G01, see [EPA's Grants Policy Resources](#) page.
- Information on EPA Form 4700-4 is available online, see [Tips for Completing EPA Form 4700-4](#).

EPA Grant Policies and Information

- [EPA grant policies](#) may affect how recipients manage and administer EPA assistance agreements.
- EPA's [Grants Update Listserv](#) provides periodic updates on trainings, changes in grants management requirements, and other general grants information.

Budget Development

- Community Grant recipients may refer to [EPA's General Budget Development Guidance for Applicants and Recipients of EPA Financial Assistance](#) to learn more about cost allowability and preparation of the budget component of the application package.

Selected Items of Cost

- EPA POs and grant specialists review costs included in project budgets as part of the application.
- Allowability of costs is based on several factors, including those specified in [2 CFR Part 200, Subpart E](#).
- EPA's [Guidance on Selected Items of Cost for Recipients](#) provides more information on the allowability of specific costs.

Environmental Review

The [Resources section](#) of the Community Grants Program webpage provides helpful checklists and guidance regarding the Environmental review requirements.

- The Categorical Exclusion (CATEX) Checklist provides information on assessing whether a project may be considered for a CATEX. The EPA's list of actions that can be categorically

excluded is contained within [40 CFR 6.204\(b\)](#). The EPA makes CATEX determinations based on its own regulations and can use information collected as part of another federal agency's environmental review process. Recipients having a CATEX determination resulting from another agency's environmental review of their project may provide that information to the EPA as part of any request for a CATEX.

- For projects not eligible for a CATEX, a project may be eligible for the Community Grants Programmatic Environmental Assessment (PEA). Recipients should contact their Regional Point of Contact to discuss the use of the PEA for their project and may utilize the Environmental Evaluation and Checklist (EEC) to support developing the project-specific environmental review required to use the PEA. For projects needing an independent EA, the sample EID Outline provides optional format and content on what to include in an EID.
- The [Infrastructure Task Force Preliminary Engineering Report](#) provides a recommended format for preliminary engineering reports (PER) for use when planning drinking water and wastewater infrastructure.

Procurement

- [EPA's Best Practice Guide for Procuring Services, Supplies and Equipment Under EPA Assistance Agreements](#) describes the financial transactions covered by the competitive procurement requirements and other rules recipients must follow when awarding and administering EPA funded contracts.
- Additionally, the [Community Grants: Reminders for Preparing Solicitation Documents](#) discusses Community Grant specific procurement requirements.
- Community Grants Projects identified in the FY 2026 or prior Appropriations Acts are not subject to compliance with Federal procurement requirements for competition and methods of procurement applicable to Federal financial assistance, **if** the recipient has: (1) procured services or products through contracts entered into prior to January 23, 2026; and (2) complied with state and/or local laws governing competition.
- All other Community Grant recipients must follow their own procurement procedures, which must be documented and comply with State, local, or tribal laws and regulations as well as Federal laws and regulations, including the procurement standards in [2 CFR Part 200](#) (Uniform Grants Guidance (UGG)) and [2 CFR Part 1500](#) (EPA's Supplement to the UGG).
 - With the exception noted below for projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, State and Tribal recipients must follow the same policies and procedures they use for procurements from their non-Federal funds, if any such policies and procedures exist. Otherwise, federal procurement standards apply. In addition, States and Tribes must also follow the requirements in 2 CFR [200.321](#) (small and disadvantaged businesses), [200.322](#) (domestic preferences), [200.323](#) (recycled materials), [200.327](#) (contract clauses contained in [Appendix II](#)), and EPA's Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprises regulations at 40 CFR Part 33, as applicable.

- Additionally, projects inclusive of CWSRF-eligible activities, irrespective of whether such projects are co-funded with CWSRF funding, **must** comply with the procurement processes for architectural and engineering (A/E) services as identified in [40 U.S.C. 1101 et seq.](#) (i.e., the Brooks Act), or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement. Specifically, and consistent with the CWSRF statute ([33 U.S.C. 1382\(b\)\(14\)](#)), procurements and resultant contracts for “**program management, construction management, feasibility studies, preliminary engineering, design, engineering, surveying, mapping, or architectural related services** shall be negotiated in the same manner as a contract for architectural and engineering services is negotiated under chapter 11 of title 40 or an equivalent State qualifications-based requirement (as determined by the Governor of the State).”
- Please note that unless you qualify for the statutory flexibility discussed above **and** the sole source complies with state and/or local law, recipients may not award sole source contracts to consulting, engineering, or other firms assisting applicants with the application solely based on the firm's role in preparing the application or based on an assertion that the individual or firm has “unique qualifications.” Moreover, the Agency does not accept justifications for sole source contracts for services or products available in the commercial marketplace such as consulting, data analysis, or project management.

Subawards

- A subaward is a financial assistance transaction between an EPA grant recipient (i.e., pass-through entity) and an eligible subrecipient (or by a subrecipient to a lower-tiered subrecipient) to carry out part of a Federal award received by the pass-through entity.
 - It does not include payments to a contractor or payments to an individual that is a beneficiary of a Federal program.
 - A subaward may be provided through any form of agreement, including an agreement that the pass-through entity considers a contract.
- The [EPA Subaward Policy](#) implements UGG regulatory requirements for subawards and has several appendices including distinguishing between procurement contracts and subawards and an optional Subaward Agreement Template.
- Additionally, EPA posted an [EPA Subaward Policy Frequently Asked Questions](#).

Davis Bacon and Related Acts (DBRA)

- The [Davis-Bacon and Related Acts](#) requires that all contractors and subcontractors performing construction, alteration, and repair (including painting and decorating) work under federal contracts in excess of \$2,000, pay their laborers and mechanics not less than the prevailing wage and fringe benefits for the geographic location. Personnel costs include salaries, wages, and allowable incentive compensation for recipient employees (i.e., who receive W-2 forms) who spend time working on the project and are not subject to DBRA.

- Section 513 of the Clean Water Act (CWA), which applies to the CWSRF program, applies DBRA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on projects for treatment works.
- Section 1452(a)(5) of the Safe Drinking Water Act (SDWA), which applies to the DWSRF program, applies DBRA prevailing wage requirements and labor standards to all laborers and mechanics employed by contractors or subcontractors on *any* construction project.
- Note, if your Community Grant project triggers compliance with DBRA, you **must** incorporate the appropriate wage determination in the bid solicitation document(s) and contract specifications.

EPA’s Participation by Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) Program

- EPA’s Participation by DBEs regulations can be found at [40 CFR Part 33](#).
- EPA’s [DBE Program](#) applies to all procurements under EPA Assistance Agreements, with the exception of Community Grants projects that qualify for the statutory flexibility provided for in the FY 2026 Appropriations Act, and requires recipients who procure goods and/or services to: employ the six good faith efforts; document their efforts; and maintain DBE forms and other documentation from the prime contractor.
- Consistent with EPA’s DBE regulations, Tribal Nations are allowed to “solicit and recruit Indian organizations and Indian-owned economic enterprises and give them preference in the award process prior to undertaking the six good faith efforts.”

Build America, Buy America (BABA)

- BABA established a domestic content procurement preference for all Federal financial assistance obligated for *infrastructure* projects after May 14, 2022.
- BABA requires that all iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used in covered infrastructure projects be produced in the United States.
- Recipients must ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with [BABA](#) requirements as applicable to the project.

American Iron and Steel (AIS) Requirement

- The [AIS provision](#) requires recipients to use iron and steel products that are produced in the United States for the construction, alteration, maintenance, or repair of a public water system or treatment works.
- Compliance with AIS requirements will satisfy a subset of BABA requirements (e.g., those pertaining to iron and steel), however BABA also includes requirements pertaining to manufactured goods and construction materials, which must be met as applicable. Projects that are compliant with BABA are also in compliance with AIS.
- Recipients must ensure that procurement plans and contract specifications comply with AIS requirements as applicable to the project.

Reporting Waste, Fraud, Abuse, Mismanagement, or Other Suspected Violations of Law

- The EPA Office of Inspector General is an independent oversight office charged with preventing and detecting [waste, fraud, and abuse](#) by EPA and U.S. Chemical Safety and

Hazard Investigations Board employees, recipients, contractors, and others. It does this through [audits and investigations](#) of Agency programs and operations, often in response to complaints submitted to the [OIG Hotline](#) regarding alleged violations of law, needless spending, or intentional deception.

- Suspected waste, fraud, abuse, or other violations of law can be reported anonymously or confidentially to the [OIG Hotline](#) via phone at (888) 546-8740, [email](#), or online [form](#).
 - Listen to this podcast to learn more about the [hotline](#).

Whistleblower Protection

- A [whistleblower](#) is a federal employee, an employee of a federal contractor, subcontractor, recipient, or subrecipient or personal services contractor who discloses what the individual believes to be evidence of a gross waste of federal funds, a substantial danger to public health or safety, or any of the following related to a federal contract or grant: gross mismanagement, abuse of authority, or other violation of law, rule, or regulation.
- Because of the important public service these individuals perform when they come forward, whistleblower protection laws prohibit reprisal against them, such as firing, demotion, or other discrimination, and protect the identities of those who make anonymous or confidential disclosures, such as via the [OIG Hotline](#).
- Learn more about Whistleblower Protection [here](#).

Commonly Used Acronyms and Abbreviations

AIS	American Iron and Steel	EID	Environmental Information Document
AOR	Authorized Organization Representative	EIS	Environmental Impact Statement
ASAP	Automated Standard Application for Payments	EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
BABA	Build America, Buy America	FONSI	Finding of No Significant Impact
CATEX	Categorical Exclusion	FY	Fiscal Year
CDS	Congressionally Directed Spending	MHI	Median Household Income
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations	NEPA	National Environmental Policy Act
CPF	Community Project Funding	PO	Project Officer
CWA	Clean Water Act	PEA	Programmatic Environmental Assessment
CWSRF	Clean Water Act State Revolving Fund	SAM	System for Award Management
DBRA	Davis-Bacon And Related Acts	SDWA	Safe Drinking Water Act
DBE	Disadvantaged Business Enterprise	SERP	State Environmental Review Process
DWSRF	Drinking Water State Revolving Fund	SF	Standard Form

EA	Environmental Assessment	UEI	Unique Entity Identifier
EBiz Contact	E-Business Point of Contact	UGG	Uniform Grant Guidance